

SUPPORTING STATEMENT
(FI-88-86)

1. CIRCUMSTANCES NECESSITATING COLLECTION OF INFORMATION

Section 860E(e)(1) imposes a tax on the transfer of a residual interest in a REMIC to a disqualified organization. The amount of the tax is based on the present value of the remaining anticipated excess inclusions. The tax is not imposed if the transferee furnishes an affidavit that it is not a disqualified organization.

Section 860E(e)(6) imposes a tax on a pass-thru entity if a disqualified organization holds an interest in the pass-thru entity while the pass-thru entity holds a residual interest in a REMIC. The amount of tax is based on the excess inclusions allocable to the disqualified organization for the taxable year. The tax is not imposed if the holder of the interest in the pass-thru entity furnishes an affidavit that it is not a disqualified organization.

2. USE OF DATA

The transferor of the residual interest and the pass-thru holding the residual interest are required to file a form and pay the tax on the amounts. The REMIC is required to provide the present value computations to the proper parties, including the Internal Revenue Service. This information insures that the proper tax is paid. The transferor or pass-thru may require the interest holder to furnish an affidavit to ensure that the tax will not be imposed.

3. USE OF IMPROVED INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY TO REDUCE BURDEN

IRS Publications, Regulations, Notices and Letters are to be electronically enabled on an as practicable basis in accordance with the IRS Reform and Restructuring Act of 1998.

4. EFFORTS TO IDENTIFY DUPLICATION

We have attempted to eliminate duplication within the agency wherever possible.

5. METHODS TO MINIMIZE BURDEN ON SMALL BUSINESSES OR OTHER

SMALL ENTITIES

Not applicable.

6. CONSEQUENCES OF LESS FREQUENT COLLECTION ON FEDERAL PROGRAMS OR POLICY ACTIVITIES

Not applicable.

7. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES REQUIRING DATA COLLECTION TO BE INCONSISTENT WITH GUIDELINES IN 5 CFR 1320.5(d)(2)

Not applicable.

8. CONSULTATION WITH INDIVIDUALS OUTSIDE OF THE AGENCY ON AVAILABILITY OF DATA, FREQUENCY OF COLLECTION, CLARITY OF INSTRUCTIONS AND FORMS, AND DATA ELEMENTS

A Notice of Proposed Rulemaking was published in the Federal Register to provide the public a 60-day period in which to review and provide public comments relating to any aspect of the proposed regulation. A public hearing was held with respect to the NPRM. No comments were received concerning reporting requirements. The final regulation was published in the Federal Register on December 24, 1992.

In response to the Federal Register Notice (71 FR 44767), dated August 7, 2006, we received no comments during the comment period regarding FI-88-86.

9. EXPLANATION OF DECISION TO PROVIDE ANY PAYMENT OR GIFT TO RESPONDENTS

Not applicable.

10. ASSURANCE OF CONFIDENTIALITY OF RESPONSES

Generally, tax returns and tax return information are confidential as required by 26 USC 6103.

11. JUSTIFICATION OF SENSITIVE QUESTIONS

Not applicable.

12. ESTIMATED BURDEN OF INFORMATION COLLECTION

We estimate the following burdens:

Section 1.860E-2(a)(5) requires the REMIC to furnish, on request of the party responsible for the tax, information sufficient to compute the present value of the anticipated excess inclusions. It is estimated that 100 respondents will spend 1.5 hours each to prepare and furnish the information.

The burden for this requirement is 150 hours.

Sections 1.80E-2(a)(7) and 1.860E-2(b)(2) provide that the tax will not be imposed if the record holder furnishes to the pass-thru or transferor an affidavit stating that the record holder is not a disqualified party. It is estimated that 1,500 respondents will spend .25 hours each to prepare and furnish the information. The burden for this requirement is 375 hours.

Estates of the annualized cost to respondents for the hour burdens shown are not available at this time.

13. ESTIMATED TOTAL ANNUAL COST BURDEN TO RESPONDENTS

As suggested by OMB, our Federal Register Notice dated August 7, 2006, requested public comments on estimates of cost burden that are not captured in the estimates of burden hours, i.e., estimates of capital or start-up costs and costs of operation, maintenance, and purchase of services to provide information. However, we did not receive any response from taxpayers on this subject. As a result, estimates of these cost burdens are not available at this time.

14. ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED COST TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

Not applicable.

15. REASONS FOR CHANGE IN BURDEN

There is no change in the paperwork burden previously approved by OMB. We are making this submission to renew the OMB approval.

16. PLANS FOR TABULATION, STATISTICAL ANALYSIS AND PUBLICATION

Not applicable.

17. REASONS WHY DISPLAYING THE OMB EXPIRATION DATE IS

INAPPROPRIATE

We believe that displaying the OMB expiration date is inappropriate because it could cause confusion by leading taxpayers to believe that the regulation sunsets as of the expiration date. Taxpayers are not likely to be aware that the Service intends to request renewal of the OMB approval and obtain a new expiration date before the old one expires.

18. EXCEPTIONS TO THE CERTIFICATION STATEMENT ON OMB FORM 83-I

Not applicable.

Note: The following paragraph applies to all of the collections of information in this submission:

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a valid OMB control number. Books or records relating to a collection of information must be retained as long as their contents may become material in the administration of any internal revenue law. Generally, tax returns and tax return information are confidential, as required by 26 U.S.C. 6103.