Author	Topic	Sub-Topic	Q(s) #	Comments	Resolution
Cornerstone Group	Burden	Time		The amount of time required to effectively and thoroughly complete the Application far exceeds the 175 hours estimated by the Fund.	Comment not accepted. The time to complete estimate is based on the median of the self-reported completion times from the previous year's applicants. This number has actually been reduced to 170 hours for the 2007 application, as this was the median of the response times
Costal Enterprises Inc.	Burden	Time		The Application process requires a very large effort requiring substantially more staff time and thirds-party cost than may be necessary.	No action necessary. The commentator is making an observation, not suggesting a specific change. The application is necessarily rigorous as it must be in a program where applicants can apply for awards as large as \$150 million. Nonetheless, the CDFI Fund engages a process after each allocation application round to review the entire application, and makes every effort possible to limit the length of the application and the effort required to just what is minimally required. Additionally, the CDFI Fund has
Opportunity Finance Network	Enhance Info Collected	Accountability of boards improvement		Putting teeth into the "accountability" requirements for Boards and Advisory Boards of community development entities (CDE)s	No action necessary. The commentator is referring to requirement contained in the CDE certification application, not the Allocation Application. That being said, there is already a question (#41) in the management capacity section solely dedicated to the accountability of the Applicant
Costal Enterprises Inc.	Enhance Info Collected	Change to Section Structure		An executive summary in each of the four major Application sections would be helpful to applicants to have an opportunity to present their over all plan and useful to reviewers to have a context for the details provided in the remaining questions.	Comment partially accepted.  While the CDFI Fund deemed a narrative executive summary at the beginning of each major section generally redundant and unnecessary, the CDFI Fund did agree that it would be useful to include an executive summary in
Cornerstone Group	Enhance Info Collected	Charts		The online charts are excessively time consuming. It would be more efficient to permit the uploading of Excel charts.	Comment not accepted. While allowing Applicant's to upload charts could be slightly (though not significantly) more time efficient for the applicant, it would make it difficult if not impossible to automatically validate the format and the accuracy of such data across the various tables. It
Novogradac	Enhance Info Collected	Clarification of existing question	Q26	One of the sub-sections of Q. 26 inquires about an applicant's intention to reinvest interest, dividends, or other profits received from QEI proceeds. We request clarification as to what interest, dividends or other profits the Fund is referring to. Is the questions referring to interest income earned on QEIs invested in the applicant that have yet to be deployed as a QLICI? or is	Comment accepted. Changed "QEI proceeds" to "QLICI" in old Q26, which is now Q25.

Author	Topic	Sub-Topic	Q(s) #	Comments	Resolution
Cornerstone Group	Enhance Info Collected	Discrepancies between on- line and hardcopy	Q14	The formatting of question 14 differed between the "hardcopy" and the actual online application. For instance, the hardcopy requested the selection of all descriptions that apply that the	No action necessary. The commentator description of the formatting of Q14 is incorrect. Q14 in both the hardcopy and online versions of the Application the instruction is to choose only
Costal Enterprises Inc.	Enhance Info Collected	Discrepancies between on- line and hardcopy		descriptions that apply, but the There are many questions that have information requested that is not obvious until a potential applicant can use a live application that allows for "click-through" to test to see if any additional parts of the question are then reveled.	the instruction is to choose only No action necessary. The commentator is making an observation, not suggesting a specific change. This online application is structured this way to show each Applicant only the questions it must answer, and that way not burdening and confusing Applicants with wading through questions they do not need to answer. The CDFI Fund makes every effort to minimize the time between the release of the paper and online versions of the Application, but a gap is sometimes unavoidable.
Novogradac & Coastal Enterprises Inc.	Enhance Info Collected	Formating	Q18(b)	We believe that requiring the applicant to indicate how each borrower will qualify for each feature is not practical and is burdensome as it assumes or implies that there is a specific criterion in place for each loan term. We request the Fund to	Comment not accepted. The CDFI Fund has found through past experience, that it must require distinct narratives for each specific flexible or non-traditional product or feature to elicit full and comprehensive narrative discussion for each
Costal Enterprises Inc.	Enhance Info Collected	Formating	Q26	It would be very helpful if applicants had the ability to input the representative projects into either a chart format or use an Excel spreadsheet. This would make this critical portion much easier and faster as well as more readable for the reviewers.	Comment not accepted. In prior applications, the CDFI Fund provided a table for Applicants, that had indicated a general pipeline of transactions in Q23, to input their potential transactions. However, the CDFI Fund eliminated that Table, as it found that data in that format not very useful (applicants tended to
Costal Enterprises Inc.	Enhance Info Collected	Formating	Q44	It would be very helpful for previous NMTC awardees if there was some way to link the data in the CDFI's existing database to the application so that such data as the individual project Award Control Numbers	inspt transactions in the mable investigate the technical and security feasibility of providing this feature with the 2007 Application.
Costal Enterprises Inc.	Enhance Info Collected	Formating	Qs 17 & 18	We suggest combining the questions into just one, since they are somewhat redundant with one another. We recommend that Q 17 be worded so that the information requests in Q18 can be easily outlined and incorporated in just one part.	Comment partially accepted. As outlined in the response to the comments regarding Q18(b) specifically, the CDFI Fund has deemed it important to require Applicant's to provide distinct and unique narrative discussions of each product and product feature. However, Q17 (Q15 in the 2007 Application) will be modified to clearly request that the Applicant provide a response in the form of an executive summary, and to not repeat there information that is requested and
Cornerstone Group	Enhance Info Collected	Formating		The online application should allow bold, underline, italics, etc.	TBD. The CDFI Fund will investigate the technical feasibility of providing this feature with the 2007 Application.

Author	Topic	Sub-Topic	Q(s) #	Comments	Resolution
Novogradac	Enhance Info	Investor	Q50	If an applicant checks yes to	Comment partially accepted.
	Collected	Leverage	`	Q.50 in the application, only the	The CDFI Fund already requires
		Model		investment partnership that will	Applicant's to attach letters from
				directly provide the QEIs to the	the upper-tier investors in a
				applicant are to be listed in Table	leveraged investment
				E1, even if it is a to be formed	partnership. Obviously, the CDFI
				entity. Our concern is if an entity	Fund understands that an
				does not exist, how can it be	unformed entity cannot issue
				required to issue a commitment	letters, and does not require it.
				letter? We recommend that the	The CDFI Fund requires that the
				Fund modify the requirement so	Applicant not enter these upper-
				that investors and lenders would	tier investors on Table E1, but
				provide their commitment letter to	rather discuss them in detail in
				the applicant and indicate in the	Q50 (Q49 in the 2007
				commitment letter that the investor/lender understood that	Application). The CDFI Fund will
					modify the language in the TIPs to Q49 and Table E1 to more
Costal	Enhance Info	New Criteria -		the applicant was applying for an Each section should ask for	Comment not accepted. The
Enterprises	Collected	All Sections		specific data related to any such	commenter did not provide a
Inc.	Collected	All Sections		applicants' previous NMTC	compelling argument for
liiic.				experience, so reviewers could	changing the way the CDFI Fund
				then take into account the	asks for information regarding
				applicant's previous NMTC track	Applicant NMTC track records.
				record. This would allow an	The 2007 Application has, as
				applicant to show how an	have its predecessors, questions
				additional award will enhance its	in each sections that ask for
				program capacity, in-place org	information regarding Application
				infrastructure, and existing	track records, and for prior
Costal	Enhance Info	New Criteria -		INTE Strategy and development	Contre acterioriale cost
Enterprises	Collected	Busines		sections, consider a place to	Fund has added an item in
Inc.		Strategy &		discuss beneficial impacts	Q33(a) for Applicant's to
		Community		derived from the NMTC by	specifically indicate if they
		Impact		allocatees that underwrite	propose to "create
				projects in a way that assesses	environmentally sustainable
Oppositivnity	Enhance Info	Now Critoria		environmental sustainability	outcomes".
Opportunity	Enhance Info Collected	New Criteria -		The CDE has a track record of	No action necessary. There is
Finance Network &	Collected	Business		putting its own capital at risk in the market in which it would use	already ample opportunity in the Application to discuss the
Novogradac		Strategy		a NMTC Allocation, or a similar	Application to discuss the Applicant track records of putting
Novogradac				market. Using this criterion	their own capital at risk. No
				would help measure an	action or response required.
				applicant's CDE commitment to	
				bringing capital to qualified	
Opportunity	Enhance Info	New Criteria -		The CDE has a track record of	No action necessary. There is
Finance	Collected	Business		"trailblazing" projects that are the	already ample opportunity in the
Network		Strategy		first to bring new investment into	Application to discuss, and get
				opportunities that other miss and	credit for, Applicant track records
				would likely use its NMTC	of "trailblazing" in Q20(c) and
				allocation for the same kinds of	Q21(c). No action or response
				projects. This criterion would	required.
0 + -	Follows 1.6	Name Only	056	allocate NMTCs to CDFs that	0
Costal	Enhance Info	New Criteria -	Q56	We suggest that a more	Comment accepted. The CDFI
Enterprises	Collected	Capitalization		meaningful question for the CDFI	Fund has added a sub-question
Inc.		Strategy		fund and application reviewers	bullet point to illicit this
				might be worded along the lines	information in Q56 (Q55 in the
				of "How are Applicant's fees and	2007 Application.
				fee structure facilitating the maximization of the positive	
				··налинганоп от те positive	

Author	Topic	Sub-Topic	Q(s) #	Comments	Resolution
Costal Enterprises Inc.	Enhance Info Collected	New Criteria - Community Impact	Q29	We believe the limitation for QALICBs to actually become SBA HUB Zone-certified should be dropped and additional criteria addressing the need soft rural areas should be added.	Comment partially accepted. The CDFI Fund is not reintroducing SBA Hub Zones as an item on the Q29. This was included in the early rounds of the program, but this designation was not sufficiently narrow to limit it to areas of higher distress. Instead, in an effort to target
Costal Enterprises Inc.	Enhance Info Collected	New Criteria - Community Impact	Q33	We suggest that this section be worded to allow for more qualitative indicators that often tell more about the real-world impacts than the numbers might represent.	No action necessary. There is already plenty of room in Q33 to discuss impacts in qualitative terms. The question specifically asks the Applicant to also be sure to include quantification of the impacts. Without such instruction, Applicant's tend to
Costal Enterprises Inc.	Enhance Info Collected	New Criteria - Community Impact	Q54	We suggest adding a bullet that asks for information on the qualitative economic benefits shared amongst the various entities noted.	No action necessary. The question is fundamentally a quantitative question. There is opportunity to discuss qualitative economic benefits in the
Opportunity Finance Network	Enhance Info Collected	New Criteria - Community Impact		Awarding "priority points" in the community impact section of the application to CDEs with a strong focus on and track record of stimulating new investment.	Community Impact section. No Comment not accepted. The CDFI Fund only provides priority points for two areas: track record of serving disadvantaged businesses and communities; and commitment for making investments in unrelated entities. Both of these are mandated by statute. The CDFI Fund has chosen not to include extastatutory priority points. That
Opportunity Finance Network	Enhance Info Collected	New Criteria - Community Impact		The CDE's projects would create jobs to be filled by residents of the low-income community and/or provide space for locally-owned, minority or women owned businesses or nonprofit tenants, demonstrating true	the Community Impact section already asks and evaluates these questions. No action or response required.
Novogradac	Enhance Info Collected	New Criteria - Priority Points		We also believe the amount of priority points given for the statutory preferences in IRC 45D (f)(2) are too high compared with the total points in the Business strategy section. We recommend that the points be lowered to 5 total for applicants that satisfy either statutory preference rather than 5 points each.	Comment not accepted. The commenter appears to not fully understand the Allocation Application scoring. The Priority Points are not a part of the Business Strategy section, thus the total points of priority points relative to the total point of the Business Strategy section is irrelevant. For final ranking of applications purposes, only half of the total Priority Points are added to the Business Strategy and Community Impact section scores, thus seemingly mitigating the commenter's concern. Additionally, because the

Author	Topic	Sub-Topic	Q(s) #	Comments	Resolution
Author Novogradac Novogradac	Enhance Info Collected  Enhance Info Collected	Redundancy amoung application questions  Revise predom. financing	Qs 9, 32, 33 & 57	Comments  We believe that the following questions are redundant and could be combined or removed: Q. 9 and 57 ask for the same information about the Applicant's intention to transfer any portion of its NMTC allocation to one or more subsidiary entities. Q. 32 and Q. 33 Economic Impacts: the second bullet point of Q. 32 and Q. 33(a) appear to be asking the we believe the churces given for the Applicant to indicate its	Comment partically accepted. The CDFI Fund concurs that Q9 and Q57 are redundant and has eliminated Q9 for the 2007 Application. The second bullet point from Q32 and Q33(a), however, are quite different. Q32 asks the Applicant to
Costal	Enhance Info	activity categories	Q22'c	predominant financing activity are limiting and don't fit every business category. We recommend the Fund add an activity listed as other that the applicant can clarify if it has an We feel it is essential to capture	limiting the number and specificity of categories in Q12 it obtains, while more generalized, a more useful, meaningful and comparable data set. However, the CDFI Fund did add one sub-No action necessary. This
Enterprises Inc.	Collected	Populations		the true original intent and mission of the NMTC program to include "Disadvantage People" in	comment pertains to the Targeted Populations regulations, and related
Costal Enterprises Inc.	Enhance Info Collected	Targeted Populations		the target entities being served General suggestion: Make sure the program elements targeting areas of higher distress are compatible with the targeted populations rules. This may mean that the targeted populations provisions should be	comment accepted. An item for Targeted Populations has been added to the list of areas of higher distress in Q29.
Novogradac	Enhance Info Collected	Track Record - Exhibit A	Qs 22, 23 & Exhibit A	Q. 22 and Q. 23 We recommend that the CDFI Fund allow applicants that do not have a track record of QLICI activities to complete Exhibit A for their loans or equity investments that would not qualify as QLICI but are similar to the proposed NMTC activities. We also recommend allowing applicants to complete the tables in Exhibit A for which the applicant had not directly made equity investments or originated loans themselves. We further recommend the table be modified to include an additional	Comment not accepted. To limit confusion and obtain the clearest responses to Applicant track record questions, the CDFI Fund specifically limited the data entered into Exhibit A to QLICI type activities, and direct all other track record activities to be described and discussed in the narrative to Q23 (Q21 in the 2007 Application). The CDFI Fund has also been very clear that just because an Applicant is unable to enter data in Exhibit A, it can still receive an excellent score for its track record.
Opportunity Finance Network	N/A	"Mission Driven" Organization definition		The Fund's public relations and allocatee materials should not include municipal organizations as "mission driven" along with CDFIs and related entities, as public and municipal CDEs have distinctly different goals and accountabilities.	No action necessary. This comment is a policy issue and not an application issue. But that being said, the Fund does separately release data on the municipal organizations, so that any party interested in separating that information from the general

Author	Topic	Sub-Topic	Q(s) #	Comments	Resolution
Costal	N/A	% of QEIS in		We favor changing the 85% rule	Comment partially accepted.
Enterprises		QLICIS		provisions to allow for expanded	The first comment (changing the
Inc.		(=:0.0		reserves and allowances for debt	85% rule) relates to the IRS
				repayment in the case of QLICIs	regulations, not the CDFI Funds'
				in small and medium enterprises	application. The CDFI Fund
				as a way of stimulating the use of	concurs with the second
				the NMTC program for the needs	comment (regarding initial
				or such small and medium	placement of capital), and though
				ventures. Specifically, we	not relevant to the application,
				believe the 85% rule should be	this point will be conveyed in the
				lowered to 65% in this area	companion guidance document
				(small and medium enterprise	to the Allocation Agreement.
				loans or investments only). In all	
				cases, we recommend	
Kitsap County	N/A	CIIS and TLR		disconnecting the subject of We would like to suggest that the	No action necessary. This
NMTC		Clis and TER		Agency fill in information	comment is a CIIS/TLR issue
Facilitators				contained in the prior year's CIIS	and not an application issue. No
aomaioro				report so that the CDE does not	action or response is required.
				have to re-answer all the	action of responde to required
				guestions. Also, it would be	
				helpful if the TLR program were	
Novogradac	N/A	Clarification of	Q55	It is unclear whether an applicant	Comment accepted. Though
		existing		is required to meet the % of QEIs	not an application issue as much
		question		it designated in Q. 55 for future	as an Allocation Agreement
				reinvestment of QLICIs. For	issue, the CDFI Fund concurs
				example, if an applicant's	and will update the companion
				designated percent in 100, to	Q&A document to reflect this
				require the applicant to reinvest	position.
				100 of any return of capital is	
				unnecessarily burdensome and	
				may not be possible depending on the amount and the types of	
				available investments. This	
				requirement if causing	
				transactions that require	
				amortization of a loan to be	
				infeasible and preventing viable	
				transactions form closing. We	
				recommend that if an applicant	
Novogradac	N/A	Common		weejveejesheduledrepriedic	<b>TBD.</b> This comment is an issue
To rog. classes	IN/A	Enterprise		wording of the applicable	that will be taken under
		Litterprise		paragraphs in the NOAA and in	advisement as the 2007 NOAA is
				Q&A to the following: "This	drafted.
				prohibition, however, will not	
				apply when an investor: (I)	
				makes QEIs in one or more	
				Allocatees (or Subsidiary	
				allocatees) from the same	
				allocation round or any prior	
				rounds; (ii) was not an Affiliate of,	
				or a member of a common	
				enterprise with, any of the	
				Allocatees (or Subsidiary	
				Allocatees) prior to making the	
				QEIs except if said status arises	
	I	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	solely form making or having	

Author	Topic	Sub-Topic	Q(s) #	Comments	Resolution
Novogradac	N/A	Controlling Entity information	Qs 3,	Q. 3, Q. 30, and Q. 25 we recommend that the NMTC Allocation Application provide for either management or investment control, not both, consistent with the 2005 Allocation Agreement Q&A. In addition, the requirement that the Controlling Entity currently control the Applicant retroactively	Comment not accepted. The CDFI Fund had to tighten its rules when it learned that some organizations had idenified "Controlling Entities" that only had nominal or cursory controls over their oprations.
Novogradac	N/A	Investments in unrelated businesses	Q28	एं।१२८६ स्टिक्सिंगिस्स्वि पिस्ति the related entity test be performed before the CDE makes its initial related party equity QLICI in a QALICB. We would like to further clarify that by before we mean before the initial QLICI is made but after the QEI investor	<b>TBD.</b> This is not an application issue, per se. It is an interpretation issue. The CDFI Fund will continue to take this under advisment and will provide any re-interpretation of this provision in the companion Q&A document that accompanies the
Novogradac	N/A	Scoring and Debriefing letters		We believe that the Fund should provide more detailed information related to the scoring process and its preferences in the Application or NAA. We recommend a debriefind letter that includes the score received for each section and overall	No action necessary. This comment is a debriefing issue, not an application issue.
Kitsap County NMTC Facilitators	N/A	TLR		Allow the CDE to attach a Word document, or allow the CDE to inset comments into the TLR	No action necessary. This comment is a CIIS issue, not an application issue.
Kitsap County NMTC Facilitators	N/A	TLR Reporting Cost		regarding the interest of the cost of operation required to provide the information requested by the Fund per NMTC project of \$500. If recommendations are	No action necessary. This comment is a CIIS/TLR issue and not an application issue. No action or response is required.
Costal Enterprises Inc.	Necessity of Info	Elimination of Question	Q59	implemented then we estimate We suggest that this question be eliminated. If the CDFI Fund is interested in funding an allocatee, the best practice would appear to be to contact the potential applicant to negotiate a different amount.	Comment not accepted. The CDFI Fund deems this to be a useful question and reasonable question. Applicant's should have a strong understanding of their proposed NMTC program, such that they would know at what level it becomes economically or otherwise
Cornerstone Group	Necessity of Info	Impact Projection Methodologies and Assumptions	Qs 31, 32, 33	Certain questions on the Application require information that is not truly calculable, and require significant guesswork. Community Impact Section Q 31-33 require methodology and assumptions used to determine the fields. While important, the lack of definitive standard invites pipe dreaming and puffery. [Chris - the respondent doesn't seem to understand that we are looking not for specific pre-determined "methodologies and assumptions", but rather, we	No action necessary. The respondent doesn't appear to fully understand the Community Impact questions 31-33. While calculating community impacts can be challenging and somewhat inexact (requiring some estimation), the CDFI Fund deems it critical that Applicant's make an effort to describe and quantify their proposed community impacts. Specific pre-determined methodologies and assumptions are not required, but rather the CDFI

Author	Topic	Sub-Topic	Q(s) #	Comments	Resolution
Novogradac	Necessity of Info	Impact Projection Methodologies and Assumptions	Qs 31, 32, 33	We recommend that the Fund identify acceptable standards for calculating community impact. These standards would help Applicants more properly calculate the community impact and the Fund would receive applications with more comparable calculations.	Comment not accepted. The diversity of business strategies, product types, and geographies make it impossible to proscribe a common set of methodologies and assumptions for calculating community impacts. The methodologies and assumptions used for calculating community impacts are not compared across applications, but rather
Cornerstone Group	Solutions to Burden	Submission Process		On the deadline due to the influx of applications, please embed in the process a waiver- or exception- for the period of time that the applicant is unable to access due to the technical glitches such as overloaded	CDFI Fund already has in place a process for examining late application submissions for technical issues. That being said, applicants are also warned in several places not to wait until