

Request for System Clearance Quick Response Information System

Section A. Justification

A.1. Importance of the Information

The National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) Quick Response Information System (QRIS) consists of the Fast Response Survey System (FRSS) and the Postsecondary Education Quick Information System (PEQIS). The QRIS currently conducts surveys under OMB generic clearance 1850-0733, which expires in September 2006. This clearance request represents a request for a continuation of the current clearance conditions through September 2009. FRSS primarily conducts surveys of the elementary/secondary sector (districts, schools) and public libraries. PEQIS conducts surveys of the postsecondary education sector. FRSS and PEQIS surveys are cleared under the QRIS generic clearance. The QRIS clearance goes through the regular clearance process at OMB with a 60-day notice and a 30-day notice as part of the 120-day review period. The QRIS package describes the general scope of the surveys, their quick turnaround time, their length, size of sample, sample design, and some typical topics. Each individual FRSS or PEQIS survey goes into the clearance process with an abbreviated clearance package, justifying the particular content of the survey, describing the sample design, the timeline for the survey activities, and the questionnaire. The review period for each individual survey is approximately 45 days, including a 30-day Federal Register notice period. OMB will provide comments as soon after the end of the 30-day notice period as possible. *This generic clearance request is for surveys of state education agencies, school districts, schools, postsecondary institutions, and libraries. Surveys of teachers, students, commercial establishments, and households are not included in this request.*

The Fast Response Survey System (FRSS), established in 1975, and the Postsecondary Education Quick Information System (PEQIS), established in 1991, are operated by the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education, to meet the data needs of Department of Education officials and other government officials with education data needs (e.g., the Department of Agriculture or the National Endowment for the

Arts). NCES is authorized to conduct these surveys under Part C, Section 153(a) of the Education Sciences Reform Act of 2002 (P.L. 107-279), which states that the purpose of NCES is “to collect, report, analyze, and disseminate statistical data related to education in the United States and in other nations.” FRSS and PEQIS, referred to in their combined form as the Quick Response Information System (QRIS), provide information that is needed quickly and that cannot be collected through traditional NCES surveys, either because the topic of interest is not covered by current surveys or because of time constraints. NCES receives requests for data to help formulate policy; to make legislative, budgetary, and planning decisions for existing programs; and to develop new programs. Findings from QRIS surveys may be included in Congressional reports, testimony to Congressional subcommittees, and Department of Education reports. The findings may also be used by state and local education officials.

FRSS is designed to conduct brief surveys of state education agencies (SEAs), public school districts, public and private elementary and secondary schools, and school and public libraries. A nationally representative probability sample of the appropriate respondent group is selected for each FRSS survey. The typical sample size for an FRSS survey of districts or schools is 1,000-1,200 respondents. Depending on the survey topic, samples are either nationally representative general purpose probability-proportionate-to-size (PPS) samples selected from the appropriate NCES sampling frame (e.g., the Common Core of Data district or school frames, the public library frame), or nationally representative special purpose samples tailored to the specific needs of a survey (e.g., districts with Title I funding or schools with education partnerships). FRSS sampling approaches are discussed in more detail in Section B.

PEQIS is designed to conduct brief surveys of postsecondary education institutions or state higher education agencies. Most PEQIS surveys of postsecondary education institutions use the PEQIS panel, which is a nationally representative sample of approximately 1,600 2-year, 4-year, and graduate level postsecondary institutions in the United States that agreed to participate in the PEQIS system (see Section B for additional information about the PEQIS panel). The panel consists of all types of Title IV degree-granting postsecondary institutions at the 2-year, 4-year, and graduate levels, including universities, baccalaureate colleges, community colleges, technical schools, graduate and professional schools, and nursing and health science schools. PEQIS can also include a supplementary

sample of less-than-2-year or non-degree-granting postsecondary institutions when required for a particular survey. These institutions were not included in the basic PEQIS panel because of the great volatility of these types of institutions. These institutions, many of which are proprietary, open and close at a much faster rate than the types of postsecondary institutions included in the PEQIS panel. Thus, NCES decided that when a survey was requested through PEQIS that included less-than-2-year or non-degree-granting institutions, the most recent IPEDS Institutional Characteristics file would be used to draw an up-to-date supplementary sample of these institutions to be used for that survey. This procedure was used for the PEQIS surveys on financial aid, campus crime, and occupational programs, where obtaining information from less-than-2-year institutions was crucial. The PEQIS universe and panel are discussed in more detail in Section B. Depending on the topic of the survey, questionnaires can either be sent to all institutions in the PEQIS panel or to a subsample of the institutions, for example, 2-year institutions. Surveys can also be sent to state higher education agencies.

A.2. Purposes and Uses of the Data

QRIS is designed to conduct 5 to 10 surveys each year in response to requests from Department of Education and other government officials who have education data needs that cannot be met through other NCES surveys. For example, the FRSS surveys on Internet access in U.S. public schools provide data on a timely basis to the Secretary of Education regarding the availability and use of the Internet in public schools. Other recent FRSS surveys provided information about distance education courses for public elementary and secondary school students, and about availability of foods and opportunities for physical activity in public elementary schools. A recent PEQIS survey provided information about dual enrollment programs for high school students at postsecondary institutions. Other recent PEQIS surveys provided information about remedial education and distance education. Individual surveys typically are nonrecurring, although a few, such as the Internet access and distance education surveys, have been repeated at various intervals. In the past, QRIS surveys have typically been mail surveys with telephone followup for questionnaire nonresponse and data consistency. Beginning with surveys conducted in fall 2003, QRIS surveys integrated a Web version of the questionnaire into the data collection. FRSS has conducted over 90 surveys and PEQIS has conducted 13 surveys thus far on a variety of topics. The questionnaires

for a few of these FRSS and PEQIS surveys are attached (see appendix A), as well as a few of the recent reports (appendix B). A listing of the surveys conducted under FRSS and PEQIS is given in Exhibit 1.

A.3. Improved Information Technology

In the past, QRIS surveys have typically been mail surveys with telephone followup for questionnaire nonresponse and data consistency. Beginning with surveys conducted in fall 2003, QRIS surveys integrated a Web version of the questionnaire into the data collection. Respondents are given the option of responding on a traditional pencil and paper questionnaire, or on a Web version of the questionnaire that is accessed through the Internet. When paper versions of the questionnaire are used, they are transmitted to and from respondents by fax whenever possible. In addition, the email address for the contractor (Westat) responsible for answering respondent questions is included on the front of the questionnaire. These procedures are all designed to minimize the burden on respondents.

Exhibit 1. Summary of FRSS and PEQIS surveys and data requesters

FRSS Survey Number and Title	Sector Surveyed	Data Requester
1. Statewide Developments in Performance-Based Education, 1976	SEAs	National Institute of Education (NIE)/DHEW
2. Job Placement Services Provided by Public School Districts to High School Students, Graduates, and Dropouts, 1976	Public school districts	Office of Assistant Secretary for Education (OASE)/DHEW -- coordinated with U.S.E.S.
3. Part-time Student Financial Aid Counselors in Institutions of Higher Education, 1977	Colleges	Office of Planning, Budget and Evaluation, OE/DHEW
4. Teacher and Administrator Shortages in Public School Systems, Fall 1977	Public school districts	National Center for Education Statistics (NCES)/DHEW
5. Training Needs of Public School Administrators, Summer 1978	Public school districts	Assistant Secretary for Education/ DHEW—coordinated with American Association of School Administrators
6. Arts Education: Policies and Programs, Winter 1978-1979	SEAs	Arts Coordinator, OE/DHEW
7. School Districts Participating in Multiple Federal Programs, Winter 1978-79	Public school districts	Office of Assistant Secretary for Education (OASE)/DHEW
8. ESEA Title I Evaluation: School District Needs for Technical Assistance, 1979	Public school districts	Office of Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation (ASPE)/DHEW
9. ESEA Title I Schoolwide Projects: Eligibility and Participation, 1979	SEAs	Office of Legislation, OE/DHEW
10. Availability of Evening-Weekend Baccalaureate Degree-Credit Courses, 1980	Four-year colleges	National Council on Women's Educational Programs/DHEW
11. State Vocational Education Programs in the Arts and Related Careers, 1980	SEAs	Office of Occupational and Adult Education(OAE)/ED
12. Interactive Use of Computers for Instruction, 1980	Public school districts	Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)/ED
13. School District Perceptions of Federal Competitive Education Programs, 1981	Public school districts	School Finance Project/ED
14. Instructional Use of Computers in Public Schools, 1982	Public schools	Assistant Secretary for Educational Research and Improvement/ED
15. School District Academic Requirements and Achievement, 1982	Public school districts	National Commission on Excellence in Education/ED

- | | | |
|---|--------------------|--|
| 16. Undergraduate Teacher Education, 1982-83 | Four-year colleges | National Commission on Excellence in Education/ED |
| 17. Federal Discretionary Program Priorities for the Office of Vocational and Adult Education (OVAE), 1983-84 | SEAs | Office of Vocational and Adult Education (OVAE)/ED |

Exhibit 1. Summary of FRSS and PEQIS surveys and data requesters
(continued)

FRSS Survey Number and Title	Sector Surveyed	Data Requester
18. Teacher Preparation in the Use of Computers in Education, 1984	Four-year colleges	Assistant Secretary for Educational Research and Improvement/ED
19. Remedial/Developmental Studies in Institutions of Higher Education, 1984	Colleges	Under-Secretary of Education/ED
20. Patron Use of Computers in Public Libraries, 1984-85	Public library systems	Center for Libraries and Education Information, Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)/ED
21. School Discipline Policies and Practices, 1985	Public schools	National Council on Educational Research (NCER) through the National Institute of Education (NIE)/ED
22. Use of Volunteers in Adult Literacy, 1985	Adult literacy programs	Adult Literacy Initiative
23. High School Academic Requirements/ Initiatives, 1985	Public school districts	National Commission on Excellence in Education (NCEE)/ED
24. ECIA Chapter 1 Oversight Experience, 1986	Public school districts	Office of Research, Office of Educational Research and Improvements (OERI)/ED
25. ECIA Chapter 1 Participation of Nonpublic School Students, 1986	Public school districts	Office of Research, Office of Educational Research and Improvements (OERI)/ED
26. Teacher Perspectives of School Discipline, 1986-87	Teachers in public schools	Office of the General Counsel/ED
27. Arts and Humanities Policies of School Districts, 1987	Public school districts	National Endowment for the Arts (NEA) and National Endowment for the Humanities (NEH)
28. Library Services to Young Adults in Public Libraries, 1987	Public libraries	Office of Library Programs, Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)/ED
29a. State Survey on Substance Abuse Education, 1987	SEAs	Office of Planning, Budget and Evaluation (OPBE)/ED
29b. District Survey on Substance Abuse Education, 1987	Public school districts	Office of Planning, Budget and Evaluation (OPBE)/ED
30. State Vocational Education Policies, 1987	SEAs	Office of Planning, Budget and Evaluation (OPBE)/ED
31. Public School Early Estimates, 1987		Survey canceled by NCES
32. Principals' Perceptions of Academic Reform, 1987	Principals in public high schools	Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)/ED
33. Education Partnerships, 1988	Public schools	Private Sector Initiative (PSI)/ED
34. Use of Research and Development Resources, 1989	Public school districts	Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)/ED

- | | | |
|--|------------------|--|
| 35. Private School Early Estimates, 1988 | Private schools | National Center for Education Statistics (NCES)/ED |
| 36. Services to Children in Public Libraries, 1989 | Public libraries | Office of Library Programs, Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)/ED |

Exhibit 1. Summary of FRSS and PEQIS surveys and data requesters
(continued)

FRSS Survey Number and Title	Sector Surveyed	Data Requester
37. Survey of State Library Agencies, 1989		Survey canceled by Office of Library Programs/ED
38. Remedial Developmental Studies in Colleges, 1990	Colleges	National Center for Education Statistics (NCES)/ED
39. Office for Civil Rights Feasibility Study, 1991	Public school districts	Office for Civil Rights (OCR)/ED
40. District Survey on Safe, Drug-free, Disciplined Schools, 1991	Public school districts	National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) and Office of Planning, Budget, and Evaluation (OPBE)/ED
41. School Survey on Safe, Drug-free, Disciplined Schools, 1991	Public schools	National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) and Office of Planning, Budget, and Evaluation (OPBE)/ED
42. Teacher Survey on Safe, Drug-free, Disciplined Schools, 1991	Teachers in public schools	National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) and Office of Planning, Budget, and Evaluation (OPBE)/ED
43. Postsecondary Institutional Reporting Capability, 1991	Postsecondary institutions	National Center for Education Statistics (NCES)/ED
44. Survey on Teacher Performance Evaluations, 1993	Teachers in public elementary schools	Office of Research, Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)/ED
45. National Assessment of Vocational Education Survey of Teachers, 1992	Teachers in public secondary schools	Office of Research, Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)/ED
46. Kindergarten Teacher Survey on School Readiness, 1993	Kindergarten teachers in public schools	National Center for Education Statistics (NCES)/ED and National Education Goals Panel
47a. Public Library Services to Children, 1994	Public libraries	Office of Library Programs, Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)/ED
47b. Public Library Services to Young Adults, 1994	Public libraries	Office of Library Programs, Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)/ED
48. High School Curricular Options, 1993-94	Public high schools	National Center for Education Statistics (NCES)/ED
49. Survey of Attitudes and Expectations toward Secondary Education in the U.S., 1994	Household RDD	National Center for Education Statistics (NCES)/ED
50a. Arts Education for Elementary Schools, 1994	Public elementary schools	National Endowment for the Arts (NEA)
50b. Arts Education for Secondary Schools, 1994	Public secondary schools	National Endowment for the Arts (NEA)

51. Advanced Telecommunications in Public Elementary and Secondary Schools, 1994	Public elementary and secondary schools	Office of the Secretary/ED
---	---	----------------------------

Exhibit 1. Summary of FRSS and PEQIS surveys and data requesters
(continued)

FRSS Survey Number and Title	Sector Surveyed	Data Requester
52. Nutrition Education in U.S. Public Schools, 1995	Public elementary and secondary schools	Office of Analysis and Evaluation, Food and Consumer Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture and the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES)/ED
53. School Survey on Racial and Ethnic Classifications, 1995	Public elementary and secondary school principals	National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) and the Office for Civil Rights (OCR)/ED
54. School Education Reform, 1996	Public elementary and secondary school principals	Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI), and the Planning and Evaluation Service (PES)/ED
55. Teacher Education Reform, 1996	Public elementary and secondary school teachers	Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI), and the Planning and Evaluation Service (PES)/ED
56. Advanced Telecommunications in U.S. Private Schools, K-12, 1995	Private schools, K-12	Office of Nonpublic Education/ED
57. Advanced Telecommunications in U.S. Public Schools, K-12, 1995	Public schools, K-12	Office of the Secretary /ED
58. Parental Involvement in Public Schools, 1996	Public schools	National Center for Education Statistics (NCES)/ED
59. State Survey on Racial and Ethnic Classifications in Public Schools, 1997	Telephone survey of SEAs	National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) and the Office for Civil Rights (OCR)/ED
60. Teacher Nutrition Education, 1997	Teachers in public elementary schools	U.S. Department of Agriculture
61. Advanced telecommunications in U.S. public schools, K-12, 1996	Public elementary and secondary schools	Office of the Secretary/ED
62. Summer Migrant Education Programs, 1998	Providers of summer migrant education programs	Office of Migrant Education (OME)/ED
63. Principal/School Disciplinarian Survey on School Violence, 1997	Public elementary and secondary schools	National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) and the Planning and Evaluation Service (PES)/ED
64. Internet Access in U.S. Public Schools, Fall 1997	Public elementary and secondary schools	Office of the Secretary/ED
65. Teacher Survey on Professional Development and Training, 1998	Teachers in public elementary and secondary schools	Office of the Secretary/ED
66. Programs for Adults in Public Library Outlets, 2000	Public libraries	Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI), the National Library

of Education , and the National Center for
Education Statistics (NCES)/ED

Exhibit 1. Summary of FRSS and PEQIS surveys and data requesters
(continued)

FRSS Survey Number and Title	Sector Surveyed	Data Requester
67a.Elementary Arts Education Survey, Fall 1999	Public elementary schools	Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)/ED and the National Endowment for the Arts
67b. Secondary Arts Education Survey, Fall 1999	Public secondary schools	Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)/ED and the National Endowment for the Arts
68. Advanced Telecommunications in U.S. Private Schools: 1998-1999	Private elementary and secondary schools	Office of Nonpublic Education/ED
69. Internet Access in U.S. Public Schools, Fall 1998	Public elementary and secondary schools	Office of the Secretary/ED
70. Public School Teachers Use of Advanced Telecommunications and Other Technologies in the Classroom, 1999	Teachers in public elementary and secondary schools	Office of the Secretary/ED
71. Service-Learning and Community Service, 1999	Public elementary and secondary schools	Office of the Secretary/ED
72. Vocational Programs in Secondary Schools, 1999	Public secondary schools	Office of Vocational and Adult Education (OVAE)/ED
73. Condition of Public School Facilities, 1999	Public elementary and secondary schools	Office of the Under Secretary/ED
74. Teacher Professional Development and Training in U.S. Public Schools, 1999-2000	Teachers in public elementary and secondary schools	Office of the Secretary/ED
75. Internet Access in U.S. Public Schools, Fall 1999	Public elementary and secondary schools	Office of the Secretary/ED
76. District Survey of Alternative Schools and Programs, 2000	Public school districts	Office of the Under Secretary and Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services (OSERS)/ED
77a.Elementary School Visual Arts Specialists, 2000	Visual arts specialists in public elementary schools	Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)/ED and the National Endowment for the Arts
77b. Elementary School Music Specialists, 2000	Music specialists in public elementary schools	Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)/ED and the National Endowment for the Arts
77c.Arts Survey of Elementary School Classroom Teachers, 2000	Classroom teachers in public elementary schools	Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)/ED and the National Endowment for the Arts

Exhibit 1. Summary of FRSS and PEQIS surveys and data requesters
(continued)

FRSS Survey Number and Title	Sector Surveyed	Data Requester
78. Classes that Serve Children Prior to Kindergarten, 2001	Public elementary and special education schools	Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)/ED
79. Internet Access in U.S. Public Schools, Fall 2000	Public elementary and secondary schools	Office of the Secretary/ED
80. Survey of High School Guidance Counseling, 2001	Public high schools	Office of Vocational and Adult Education (OVAE)/ED
81. Effects of Energy Needs and Expenditures on U.S. Public Schools, 2001	Public school districts	National Center for Education Statistics (NCES)/ED
82. Internet Access in U.S. Public Schools, Fall 2001	Public elementary and secondary schools	Office of the Secretary/ED
83. Internet Access in U.S. Public Schools, Fall 2002	Public elementary and secondary schools	Office of the Secretary/ED
84. Distance Education Courses for Public Elementary and Secondary School Students: 2002-03	Public school districts	Office of the Secretary/ED
85. Dual Credit and Exam-based Courses	Public high schools	Office of Vocational and Adult Education (OVAE)/ED
86. Internet Access in U.S. Public Schools, Fall 2003	Public elementary and secondary schools	Office of the Secretary/ED
87. Foods and Physical Activity in Public Elementary Schools: 2005	Public elementary schools	National Center for Education Statistics (NCES)/ED
88. Public School Principals' Perceptions of Their School Facilities: Fall 2005	Public elementary and secondary schools	National Center for Education Statistics (NCES)/ED
89. Distance Education Courses for Public Elementary and Secondary School Students: 2004-05	Public school districts	Office of the Secretary/ED
90. Internet Access in U.S. Public Schools, Fall 2005	Public elementary and secondary schools	Office of the Secretary/ED

Exhibit 1. Summary of FRSS and PEQIS surveys and data requesters
(continued)

PEQIS Survey Number and Title	Sector Surveyed	Data Requester
1. Higher Education Finances and Services, 1993	Higher education institutions	Office of Policy and Planning (OPP) and the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES)/ED
2. Deaf and Hard of Hearing Students in Postsecondary Education, 1993	Postsecondary institutions	Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services (OSERS)/ED
3. Precollegiate Programs for Disadvantaged Students at Higher Education Institutions, 1994	Higher education institutions	Office of the Under Secretary/ED
4. Financial Aid at Postsecondary Education Institutions, 1994-95	Postsecondary institutions	Office of the Under Secretary/ED
5. Survey on Distance Education Courses Offered by Higher Education Institutions, 1995	Higher education institutions	Office of Educational Research and Development (OERI)/ED
6. Survey on Remedial Education in Higher Education Institutions, 1995	Higher education institutions	Office of the Under Secretary/ED
7. Campus Crime and Security at Postsecondary Education Institutions, 1996	Postsecondary institutions	Office of Educational Research and Development (OERI)/ED
8. Students with Disabilities at Postsecondary Education Institutions, 1998	Postsecondary institutions	Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services (OSERS)/ED
9. Distance Education at Postsecondary Education Institutions, 1998	Postsecondary institutions	National Center for Education Statistics (NCES)/ED
10. Noncredit Offerings at Higher Education Institutions, 1999	Higher education institutions	Survey cancelled by NCES
11. Occupational Programs in Postsecondary Education Institutions, 1999	2-year and less-than-2-year postsecondary institutions	Office of Vocational and Adult Education (OVAE)/ED
12. Remedial Education in Higher Education Institutions: Fall 2000	Higher education institutions	National Center for Education Statistics (NCES)/ED
13. Distance Education at Higher Education Institutions: 2000-2001	Title IV degree-granting institutions	National Center for Education Statistics (NCES)/ED

14. Dual Enrollment Programs and Courses for High School Students	Title IV degree-granting institutions	Office of Vocational and Adult Education (OVAE)/ED
15. Educational Technology in Teacher Education Programs for Initial Licensure	Title IV degree-granting institutions	Office of the Secretary/ED

A.4. Efforts to Identify Duplication

One of the criteria for QRIS is to collect only data that are not available elsewhere. Prior to the implementation of a given survey, every effort is made to determine if the requested information is available from another source. Depending on the survey, this might include contacting other federal agencies or other offices within the Department of Education, seeking input from national associations (e.g., the Council for American Private Education, the American Library Association, the American Association of Collegiate Registrars and Admissions Officers, the National Association of Student Financial Aid Administrators), or obtaining background information on a topic through searches of databases such as ERIC and Higher Education Abstracts.

A.5. Methods Used to Minimize Burden on Small Entities

Burden is minimized for all respondents by keeping the questionnaires short, restricting questions to generally available information, giving respondents the option of completing a Web version of the questionnaire on the Internet, conducting followup for nonresponse and data cleaning by telephone, and transmitting paper versions of the questionnaire by fax whenever possible. In addition, smaller institutions were sampled at a lower rate than larger institutions in the PEQIS panel, and are generally sampled at a lower rate for FRSS surveys.

A.6. Consequences of Not Collecting the Information

QRIS surveys are conducted in response to requests from Department of Education and other government officials who have education data needs that cannot be met through other NCES surveys, either because the topic is not covered by current surveys or because of time constraints. NCES receives requests for data to help formulate policy; to make legislative, budgetary, and planning decisions for existing programs; and to develop new programs. Findings from QRIS surveys may be included in Congressional reports, testimony to Congressional subcommittees, and Department of Education reports. The findings may also be used by state and local education officials. ED officials will not have the information they need if the QRIS surveys are not conducted.

A.7. Adherence to the Guidelines in 5 CFR 1320.5

Data collection will be conducted in a manner consistent with the guidelines in 5 CFR 1320.5. The only exception is that responses are requested in fewer than 30 days, following the well-developed procedures for NCES quick response surveys such as PEQIS and FRSS, which are intended to collect data quickly.

A.8. Consultations Outside NCES

Prior to the implementation of a given survey, input is sought from outside sources. Depending on the survey, this might include contacting other Federal agencies or other offices within the Department of Education, seeking input from national associations (e.g., the Council for American Private Education, the American Library Association, the American Association of Collegiate Registrars and Admissions Officers, the National Association of Student Financial Aid Administrators), or sending early drafts of questionnaires to a few potential respondents for an informal review. FRSS surveys are also reviewed by and coordinated with the Education Information Management Advisory Consortium (EIMAC) of the Council of Chief State School Officers. In addition, a pretest with 9 or fewer respondents is conducted prior to implementing the survey. Feedback from this pretest is used to be sure that the requested information is readily accessible, and that the wording and instructions in the questionnaire are clear.

A.9. Payments to Respondents

Not applicable. No payments or gifts to respondents will be made on QRIS surveys.

A.10. Assurance of Confidentiality

Data to be collected will not be released with institutional or personal identifiers attached. Data will be presented in aggregate statistical form only. A statement to this effect is included in the cover letter accompanying each questionnaire. In addition, each public use data file undergoes extensive disclosure risk analysis and is reviewed by the NCES/IES Disclosure Review Board before release.

Each respondent will be assured that all information identifying them or their school will be kept confidential in compliance with the Education Sciences Reform Act of 2002 (P.L. 107-279), which requires that no person may:

- use any individually identifiable information furnished under the provisions of this section for any purpose other than the statistical purposes for which it is supplied;
- make any publication whereby the data furnished by any particular person under this section can be identified; or
- permit anyone other than the individuals authorized by the Commissioner to examine the individual reports.

All Westat staff members working on the study are required to sign the NCES Affidavit of Nondisclosure, as well as Westat's confidentiality pledge, which appears as Exhibit 2.

A.11. Sensitive Questions

The types of questions asked on QRIS surveys are not likely to be considered sensitive. Questions usually focus on institution-level information rather than on personal information about individual teachers or students. Published data from the surveys present composite information that does not identify individual respondents.

A.12. Estimates of Response Burden

Questionnaire completion time for each survey is estimated to average 45 minutes. Information about estimated respondent burden hours and cost for QRIS surveys is summarized in Exhibit 3. If ten QRIS surveys are conducted each year, with one state survey, six school or district surveys, one library survey, and two postsecondary surveys sent to every institution in the PEQIS panel, the total respondent burden would be 6,923.25 hours. The cost to respondents is estimated to be \$25 per hour for a total cost to respondents of \$173,081 for the ten surveys (6,923.25 hours x \$25).

A.13. Estimates of Cost Burden for Collection of Information

Not applicable. Respondents will not need to purchase or maintain equipment or services to respond to QRIS surveys.

Exhibit 2. Westat confidentiality statement

WESTAT, INC.

EMPLOYEE OR CONTRACTOR'S ASSURANCE OF CONFIDENTIALITY OF SURVEY DATA

Statement of Policy

Westat is firmly committed to the principle that the confidentiality of individual data obtained through Westat surveys must be protected. This principle holds whether or not any specific guarantee of confidentiality was given at time of interview (or self-response), or whether or not there are specific contractual obligations to the client. When guarantees have been given or contractual obligations regarding confidentiality have been entered into, they may impose additional requirements which are to be adhered to strictly.

Procedures for Maintaining Confidentiality

1. All Westat employees and field workers shall sign this assurance of confidentiality. This assurance may be superseded by another assurance for a particular project.
2. Field workers shall keep completely confidential the names of respondents, all information or opinions collected in the course of interviews, and any information about respondents learned incidentally during field work. Field workers shall exercise reasonable caution to prevent access by others to survey data in their possession.
3. Unless specifically instructed otherwise for a particular project, an employee or field worker, upon encountering a respondent or information pertaining to a respondent that s/he knows personally, shall immediately terminate the activity and contact her/his supervisor for instructions.
4. Survey data containing personal identifiers in Westat offices shall be kept in a locked container or a locked room when not being used each working day in routine survey activities. Reasonable caution shall be exercised in limiting access to survey data to only those persons who are working on the specific project and who have been instructed in the applicable confidentiality requirements for that project.

Where survey data have been determined to be particularly sensitive by the Corporate Officer in charge of the project or the President of Westat, such survey data shall be kept in locked containers or in a locked room except when actually being used and attended by a staff member who has signed this pledge.

5. Ordinarily, serial numbers shall be assigned to respondents prior to creating a machine-processible record and identifiers such as name, address, and Social Security number shall not, ordinarily, be a part of the machine record. When identifiers are part of the machine data record, Westat's Manager of Data Processing shall be responsible for determining adequate confidentiality measures in consultation with the project director. When a separate file is set up containing identifiers or linkage information which could be used to identify data records, this separate file shall be kept locked up when not actually being used each day in routine survey activities.
6. When records with identifiers are to be transmitted to another party, such as for keypunching or key taping, the other party shall be informed of these procedures and shall sign an Assurance of Confidentiality form.
7. Each project director shall be responsible for ensuring that all personnel and contractors involved in handling survey data on a project are instructed in these procedures throughout the period of survey performance. When there are specific contractual obligations to the client regarding confidentiality, the project director shall develop additional procedures to comply with these obligations and shall instruct field staff, clerical staff, consultants, and any other persons who work on the project in these additional procedures. At the end of the period of survey performance, the project director shall arrange for proper storage or disposition of survey data including any particular contractual requirements for storage or disposition. When required to turn over survey data to our clients, we must provide proper safeguards to ensure confidentiality up to the time of delivery.
8. Project directors shall ensure that survey practices adhere to the provisions of the U.S. Privacy Act of 1974 with regard to surveys of individuals for the Federal Government. Project directors must ensure that procedures are established in each survey to inform each respondent of the authority for the survey, the purpose and use of the survey, the voluntary nature of the survey (where applicable) and the effects on the respondents, if any, of not responding.

PLEDGE

I hereby certify that I have carefully read and will cooperate fully with the above procedures. I will keep completely confidential all information arising from surveys concerning individual respondents to which I gain access. I will not discuss, disclose, disseminate, or provide access to survey data and identifiers except as authorized by

Westat. In addition, I will comply with any additional procedures established by Westat for a particular contract. I will devote my best efforts to ensure that there is compliance with the required procedures by personnel whom I supervise. I understand that violation of this pledge is sufficient grounds for disciplinary action, including dismissal. I also understand that violation of the privacy rights of individuals through such unauthorized discussion, disclosure, dissemination, or access may make me subject to criminal or civil penalties. I give my personal pledge that I shall abide by this assurance of confidentiality.

Signature

A.14. Estimates of Cost to the Federal Government

A typical FRSS state survey is estimated to cost the Federal government about \$100,000-\$120,000 for contractual costs, a district survey is estimated to cost about \$285,000-\$310,000 for contractual costs, a school survey is estimated to cost about \$300,000-\$330,000 for contractual costs, and a library survey is estimated to cost about \$275,000-\$300,000. A typical PEQIS institutional survey is estimated to cost the Federal government about \$300,000-\$335,000 for contractual costs. Contractual costs include the costs for survey preparation, data collection, data analysis, and report preparation and dissemination. Each survey will also entail an estimated \$30,000 in costs to the Federal Government for salaries and expenses.

Exhibit 3.
Estimates of response burden for QRIS Surveys

Sector	Sample size	Estimated response rate	Estimated number of respondents per survey	Estimated number of surveys	Respondent burden hours (@ 45 min. each)	Respondent cost (@ \$25 each)
States	51	100%	51	1	38.25	\$956
Schools or LEAs	1,000	90%	900	6	4,050.00	\$101,250
Teachers	1,400	90%	1,260	1	945.00	\$23,625
Libraries	1,000	90%	900	1	675.00	\$16,875
PEQIS panel	1,600	90%	1,440	2	2,160.00	\$54,000
Total					6,923.25	\$173,081

A.15. Changes in Burden

QRIS anticipates conducting 5 to 10 surveys a year. No change is being requested in the Information Collection Budget (ICB) estimate of burden.

A.16. Publication Plans/Time Schedule

After each survey is approved by OMB, Westat (the QRIS contractor) will mail the questionnaire to the respondents. Including in the mailing will be information about the option to complete a Web version of the survey on the Internet. About 3 weeks after mailout, Westat will begin telephone followup for nonresponse and data consistency. Data collection is scheduled for completion about 12-14 weeks after mailing for FRSS surveys and about 14-16 weeks for PEQIS surveys. See Exhibit 4 for the anticipated time schedule for QRIS institutional surveys.

Tabulations will be produced for each data item. Crosstabulations of data items will be made with selected classification variables such as instructional level and school size for FRSS surveys, and level and control of the institution for PEQIS surveys. The findings will be made available to the data requesters immediately upon receipt of tabulations from Westat, about 4 weeks after the end of data collection.

Reports of the findings will be distributed to the data requester, survey respondents, institutions in the PEQIS panel, and, upon request, to other interested individuals and organizations. Westat will draft a formal survey report 12 weeks after the tabulations have been produced. After NCES has returned final modifications of the draft, Westat will prepare the report for distribution. Westat will also submit a procedural report to NCES.

A.17. Approval to Not Display Expiration Date

Not applicable. All QRIS surveys will display the expiration date for OMB approval of the information collection.

A.18. Exceptions to the Certification Statement

Not applicable. No exceptions to the certification statement are being sought.

Exhibit 4. Anticipated Time Schedule for QRIS Institutional Surveys

	Cumulative workdays			
	PEQIS		FRSS	
	From submission to IMCD/OMB	From IMCD/OMB approval	From submission to IMCD/OMB	From IMCD/OMB approval
Package to IMCD/OMB.....	0	-	0	-
Package approved by IMCD/OMB.....	30	0	30	0
Mailout.....	40	10	40	10
Followup started.....	55	25	55	25
Followup completed.....	110	80	120	90
Basic tabulations.....	130	100	140	110
Survey report - draft.....	190	160	200	170