

## A. D. References

- Abreu, D., and Winters, F. (1999). *Using Monetary Incentives to Reduce Attrition in the Survey of Income and Program Participation*. Paper presented at the annual conference of the American Association of Public Opinion Research.
- Biber, M., Link, M., Riccobono, J. and Siegel, P. (2000) *National Postsecondary Student Aid Study: 2000 Field Test Methodology Report* (NCES 2000-17) U.S. Department of Education. Washington, DC: National Center for Education Statistics. Retrieved 4/7/06 from <http://nces.ed.gov/pubs2000/200017.pdf>.
- Cominole, M., Siegel, P., Dudley, K., Roe, D., and Gilligan, T. *2004 National Postsecondary Student Aid Study (NPSAS:04) Full Scale Methodology Report* (NCES 2006-180). U.S. Department of Education. Washington, DC: National Center for Education Statistics.
- Couper, M., Mathiowetz, N., Singer, E. (1995). Related Households, Mail Handling and Returns to the 1990 Census. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*. 7(2):172-177.
- Curtin, R., Presser, S., and Singer, E. (2005). Changes in Telephone Survey Nonresponse over the Past Quarter Century. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 69(1): 87-98.
- Dillman, D.A. (2000). *Mail and Internet Surveys: The Tailored Design Method*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Fahimi, M. (2005, August). *Analysis of Incentive Experiment Data for NPSAS*. Paper presented at The Sixth International Conference on Social Science Methodology, Amsterdam, Netherlands.
- Goldstein, K.M., and Jennings, M.K. (2002). The Effect of Advance Letters on Cooperation in a List Sample Telephone Survey. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 66(4): 608-617.
- Groves, Fowler, Couper, Lepkowski, Singer, Tourangeau. 2004. *Survey Methodology*. Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley co.
- Heberlein, T.A., and Baumgartner, R. (1978). Factors Affecting Response Rates to Mailed Questionnaires: A Quantitative Analysis of the Published Literature. *American Sociological Review*, 43(4): 447-462.
- Kovac, M. and Markesich, J. (2002, May). *Tiered Incentive Payments: Getting the Most Bang for Your Buck*. Paper presented at the annual conference of the American Association of Public Opinion Research, St. Pete Beach, Florida.
- Leeuw, E., Hox, J., Korendijk, E., and Lensvelt-Mulders, G. (2006). *The Influence of Advance Letters on Response in Telephone Surveys: A Meta-Analysis*. Paper presented at the Second International Conference on Telephone Survey Methodology, Miami, Florida.
- Moore, D. (2001, August). *The Effect of Repetitive Token Incentives and Priority Mail on Response to Physician Surveys*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Statistical Association.

- Riccobono, J., Cominole, M., Siegel, P., Gabel, T., Link, M., and Berkner, L. (2005). *National Postsecondary Student Aid Study, 1999-2000 (NPSAS:2000) Methodology Report* (NCES 2002–152). U.S. Department of Education. Washington, DC: National Center for Education Statistics.
- Riccobono, J., Siegel, P., Cominole, M., Dudley, K., Charleston, S., and Link, M. (2005). *2004 National Postsecondary Student Aid Study (NPSAS:04) Field Test Methodology Report* (NCES 2005–02). U.S. Department of Education. Washington, DC: National Center for Education Statistics.
- Singer, E., Van Hoewyk, J., Gebler, T., Raghunathan, T., and McGonagle, K. (1999). The Effect of Incentives on Response Rates in Interviewer-Mediated Surveys. *Journal of Official Statistics*, (15)2: 217-230.
- U.S. Department of Education, Office of the Under Secretary, Policy and Program Studies Service, *The Impacts of Regular Upward Bound: Results from the Third Follow-Up Data Collection*, Washington, D.C., 2004.
- Wine, J., Cominole, M., Wheelless, S., Bryant, A., Gilligan, T., Dudley, K., and Franklin, J. (2006). *2004/06 Beginning Postsecondary Students Longitudinal Study (BPS:04/06) Field Test Methodology Report* (Forthcoming). U.S. Department of Education. Washington, DC: National Center for Education Statistics.
- Yu, J., and Cooper, H. (1983). A Quantitative Review of Research Design Effects on Response Rates to Questionnaires. *Journal of Marketing Research* 20(1) 36-44.