Description of QTDP Review Process

Yes →

Reviewer concurs with at least one "YES" response in questions:
- 1-4 (1 or 2 or 3 or 4) **and**
- 5-8 (5 & 6a _or_ 5 & 6b _or_ 7 _or_ 8) **and**
- Reviewer **scores** questions 9-11:
  - Score of 4 (Q9) and average score of 2.5 or better (Qs 10 & 11)
  - Score of 3 or better (Q9), unless an average score of 4.5 or worse (Qs 10 & 11)

→ Application is placed in YES group: **Recommended for Funding**

No →

Reviewer does not concur with at least one affirmative response in questions:
- 1-4 (1 or 2 or 3 or 4); **or**
- 5-8 (5 & 6a – YES; **or** 5 & 6b –YES; **or** 7 **or** 8); **or**
- **Reviewer's scores** on questions 9-11 result in a recommendation **not to fund**

→ Application is assigned to 2nd reviewer

↓ 2nd reviewer answer is NO

→ Application is placed in NO group: **Not Recommended for Funding**

↓ 2nd reviewer answer is YES

→ Application is assigned to 3rd reviewer

↓ 3rd reviewer answer is YES

→ Application is placed in YES group: **Recommended for Funding**

↓ 3rd reviewer answer is NO

→ Application is placed in NO group: **Not Recommended for Funding**

Decision Rules for Qs 9-11:

Q.9: Score of 5 = application excluded from further consideration.

Q.9: Score of 4 = application is recommended for funding, if application also receives average score of 2.5 or better on questions 10 and 11.

Q.9: Score of 3 or better = application is recommended for funding, unless the application has an average score of 4.5 or worse on Questions 10 & Question 11