

HUD/HHS/VA Collaborative Initiative to Help End Chronic Homelessness  
National Performance Outcomes Assessment  
Recruitment Report - June 2006  
(Cumulative Statistics for Data Processed through 06/25/2006)

		Total	Site										
			Chattanooga, TN	Chicago, IL	Columbus, OH	Denver, CO	Ft. Lauderdale, FL	Los Angeles, CA	Martinez, CA	New York City, NY	Philadelphia, PA	Portland, OR	San Francisco, CA
(A)	Number of Phase 1 Screening Forms (SC) Received	1453	77	95	93	100	53	146	479	131	72	104	103
(B)	Number Phase 1 Enrolled in CICH (per Screening Form) *	1264	61	70	86	100	53	116	451	52	72	103	100
(C)	Number of Baseline Forms Received (Phase 1 CICH Clients Enrolled)	756	53	64	80	97	53	64	54	52	69	72	98
(D)	Percent of Phase 1 Screened and enrolled into CICH (B/A)	87.0	79.2	73.7	92.5	100.0	100.0	79.5	94.2	39.7	100.0	99.0	97.1
(E)	Percent of Phase 1 enrolled into evaluation (C/B)	59.8	86.9	91.4	93.0	97.0	100.0	55.2	12.0	100.0	95.8	69.9	98.0
(F)	Percent of Phase 1 CICH Clients housed at Baseline Assessment	29.0	30.2	14.1	30.0	41.2	5.7	37.5	14.8	9.6	4.3	4.2	85.7
(G)	Percent of Phase 1 CICH Clients who are Veterans **	35.6	45.3	25.0	41.3	24.7	22.6	50.0	57.4	51.9	31.9	36.1	22.4
(H)	Number of Baseline Forms Received (Phase 2 Comparison Group Enrolled)	119	19	0	0	0	0	18	32	25	0	25	0

\* Based on positive responses to item 6A on Screening Form: Client enrolled into Collaborative Initiative Program?

\*\* Based on positive responses to item 15 on Baseline Form: Have you ever served on active duty in the US Armed Forces?

HUD/HHS/VA Collaborative Initiative to Help End Chronic Homelessness  
National Performance Outcomes Assessment  
Follow-up Rates Report [Among Phase 1 CICH Clients Only] - June 2006  
(Cumulative Statistics for Follow-up Interviews due on or before 05/25/2006)

	Total	Site										
		Chattanooga, TN	Chicago, IL	Columbus, OH	Denver, CO	Ft. Lauderdale, FL	Los Angeles, CA	Martinez, CA	New York, NY	Philadelphia, PA	Portland, OR	San Francisco, CA
<b>Follow-up (Phase 1 CICH Clients)</b>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
<b>3-Mo. N Done</b>	669	47	45	77	89	45	61	48	49	62	65	81
<b>3-Mo. N Due</b>	736	53	58	80	95	48	64	51	52	69	72	94
<b>3-Mo. FU Rate</b>	90.9	88.7	77.6	96.3	93.7	93.8	95.3	94.1	94.2	89.9	90.3	86.2
<b>6-Mo. N Done</b>	625	47	37	78	77	42	59	45	44	64	63	69
<b>6-Mo. N Due</b>	708	52	56	80	89	48	63	47	50	68	71	84
<b>6-Mo. FU Rate</b>	88.3	90.4	66.1	97.5	86.5	87.5	93.7	95.7	88.0	94.1	88.7	82.1
<b>9-Mo. N Done</b>	581	48	39	70	67	35	54	45	41	59	58	65
<b>9 Mo. N Due</b>	668	52	50	80	78	41	61	47	47	65	69	78
<b>9-Mo. FU Rate</b>	87.0	92.3	78.0	87.5	85.9	85.4	88.5	95.7	87.2	90.8	84.1	83.3
<b>12-Mo. N Done</b>	520	39	40	58	62	29	52	42	38	52	58	50
<b>12 Mo. N Due</b>	613	51	48	80	66	35	61	45	43	57	66	61
<b>12-Mo. FU Rate</b>	84.8	76.5	83.3	72.5	93.9	82.9	85.2	93.3	88.4	91.2	87.9	82.0
<b>15-Mo. N Done</b>	438	38	29	58	45	21	48	34	33	42	55	35
<b>15 Mo. N Due</b>	548	50	41	80	52	29	61	41	41	44	64	45
<b>15-Mo. FU Rate</b>	79.9	76.0	70.7	72.5	86.5	72.4	78.7	82.9	80.5	95.5	85.9	77.8
<b>18-Mo. N Done</b>	357	33	18	55	40	11	46	31	28	27	48	20
<b>18 Mo. N Due</b>	447	45	36	65	46	16	54	37	37	32	59	20
<b>18-Mo. FU Rate</b>	79.9	73.3	50.0	84.6	87.0	68.8	85.2	83.8	75.7	84.4	81.4	100.0
<b>21-Mo. N Done</b>	238	22	14	32	30	4	35	27	25	20	29	.
<b>21 Mo. N Due</b>	300	29	25	41	34	4	42	32	33	21	39	.
<b>21-Mo. FU Rate</b>	79.3	75.9	56.0	78.0	88.2	100.0	83.3	84.4	75.8	95.2	74.4	.
<b>24-Mo. N Done</b>	116	8	2	12	12	.	24	15	18	9	16	.
<b>24 Mo. N Due</b>	142	11	3	13	14	.	27	17	26	9	22	.
<b>24-Mo. FU Rate</b>	81.7	72.7	66.7	92.3	85.7	.	88.9	88.2	69.2	100.0	72.7	.

HUD/HHS/VA Collaborative Initiative to Help End Chronic Homelessness  
National Performance Outcomes Assessment  
Housing Rates Report [Among Phase 1 CICH Clients Only] - June 2006  
(Cumulative Statistics for data processed through 06/25/2006)

	Total	Site										
		Chattanooga, TN	Chicago, IL	Columbus, OH	Denver, CO	Ft. Lauderdale, FL	Los Angeles, CA	Martinez, CA	New York, NY	Philadelphia, PA	Portland, OR	San Francisco, CA
<b>Overall Housing Rates (Phase I CICH Clients)</b>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
<b>3-Mo. N Housed</b>	600	44	39	71	85	44	44	45	49	50	48	81
<b>3-Mo. Housed Rate</b>	89.3	93.6	81.3	92.2	95.5	97.8	72.1	93.8	100.0	80.6	73.8	100.0
<b>6-Mo. N Housed</b>	572	46	31	72	74	42	44	44	44	54	51	70
<b>6-Mo. Housed Rate</b>	91.2	97.9	83.8	92.3	96.1	100.0	74.6	95.7	100.0	84.4	81.0	100.0
<b>9-Mo. N Housed</b>	539	42	38	66	62	35	44	40	41	51	54	66
<b>9-Mo. Housed Rate</b>	91.7	87.5	92.7	94.3	87.3	100.0	81.5	88.9	100.0	86.4	93.1	100.0
<b>12-Mo. N Housed</b>	491	39	38	55	56	29	42	37	37	51	51	56
<b>12-Mo. Housed Rate</b>	92.3	100.0	92.7	94.8	88.9	100.0	80.8	86.0	97.4	92.7	87.9	100.0
<b>15-Mo. N Housed</b>	399	35	30	52	43	20	37	32	33	40	40	37
<b>15-Mo. Housed Rate</b>	87.9	92.1	93.8	89.7	91.5	95.2	77.1	84.2	97.1	87.0	72.7	100.0
<b>18-Mo. N Housed</b>	329	31	20	53	32	11	30	28	30	26	41	27
<b>18-Mo. Housed Rate</b>	85.7	91.2	90.9	86.9	78.0	100.0	63.8	87.5	100.0	86.7	83.7	100.0
<b>21-Mo. N Housed</b>	234	22	19	35	28	4	30	23	25	19	29	.
<b>21-Mo. Housed Rate</b>	84.5	84.6	100.0	87.5	90.3	100.0	71.4	76.7	100.0	82.6	78.4	.
<b>24-Mo. N Housed</b>	132	13	11	16	16	.	17	14	19	10	16	.
<b>24-Mo. Housed Rate</b>	83.0	86.7	100.0	84.2	94.1	.	63.0	77.8	100.0	83.3	76.2	.
<b>Veteran Housing Rates:</b>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
<b>3-Mo. N Vet Housed</b>	184	13	12	28	21	9	12	20	18	16	16	19
<b>3-Mo. N Vets</b>	219	16	14	33	24	10	19	21	19	22	19	22

(Continued)

HUD/HHS/VA Collaborative Initiative to Help End Chronic Homelessness  
National Performance Outcomes Assessment  
Housing Rates Report [Among Phase 1 CICH Clients Only] - June 2006  
(Cumulative Statistics for data processed through 06/25/2006)

	Total	Site										
		Chattanooga, TN	Chicago, IL	Columbus, OH	Denver, CO	Ft. Lauderdale, FL	Los Angeles, CA	Martinez, CA	New York, NY	Philadelphia, PA	Portland, OR	San Francisco, CA
<b>3-Mo. Vet Housed Rate</b>	84.0	81.3	85.7	84.8	87.5	90.0	63.2	95.2	94.7	72.7	84.2	86.4
<b>6-Mo. N Vet Housed</b>	173	14	9	27	19	7	15	18	16	16	16	16
<b>6-Mo. N Vets</b>	210	16	13	33	21	10	19	19	18	21	19	21
<b>6-Mo. Vet Housed</b>	82.4	87.5	69.2	81.8	90.5	70.0	78.9	94.7	88.9	76.2	84.2	76.2
<b>9-Mo. N Vet Housed</b>	166	12	10	28	16	7	15	15	17	14	16	16
<b>9-Mo. N Vets</b>	201	16	13	33	21	7	19	18	18	20	18	18
<b>9-Mo. Vet Housed Rate</b>	82.6	75.0	76.9	84.8	76.2	100.0	78.9	83.3	94.4	70.0	88.9	88.9
<b>12-Mo. N Vet Housed</b>	149	11	9	22	14	6	16	14	15	15	15	12
<b>12-Mo. N Vets</b>	186	15	11	33	17	7	19	18	17	18	17	14
<b>12-Mo. Vet Housed Rate</b>	80.1	73.3	81.8	66.7	82.4	85.7	84.2	77.8	88.2	83.3	88.2	85.7
<b>15-Mo. N Vet Housed</b>	124	10	8	22	8	4	14	13	12	13	12	8
<b>15-Mo. N Vets</b>	169	15	10	33	12	5	19	17	17	14	16	11
<b>12-Mo. Vet Housed Rate</b>	73.4	66.7	80.0	66.7	66.7	80.0	73.7	76.5	70.6	92.9	75.0	72.7
<b>18-Mo. N Vet Housed</b>	104	9	4	22	7	4	11	10	11	7	14	5
<b>18-Mo. N Vets</b>	141	14	9	30	10	4	15	14	15	9	16	5
<b>18-Mo. Vet Housed Rate</b>	73.8	64.3	44.4	73.3	70.0	100.0	73.3	71.4	73.3	77.8	87.5	100.0
<b>21-Mo. N Vet Housed</b>	73	7	5	13	6	1	11	6	10	5	9	.
<b>21-Mo. N Vets</b>	101	10	6	20	7	1	13	13	14	6	11	.
<b>21-Mo. Vet Housed Rate</b>	72.3	70.0	83.3	65.0	85.7	100.0	84.6	46.2	71.4	83.3	81.8	.
<b>24-Mo. N Vet Housed</b>	36	5	2	5	3	.	3	3	7	2	6	.
<b>24-Mo. N Vets</b>	50	6	4	7	4	.	5	6	9	2	7	.
<b>24-Mo. Vet Housed Rate</b>	72.0	83.3	50.0	71.4	75.0	.	60.0	50.0	77.8	100.0	85.7	.

HUD/HHS/VA Collaborative Initiative for Chronic Homelessness (CICH)  
National Performance Outcomes Assessment  
Missing Forms Report - Phase 1 CICH Clients) Only - June 2006  
(Number of Missing Forms and Evaluation Logs as of 06/25/2006)

	Total	Site										
		Chattanooga, TN	Chicago, IL	Columbus, OH	Denver, CO	Ft. Lauderdale, FL	Los Angeles, CA	Martinez, CA	New York City, NY	Philadelphia, PA	Portland, OR	San Francisco, CA
<b>Screening</b>	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Baseline</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>FU1</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>FU2</b>	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>FU3</b>	10	0	0	0	2	5	0	0	0	2	0	1
<b>FU4</b>	18	0	0	0	5	6	0	0	1	4	0	2
<b>FU5</b>	12	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	3	0	1
<b>FU6</b>	19	0	1	1	2	10	1	0	0	2	0	2
<b>FU7</b>	25	2	2	1	8	9	0	0	0	3	0	0
<b>Discharge</b>	26	0	1	6	1	4	1	4	1	0	5	3
<b>Hsub5</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Hsub6</b>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
<b>Hsub7</b>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
<b>Hsub8</b>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
<b>TStat5</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>TStat6</b>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
<b>TStat7</b>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
<b>TStat8</b>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

Screening - Client screening form date given on Recruitment Log and/or Baseline Form received, but missing CSF.

Baseline - One or more Follow-up forms received, but missing CBF.

Follow up - Follow-up form(s) missing and greater than 60 days past due.

Discharge - Treatment status shown as "Discharged" on one or more logs, but missing CDF.

HUD Sub - Missing HUD Subsidy entry for client for indicated quarter (e.g., Apr 2004 log for Qtr 1, July 2004 for Qtr 2., etc.)

Tx Stat - Missing Treatment Status Log entry for client for indicated quarter (e.g., Apr 2004 log for Qtr 1, July 2004 for Qtr 2., etc.)

HUD/HHS/VA Collaborative Initiative to Help End Chronic Homelessness  
National Performance Outcomes Assessment  
Follow-up Rates Report [Among Phase 2 Comparison Group Only] - June 2006  
(Cumulative Statistics for Follow-up Interviews due on or before 05/25/2006)

	Total	Site				
		Chattanooga, TN	Los Angeles, CA	Martinez, CA	New York, NY	Portland, OR
<b>Follow-up (Phase 2 Comparison Group)</b>	.	.	.	.	.	.
<b>3-Mo. N Done</b>	58	13	3	22	2	18
<b>3-Mo. N Due</b>	80	19	5	29	2	25
<b>3-Mo. FU Rate</b>	72.5	68.4	60.0	75.9	100.0	72.0
<b>6-Mo. N Done</b>	49	7	3	23	.	16
<b>6-Mo. N Due</b>	68	10	5	27	1	25
<b>6-Mo. FU Rate</b>	72.1	70.0	60.0	85.2	0.0	64.0
<b>9-Mo. N Done</b>	37	2	1	20	1	13
<b>9 Mo. N Due</b>	50	2	5	22	1	20
<b>9-Mo. FU Rate</b>	74.0	100.0	20.0	90.9	100.0	65.0
<b>12-Mo. N Done</b>	24	.	.	10	1	13
<b>12 Mo. N Due</b>	28	.	.	12	1	15
<b>12-Mo. FU Rate</b>	85.7	.	.	83.3	100.0	86.7

HUD/HHS/VA Collaborative Initiative to Help End Chronic Homelessness  
National Performance Outcomes Assessment  
Housing Rates Report [Among Phase 2 Comparison Group Only] - June 2006  
(Cumulative Statistics for data processed through 06/25/2006)

	Total	Site				
		Chattanooga, TN	Los Angeles, CA	Martinez, CA	New York, NY	Portland, OR
<b>Overall Housing Rates (Phase 2 Comparison Group)</b>	.	.	.	.	.	.
<b>3-Mo. N Housed</b>	16	1	3	7	.	5
<b>3-Mo. Housed Rate</b>	21.1	7.7	25.0	31.8	0.0	27.8
<b>6-Mo. N Housed</b>	18	1	3	9	.	5
<b>6-Mo. Housed Rate</b>	35.3	11.1	100.0	39.1	.	31.3
<b>9-Mo. N Housed</b>	20	.	1	10	1	8
<b>9-Mo. Housed Rate</b>	47.6	0.0	100.0	45.5	100.0	53.3
<b>12-Mo. N Housed</b>	12	.	.	5	1	6
<b>12-Mo. Housed Rate</b>	46.2	.	.	41.7	100.0	46.2
<b>Veteran Housing Rates:</b>	.	.	.	.	.	.
<b>3-Mo. N Vet Housed</b>	3	.	.	2	.	1
<b>3-Mo. N Vets</b>	36	8	11	7	3	7
<b>3-Mo. Vet Housed Rate</b>	8.3	0.0	0.0	28.6	0.0	14.3
<b>6-Mo. N Vet Housed</b>	5	.	.	2	.	3
<b>6-Mo. N Vets</b>	21	7	.	7	.	7
<b>6-Mo. Vet Housed</b>	23.8	0.0	.	28.6	.	42.9
<b>9-Mo. N Vet Housed</b>	4	.	.	2	.	2
<b>9-Mo. N Vets</b>	14	1	.	7	.	6
<b>9-Mo. Vet Housed Rate</b>	28.6	0.0	.	28.6	.	33.3
<b>12-Mo. N Vet Housed</b>	4	.	.	2	.	2
<b>12-Mo. N Vets</b>	9	.	.	4	.	5
<b>12-Mo. Vet Housed Rate</b>	44.4	.	.	50.0	.	40.0

HUD/HHS/VA Collaborative Initiative for Chronic Homelessness (CICH)  
 National Performance Outcomes Assessment  
 Missing Forms Report - Phase 2 Participants Only - June 2006  
 (Number of Missing Forms as of 06/25/2006)

	<b>Total</b>	<b>Site</b>				
		<b>Chattanooga, TN</b>	<b>Los Angeles, CA</b>	<b>Martinez, CA</b>	<b>New York City, NY</b>	<b>Portland, OR</b>
<b>Baseline</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>FU1</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>FU2</b>	1	1	0	0	0	0
<b>FU3</b>	2	0	0	1	0	1
<b>FU4</b>	1	0	0	0	0	1
<b>FU5</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>FU6</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0

Note: Only BL and FU forms shown, since CSF, CDF, HSub, TXstat forms are not collected for Phase 2 participants  
 Baseline - One or more Follow-up forms received, but missing CBF.  
 Follow up - Follow-up form(s) missing and greater than 60 days past due.