

**INFORMATION COLLECTION
SUPPORTING JUSTIFICATION
Passenger Equipment Safety Standards**

1. EXPLAIN THE CIRCUMSTANCES THAT MAKE THE COLLECTION OF INFORMATION NECESSARY. IDENTIFY ANY LEGAL OR ADMINISTRATIVE REQUIREMENTS THAT NECESSITATE THE COLLECTION. ATTACH A COPY OF THE APPROPRIATE SECTION OF EACH STATUTE AND REGULATION MANDATING OR AUTHORIZING THE COLLECTION OF INFORMATION.

In September 1994, the Secretary of Transportation convened a meeting of representatives from all sectors of the rail industry with the goal of enhancing rail safety. As one of the initiatives arising from this Rail Safety Summit, the Secretary announced that DOT would begin developing safety standards for rail passenger equipment over a five-year period. In November, 1994, Congress adopted the Secretary's schedule for implementing rail passenger equipment regulations and included it in the Federal Railroad Safety Authorization Act of 1994 (the Act), Pub. L. No. 103-440, 108 Stat. 4619, 4623-4624 (November 2, 1994). Section 215 of the Act, as now codified at 49 U.S.C. 20133, requires:

- (a) **MINIMUM STANDARDS.**--The Secretary of Transportation shall prescribe regulations establishing minimum standards for the safety of cars used by railroad carriers to transport passengers. Before prescribing such regulations, the Secretary shall consider--
- (1) the crashworthiness of the cars;
 - (2) interior features (including luggage restraints, seat belts, and exposed surfaces) that may affect passenger safety;
 - (3) maintenance and inspection of the cars;
 - (4) emergency response procedures and equipment; and
 - (5) any operating rules and conditions that directly affect safety not otherwise governed by regulations.

The Secretary may make applicable some or all of the standards established under this subsection to cars existing at the time the regulations are prescribed, as well as to new cars, and the Secretary shall explain in the rulemaking document the basis for making such standards applicable to existing cars.

(b) INITIAL AND FINAL REGULATIONS.—

- (1) The Secretary shall prescribe initial regulations under subsection (a) within three (3) years after the date of enactment of the Federal Railroad Safety Authorization Act of 1994. The initial regulations may exempt equipment used by tourist, historic, scenic, and excursion railroad carriers to transport passengers.
 - (2) The Secretary shall prescribe final regulations under subsection (a) within five (5) years after such date of enactment.
- (c) PERSONNEL.--The Secretary may establish within the Department of Transportation two (2) additional full-time equivalent positions beyond the number permitted under existing law to assist with the drafting, prescribing, and implementation of regulations under this section.
- (d) CONSULTATION.--In prescribing regulations, issuing orders, and making amendments under this section, the Secretary may consult with Amtrak, public authorities operating railroad passenger service, other railroad carriers transporting passengers, organizations of passengers, and organizations of employees. A consultation is not subject to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App.), but minutes of the consultation shall be placed in the public docket of the regulatory proceeding.

The Secretary of Transportation has delegated these rulemaking responsibilities to the Federal Railroad Administrator. See 49 CFR 1.49(m).

Passenger railroads offer the traveling public one of the safest forms of transportation available. However, accidents (collisions, derailments, and other occurrences) continue to take place, often as a result of factors beyond the control of the passenger railroad. Further, the rail passenger environment is rapidly changing. Worldwide, passenger equipment operating speeds are increasing. Typically, the railroad operating environment in the United States requires passenger equipment to operate commingled with very heavy and long freight trains, often over track with frequent grade crossings used by heavy highway equipment. FRA determined that it had to become more active to ensure that passenger trains continue to be designed, built, and operated with a high level of safety. A clear set of Federal safety and design standards for passenger equipment tailored to the nation's operating environment was needed to provide for the safety of future rail operations and to facilitate sound planning for those operations.

FRA's regulation, which has been in effect since July 12, 1999, establishes a set of comprehensive Federal safety standards for railroad passenger equipment, including requirements concerning equipment design and performance criteria related to passenger

and crew survivability in the event of a passenger train accident; the inspection, testing, and maintenance of passenger equipment; and the safe operation of passenger train service. The purpose of this final rule is to clarify and amend its existing regulations in an effort to address various mechanical issues relevant to the manufacture, efficient utilization, and safe operation of passenger equipment and trains that have arisen since FRA's original issuance of the Passenger Equipment Safety Standards. The miscellaneous amendments to its existing regulations concentrate on the following five areas: (1) They clarify the terminology related to piston travel indicators; (2) They provide alternative design and additional inspection criteria for new passenger equipment not designed to allow inspection of the application and release of the brakes from outside the equipment; (3) They permit some latitude in the use of passenger equipment with redundant air compressors when a limited number of the compressors become inoperative; (4) They recognize current locomotive manufacturing techniques by proposing an alternative pneumatic pressure test for main reservoirs; and (5) They add provisions to ensure the proper securement of unattended equipment. FRA is also clarifying the existing regulatory requirements related to the attachment of safety appliances, and is mandating an identification and inspection protocol to address existing passenger equipment containing welded safety appliances or welded safety appliance brackets or supports. Finally, FRA is amending the regulations to permit railroads the ability to apply out-of-service credit to certain periodic maintenance requirements related to passenger equipment.

2. INDICATE HOW, BY WHOM, AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE THE INFORMATION IS TO BE USED. EXCEPT FOR A NEW COLLECTION, INDICATE THE ACTUAL USE THE AGENCY HAS MADE OF THE INFORMATION RECEIVED FROM THE CURRENT COLLECTION.

FRA will use the information collected from these requirements to enforce compliance with Federal safety regulations. In particular, FRA will review the information collected from the new requirements relating to passenger equipment to ensure that all new and existing safety appliances directly attached to the equipment by welding are properly identified, inspected, and handled according to §238.229 and §238.230 so as to reduce the risk of accidents/incidents and corresponding casualties that result from safety appliances failing while in use.

FRA Motive, Power, and Equipment (MP& E) Inspectors and State Equipment Inspectors use Special Notices for Repair and the responses they generate to ensure that railroad passenger equipment is in serviceable condition and fully complies with the safety standards set forth in this Part. Upon receipt of such a notice, railroads are obligated to remove the designated passenger equipment found not to be in serviceable condition and restore this equipment so that it fully complies with all the applicable requirements of Part 238. When the equipment is returned to service, railroads must notify the appropriate FRA Regional Administrator, specifying the repairs which have

been completed.

Information regarding commuter or passenger trains in consists with defective equipment – whether power brake or other than power brake defects – found during a Class I or Class IA brake test are used by railroads and by FRA to track defective equipment and to ensure the safe movement of trains and equipment. Such equipment can only be moved if certain conditions are met. For example, such equipment must be tagged or, in lieu of tagging, placed in an FRA approved automated tracking system. For passenger equipment that develops defects en route, the crew member-in-charge and subsequently all crew members must be notified by the qualified maintenance person of the presence of the defective condition(s) and the maximum speed and other restrictions under which it is safe to move the train. This information – tagging, use of automated tracking systems, and notification of crew members – enables railroad employees (train crews, maintenance workers, roadway workers, etc.) to take appropriate safety measures both to reduce the likelihood of accident/incidents (e.g., derailments, collisions) and to protect themselves from serious personal injuries.

FRA reviews waiver petitions to determine whether it is safe and in the public interest to grant exceptions to any of the requirements of this rule. FRA reviews petitions for special approval of alternative standards, and alternative compliance to evaluate railroads' proposals concerning passenger equipment that they believe may be more suitable to the service environment in which they operate. FRA carefully reviews these petitions and accompanying data and analyses, as well as any pertinent comments, and approves them only if safety levels equivalent to agency standards are met. Petitions that are approved provide flexibility to railroads in meeting the requirements set forth in Part 238.

In general, FRA requires railroads to adopt pre-revenue service testing plans to ensure the safety of their passenger equipment before it is placed in passenger service. For passenger equipment that has not been used in revenue service in the United States, railroads must submit these plans to FRA and, in the case of high speed equipment, receive FRA approval prior to placing the equipment in revenue service. The preparation and submission of test plans to FRA serves to ensure and maintain rail safety through the agency's thorough review as well as to minimize railroad costs in the long run by helping to identify potential safety hazards before they lead to personal injury and equipment damage.

FRA diligently analyzes new passenger equipment design information submitted by equipment manufacturers on behalf of railroads to ensure that fire safety considerations and features in the design of this equipment (i.e., locomotives or passenger cars being purchased by railroads) reduce the risk of personal injury to passengers and crew members in the event of fire evacuation and reduce the risk of equipment damage.

Refresher training sessions conducted for railroad employees who perform daily mechanical inspections are used by railroads to provide these employees with continual

and current information so that they are thoroughly familiar with both old and new equipment, as well as the various tasks they are required to perform as part of their jobs. Refresher training information facilitates better mechanical inspections being performed by railroad employees, resulting in the detection and correction of defective equipment. Thus, equipment conditions requiring maintenance attention are more likely to be found during mechanical inspections while the equipment is still at a maintenance or yard site where repairs can be more easily effected. Better training means troubleshooting will take less time, and also means that more maintenance will be done right the first time. Continual training then translates into greater awareness and improved job performance by railroad workers which, in turn, translates into an overall improved safety record.

FRA uses the records that railroads are required to keep to verify that railroad employees and railroad contractors performing safety-related tasks on passenger equipment are currently qualified to do so. FRA examines these records to monitor railroad operations and to ensure that unskilled or unqualified railroad workers do not perform safety-sensitive tasks on passenger equipment. Careful review of the required passenger equipment records serves both the railroads' interests and agency safety objectives.

FRA uses information submitted by railroads regarding welded safety appliances to ensure that passenger equipment equipped with a safety appliance directly attached to it by welding is safe to operate and to ensure that the involved safety appliance(s) on such equipment is inspected and handled according to the requirements contained in this regulation. FRA reviews welded repair records to ensure that necessary and proper remedial action that accords with this proposed rule's specified standards is taken by railroads regarding passenger equipment with a safety appliance bracket or support that has a defect or crack in a weld due to crash damage, improper construction, or inadequate design.

FRA reviews locomotive brake system information to ensure that hand or parking brakes on locomotives, as well as each locomotive's part and appurtenances, are inspected and necessary repairs made on an annual basis (not less frequently than every 368 days). FRA will review required air compressor information on passenger equipment to ensure that these air compressors are in effective and operative condition. Further, FRA will review this information to ensure that railroads demonstrate through verifiable data, analysis, or actual testing that the safety and integrity of a train is not compromised in any manner when MU passenger equipment is found with an inoperative or ineffective air compressor at the time of its exterior calendar day mechanical inspection and the railroad aims to keep this equipment in passenger service until the next exterior calendar day mechanical inspection (where it must be repaired or removed from passenger service).

Finally, FRA also uses – and has used – the collection of information after an incident or accident to assist its investigators in determining the cause(s) of the incident/accident, as well as possible contributing factors to the event. Specifically, FRA personnel carefully

review all records kept by the railroad(s) to examine in detail how a railroad has conducted its operations. Under this rule, FRA personnel conduct periodic spot checks to ensure that the railroads are fully complying with the provisions. Railroads are subject to civil penalties if found not to be in compliance. Collecting this information then provides data that enables FRA to accomplish its mission of promoting and ensuring safe rail transportation throughout the United States.

3. DESCRIBE WHETHER, AND TO WHAT EXTENT, THE COLLECTION OF INFORMATION INVOLVES THE USE OF AUTOMATED, ELECTRONIC, MECHANICAL, OR OTHER TECHNOLOGICAL COLLECTION TECHNIQUES OR OTHER FORMS OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, E.G. PERMITTING ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION OF RESPONSES, AND THE BASIS FOR THE DECISION FOR ADOPTING THIS MEANS OF COLLECTION. ALSO DESCRIBE ANY CONSIDERATION OF USING INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY TO REDUCE BURDEN.

FRA highly endorses and strongly encourages the railroad industry to avail itself of the latest information technology, wherever feasible, to reduce burden. For years, FRA has encouraged the use of advanced information technology, particularly electronic records. In most circumstances regarding this rule, FRA provides railroads the option of keeping information electronically. However, FRA believes that each railroad is in the best position to determine for itself the most effective and efficient method of maintaining the required information, based on its specific operation. Thus, if a railroad so chooses, it may maintain its records electronically. FRA believes permitting railroads such flexibility will serve to minimize and actually will reduce the burden costs and burden hours associated with this rule.

There are a variety of instances in the rule where FRA provides railroads the opportunity to utilize the latest information technology. Railroads have the choice, if they so desire, to maintain their records by electronic means under the following sections: 238.15(c)(2), 238.17(c)(4), 238.19(b), 238.229(k), 238.231(h)(3), 238.303(e)(17)(vi), 238.303(g)(1), 239.305(f)(1), 238.307(d)(2), 238.307(e)(1), 238.309(f)(1), 238.313(h)(1), and 238.313(j)(3). Thus, if railroads were to keep all these required records electronically, approximately 85 percent of responses would be kept electronically.

4. DESCRIBE EFFORTS TO IDENTIFY DUPLICATION. SHOW SPECIFICALLY WHY ANY SIMILAR INFORMATION ALREADY AVAILABLE CANNOT BE USED OR MODIFIED FOR USE FOR THE PURPOSES DESCRIBED IN ITEM 2 ABOVE.

To our knowledge, this information is not duplicated anywhere.

Similar data is not available from any other source.

5. IF THE COLLECTION OF INFORMATION IMPACTS SMALL BUSINESSES OR OTHER SMALL ENTITIES (ITEM 5 OF OMB FORM 83-I), DESCRIBE ANY METHODS USED TO MINIMIZE BURDEN.

Entities impacted by this rule are principally governmental jurisdictions or transit authorities, which are not small for purposes of the United States Small Business Administration (*i.e.*, no entity operates in a locality with a population of under 50,000 people). FRA does not expect that smaller commuter railroads will be affected disproportionately. The level of costs incurred by each organization should vary in proportion to the organization's size. For instance, railroads with fewer employees and passenger equipment will have lower costs associated with employee training and the inspections, testing, and maintenance of passenger equipment.

Additionally, it should be pointed out that the requirements relating to Part 238 do not apply to tourist, historic, scenic, and excursion railroads, which are invariably small businesses. Also, certain provisions of the rule do apply to private rail cars. FRA has sought to minimize the burden associated with these provisions as much as possible while considering the safety concerns associated with the use of private rail cars in passenger trains operated by railroads subject to the rule.

Finally, it should be noted that, in its Regulatory Impact Analysis (RIA) accompanying this final rule, FRA has certified that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

6. DESCRIBE THE CONSEQUENCE TO FEDERAL PROGRAM OR POLICY ACTIVITIES IF THE COLLECTION IS NOT CONDUCTED OR IS CONDUCTED LESS FREQUENTLY, AS WELL AS ANY TECHNICAL OR LEGAL OBSTACLES TO REDUCING BURDEN.

If this information were not collected or were collected less frequently, rail safety throughout the United States would be seriously jeopardized. Specifically, the number and severity of railroad accidents/incidents and accompanying injuries and fatalities might rise dramatically if FRA were unable to collect this information and thus monitor railroad operations and safety practices. Safety programs and safety oversight require timely and accessible information in order to be effective. In an increasingly complex rail operating environment, this is especially true. Passenger train exposure to accidents and the probability of other emergency situations is expected to increase with greater freight and passenger rail volumes, and the growth of the intermodal sector (mixed passenger and freight cars).

The information collected in the current rule's present requirements – and in the new rule's amended/additional requirements – is designed to mitigate such exposures and to

enhance railroad safety in a number of ways. One way is by ensuring that proper inspections, tests, and maintenance of railroad equipment are performed by appropriately trained personnel at prescribed intervals. Through such inspections tests and maintenance, passenger cars with defective safety appliances or defective power brakes or other defective components can be identified and properly handled or repaired in a safe and effective manner. This increased scrutiny will not only prevent unnecessary rail delays but will also reduce the risks of collisions, derailments, and other accidents/incidents which may cause injury or death to railroad passengers, railroad employees, and the general public. Failure to collect this information, or to do so less frequently, might mean more passenger cars operating with defective equipment that would go undetected, or more unskilled or unqualified individuals performing safety-sensitive tasks that lead to derailments, collisions, and other adverse rail events.

The advent of new technology and high speed trains makes the collection of this information more essential than ever before. Operations at higher speeds afford less margin for error than under normal operating conditions. Injuries are likely to be more severe at these speeds and casualties decidedly higher unless a safe operating environment is established, maintained, and enforced. Not conducting the collection of information or conducting it less frequently would hinder FRA in carrying out its agency mission and overseeing a vital part of its comprehensive safety program.

In sum, this collection of information advances the mission of FRA, which is to ensure, enhance, and promote safety throughout the U.S. rail system.

7. EXPLAIN ANY SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES THAT WOULD CAUSE AN INFORMATION COLLECTION TO BE CONDUCTED IN A MANNER:

-REQUIRING RESPONDENTS TO REPORT INFORMATION TO THE AGENCY MORE OFTEN THAN QUARTERLY;

-REQUIRING RESPONDENTS TO PREPARE A WRITTEN RESPONSE TO A COLLECTION OF INFORMATION IN FEWER THAN 30 DAYS AFTER RECEIPT OF IT;

-REQUIRING RESPONDENTS TO SUBMIT MORE THAN AN ORIGINAL AND TWO COPIES OF ANY DOCUMENT;

-REQUIRING RESPONDENTS TO RETAIN RECORDS, OTHER THAN HEALTH, MEDICAL, GOVERNMENT CONTRACT, GRANT-IN-AID, OR TAX RECORDS FOR MORE THAN THREE YEARS;

-IN CONNECTION WITH A STATISTICAL SURVEY, THAT IS NOT DESIGNED TO PRODUCE VALID AND RELIABLE RESULTS THAT CAN BE GENERALIZED TO THE UNIVERSE OF STUDY;

-REQUIRING THE USE OF A STATISTICAL DATA CLASSIFICATION THAT HAS NOT BEEN REVIEWED AND APPROVED BY OMB;

-THAT INCLUDES A PLEDGE OF CONFIDENTIALITY THAT IS NOT SUPPORTED BY AUTHORITY ESTABLISHED IN STATUE OR REGULATION, THAT IS NOT SUPPORTED BY DISCLOSURE AND DATA SECURITY POLICIES THAT ARE CONSISTENT WITH THE PLEDGE, OR WHICH UNNECESSARILY IMPEDES SHARING OF DATA WITH OTHER AGENCIES FOR COMPATIBLE CONFIDENTIAL USE; OR

-REQUIRING RESPONDENTS TO SUBMIT PROPRIETARY TRADE SECRET, OR OTHER CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION UNLESS THE AGENCY CAN DEMONSTRATE THAT IT HAS INSTITUTED PROCEDURES TO PROTECT THE INFORMATION'S CONFIDENTIALITY TO THE EXTENT PERMITTED BY LAW.

Under the periodic brake maintenance section (238.309), periodic tests referred to as Clean, Oil, Test, and Stenciling (COT&S) for passenger coaches and cab cars can occur in a timeframe ranging from every 736 days to every 1,476 days. Periodic COTS tests for other unpowered vehicles can occur in a timeframe from every 736 days to every 2,208 days. A record of these tests must be kept in the railroad's files, the cab of the locomotive, or a designated location in the passenger car until the next such periodic test. Consequently, some of these records will be kept up to six years (depending on the next periodic test).

Pursuant to § 238.103(b), fire safety certifications for materials in passenger equipment are kept as long as the equipment is owned by the railroad (about 20 years) and will be transferred with the equipment when sold by one railroad to another railroad.

All other information collection requirements contained in the rule are in compliance with this section.

8. **IF APPLICABLE, PROVIDE A COPY AND IDENTIFY THE DATE AND PAGE NUMBER OF PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER OF THE AGENCY'S NOTICE, REQUIRED BY 5 CFR 1320.8(d), SOLICITING COMMENTS ON THE INFORMATION COLLECTION PRIOR TO SUBMISSION TO OMB. SUMMARIZE PUBLIC COMMENTS RECEIVED IN RESPONSE TO THAT NOTICE AND DESCRIBE ACTIONS TAKEN BY THE AGENCY IN RESPONSE TO THOSE COMMENTS. SPECIFICALLY ADDRESS COMMENTS RECEIVED ON COST AND HOUR BURDEN.**

DESCRIBE EFFORTS TO CONSULT WITH PERSONS OUTSIDE THE AGENCY TO OBTAIN THEIR VIEWS ON THE AVAILABILITY OF DATA, FREQUENCY OF COLLECTION, THE CLARITY OF INSTRUCTIONS AND RECORDKEEPING, DISCLOSURE, OR REPORTING FORMAT (IF ANY), AND ON THE DATA ELEMENTS TO BE RECORDED, DISCLOSED, OR REPORTED.

CONSULTATION WITH REPRESENTATIVES OF THOSE FROM WHOM INFORMATION IS TO BE OBTAINED OR THOSE WHO MUST COMPILE RECORDS SHOULD OCCUR AT LEAST ONCE EVERY 3 YEARS--EVEN IF THE COLLECTION OF INFORMATION ACTIVITY IS THE SAME AS IN PRIOR PERIODS. THERE MAY BE CIRCUMSTANCES THAT MAY PRECLUDE CONSULTATION IN A SPECIFIC SITUATION. THESE CIRCUMSTANCES SHOULD BE EXPLAINED.

FRA published a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) in the Federal Register on December 8, 2005 (See 70 FR 73070), to solicit public and rail industry comment on the proposed regulation and the information collection requirements associated with it. FRA received comments from two parties, the Brotherhood of Railway Carmen (BRC) and American Public Transportation Association (APTA). The comments of these two parties were concentrated almost exclusively on the proposed provisions related to the attachment of safety appliances on passenger equipment. There were no comments related to burden cost and burden hour estimates or to the information collection requirements.

Background

On June 17, 1996, FRA published an Advanced Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPRM) concerning the establishment of comprehensive safety standards for railroad passenger equipment. See 61 FR 30672. The ANPRM provided background information

on the need for such standards, offered preliminary ideas on approaching passenger safety issues, and presented questions on various passenger safety topics. Following consideration of comments received on the ANPRM and advice from FRA's Passenger Equipment Working Group, FRA published a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) on September 23, 1997, to establish comprehensive safety standards for railroad passenger equipment. See 62 FR 49728. In addition to written comment on the NPRM, FRA also solicited oral comment at a public hearing held on November 21, 1997. FRA considered the comments received on the NPRM and prepared a final rule establishing safety standards for passenger equipment, which was published on May 12, 1999. See 64 FR 25540.

After publication of the final rule, interested parties filed petitions seeking FRA's reconsideration of some of the requirements contained in the final rule. These petitions generally related to the following subject areas: structural design; fire safety; training; inspection, testing, and maintenance; and movement of defective equipment. On July 3, 2000, FRA issued a response to the petitions for reconsideration relating to the inspection, testing, and maintenance of passenger equipment, the movement of defective passenger equipment, and other miscellaneous mechanical-related provisions contained in the final rule. See 65 FR 41284. On April 23, 2002 and June 25, 2002, FRA published two additional responses to the petitions for reconsideration addressing the remaining issues raised in the petitions. See 67 FR 19970, and 67 FR 42892.

Subsequent to the issuance of these responses, FRA and interested industry members began identifying various issues related to the new passenger equipment safety standards with the intent that FRA would address the issues in a second phase of the development of the regulations through FRA's Railroad Safety Advisory Committee (RSAC). On May 20, 2003, FRA presented, and the RSAC accepted, the task of reviewing existing passenger equipment safety needs and programs and recommending consideration of specific actions useful to advance the safety of rail passenger service. The RSAC established the Passenger Equipment Working Group (Working Group) to handle this task and develop recommendations for the full RSAC to consider. Due to the variety of issues involved, the Working Group established a number of smaller task forces, with specific expertise, to develop recommendations on various subject-specific issues. One of these task forces, the Mechanical Issues Task Force (Task Force), was assigned the job of identifying and developing issues and recommendations specifically related to the inspection, testing, and operation of passenger equipment as well as concerns related to the attachment of safety appliances on passenger equipment.

The Task Force met several times between 2003 and late-2005 in order to develop detailed recommendations to the full Working Group. The Task Force recommendations became the recommendations of the Working Group and the full RSAC. The RSAC did not make any recommendations regarding the proposed provisions related to the attachment of safety appliances on passenger equipment and the proposed provision involving out-of-service credit. At the October 26-27, 2004, meeting of the full Working

Group, FRA withdrew the task related to the consideration of handling the attachment of safety appliances on passenger equipment from the RSAC. FRA determined that consensus on this issue could not be reached in the RSAC process and determined that it would have to proceed with these issues on its own. Therefore, FRA developed the proposed provisions related to the attachment of safety appliances unilaterally based on its own expertise in the area and based on discussions and information developed by the Working Group and Task Force. FRA also did not seek consensus in the RSAC process for the proposed provision related to out-of service credit. This issue was addressed on FRA's own accord in response to the American Public Transportation Association's petition for rulemaking dated March 28, 2005. Thus, FRA did not seek RSAC consensus on these issues. FRA reviewed and adopted the recommendations of the full RSAC and issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) on December 8, 2005. See 70 FR 73070. Because this final rule retains all of the RSAC-recommended provisions proposed in the NPRM without change, there was no need to seek the full RSAC's approval of this final rule. Consequently, FRA proceeded to draft this final rule without further input from the RSAC.

In March 1996, FRA established the RSAC, which provides a forum for developing consensus recommendations on rulemakings and other safety program issues. The Committee includes representation from all of the agency's major customer groups, including railroads, labor organizations, suppliers and manufacturers, and other interested parties. The following groups are members of RSAC:

American Association of Private Railroad Car Owners (AARPCO)
American Association of State Highway & Transportation Officials (AASHTO)
American Public Transportation Association (APTA)
American Short Line and Regional Railroad Association (ASLRRA)
American Train Dispatchers Department/Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers (ATDD/BLE)
National Passenger Railroad Corporation (Amtrak)
Association of American Railroads (AAR)
Association of Railway Museums (ARM)
Association of State Rail Safety Managers (ASRSM)
Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers and Trainmen (BLET)
Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employees (BMWE)
Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen (BRS)
Federal Transit Administration (FTA)*
High Speed Ground Transportation Association (HSGTA)
Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees International Union
International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers
International Brotherhood of Boilermakers and Blacksmiths
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers (IBEW)
Labor Council for Latin American Advancement (LCLAA)*
League of Railway Industry Women*

National Association of Railroad Passengers (NARP)
National Association of Railway Business Women*
National Conference of Firemen and Oilers
National Railroad Construction and Maintenance Association
National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB)*
Railway Progress Institute (RPI)
Safe Travel America (STA)
Secretaria de Comunicaciones y Transporte*
Sheet Metal Workers International Association (SMWIA)
Tourist Railway Association Inc.
Transport Canada*
Transport Workers Union of America (TWUA)
Transportation Communications International Union/BRC (TCIU/BRC)
United Transportation Union (UTU)
*Indicates associate membership.

When appropriate, FRA assigns a task to RSAC, and after consideration and debate, RSAC may accept or reject the task. If accepted, RSAC establishes a working group that possesses the appropriate expertise and representation of interests to develop recommendations to FRA for action on the task. These recommendations are developed by consensus. A working group may establish one or more task forces to develop facts and options on a particular aspect of a given task. The task force then provides that information to the working group for consideration. If a working group comes to unanimous consensus on recommendations for action, the package is presented to the RSAC for a vote. If the proposal is accepted by a simple majority of the RSAC, the proposal is formally recommended to FRA. FRA then determines what action to take on the recommendation. Because FRA staff has played an active role at the working group level in discussing the issues and options and in drafting the language of the consensus proposal, FRA is often favorably inclined toward the RSAC recommendation. However, FRA is in no way bound to follow the recommendation, and the agency exercises its independent judgement on whether the recommended rule achieves the agency's regulatory goal, is soundly supported, and is in accordance with policy and legal requirements. Often, FRA varies in some respects from the RSAC recommendation in developing the actual regulatory proposal. If the working group or RSAC is unable to reach consensus on recommendations for action, FRA moves ahead to resolve the issue through traditional rulemaking proceedings.

On May 20, 2003, FRA presented, and the RSAC accepted, the task of reviewing existing passenger equipment safety needs and programs and recommending consideration of specific actions useful to advance the safety of rail passenger service. The Passenger Equipment Working Group (Working Group) was established to handle this task and develop recommendations for the full RSAC to consider. Members of the Working Group, in addition to FRA, included representatives of the following organizations:

AAR (including members from The Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway Company (BNSF), CSX Transportation, Incorporated (CSX), and Union Pacific Railroad Company (UP))
APTA (including members from Illinois Commuter Rail Corporation (METRA), Long Island Railroad (LIRR), Metro-North Railroad (MNR), Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority (SEPTA), Southern California Regional Rail Authority (SCRRA), Saint Gobian Sully NA, LDK Engineering, and Herzog Transit Services, Incorporated)
National Passenger Railroad Corporation (Amtrak)
American Association of Private Railroad Car Owners (AAPRCO)
American Association of State Highway & Transportation Officials (AASHTO)
Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers and Trainmen (BLET)
Brotherhood of Railroad Signalmen (BRS)
ENSCO Incorporated
High Speed Ground Transportation Association (HSGTA)
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers (IBEW)
National Association of Railroad Passengers (NARP)
Railway Supply Institute (RSI)
Sheet Metal Workers International Association (SMWIA)
Safe Travel America (STA)
Transportation Communications International Union/Brotherhood of Railroad Carmen (TCIU/BRC)
Transport Workers Union of America (TWUA)
United Transportation Union (UTU)

The NTSB met with the Working Group and provided staff advisors when possible. In addition, staff from U.S. DOT Volpe Center attended many of the meetings and contributed to the technical discussions. Due to the variety of issues involved, the Working Group established a number of smaller task forces, with specific expertise, to develop recommendations on various subject-specific issues. Members of the task forces included various representatives from various organizations that were part of the larger Working Group. One of these task forces, the Mechanical Issues Task Force (Task Force), was assigned the job of identifying and developing issues and recommendations specifically related to the inspection, testing, and operation of passenger equipment as well as concerns related to the attachment of safety appliances on passenger equipment.

9. EXPLAIN ANY DECISION TO PROVIDE ANY PAYMENT OR GIFT TO RESPONDENTS, OTHER THAN ENUMERATION OF CONTRACTORS OR GRANTEES.

There are no monetary payments or gifts made to respondents associated with the information collection requirements contained in this regulation.

10. DESCRIBE ANY ASSURANCE OF CONFIDENTIALITY PROVIDED TO RESPONDENTS AND THE BASIS FOR THE ASSURANCE IN STATUTE, REGULATION, OR AGENCY POLICY.

The information contained on various report forms is a matter of public record and, therefore, confidentially is not promised to any respondent.

11. PROVIDE ADDITIONAL JUSTIFICATION FOR ANY QUESTIONS OF A SENSITIVE NATURE, SUCH AS SEXUAL BEHAVIOR AND ATTITUDES, RELIGIOUS BELIEFS, AND OTHER MATTERS THAT ARE COMMONLY CONSIDERED PRIVATE. THIS JUSTIFICATION SHOULD INCLUDE THE REASONS WHY THE AGENCY CONSIDERS THE QUESTIONS NECESSARY, THE SPECIFIC USES TO BE MADE OF THE INFORMATION, THE EXPLANATION TO BE GIVEN TO PERSONS FROM WHOM THE INFORMATION IS REQUESTED, AND ANY STEPS TO BE TAKEN TO OBTAIN THEIR CONSENT.

There are no questions of a sensitive or private nature involving this regulation.

12. PROVIDE ESTIMATES OF THE HOUR BURDEN OF THE COLLECTION OF INFORMATION. THE STATEMENT SHOULD:

-INDICATE THE NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS, FREQUENCY OF RESPONSE, ANNUAL HOUR BURDEN, AND AN EXPLANATION OF HOW THE BURDEN WAS ESTIMATED. UNLESS DIRECTED TO DO SO, AGENCIES SHOULD NOT CONDUCT SPECIAL SURVEYS TO OBTAIN INFORMATION ON WHICH TO BASE HOUR BURDEN ESTIMATES. CONSULTATION WITH A SAMPLE (FEWER THAN 10) OF POTENTIAL RESPONDENTS IS DESIRABLE. IF THE HOUR BURDEN ON RESPONDENTS IS EXPECTED TO VARY WIDELY BECAUSE OF DIFFERENCES IN ACTIVITY, SIZE, OR COMPLEXITY, SHOW THE RANGE OF ESTIMATED HOUR BURDEN, AND EXPLAIN THE REASONS FOR THE VARIANCE. GENERALLY, ESTIMATES SHOULD NOT INCLUDE BURDEN HOUR FOR CUSTOMARY AND USUAL BUSINESS PRACTICES

-IF THIS REQUEST FOR APPROVAL COVERS MORE THAN ONE FORM, PROVIDE SEPARATE HOUR BURDEN ESTIMATES FOR EACH FORM AND AGGREGATE THE HOUR BURDENS IN

**ITEMS 13 OF OMB FORM 83-I.
THE COST OF CONTRACTING OUT OR PAYING OUTSIDE
PARTIES FOR INFORMATION COLLECTION ACTIVITIES
SHOULD NOT BE INCLUDED HERE. INSTEAD, THIS COST
SHOULD BE INCLUDED IN ITEM 14.**

Based on the American Association of Railroads (AAR) 2005 publication Railroad Facts, FRA has used the following labor rates for railroad average hourly wages in its cost calculations: \$70 per hour for Executives, officials, and staff assistants; \$40 per hour for professional/administrative staff; \$50 per hour for train and engine employees; and \$34 per hour for maintenance of equipment and stores workers. These rates incorporate an overhead cost of 40% .

§ 216.14 Special notice for repairs--passenger equipment.

When an FRA Motive Power and Equipment Inspector or a State Equipment Inspector determines that railroad passenger equipment is not in conformity with one or more of the requirements of the FRA Passenger Equipment Safety Standards set forth in part 238 of this chapter and that it is unsafe for further service, he or she will issue a written Special Notice to the railroad that the equipment is not in serviceable condition. The Special Notice describes the defect or defects that cause the equipment to be in unserviceable condition. After the receipt of the Special Notice, the railroad must remove the equipment from service until it is restored to serviceable condition. The equipment may not be deemed to be in serviceable condition until it complies with all applicable requirements of part 238 of this chapter. The railroad must notify in writing the FRA Regional Administrator for the FRA region in which the Special Notice was issued when the equipment is returned to service, specifying the repairs completed.

FRA estimates that approximately nine (9) Special Notice for Repairs will be issued annually which will generate nine (9) responses from the railroads informing FRA that the repairs have been completed. It is estimated that it will take approximately five (5) minutes for the railroad to prepare its response (complete Form 8) and forward it to FRA. Total annual burden for this information collection requirement is approximately one (1) hour.

Respondent Universe:	22 Railroads
Burden time per response:	5 minutes
Frequency of Response:	On occasion
Annual number of Responses:	9 forms
Annual Burden:	1 hour
Annual Cost:	\$40

Calculation: 9 forms x 5 min. = 1 hour

1 hr. x \$40 = \$40

§ 229.31 Main reservoir tests

(a)(i) Before it is placed in service, each main reservoir other than an aluminum reservoir shall be subjected to a pneumatic or hydrostatic pressure of at least 25 percent more than the maximum working pressure fixed by the chief mechanical officer. The test date, place, and pressure shall be recorded on Form FRA F 6180.49A, block eighteen.

FRA estimates that zero (0) forms will be filled out under the above requirement. Consequently, there is no burden associated with this provision.

(ii) Except as provided in paragraph (c) of this section, at intervals that do not exceed 736 calendar days, each main reservoir other than an aluminum reservoir shall be subjected to a hydrostatic pressure of at least 25 percent more than the maximum working pressure fixed by the chief mechanical officer. The test date, place, and pressure shall be recorded on Form FRA F 6180.49A, and the person performing the test and that person's supervisor shall sign the form.

FRA estimates that zero (0) forms will be filled out under the above requirement. Consequently, there is no burden associated with this provision.

(b) Except as provided in paragraph (c) of this section, each main reservoir other than an aluminum reservoir shall be hammer tested over its entire surface while the reservoir is empty at intervals that do not exceed 736 calendar days. The test date, place, and pressure shall be recorded on Form FRA F 6180.49A, and the person performing the test and that person's supervisor shall sign the form.

FRA estimates that zero (0) forms will be filled out under the above requirement. Consequently, there is no burden associated with this provision.

(c) Each aluminum main reservoir before being placed in use and at intervals that do not exceed 736 days thereafter shall be: (1) Cleaned and given a thorough visual inspection of all internal and external surfaces for evidence of defects or deterioration; and (2) Subjected to a hydrostatic pressure fixed by the chief mechanical officer, but not less than 250 p.s.i. The test date, place, and pressure shall be recorded on Form FRA F 6180.49A, and the person conducting the test and that person's supervisor shall sign the form.

FRA estimates that zero (0) forms will be filled out under the above requirement. Consequently, there is no burden associated with this provision.

§ 229.47 Emergency Brake Valve

(a) Except for locomotives with cabs designed for occupancy by only one person, each road locomotive shall be equipped with a brake pipe valve that is accessible to a member of the crew, other than the engineer, from that crew member's position in the cab. On car body type locomotives, a brake valve shall be attached to the wall adjacent to each exit end door. The words "Emergency Brake Valve" must be legibly stenciled or marked near each brake pipe valve or must be shown on an adjacent badge plate.

FRA estimates that approximately 30 locomotives will be equipped with a brake pipe valve that will need to be marked as stipulated under the above requirement. It is estimated that it will take approximately one (1) minute to legibly stencil/mark each brake pipe valve or show these words on an adjacent badge plate. Total annual burden for requirement is .one hour.

Respondent Universe:	22 Railroads
Burden time per response:	1 minute
Frequency of Response:	On occasion
Annual number of Responses:	30 stencilings/markings/badge plates
Annual Burden:	1 hour
Annual Cost:	\$34

Calculation: 30 stencilings/markings/badge plates x 1 min. = 1 hour
 1 hr. x \$34 = \$34

(b) DMU, MU, and control cab locomotives operated in road service must be equipped with an emergency brake valve that is accessible to another crew member in the passenger compartment or vestibule. The words "Emergency Brake Valve" must be legibly stenciled or marked near each valve or must be shown on an adjacent badge plate.

FRA estimates that approximately five (5) locomotives will be equipped with a brake pipe valve that will need to be marked as stipulated under the above requirement. It is estimated that it will take approximately one (1) minute to stencil/mark each brake pipe valve or show these words on an adjacent badge plate. Total annual burden for requirement is .08 hour.

Respondent Universe:	22 Railroads
Burden time per response:	1 minute
Frequency of Response:	On occasion
Annual number of Responses:	5 stencilings/markings/badge plates
Annual Burden:	.08 hour
Annual Cost:	\$3

Calculation: 5 stencilings/markings/badge plates x 1 min. = .08 hour
 .08 hr. x \$34 = \$3

Total annual burden for this entire requirement is one (1) hour (1 + .08).

§ 238.1 Purpose and scope

A railroad may request earlier application to the requirements contained in §§ 238.15, 238.17, 238.19, 238.107, 238.109, and subpart D upon written notification to FRA's Associate Administrator for Safety. Such a request must indicate the railroad's readiness and ability to comply with all of the provisions referenced in paragraph (c) introductory text of this section.

Except for paragraphs (b) and (c) of § 238.309, a railroad may specifically request earlier application of the maintenance and testing provisions contained in §§ 238.309 and 238.311 simultaneously. In order to request earlier application of these two sections, the railroad must indicate its readiness and ability to comply with all of the provisions contained in both those sections.

Since the rule went into effect on January 1, 2002, there can be no more early applications. The above provisions then do not apply. Consequently, there is no burden associated with this requirement.

§ 238.7 Waivers.

A person subject to a requirement of this part may petition the Administrator for a waiver of compliance with such requirement. The filing of such a petition does not affect the person's responsibility for compliance with that requirement while the petition is being considered. Each petition for waiver under this section must be filed in the manner and contain the information required by part 211 of this chapter.

FRA estimates that approximately five (5) waivers will be received annually under this requirement. It is estimated that it will take approximately two (2) hours for a railroad to prepare each such waiver and forward it to FRA. Total annual burden for this requirement is 10 hours.

Respondent Universe:	22 Railroads
Burden time per response:	2 hours
Frequency of Response:	On occasion
Annual number of Responses:	5 waivers
Annual Burden:	10 hours
Annual Cost:	\$400

Calculation: 5 waivers x 2 hrs. = 10 hours
10 hrs. x \$40 = \$400

§ 238.11 Penalties

(a) Any person, as defined in § 238.5, who violates any requirement of this part or causes the violation of any such requirement is subject to a civil penalty of at least \$550 and not more than \$11,000 per violation, except that: Penalties may be assessed against individuals only for willful violations, and, where a grossly negligent violation or a pattern of repeated violations has created an imminent hazard of death or injury to persons, or has caused death or injury, a penalty not to exceed \$22,000 per violation may be assessed. Each day a violation continues shall constitute a separate offense.

(b) Any person who knowingly and willfully falsifies a record or report required by this part may be subject to criminal penalties under 49 U.S.C. 21311.

FRA estimates that zero (0) records/reports would be knowingly and willfully falsified under this Part because of the serious criminal penalties attached to such an action. Consequently, there is burden associated with this requirement.

§ 238.15 Movement of passenger equipment with power brake defects.

Beginning on January 1, 2002, the following provisions of this section apply to railroads operating Tier I passenger equipment covered by this part. A railroad may request earlier application of these requirements upon written notification to FRA's Associate Administrator for Safety as provided in § 238.1(c) of this part.

Again, since the rule went into effect on January 1, 2002, there can be no more early applications. The above provision then does not apply. Consequently, there is no burden associated with this requirement.

(b) Limitations on movement of passenger equipment containing a power brake defect at the time a Class I or IA brake test is performed. Except as provided in paragraph (c) of this section (which addresses brakes that become defective en route after a Class I or IA brake test was performed), a commuter or passenger train that has in its consist passenger equipment containing a power brake defect at the time that a Class I or IA brake test (or, for Tier II trains, the equivalent) is performed may only be moved, without civil penalty liability under this part, (1) if all of the following conditions are met: (i) The train is moved for purposes of repair, without passengers; (ii) The applicable operating restrictions in paragraphs (d) and (e) of this section are observed; and (iii) The passenger equipment is tagged, or information is recorded, as prescribed in paragraph (c)(2) of this section; or (2) If the train is moved for purposes of scrapping or sale of the passenger equipment that has the power brake defect and all of the following conditions are met:

(i) The train is moved without passengers; (ii) The movement is at a speed of 15 mph or less; and (iii) The movement conforms with the railroad’s air brake or power brake instructions.

FRA estimates approximately 500 cars in consists with defective equipment will have to be tagged/carded annually (or this information can be placed in an automated tracking system) before they can be moved to the nearest repair facility. It is estimated that it will take approximately three (3) minutes per tag/card to record the required information (or place the information in an automated tracking system). Since both sides of the defective equipment must be tagged, 1,000 tags/cards would be completed. Total annual burden for this requirement is 50 hours.

Respondent Universe:	22 Railroads
Burden time per response:	3 minutes
Frequency of Response:	On occasion
Annual number of Responses:	1,000 tags/cards
Annual Burden:	50 hours
Annual Cost:	\$2,500

Calculation: 1,000 tags/cards x 3 min. = 50 hours
 50 hrs. x \$50 = \$2,500

(C) Limitations on movement of passenger equipment in passenger service that becomes defective en route after Class I or IA brake test. Passenger equipment hauled or used in service in a commuter or passenger train that develops inoperative or ineffective power brakes or any other power brake defect while en route to another location after receiving a Class I or IA brake test (or, for Tier II trains, the equivalent) may be hauled or used by a railroad for repair, without civil penalty liability under this part, if the applicable operating restrictions set forth in paragraphs (d) and (e) of this section are complied with and all of the following requisites are satisfied:

(1) *En route defect.* At the time of the train’s Class I or IA brake test, the passenger equipment in the train was properly equipped with power brakes that comply with this part. The power brakes on the passenger equipment become defective while it is en route to another location.

(2) *Record.* A tag or card is placed on both sides of the defective passenger equipment, or an automated tracking system is provided, with the following information about the defective passenger equipment:

- (i) The reporting mark and car or locomotive number;
- (ii) The name of the inspecting railroad;

- (iii) The name of the inspector;
- (iv) The inspection location and date;
- (v) The nature of each defect;
- (vi) The destination of the equipment where it will be repaired; and
- (vii) The signature, if possible, and job title of the person reporting the defective condition.

(3) *Automated tracking system.* Automated tracking systems used to meet the tagging requirements contained in paragraph (c)(2) of this section may be reviewed and monitored by FRA at any time to ensure the integrity of the system. FRA’s Associate Administrator for Safety may prohibit or revoke a railroad’s ability to utilize an automated tracking system in lieu of tagging if FRA finds that the automated tracking system is not properly secure, is inaccessible to FRA or a railroad’s employees, or fails to adequately track or monitor the movement of defective equipment. Such a determination will be made in writing and will state the basis for such action.

FRA estimates approximately 144 cars developing power brake defects en route will have to be tagged/carded annually (or this information will be placed in an automated tracking system) before they can be moved to the nearest repair facility. It is estimated that it will take approximately six (6) minutes – 3 minutes per card – to record the required information on the tags or cards (or to enter it into an automated tracking system). Since both sides of the defective equipment receive the tags/cards, a total of 288 tags/cards would be completed. Total annual burden for this requirement is 14 hours.

Respondent Universe:	22 Railroads
Burden time per response:	3 minutes
Frequency of Response:	On occasion
Annual number of Responses:	288 cards/tags
Annual Burden:	14 hours
Annual Cost:	\$700

Calculation: 288 tags/cards x 3 min. = 14 hours
 14 hrs. x \$50 = \$700

- (4) *Conditional requirement.* In addition, if an en route failure causes power brakes to be cut out or renders the brake inoperative on passenger equipment, the railroad must:
 - (i) Determine the percentage of operative power brakes in the train based on

the number of brakes known to be cut out or otherwise inoperative, using the formula specified in paragraph (d)(1) of this section;

- (ii) Notify the person responsible for the movement of the train of the percent of operative brakes and movement restrictions on the train imposed by paragraph (d) of this section;
- (iii) Notify the mechanical department of the failure; and
- (iv) Confirm the percentage of operative brakes by a walking inspection at the next location where the railroad reasonably judges that it is safe to do so.

Regarding the conditional requirement, FRA estimates that this type of event will occur approximately 144 times annually. It is estimated that it will take approximately three (3) minutes to make the required notifications. Total annual burden for this requirement is seven (7) hours.

Respondent Universe:	22 Railroads
Burden time per response:	3 minutes
Frequency of Response:	On occasion
Annual number of Responses:	144 notifications
Annual Burden:	7 hours
Annual Cost:	\$350

Calculation: 144 notifications x 3 min. = 7 hours
7 hrs. x \$50 = \$350

Total annual burden for this requirement is 71 hours (50 + 14 + 7).

§ 238.17 Movement of passenger equipment with other than power brake defects.

Beginning on January 1, 2002, the following provisions of this section apply to railroads operating Tier I passenger equipment covered by this part. A railroad may request earlier application of these requirements upon written notification to FRA's Associate Administrator for Safety as provided in § 238.1(c) of this part.

Again, since the rule went into effect on January 1, 2002, there can be no more early applications. The above provision then does not apply. Consequently, there is no burden associated with this requirement.

(b) Limitations on movement of passenger equipment containing defects found at time of calendar day inspection. Except as provided in §§ 238.303(e)(15) and (e)(17), 238.305(c) and (d), and 238.307(c)(1), passenger equipment containing a condition not in conformity

with this part at the time of its calendar day mechanical inspection may be moved from that location for repair if all of the following conditions are satisfied: (1) If the condition involves a running gear defect, the defective equipment is not used in passenger service and is moved in a non-revenue train; (2) If the condition involves a non-running gear defect, the defective equipment may be used in passenger service in a revenue train provided that a qualified maintenance person determines that it is safe to do so, and if so, the car is locked out and empty, and all movement restrictions are observed except that the car may be occupied by a member of the train crew or a railroad employee to the extent necessary to safely operate the train; (3) The requirements of paragraphs (c)(3) and (c)(4) of this section are met; and the (4) The special requirements of paragraph (e) of this section, if applicable, are met.

(c) Limitations on movement of passenger equipment that develops defects en route. Except as provided in §§ 238.303(e)(15), 238.307(c)(1), and 238.503(f), passenger equipment that develops en route to its destination, after its calendar day inspection is performed and before its next calendar day mechanical inspection is performed, any condition not in compliance with this part, other than a power brake defect, may be moved only if the railroad complies with all of the following requirements or, if applicable, the special requirements in paragraph (e) of this section:

(1) Prior to movement of equipment with a potential running gear defect, a qualified maintenance person must determine if it is safe to move the equipment in passenger service and, if so, the maximum speed and other restrictions necessary for safely conducting the movement. If appropriate, these determinations may be made based on a description of the defective condition by a crewmember. If the determinations required by this paragraph are made by an off-site qualified maintenance person based on a description of the defective equipment by on-site personnel, then a qualified maintenance person must perform a physical inspection of the defective equipment, at the first location possible, to verify the description of the defect provided by the on-site personnel.

(2) Prior to movement of equipment with a non-running gear defect, a qualified person or a qualified maintenance person must determine if it is safe to move the equipment in passenger service and, if so, the maximum speed and other restrictions necessary for safely conducting the movement. If appropriate, these determinations may be made based upon a description of the defective condition provided by the on-site personnel.

(3) Prior to movement of any defective equipment, the qualified person or qualified maintenance person must notify the crewmember in charge of the movement of the defective equipment, who in turn must inform all other crew members of the presence of the defective condition(s) and the maximum speed and other restrictions determined under paragraph (c)(1) or (c)(2) of this section. The movement must be made in conformance with such restrictions.

(4) The railroad must maintain a record of all defects reported and their subsequent repair in the defect tracking system required in § 238.19. In addition, prior to movement of the defective equipment, a tag or card placed on both sides of the defective equipment, or an automated tracking system, must record the following information about the defective equipment:

- (i) The reporting mark and car or locomotive number;
- (ii) The name of the inspecting railroad;
- (iii) The name of the inspector, inspection location, and date;
- (iv) The nature of each defect;
- (v) Movement restrictions and safety restrictions, if any;
- (vi) The destination of the equipment where it will be repaired; and
- (vii) The signature, if possible, as well as the job title and location of the person making the determination required by this section.

(5) *Automated tracking system.* Automated tracking systems used to meet the tagging requirements contained in paragraph (c)(4) of this section may be reviewed and monitored by FRA at anytime to ensure the integrity of the system. FRA's Associate Administrator for Safety may prohibit or revoke a railroad's ability to utilize an automated tracking system in lieu of tagging if FRA finds that the automated tracking system is not properly secure; is inaccessible to FRA or a railroad's employees, or fails to adequately track or monitor the movement of defective equipment. Such a determination will be made in writing and will state the basis for such action.

FRA estimates approximately 100 cars developing non-power brake defects en route will have to be tagged/carded annually (or this information will be placed in an automated tracking system) before they can be moved to the nearest repair facility. It is estimated that it will take approximately six (6) minutes – 3 minutes per card – to record the required information on the tags or cards (or to enter it into an automated tracking system). Since tags/cards need to be placed on both sides of the defective equipment, 200 tags/cards would be completed. Total annual burden for the above requirements is 10 hours.

Respondent Universe:	22 Railroads
Burden time per response:	3 minutes
Frequency of Response:	On occasion

Annual number of Responses:	200 tags/cards	
Annual Burden:		10 hours
Annual Cost:		\$340

Calculation: 200 tags/cards x 3 min. = 10 hours
10 hrs. x \$34 = \$340

(d) Special requisites for movement of passenger equipment with safety appliance defects. Consistent with 49 U.S.C. 20303, passenger equipment with a safety appliance not in compliance with this part or with part 231 of this chapter, if applicable, may be moved – (1) If necessary to effect the repair of the safety appliance; (2) From the point where the safety appliance defect was first discovered by the railroad to the nearest available location on the railroad where the necessary repairs required to bring the passenger equipment into compliance can be made or, at the option of the receiving railroad, the equipment may be received and hauled for repair to a point on the receiving railroad’s line that is no farther than the point on the delivering railroad’s line where the repair of the defect could have been made; (3) If a tag placed on both sides of the passenger equipment or an automated tracking system contains the information required under paragraph (c)(4) of this section; and (4) After notification of the crewmember in charge of the movement of the defective equipment, who in turn must inform all other crew members of the presence of the defective condition(s).

FRA estimates approximately 38 cars will have to be tagged annually (or this information will be placed in an automated tracking system) before they can be moved under the above requirement. It is estimated that it will take approximately six (6) minutes – 3 minutes per tag – to record the required information on the tag (or to enter it into an automated tracking system). Since tags need to be placed on both sides of the defective equipment, 76 tags would be completed. Total annual burden for this requirement is four (4) hours.

Respondent Universe:		22 Railroads
Burden time per response:		3 minutes
Frequency of Response:		On occasion
Annual number of Responses:	76 tags	
Annual Burden:		4 hours
Annual Cost:		\$136

Calculation: 76 tags x 3 min. = 4 hours
4 hrs. x \$34 = \$136

Furthermore, the tag will usually suffice to notify the crewmember-in-charge. For each of the 38 affected cars, the crewmember-in-charge will then notify all other crewmembers (usually by radio). FRA estimates that it will take approximately 30 seconds for this radio notification. Total annual burden for this requirement is 19

minutes.

Respondent Universe:	22 Railroads	
Burden time per response:	30 seconds	
Frequency of Response:	On occasion	
Annual number of Responses:	38 radio notifications	
Annual Burden:	19 minutes	
Annual Cost:		\$11

Calculation: 38 notifications x 30 sec. = 19 minutes (.32 hr.)
.32 hr. x \$34 = \$11

Total annual burden for this requirement is 14 hours (10 + 4 + .32).

§ 238.19 Reporting and tracking defective passenger equipment.

- (a) General. Beginning on January 1, 2002, each railroad must have in place a reporting and tracking system for passenger equipment with a defect not in conformance with this part. A railroad may request earlier application of these requirements upon written notification to FRA's Associate Administrator for Safety as provided in § 238.1 (c) of this part.

Since the rule went into effect on January 1, 2002, there can be no more early applications. The above provision then does not apply, and so there is no burden associated with the first part of this requirement.

The reporting and tracking system must record the following information:

- (1) The identification number of the defective equipment;
- (2) The date the defect was discovered;
- (3) The nature of the defect;
- (4) The determination made by a qualified person or qualified maintenance person on whether the equipment is safe to run;
- (5) The name of the qualified person or qualified maintenance person making such a determination;
- (6) Any operating restrictions placed on the equipment; and

- (7) Repairs made and the date that they were made.
- (b) Retention of records. At a minimum, each railroad must keep the records described in paragraph (a) of this section for one periodic maintenance interval for each specific type of equipment as described in the railroad's inspection, testing, and maintenance plan required by § 238.107. FRA strongly encourages railroads to keep these records for longer periods of time because they form the basis for future reliability-driven decisions concerning test and maintenance intervals that may be developed pursuant to § 238.307(b).
- (c) Availability of Records. Railroads must make defect reporting and tracking records available to FRA upon request.

Intercity and commuter railroads have had defect reporting and tracking systems which are tailored to meet the needs of their particular operations. FRA is not aware of any railroads that do not record and maintain all the information required. Since this information collection requirement was being performed by all railroads as part of their normal operations (a usual and customary practice/procedure), FRA has determined that it would not impose any additional paperwork burden.

- (d) List of power brake repair points. Railroads operating long-haul intercity and long-haul Tier II passenger equipment must designate locations, in writing, where repairs to passenger equipment with a power brake defect will be made and must provide the list to FRA's Associate Administrator for Safety and make it available to FRA for inspection and copying upon request. Railroads operating these trains must designate a sufficient number of repair locations to ensure the safe and timely repair of passenger equipment. These designations must not be changed without at least 30 days advance written notice to FRA's Associate Administrator for Safety.

This information collection requirement only affects Amtrak, which has submitted the necessary list of power brake repair points. FRA does not anticipate any changes or updates to this list over the next few years. Consequently, there is no burden associated with this requirement.

§ 238.21 Special approval procedure.

- (a) The following procedures govern consideration and action upon request for special approval of alternative standards under §§ 238.103, 238.223, 238.229, 238.309, 238.311, 238.405, or 238.427.
- (b) Petitions for special approval of alternative standard.

Each petition for special approval of an alternative standard must contain--

- (1) The name, title, address, and telephone number of the primary person to be contacted with regard to review of the petition;
- (2) The alternative proposed, in detail, to be substituted for the particular requirements of this part;
- (3) Appropriate data or analysis, or both, establishing that the alternative will provide an equivalent level of safety; and
- (4) A statement affirming that the railroad has served a copy of the petition on designated representatives of its employees, together with a list of the names and addresses of the persons served.

FRA estimates that it will receive approximately one (1) petition annually under this information collection requirement. It is estimated that it will take approximately 16 hours to prepare the petition and forward copies of it to FRA and to the designated representatives of railroad employees. Total annual burden for this requirement is 16 hours.

Respondent Universe:	22 Railroads
Burden time per response:	16 hours
Frequency of Response:	On occasion
Annual number of Responses:	1 petition
Annual Burden:	16 hours
Annual Cost:	\$640

Calculation: 1 petition x 16 hrs. = 16 hours
16 hrs. x \$40 = \$640

The following procedures govern consideration and action upon requests for special approval of alternative compliance for §§ 238.201, 238.229, or 238.230:

- (c) Petitions for special approval of alternative compliance. Each petition for special approval of alternative compliance must contain--
 - (1) The name, title, address, and telephone number of the primary person to be contacted with regard to the petition;
 - (2) The elements prescribed in §§ 238.201(b), 238.229(j)(2), and 238.203(d); and
 - (3) A statement affirming that the railroad has served a copy of the petition on designated representatives of its employees, together with a list of the names and

addresses of the persons served.

As specified under § 238.201(b), passenger equipment of special design shall be deemed to comply with this subpart (other than § 238.203) for the service environment in which the petitioner proposes to operate the equipment if the FRA Associate Administrator for Safety determines under the paragraph below (paragraph (c) of this section) that the equipment provides an equivalent level of safety in such environment with respect to the protection of its occupants from serious injury in the case of a derailment or collision. In making a determination under paragraph (c), the Associate Administrator shall consider, as a whole, all of those elements of casualty prevention or mitigation relevant to the integrity of the equipment that are addressed by the requirements of this subpart.

The Associate Administrator may only make a finding of equivalent safety and compliance with this subpart (other than § 238.203) based upon submission of data and analysis sufficient to support that determination. Each petition must also include:

- (i) The information required by § 238.21(c).
- (ii) Information, including detailed drawings and materials specifications, sufficient to describe the actual construction of the equipment of special design;
- (iii) Engineering analysis sufficient to describe the likely performance of the equipment in derailment and collision scenarios pertinent to the safety requirements for which compliance is required and for which the equipment does not conform to the specific requirements of this subpart; and
- (iv) A quantitative risk assessment, incorporating the design information and engineering analysis described in this paragraph, demonstrating that the equipment, as utilized in the service environment for which recognition is sought, presents no greater hazard of serious personal injury than equipment that conforms to the specific requirements of this subpart. Any petition made under this paragraph is subject to the procedures set forth in § 238.21, and will be disposed of in accordance with § 238.21(g).

FRA estimates that it will receive approximately one (1) petition annually for alternative compliance under this information collection requirement. It is estimated that it will take approximately 120 hours to prepare the petition (including the required engineering analysis and quantitative risk assessment) and forward copies of it to FRA and to the designated representatives of railroad employees. Total annual burden for this requirement is 120 hours.

Respondent Universe:	22 Railroads
Burden time per response:	120 hours
Frequency of Response:	On occasion

Annual number of Responses:	1 petition	
Annual Burden:		120 hours
Annual Cost:		\$4,800

Calculation: 1 petition x 120 hrs. = 120 hours
120 hrs. x \$40 = \$4,800

The following procedures govern consideration and action upon request for special approval of pre-revenue service acceptance testing plan for § 238.111:

(d) Petitions for special approval of pre-revenue service acceptance testing plan.

Each petition for special approval of a pre-revenue service acceptance testing plan must contain--

- (1) The name, title, address, and telephone number of the primary person to be contacted with regard to review of the petition; and
- (2) The elements prescribed in § 238.111.

Three copies of each petition for special approval for pre-revenue service acceptance testing plans must be submitted to the Associate Administrator for Safety, Federal Railroad Administration, 1120 Vermont Ave., N.W., Mail Stop 25, Washington, D.C. 20590.

FRA estimates that it will receive approximately two (2) petitions annually under this information collection requirement. It is estimated that it will take approximately 40 hours to prepare the petition and forward copies to FRA. Total annual burden for this requirement is 80 hours.

Respondent Universe:	22 Railroads
Burden time per response:	40 hours
Frequency of Response:	

On
occasio
n

Annual number of Responses:	2 petitions	
Annual Burden:		80 hours
Annual Cost:		\$3,200

Calculation: 2 petitions x 40 hrs. = 80 hours
 80 hrs. x \$40 = \$3,200

- (e) **Comment.** Not later than 30 days from the date of publication of a notice in the Federal Register concerning a petition under paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section, any person may comment on the petition.
- (1) Each comment must set forth specifically the basis upon which it is made, and contain a concise statement of the interest of the commenter in the proceeding. Each comment must be submitted to the DOT Central Docket Management System, Nassif Building, Room PI-401, 400 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20590, and must contain the assigned docket number for that proceeding. The form of such submission may be in written or electronic form consistent with the standards and requirements established by the Central Docket Management System and posted on its web site at <http://dms.dot.gov>

FRA estimates that it will receive approximately four (4) comments annually on petitions filed with FRA. It is estimated that it will take approximately one (1) hour to prepare the comments and forward copies to the DOT central docket facility. Total annual burden for this requirement is four (4) hours.

Respondent Universe:	Industry	Public/Railroad
Burden time per response:	1 hour	
Frequency of Response:	On occasion	
Annual number of Responses:	4 comments	
Annual Burden:		4 hours
Annual Cost:		\$280

Calculation: 4 comments x 1 hr. = 4 hours
 4 hrs. x \$70 = \$280

Total annual burden for these seven requirements is 220 hours (16 + 120 + 80 + 4).

Subpart B - Safety Planning and General Requirements

§ 238.103 Fire Safety

- (a) **Materials.** (1) Materials used in constructing a passenger car or a cab of a locomotive ordered on or after September 8, 2000, or placed in service for the first time on or after September 9, 2002, must meet the test performance criteria for flammability and smoke

emission characteristics as specified in Appendix B to this part, or alternative standards issued or recognized by an expert consensus organization after special approval of FRA under § 238.21. (2) On or after November 8, 1999, materials introduced in a passenger car or a locomotive cab, as part of any kind of rebuild, refurbishment, or overhaul of the car or cab, must meet the test performance criteria for flammability and smoke emission characteristics as specified in Appendix B to this part, or alternative standards issued or recognized by an expert consensus organization after special approval of FRA under § 238.21. (3) For purposes of complying with the requirements of this paragraph, a railroad may rely on the results of tests of material conducted in accordance with the standards and performance of criteria for flammability and smoke emission characteristics as specified in Appendix B to this part in effect on July 12, 1999, if prior to June 25, 2002, the material is (i) installed in a passenger car or locomotive; (ii) held in inventory by the railroad; or (iii) ordered by the railroad.

The burden for special approval of alternative standards is included under section 238.21. The burden for the requirements of Appendix B is included in that section.

(b) Certification. A railroad must require certification that a representative sample of combustible materials to be (i) used in constructing a passenger car or a locomotive cab, or (ii) introduced in a passenger car or a locomotive cab, as part of any kind of rebuild, refurbishment, or overhaul of the car or cab, has been tested by a recognized independent testing laboratory and that the results show the representative samples comply with the requirement of paragraph (a) of this section at the time they were tested.

(c) Procuring new passenger equipment. (1) In procuring new passenger cars and locomotives, each railroad must ensure that fire safety considerations and features in the design of this equipment reduce the risk of personal injury caused by fire to an acceptable level in its operating environment using a formal safety methodology such as MIL-STD-882. To this end, each railroad must complete a written fire safety analysis of the passenger equipment being procured. In conducting the analysis, the railroad must:

(i) Identify, analyze, and prioritize the fire hazards inherent in the design of the equipment.

(ii) Take effective steps to design the equipment and select materials which help provide sufficient fire resistance to reasonably ensure adequate time to detect a fire and safely evacuate the passengers and crewmembers, if a fire can not be prevented. Factors to consider include potential ignition sources; the type, quantity, and location of materials; and availability of rapid and safe egress to the exterior of the equipment under conditions secure from fire, smoke, and other hazards.

(iii) Reasonably ensure that a ventilation system in the equipment does not contribute to the lethality of a fire.

(iv) Identify in writing any train component that is a risk of initiating fire and which requires overheat protection. An overheat detector must be installed in any component when the analysis determines that an overheat detector is necessary.

(v) Identify in writing any unoccupied train compartment that contains equipment or material that poses a fire hazard, and analyze the benefit provided by including a fire or smoke detection system in each compartment so identified. A fire or smoke detector must be installed in any unoccupied compartments when the analysis determines that such equipment is necessary to ensure sufficient time for the safe evacuation of passengers and crew members from the train. For purposes of this section, an unoccupied train compartment means any part of the equipment structure that is not normally occupied during the operation of the train, including a closet, baggage compartment, food pantry, etc.

(vi) Determine whether any occupied or unoccupied space requires a portable fire extinguisher, and, if so, the proper type and size of the fire extinguisher for each location. As required by § 239.101 of this chapter, each passenger car is required to have a minimum of one portable fire extinguisher. If the analysis performed indicates that one or more additional portable fire extinguishers are needed, such must be installed.

(vii) On a case-by-case basis, analyze the benefit provided by including a fixed, automatic fire-suppression system in any unoccupied train compartment that contains equipment or material that poses a fire hazard, and determine the proper type and size of the automatic fire-suppression system for each such location. A fixed, automatic fire suppression system must be installed in any unoccupied compartment when the analysis determines that such equipment is practical and necessary to ensure sufficient time for the safe evacuation of passenger and crewmembers from the train.

(viii) Explain how safety issues are resolved in the design of the equipment and selection materials to reduce the risk of each fire hazard.

(ix) Describe the analysis and testing necessary to (A) demonstrate that the fire protection approach taken in the design of the equipment and selection of materials meets the fire protection requirements of this part.

Information available to FRA indicated that each operating railroad has had a distinct safety program in place that addressed most of the above information collection requirements. However, although railroads identified and analyzed fire protection issues, some railroads have not formally documented and justified design trade-offs made to minimize the risk of each fire hazard. Some analyses may not have addressed overheat protection, fire or smoke detectors, or automatic fire-suppression systems. Although many railroads may not have addressed proper type and size of fire

extinguishers, they all install fire extinguishers in at least every other passenger car. To comply with the fire protection requirements, different entities would need to conduct and document analysis in different areas.

FRA is assuming that railroads will assign the responsibility for compliance with the fire protection requirements to equipment builders. Fire safety engineers would perform most of the work needed to meet the requirements. FRA is assuming the engineering labor hours will be billed at a rate of \$120 per hour.

Below are the burden and cost estimates associated with the fire protection standards:

Document and justify design trade-offs

Labor - 80 engineering hours Cost - \$9,600

Determine the amount of time necessary to evacuate a car

FRA assumes that employees in the Professional and Administrative labor categories (\$38/hr.) will participate in time studies.

Labor - 100 people @ 4 hours each Cost - \$15,200

Additional analysis of benefits of installing certain fire protection systems

Labor - 45 engineering hours Cost - \$5,400

Total burden and labor cost per equipment design is: Burden - 300 hours/Cost - \$30,200

FRA assumes that each builder would have to spend an average of about 300 hours per type of equipment addressing the issues that they formerly did not address. Assuming that there are about five (5) potential equipment manufacturers with about two equipment types, 10 equipment designs would initially be developed for a total burden of 3,000 hours. These designs will probably be developed within the next 2.5 years. Assuming that the design development is spread uniformly through the next two-and-a-half (2.5) years, the total average annual burden for this requirement would be 1,200 hours

Respondent Universe: 5 equipment manufacturers

Burden time per response:	300 hours	
Frequency of Response:		On occasion
Annual number of Responses:	4 equip. designs (2.5 yr. average)	
Annual Burden:	1,200 hours (2.5 yr. average)	
Annual Cost:		\$120,800 (2.5 yr. average)

Calculation: Average 4 equip. designs per yr. x 300 hrs. = 1,200 hours
 \$30,200 per design x 4 designs = \$120,800

Subsequent equipment orders would likely not vary much, so the analysis and documents needed to meet the requirements would not be great. It is estimated that it would take approximately 45 engineering labor hours at a cost of approximately \$5,400 for subsequent equipment modifications. For 10 equipment designs, this amounts to a total 450 burden hours. Again, assuming that new designs are developed on an average of every 2.5 years, the total average annual burden hours for this requirement would be 180 hours.

Respondent Universe:	5 equipment manufacturers	
Burden time per response:	45 hours	
Frequency of Response:	On occasion	
Annual number of Responses:	4 equip. designs (2.5 yr. average)	
Annual Burden:	180 hours (2.5 yr. average)	
Annual Cost:		\$21,600 (2.5 yr. average)

Calculation: Average 4 equip. designs per yr. x 45 hrs.= 180 hours
 \$5,400 per design x 4 designs = \$21,600

II. Fire Protection - Existing Equipment

FRA assumes that railroads will have contractors perform the fire protection analyses. Fire engineers (\$120 per labor hour) will perform most of the work.

Costs associated with performing a fire safety analysis of existing equipment will vary from railroad to railroad. Larger railroads that operate several different types of equipment, older equipment, and/or operate in environments other than at-grade would have to expend significantly more effort performing the analyses. Thus, FRA is

grouping intercity and commuter railroads into three distinct groups (Group I - III) based on the amount of effort that would likely be required to comply with the fire safety analysis requirements. *Group I* is comprised of eight (8) relatively new and small commuter railroads that have no more than 4 models of passenger cars each and no equipment built before 1982. *Group II* is comprised of five (5) commuter railroads, all with no more than 13 models of cars operating on one type of source of power -- electric or diesel fuel. *Group III* includes eight (8) commuter railroads that have between 25 - 60 variations of models of cars -- many built as early as the 1950's -- and Amtrak which covers many distinct operating environments.

(d) The following analyses are also required:

- (1) Not later than January 10, 2001, each passenger railroad must complete a preliminary fire safety analysis for each category of existing passenger cars and locomotives and rail service.

This effort would constitute an overview of the fleet and service environments (tunnels, bridges, other elevated structures, at grade, and open cuts) together with known elements of risk. Category of rail equipment and current rail service would be determined by the railroad based on relevant fire safety risks, including:

- (i) available ignition sources (e.g. vandalism, electrical fire -- catenary, third rail, fuel tank rupture, smoking, etc.),
- (ii) presence or absence of heat/smoke detection/suppression systems (e.g. overheat detectors, sprinklers, smoke detectors, etc.),
- (iii) known variations from standards for materials, and
- (iv) availability of rapid and safe egress to the exterior of the vehicle under conditions secure from fire, smoke, and other hazards.

The mandated analyses here are one-time, and have already been fulfilled. Consequently, there is no burden associated with this requirement.

- (2) No later than July 10, 2001, each such railroad must complete a final fire safety analysis for any category of existing passenger cars and locomotives and rail service evaluated during the preliminary fire safety analysis as likely presenting an unacceptable risk of personal injury. In conducting the analysis, the railroad must consider the extent to which materials comply with the test performance criteria for flammability and smoke emission characteristics as specified in Appendix B to this part or alternative standards approved by FRA under this part.

For any category of equipment and service identified as possibly presenting unacceptable risk, a full analysis and any necessary remedial action(s) would be required within the following year.

The mandated analysis here is one-time, and has already been fulfilled. Consequently, there is no burden associated with this requirement.

(3) Not later than July 10, 2003, each railroad must complete a final fire safety analysis for all categories of existing passenger cars and locomotives and rail service. In completing this analysis, the railroad must, *as far as practicable*, determine the extent to which remaining materials comply with the test performance criteria for flammability and smoke emission characteristics as specified in Appendix B to this part or alternative standards approved by FRA under this part.

Since railroads would submit either a preliminary or final fire safety analysis to cover this requirement, FRA is assuming equipment manufacturers, on behalf of the railroads, would submit final fire safety analyses to comply with this requirement. Again, assuming that there are about five (5) potential equipment manufacturers with about one equipment types, a total of five (5) equipment designs will have been developed under the above requirement. It is estimated that it would take approximately 30 hours to complete the required fire safety analysis for all the different categories of equipment and service. For the estimated fiver (5) equipment designs then, the total annual burden would amount to 150 burden hours. *(Note: FRA estimates that railroad consultants, who earn approximately \$120 per hour, would perform the required fire safety analyses.)*

Respondent Universe:	5 equipment manufacturers/22 RRs
Burden time per response:	30 hours
Frequency of Response:	On occasion
Annual number of Responses:	5 analyses
Annual Burden:	150 hours
Annual Cost:	\$18,000

Calculation: 5 analyses x 30 hrs.= 150 hours
150 hours x \$120 = \$18,000

(4) Where possible prior to transferring existing passenger cars and locomotives to a new category of rail service, but in no case more than 90 days following such a transfer, the passenger railroad must complete a new fire safety analysis taking into consideration the change in railroad operations and must effect prompt action to reduce any identified risk to an acceptable level.

Railroads would have to complete a new fire safety analysis taking into consideration the change in railroad operations when equipment is transferred to new service. Railroads would also be required to take prompt action to reduce any identified risk to an acceptable level. In an effort to keep spare car ratios to a minimum, equipment is usually built and maintained to be fully transferable among the different routes of a railroad. Thus, equipment transfers to new service will occur when equipment is transferred to another railroad. FRA estimates that it will take approximately *20 engineering labor hours* to perform the necessary analysis. **The industry would incur a cost of approximately \$2,400 annually to meet the requirement.**

Respondent Universe:	22 railroads/AAR
Burden time per response:	20 hours
Frequency of Response:	On occasion
Annual number of Responses:	1 analysis
Annual Burden:	20 hours
Annual Cost:	\$2,400

Calculation: 1 analysis x 20 hrs.= 20 hours
 20 hours x \$120 = \$2,400

(e) Each railroad must develop and adopt written procedures for the inspection, testing, and maintenance of all fire safety systems and fire safety equipment on the passenger equipment it operates. The railroad must comply with those procedures that it designates as mandatory for the safety of the equipment and its occupants.

The mandated written procedures here are one-time, and have already been fulfilled. Consequently, there is no burden associated with this requirement.

Total annual burden for these requirements is 1,550 hours (1,200 + 180 + 150 + 20).

§ 238.105 Train hardware and software safety.

The requirements of this section apply to hardware and software used to control or monitor safety functions in passenger equipment ordered on or after September 8, 2000, and such components implemented or materially modified in new or existing passenger equipment on or after September 9, 2002.

(a) The railroad must develop and maintain a written hardware and software safety program to guide the design, development, testing, integration, and verification of computer software and hardware that controls or monitors equipment safety functions.

(b) The hardware and software safety program must be based on a formal safety

methodology that includes a Failures Modes, Effects, Criticality Analysis (FMECA); verification and validation testing for all hardware and software components and their interfaces; and comprehensive hardware and software integration testing to ensure that the software functions as intended.

(c) The hardware and software safety program must include a description of how the following will be accomplished, achieved, carried out, or implemented to ensure safety and reliability:

- (1) The hardware and software design process;
- (2) The hardware and software design documentation;
- (3) The hardware and software hazard analysis;
- (4) Hardware and software safety reviews;
- (5) Hardware and software hazard monitoring and tracking;
- (6) Hardware and software integration safety testing; and
- (7) Demonstration of overall hardware and software system safety as part of the pre-revenue service testing of the equipment.

Information available to FRA indicates that railroads or their suppliers currently have hardware and software programs which meet the required information collection requirements. Therefore, these information collection requirements impose no additional paperwork burden on the railroad industry.

§ 238.107 Inspection, testing, and maintenance plan.

- (a) Beginning January 1, 2002, the following provisions of this section apply to railroads operating Tier I passenger equipment covered by this part. A railroad may request earlier application of these requirements upon written notification to FRA's Associate Administrator for Safety as provided in § 238.1(c) of this part.

Since this rule went into effect January 1, 2002, there can be no more early applications. The above provision then does not apply. Consequently, there is no burden associated with this requirement.

- (b) Each railroad must develop, and provide to FRA, upon request, a detailed inspection, testing, and maintenance plan consistent with the requirements of this part. This plan must include a detailed description of the following:

- (1) Inspection procedures, intervals, and criteria;
- (2) Test procedures and intervals;
- (3) Scheduled preventive maintenance intervals;
- (4) Maintenance procedures; and
- (5) Special testing equipment or measuring devices required to perform inspections and tests.

All passenger railroads are already in compliance with this information collection requirement. This plan is a usual and customary procedure as part of each railroad's operations. Thus, there is no new paperwork burden associated with this provision.

- (c) The inspection, testing, and maintenance plan required by this section must be reviewed by the railroad annually.

FRA estimates that approximately seven (7) reviews will take place under the above requirement. It is estimated that it will take approximately 60 hours for each railroad to review its inspection, testing, and maintenance plan. Total annual burden for this requirement is 420 hours.

Respondent Universe:	22 railroads
Burden time per response:	60 hours
Frequency of Response:	Annually
Annual number of Responses:	7 reviews
Annual Burden:	420 hours
Annual Cost:	\$16,800

Calculation: 7 reviews x 60 hrs. = 420 hours
 420 hours x \$40 = \$16,800

Total annual burden for this entire requirement is 420 hours.

§ 238.109 Training, qualification, and designation program.

Beginning on January 1, 2002, each railroad must have adopted a training, qualification, and designation program for employees and contractors that perform any of the

inspections, tests, or maintenance required by this part, and must have trained such employees and contractors in accordance with the program. A railroad may request earlier application of these requirements upon written notification to FRA’s Associate Administrator for Safety as provided in § 238.1(c).

This provision would only affect new or start-up railroads. FRA estimates that there will be approximately two new railroads that will come into existence each year. As a result, FRA estimates that it will receive approximately two (2) written notifications annually under the above requirement. It is estimated that it will take approximately 15 minutes to compose each notification and send it to FRA. Total annual burden for this requirement is one (1) hour (rounded off).

Respondent Universe:	22 railroads
Burden time per response:	15 minutes
Frequency of Response:	Annually

Annual number of Responses:	2 notifications
Annual Burden:	1 hour
Annual Cost:	\$40

Calculation: 2 notifications x 15 min. = .5 hour
 1 hour x \$40 = \$40

For purposes of this section, a “contractor” is defined as a person under contract with the railroad or an employee of a person under contract with the railroad to perform any of the tasks required by this part.

As part of this program, the railroad must, at a minimum:

- (1) Identify the tasks related to the inspection, testing, and maintenance required by this part that must be performed on each type of equipment that the railroad operates;
- (2) Develop written procedures for the performance of the tasks identified in paragraph (b)(1) of this section;
- (3) Identify the skills and knowledge necessary to perform each task identified in paragraph (b)(1) of this section;

- (4) Adopt a training curriculum that includes classroom and “hands-on” lessons designed to impart the skills and knowledge identified as necessary to perform each task identified in paragraph (b)(1) of this section. The training curriculum shall specifically address the Federal regulatory requirements contained in this part that are related to the performance of the tasks identified;
- (5) Require all employees and contractors to successfully complete the training course that covers the equipment and tasks for which they are responsible that are required by this part as well as the specific Federal regulatory requirements contained in this part related to equipment and tasks for which they are responsible;
- (6) Require all employees and contractors to pass a written or an oral examination covering the equipment and tasks for which they are responsible that are required by this part as well as the specific Federal regulatory requirements contained in this part related to the equipment and tasks for which they are responsible;
- (7) Require all employees and contractors to individually demonstrate “hands-on” capability to successfully perform the tasks required by this part that must be performed as part of their duties on the type equipment to which they are assigned;
- (8) Require supervisors to complete the program that covers the employees whom they supervise, including refresher training;
- (9) Require supervisors to exercise oversight to ensure that all the identified tasks are performed in accordance with the railroad’s written procedures;
- (10) Designate in writing that each employee and contractor has the knowledge and skills necessary to perform the safety-related tasks that are part of his or her job;
- (11) Require periodic refresher training, at an interval not to exceed three years, that includes classroom and “hands-on” training, as well as testing; except, employees and contractors who have completed their initial training under this part prior to January 1, 2002, shall not be required to complete their first periodic refresher training until four years after the completion of their initial training, and every three years thereafter;
- (12) Add new equipment to the qualification and designation program prior to its introduction to revenue service; and
- (13) Maintain records adequate to demonstrate that each employee and contractor performing safety-related tasks on passenger equipment is currently qualified to

do so. These records must be adequate to distinguish the qualifications of the employee or contractor as a qualified person or as a qualified maintenance person.

Training employees to perform brake-related inspections, tests, or maintenance

Amtrak has about 2,600 air brake certified employees--including contractors and first line supervisors-- who inspect, test, and maintain intercity and commuter trains operated under contract by Amtrak. These employees receive initial air brake training and eight (8) hours of refresher training every two years. Information available to FRA indicates that there are approximately 5,900 non-Amtrak mechanical employees--including supervisors involved in commuter rail operations. These employees are involved in air brake inspection, testing, and maintenance. They receive training that would comply with this requirement. Information available to FRA also indicates that train crews who are involved in performing brake tests also currently receive training that would comply with the training requirements for brake inspection, testing, and maintenance.

Since current railroad training programs meet or exceed the required training for employees who perform brake-related inspections, tests, or maintenance, this information collection requirement would not impose any additional paperwork burden on the railroad industry.

Training employees to perform daily mechanical inspections

Railroad employees who perform daily mechanical inspections receive some form of formal or informal training either on the job or through an apprenticeship. However, they may not have received refresher training as often as the regulation requires. Further, training may be limited to new equipment. Amtrak employees did not receive refresher training on how to perform exterior or interior mechanical inspections. Commuter railroad employees who perform these inspections probably did not receive refresher training in these areas either. The crafts which perform interior inspections vary by railroad and sometimes by location within railroads. Mechanical personnel, train crews, and coach cleaners could all perform interior inspections. Many of these employees probably did not receive formal training on how to perform interior inspections.

FRA estimates that approximately 7,500 employees will receive training every three (3) years under this information collection requirement. In other words, approximately 2,500 employees will receive training annually. FRA assumes each training class will hold about 25 employees. Therefore, the annual number of instructors to perform the training is 100. It is estimated that it will take a total of four (4) hours (1 hour interior/3 hours exterior) to provide the required training. If this training were spread uniformly over three years, the average annual training class would amount to approximately 1.33 hours per employee. Total annual burden for this requirement then is 3,458 hours (2,500 employees x 1.33 hrs. + 100 trainers x 1.33 hrs.).

Respondent Universe:	7,500 employees/100 trainers
Burden time per response:	1.33 hours
Frequency of Response:	Annually
Annual number of Responses:	2,500 employees trained/100 instructors
Annual Burden:	3,458 hours
Annual Cost:	\$117,572

Calculation: 2,500 employees trained x 1.33 hrs. + 100 trainers x 1.33 hrs. = 3,458 hours
3,458 hrs. x \$34 = \$117,572

Development of Training Program

FRA developed a central training program in conjunction with the railroads. This is a one-time requirement which has already been fulfilled. Consequently, there is no burden associated with this information collection requirement.

Recordkeeping

Railroads are required to maintain records to demonstrate that each employee and contractor performing safety-related tasks on passenger equipment is currently qualified to do so. These records must be adequate to distinguish the qualifications of the employee or contractor as a qualified person or as a qualified maintenance person.

FRA estimates approximately 7,500 employee records will have to be maintained under this requirement. Certification records are usually updated every three (3) years. Thus, approximately 1/3 of the total or 2,500 records will be updated/maintained annually. It is estimated that it will take approximately three (3) minutes to keep each record. Total annual burden for this information collection requirement is 125 hours.

Respondent Universe:	22 railroads
Burden time per response:	3 minutes
Frequency of Response:	Annually
First year number of Responses:	2,500 records
First year Burden:	125 hours
First year Cost:	\$5,000

Calculation: 2,500 records x 3 min. = 125 hours
125 hrs. x \$40 = \$5,000

Total annual burden for this entire requirement is 3,584 hours (1 + 3,458 + 125).

§ 238.111 Pre-revenue service acceptance testing plan.

- A. Passenger equipment that has previously been used in revenue service in the United States. For passenger equipment that has previously been used in revenue service in the United States, each railroad must test the equipment on its system prior to placing such equipment in revenue service for the first time on its railroad to ensure the compatibility of the equipment with the railroad's operating system (including the track, and signal system). A description of such testing must be retained by the railroad and made available to FRA for inspection and copying upon request. For purposes of this paragraph, passenger equipment that has previously been used in revenue service in the United States means: (1) the actual equipment used in such service; (2) equipment manufactured identically to that actual equipment; and (3) equipment manufactured similarly to that actual equipment with no material differences in safety-critical components or systems.
- B. Passenger equipment that has not been used in revenue service in the United States. Before using passenger equipment for the first time on its system that has not been in revenue service in the United States, each railroad must:
- (1) Prepare a pre-revenue service acceptance testing plan for the equipment which contains the following elements:
 - (i) An identification of any waivers of FRA or other Federal safety regulations required for the testing or for revenue service operation of the equipment;
 - (ii) A clear statement of the test objectives. One of the principal test objectives must be to demonstrate that the equipment meets the safety requirements specified in this part when operated in the environment in which it is to be used;
 - (iii) A planned schedule for conducting the testing;
 - (iv) A description of the railroad property or facilities to be used to conduct the testing;
 - (v) A detailed description of how the testing is to be conducted, including a description of the criteria to be used to evaluate the equipment's performance;
 - (vi) A description of how the test results are to be recorded;
 - (vii) A description of any special instrumentation to be used during the tests;
 - (viii) A description of the information or data to be obtained.

- (ix) A description of how the information or data obtained is to be analyzed or used.
 - (x) A description of any criteria to be used as safety limits during the testing;
 - (xi) A description of the criteria to be used to measure or determine the success or failure of the tests. If acceptance is to be based on extrapolation of less than full-level testing results, the analysis to be done to justify the validity of the extrapolation must be described;
 - (xii) Quality control procedures to ensure that the inspection, testing, and maintenance procedures are followed;
 - (xiii) Criteria to be used for the revenue service operation of the equipment;
 - (xiv) A description of any testing of the equipment that has previously been performed.
- (2) Submit a copy of the plan to FRA at least 30 days prior to testing the equipment and include with that submission notification of the times and places of the pre-revenue service tests to permit FRA observation of such tests. For Tier II passenger equipment, the railroad must obtain FRA approval of the plan under the procedures specified in § 238.21.
 - (3) Comply with the plan, including fully executing the tests required by the plan.
 - (1) Document in writing the results. For Tier II passenger equipment, the railroad must report the results of the test to the FRA Associate Administrator for Safety at least 90 days prior to its intended operation of the equipment in revenue service.
 - (2) Correct any safety deficiencies identified in the design of the equipment or in the inspection, testing, and maintenance procedures uncovered during the testing. If safety deficiencies cannot be corrected by design changes, the railroad must impose operational limitations on the revenue service operation of the equipment that are designed to ensure that the equipment can operate safely. For Tier II passenger equipment, the railroad must comply with any operational limitations imposed by the FRA Associate Administrator for Safety on the revenue service operation of the equipment for cause stated following FRA review of the results of the test program. This section does not restrict a railroad from petitioning FRA for a waiver of a safety regulation under the procedures specified in part 211 of this chapter.

- (3) Make the plan and documentation kept pursuant to that plan available for inspection and copying by FRA upon request.
 - (4) For Tier II passenger equipment, obtain approval from the FRA Associate Administrator for Safety prior to placing the equipment in revenue service. The Associate Administrator grants such approval upon a showing of its compliance with the applicable requirements of this part.
- C. If a railroad plans a major upgrade or introduction of new technology on Tier II passenger equipment that has been used in revenue in the United States and that affects a safety system on such equipment, the railroad must follow the procedures specified in paragraph (b) of this section prior to placing the equipment in revenue service with such a major upgrade or introduction of new technology.

A. See text above. According to industry sources, equipment transfers from one railroad to another occur an average of about once yearly. FRA assumes that railroad expenditures for documenting and planning such tests for equipment that has previously been used in revenue service will total approximately 10 % of the costs of such documentation and planning for tests for equipment that has not previously been used (*see B below*). In other words, an average cost per equipment transfer will total approximately 16 hours (8 engineering hours + 8 labor hours). Total burden then per plan is 16 hours. FRA estimates that approximately five (5) pre-revenue plans will be developed under this requirement (for a total burden of 80 hours). These designs will probably be developed over a 2.5 year period. Assuming the designs are spread uniformly throughout that 2.5 year period, the total annual burden would average approximately 32 hours.

Respondent Universe:	9 equipment manufacturers
Burden time per response:	16 hours
Frequency of Response:	On occasion
Annual number of Responses:	2 plans (2.5 yr. average)
Annual Burden:	32 hours (2.5 yr. average)
Annual Cost:	\$1,760 (2.5 yr. average)

Calculation: 2 plans x 16 hrs. = 32 hours
8 hrs. x \$100 + 8 hrs. x \$40 + 16 hrs. x \$40 = \$1,760

B. See text above. Information available to FRA indicates that the required information collection requirements currently represent industry standards in place. However, the

requirements would place a stringent planning and documentation burden on railroads. FRA assumes that the railroads will assign the responsibility for compliance with this information collection requirement to equipment builders. It is estimated that each builder will spend an average of about 160 engineering labor hours (\$100/hour) per type of equipment. FRA also estimates that it would take approximately 32 labor hours to document the results of the tests performed pursuant to the plan and submit a copy of the plan to FRA for its review. Total burden per plan then is 192 hours. Again, FRA estimates that approximately five (5) pre-revenue plans will be developed under this requirement (for a total of 960 hours). Again, these designs will probably be developed within the next 2.5 years. Assuming that the design development is spread uniformly throughout the next 2.5 years, the total annual average burden would be approximately 384 hours.

Respondent Universe:	9 equipment manufacturers
Burden time per response:	192 hours
Frequency of Response:	On occasion
Annual number of Responses:	2 plans (2.5 yr. average)
Annual Burden:	384 hours (2.5 yr. average)
Annual Cost:	\$38,400 (2.5 yr. average)

Calculation: 2 plans x 192 hrs. = 384 hours
 384 hrs. x \$100 = \$38,400

Subsequent equipment orders will likely not vary much, so the burden needed to meet these requirements would be minimal. It will take approximately 40 engineering labor hours to meet the requirements for subsequent equipment modifications. In addition, FRA estimates it will take a railroad approximately 20 hours to record the results of the tests and submit a copy of the plan to FRA for its review. Total burden per plan is 60 hours. For five (5) equipment designs, this would be a total burden of 300 hours. Assuming again that new designs are developed an average of every 2.5 years, the total average annual burden would be 120 hours.

Respondent Universe:	9 equipment manufacturers
Burden time per response:	60 hours
Frequency of Response:	On occasion
Annual number of Responses:	2 plans (2.5 yr. average)
Annual Burden:	120 hours (2.5 yr. average)
Annual Cost:	\$9,600 (2.5 yr. average)

Calculation: 2 plans x 60 hrs. = 120 hours
80 hrs. x \$100 + 40 hrs. x \$40 = \$9,600

Total annual burden for this entire requirement is 536 hours (32 + 384 + 120).

C. Regarding Tier II equipment, FRA does not foresee any major upgrades or other introductions of new technology regarding this type of equipment within the next three years. Therefore, there would be no need to follow the procedures described in paragraph (b) of this section. Consequently, there is no additional paperwork burden associated with this requirement.

Subpart C - Specific Requirements for Tier I Passenger Equipment

§ 238.201 Alternative Compliance.

Alternative compliance. Passenger equipment of special design shall be deemed to comply with this subpart, other than § 238.203, for the service environment in which the petitioner proposes to operate the equipment if the FRA Associate Administrator for Safety determines under paragraph (c) below that the equipment provides at least an equivalent level of safety in such environment with respect to the protection of its occupants from serious injury in the case of a derailment or collision. In making a determination under paragraph (c), the Associate Administrator must consider, as a whole, all of those elements of casualty prevention or mitigation relevant to the integrity of the equipment that are addressed by the requirements of this subpart.

(c)(1) The Associate Administrator may only make a finding of equivalent safety and compliance with this subpart, other than § 238.203, based upon a submission of data and analysis sufficient to support that determination. The petition must include:

- (i) The information required by § 238.21(c);
- (ii) Information, including detailed drawings and materials specifications, sufficient to describe the actual construction of the equipment of special design;
- (iii) Engineering analysis sufficient to describe the likely performance of the equipment in derailment and collision scenarios pertinent to the safety requirements for which compliance is required and for which the equipment does not conform to the specific requirements of this subpart; and
- (iv) A quantitative risk assessment, incorporating the design information and engineering analysis described in this paragraph, demonstrating that the equipment, as utilized in the service environment for which recognition is sought,

presents no greater hazard of serious personal injury than equipment that conforms to the specific requirements of this subpart.

- (2) Any petition made under this part is subject to the procedures set forth in § 238.21, and will be disposed of in accordance with § 238.21(g).

The paperwork burden for these requirements is included under section 238.21

§ 238.203 Static end strength

Grandfathering of non-compliant equipment for use on a specified rail line or lines.

(1) Grandfathering approval is equipment and line specific. Grandfathering approval of non-compliant equipment under this paragraph is limited to usage of the equipment on a particular rail line or lines. Before grandfathered equipment can be used on another rail line, a railroad must file and secure approval of a grandfathering petition under paragraph (d)(3) of this section.

(2) Temporary usage of non-compliant equipment. Any unit of passenger equipment placed in service on a rail line or lines before November 8, 1999, that does not comply with the requirements of paragraph (a)(1) of this section may continue to be operated on that particular line or (those particular lines) if the operator of the equipment files a petition seeking grandfathering approval under paragraph (d)(3) before November 8, 1999. Such usage may continue while the petition is being processed, but in no event longer than May 8, 2000, unless the petition is approved.

(3) Petitions for grandfathering. Petitions for grandfathering must include:

(i) The name, title, address, and telephone number of the primary person to be contacted with respect to the petition;

(ii) Information, including detailed drawings and material specifications, sufficient to describe the actual construction of the equipment;

(iii) Engineering analysis sufficient to describe the likely performance of the static end strength of the equipment and the likely performance of the equipment in derailment and collision scenarios pertinent to the equipment's static end strength;

(iv) A description of risk mitigation measures that will be employed in connection with the usage of the equipment on a specified rail line or lines to decrease the likelihood of accidents involving the use of the equipment; and

(v) A quantitative risk assessment, incorporating the design information, engineering analysis, and risk mitigation measures described in this paragraph, demonstrating that the use of the equipment, as utilized in the service environment for which recognition is

sought, is in the public interest and is consistent with railroad safety.

Three copies of each petition must be submitted to the Associate Administrator for Safety, Federal Railroad Administration, 1120 Vermont Ave., N.W., Mail Stop 25, Washington, D.C. 20590.

FRA estimates that it will receive zero (0) grandfathering petitions under the above requirement over the next few years. Consequently, there is no burden associated with this provision.

Comment. Not later than 30 days from the date of publication of the notice in the Federal Register concerning a petition under paragraphs (d) of this section, any person may comment on the petition. Each comment must set forth specifically the basis upon which it is made, and contain a concise statement of the interest of the commenter in the proceeding.

Each comment must be submitted to the DOT Central Docket Management System, Nassif Building, Room Pl-240, 400 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20590, and must contain the assigned docket number for that proceeding. The form of such submission may be in written or electronic form consistent with the standards and requirements established by the Central Docket Management System and posted on its web site at <http://dms.dot.gov>

Since FRA estimates that it will receive zero (0) grandfathering petitions under paragraph (d) of this section, it is clear that there would be no cause or need for comment. Consequently, there is no burden associated with this provision.

§ 238.211 Collision posts.

The end structure requirements in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section apply only to the ends of a semi-permanently coupled consist of articulated units provided that: (1) the railroad submits to the FRA Associate Administrator for Safety under the procedures specified in §238.21 a documented engineering analysis establishing that the articulated connection is capable of preventing disengagement and telescoping to the same extent as equipment satisfying the anti-climbing and collision post requirements contained in this subpart; and (2) FRA finds the analysis persuasive.

The paperwork burden for this requirement is included under § 238.21.

§ 238.223 Locomotive Fuel tanks.

External fuel tanks. External locomotive fuel tanks must comply with the requirements

contained in Appendix D to this part, or an industry standard providing at least an equivalent level of safety if approved by FRA under § 238.21.

The paperwork burden for this requirement is included under § 238.21.

§ 238.229 Safety Appliances (New Requirements)

A. (1) Welded Safety Appliances. Passenger equipment placed in service prior to January 1, 2007, that is equipped with a safety appliance, required by the “manner of application” provisions in part 231 of this chapter to be attached by a mechanical fastener (i.e., bolts, rivets, or screws), and the safety appliance is mechanically fastened to a bracket or support that is attached to the equipment by welding may continue to be used in service provided all the requirements in paragraphs (e) through (k) of this section are met. The welded safety appliance bracket or support only needs to receive the initial visual inspection required under paragraph (g)(1) of this section if all of the following conditions are met: (i) The welded safety appliance bracket or support meets all of the conditions contained in § 238.230(b)(1) for being considered part of the car body; (ii) The weld on the safety appliance bracket or support does not contain any defect or crack as defined in paragraph (d) of this section; and (iii) The railroad submits a written list to FRA identifying each piece of passenger equipment equipped with a welded safety appliance bracket or support as described in paragraph (b)(1)(i) and (b)(1)(ii) of this section and provides a description of the specific safety appliance bracket or support.

FRA estimates that approximately 22 lists (one for each of the affected railroads) will be submitted to FRA under the above requirement. It is estimated that it will take approximately 60 minutes to complete each list with the necessary descriptions. Total annual burden for this requirement is 22 hours.

	Respondent Universe:
	22 railroads
Burden time per response:	60 minutes
Frequency of Response:	On occasion
Annual number of Responses:	22 lists
Annual Burden:	22 hours
Annual Cost:	\$880

Calculation: 22 lists x 60 min. = 22 hours
22 hrs. x \$40 = \$880

(2) Passenger equipment placed in service prior to January 1, 2007, that is equipped with a safety appliance that is directly attached to the equipment by welding (i.e., no mechanical fastening of any kind) shall be considered defective and immediately handled for repair pursuant to the requirements contained in § 238.17(e) unless the railroad meets the following: (i) The railroad submits a written list to FRA that identifies each piece of

passenger equipment equipped with a welded safety appliance as described in paragraph (c)(2) of this section and provides a description of the specific safety appliance; and (ii) The involved safety appliance(s) on such equipment is inspected and handled pursuant to the requirements contained in paragraphs (g) through (k) of this section. **(New Requirement)**

FRA estimates that approximately 22 lists (with the required information stipulated in §238.229(e)) will be submitted to FRA under the above requirement. It is estimated that it will take approximately 60 minutes to complete each list with the necessary descriptions. Total annual burden for this requirement is 22 hours.

		Respondent Universe:
		22 railroads
Burden time per response:	60 minutes	
Frequency of Response:	On occasion	
Annual number of Responses:	22 lists	
Annual Burden:	22 hours	
Annual Cost:	\$880	

Calculation: 22 lists x 60 min. = 22 hours
22 hrs. x \$40 = \$880

- B. Defective welded safety appliance or welded safety appliance bracket or support. Passenger equipment with a welded safety appliance or a welded safety appliance bracket or support will be considered defective and shall be handled in accordance with § 238.17(e) if any part or portion of the weld contains a defect. Any repairs made to such equipment shall be in accordance with the inspection plan required in paragraph (g) of this section and the remedial actions identified in paragraph (j) of this section. A defect for the purposes of this section means a crack or fracture of any visibly discernible length. When appropriate, civil penalties for improperly using or hauling a piece of equipment with a defective welded safety appliance or safety appliance bracket or support addressed in this section will be assessed as an improperly applied safety appliance pursuant to the penalty schedule contained in Appendix A to part 231 of this chapter under the appropriate code contained therein. **(New Requirement)**

§ 238.17(e) stipulates that equipment not in compliance with this part or part 231 of this chapter, if applicable, may be moved if a tag is placed on both sides of the passenger equipment or an automated tracking system contains the information required under (c) (4) of this section. Consequently, FRA estimates that approximately four (4) tags will be completed regarding a defective welded safety appliance or a defective welded safety appliance bracket or support. It is estimated that it will take approximately three (3) minutes to complete each tag with the required information. Total annual burden for this requirement is .20 hour.

		Respondent Universe:
		22 railroads
Burden time per response:		3 minutes
Frequency of Response:		On occasion
Annual number of Responses:	4 tags	
Annual Burden:		.20 hour
Annual Cost:		\$7

Calculation: 4 tags x 3 min. = .20 hour
.20 hrs. x \$34 = \$7

Also, § 238.17(e) stipulates that equipment not in compliance with this part or part 231 of this chapter, if applicable, may be moved after the notification of the crewmember in charge of the movement of the defective equipment who, in turn, must inform all other crewmembers of the presence of the defective condition. **(New Requirement)**

FRA estimates that approximately two (2) notifications will be made regarding the movement of a defective welded safety appliance or a defective welded safety appliance bracket or support. It is estimated that it will take approximately one (1) minute to complete notification. Total annual burden for this requirement is .0333 hour.

		Respondent Universe:
		22 railroads
Burden time per response:		1 minute
Frequency of Response:		On occasion
Annual number of Responses:	2 notifications	
Annual Burden:		.0333 hour
Annual Cost:		\$1

Calculation: 2 notifications x 1 min. = .0333 hour
.0333 hr. x \$34 = \$1

B. Identification of equipment. The railroad must submit a written list to FRA that identifies each piece of passenger equipment equipped with a welded safety appliance bracket or support by January 1, 2007. Passenger equipment placed in service prior to January 1, 2007, but not discovered until after January 1, 2007, must be immediately added to the railroad's written list and must be immediately inspected in accordance with paragraphs (g) through (k) of this section. The written list submitted by the railroad must contain the following: (1) The equipment number; (2) The equipment type; (3) The safety appliance bracket(s) or support(s) affected; (4) Any equipment and any specific safety appliance bracket(s) or supports(s) on the equipment that will not be subject to the inspection plan required in paragraph (g) of this section; (5) A detailed explanation for any such exclusion recommended in paragraph (e)(4) of this section.

The burden for this provision is already included under that of § 238.229A above. Consequently, there is no additional burden associated with this requirement.

- D. **Inspection Plans.** The railroad shall adopt and comply with and submit to FRA upon request a written safety appliance inspection plan. At a minimum, the plan must include the following: (1) Except as provided in paragraph (c)(1) of this section, an initial visual inspection (within one (1) year of date of publication) and periodic re-inspections (at intervals not to exceed six (6) years) of each welded safety appliance bracket or support identified in paragraph (e) of this section. If significant disassembly of a car is necessary to visually inspect the involved safety appliance bracket or support, the initial visual inspection may be conducted at the equipment’s first periodic brake equipment maintenance interval pursuant to § 238.309 occurring after January 1, 2007; (2) Identify the personnel who will conduct the initial and periodic inspections and any training those individuals are required to receive in accordance with the criteria contained in paragraph (h) of this section; (3) Identify the specific procedures and criteria for conducting the initial and periodic safety appliance inspections in accordance with the requirements and criteria contained in paragraph (i) of this section. This shall identify the types of anomalies the inspection is to address that are likely to cause a failure of or a crack in the weld. This may include the adoption and compliance with any date specific industry accepted and developed procedure and criteria; (4) Identify when and what type of potential repairs or potential remedial action will be required for any defective welded safety appliance bracket or support discovered during the initial or periodic safety appliance inspection in accordance with paragraph (j) of this section; (5) Identify the records that will be maintained that are related to the initial and periodic safety appliance inspections in accordance with the requirements contained in paragraph (k) of this section.

FRA estimates that approximately 22 safety appliance inspection plans will be submitted to FRA annually under the above requirement. It is estimated that it will take approximately 16 hours to develop each plan, and send it to FRA. Total annual burden for this requirement is 352 hours. (*Note: FRA believes that 16 hours necessary to develop each plan will be split evenly between railroad executives/officials and professional/administrative staff*).

		Respondent Universe:
		22 railroads
Burden time per response:	16 hours	
Frequency of Response:	On occasion	
Annual number of Responses:	22 plans	
Annual Burden:	352 hours	
Annual Cost:	\$19,360	

Calculation: 22 plans x 16 hrs. = 352 hours

$$176 \text{ hrs.} \times \$70 + 176 \text{ hrs.} \times \$40 = 19,360$$

- E. Inspection Personnel. The initial and periodic safety appliance inspections must be performed by individuals properly trained and qualified to identify defective weld conditions. At a minimum, these personnel include the following: (1) A qualified maintenance person (QMP) with at least four (4) hours of training specific to the identification of weld defects and the railroad’s weld inspection procedures; (2) A current certified welding inspector (CWI) pursuant to American Welding Society Standard - AWS QC-1, Standard for AWS Certification of Welding Inspectors (1996) or its current revised equivalent; (3) A person possessing a current Canadian Welding Bureau (CWB) certification pursuant to the Canadian Standards Association Standard W59 (2003) or its current revised equivalent; (4) A person possessing a current level II or level III visual inspector certification from the American Society for Non-destructive Testing pursuant to Recommended Practice SNT-TC-1A - Personnel Qualification and Certification in Nondestructive Testing (2001) or its current revised equivalent; or (5) A person possessing a current certification under any other nationally or internationally recognized welding qualification standard that is equivalent to those identified in paragraphs (h)(2) through (h)(4) of this section. **(New Requirement)**

FRA estimates that approximately two (2) individuals will be trained from each of the 22 affected railroads to meet the above requirements/standards. Thus, approximately 44 employees will be trained under the above requirement. It is estimated that each training session will take approximately four (4) hours to train each individual. Total annual burden for this requirement is 176 hours.

	Respondent Universe:
	22 railroads
Burden time per response:	4 hours
Frequency of Response:	On occasion
Annual number of Responses:	44 trained employees
Annual Burden:	176 hours
Annual Cost:	\$7,040

Calculation: 44 trained employees x 4 hrs. = 176 hours
 176 hrs. x \$40 = \$7,040

- F. Inspection Procedures. A weld finally determined to contain a defect or crack shall be handled for repair in accordance with § 238.17(e) and repaired in accordance with the remedial action criteria contained in paragraph (j) of this section.

The burden for this requirement is already included under that of § 238.229B above. Consequently, there is no additional burden associated with this requirement.

G. Remedial Action.

(1) Unless a defect or crack in a weld is known to have been caused by crash damage, the railroad must conduct a failure and engineering analysis of any weld identified in paragraph (e) of this section determined to have a break or crack either during the initial or periodic safety appliance inspection or while otherwise in service to determine if the break or crack is the result of crash damage, improper construction, or inadequate design. Based on the results of the analysis, the repair of the involved safety appliance bracket or support must be handled as follows: (1) A defect in a weld due to crash damage (i.e., impact of the safety appliance by an outside force during service or an accident) or improper construction (i.e., the weld did not conform to the engineered design) shall be reattached by either mechanically fastening the safety appliance or the safety appliance bracket or support to the equipment or welding the safety appliance bracket or support to the equipment in a manner that is at least as strong as the original design or at least twice the strength of a bolted mechanical attachment, whichever is greater. If welding is used to repair the damaged appliance, bracket, or support, the following requirements must be met: (i) The repair must be conducted in accordance with the welding procedures contained in APTA standard SS-C&S-020-03 - Standard for Passenger Rail Vehicle Structural Repair (September 2003); or an alternative procedure approved by FRA pursuant to § 238.231; (ii) A qualified individual under paragraph (h) of this section must inspect the weld to ensure it is free of any cracks or fractures prior to the equipment being placed in-service; (iii) The welded safety appliance bracket or support must receive a periodic safety appliance inspection pursuant to the requirements contained in paragraphs (g) through (i) of this section; and (iv) A record of the welded repair pursuant to the requirements of paragraph (k) of this section must be maintained by the railroad.

FRA estimates that there might be one (1) accident a year where the above provision applies and a record of welded repairs will be kept. It is estimated that it will take approximately 2.25 hours to complete the necessary repair and corresponding record. Total annual burden for this requirement is two (2) hours.

		Respondent Universe:
		22 railroads
Burden time per response:	2.25 hours	
Frequency of Response:	Annually	
Annual number of Responses:	1 record	
Annual Burden:	2 hours	
Annual Cost:	\$68	

Calculation: 1 record x 2.25 hrs. = 2 hours
2 hrs. x \$34 = \$68

(2) A defect in the weld that is due to inadequate design (i.e., unanticipated stresses or

loads during service) must be handled in accordance with the following: (i) The railroad must immediately notify FRA’s Associate Administrator for Safety in writing of its discovery of a cracked or defective weld that is due to inadequate design; (ii) The involved safety appliance or the safety appliance bracket or support must be reattached to the equipment by mechanically fastening the safety appliance or the safety appliance bracket or support to the equipment unless such mechanical fastening is impractical due to the design of the equipment.

Based on information provided by APTA in this rulemaking’s RSAC meetings, FRA estimates that there will not be any problems relating to inadequate design and thus zero(0) notifications will be completed by railroads. Consequently, there is no burden associated with this requirement.

(iii) The railroad must develop and comply with a written plan submitted to and approved by FRA’s Associate Administrator for Safety detailing a schedule for all passenger equipment in that series of cars with a similar welded safety appliance bracket or support to have the involved safety appliance or the safety appliance bracket or support mechanically fastened to the equipment.

The burden for this provision is already included under that of inspection plans in § 238.229C above. Consequently, there is no additional burden associated with this requirement.

(iv) If a railroad determines that the design of the equipment makes it impractical to mechanically fasten the safety appliance or the safety appliance bracket or support to the equipment, then the railroad must submit a request to FRA for special approval of alternative compliance pursuant to § 238.21. Such a request must explain the necessity for any relief sought and must contain appropriate data and analysis supporting its determination that any alternative method of attachment provides at least an equivalent level of safety.

This provision relates to new equipment. FRA estimates that it will receive approximately 15 requests for special approval of alternative compliance under the above requirement. It is estimated that it will take approximately four (4) hours to complete each request (solely involving engineering analysis) and send it to FRA. Total annual burden for this requirement is four (4) hours.

		Respondent Universe:
		22 railroads
Burden time per response:	4 hours	
Frequency of Response:	On occasion	
Annual number of Responses:	15 requests	
Annual Burden:	60 hours	
Annual Cost:	\$7,200	

Calculation: 15 requests x 4 hrs. = 60 hours
 60 hrs. x \$120 = \$7,200

- H. Records. Railroads must maintain written or electronic records of the inspection and repair of the welded safety appliance brackets or supports on any equipment identified in paragraph (e) of this section. The records must be made available to FRA upon request. At a minimum, these records must include all of the following: (1) Training or certification records for any person performing any of the inspections or repairs required in this section. (2) The date, time, location, and identification of the person performing the initial and periodic safety appliance inspections for each piece of equipment identified in paragraph (e) of this section. This includes the identification of the person making any final determination as to the existence of a defect under paragraph (i)(5) of this section. (3) A record of all passenger equipment found with a safety appliance weldment that is defective either during the initial or periodic safety appliance inspection or while the equipment is in-service. This record must also identify the cause of the crack or fracture. (4) The date, time, location, identification of the person making the repair, and the nature of the repair to any welded safety appliance bracket or support identified in paragraph (e) of this section.

As noted above under § 238.229E, FRA estimates that approximately two (2) individuals for each railroad will need to be trained to perform inspections or repairs required by this section. Thus, 44 records will be kept by railroads for the first part of the above requirement. Additionally, FRA estimates 3,000 pieces of equipment will undergo the required initial inspection, and that it will take a total of 12 minutes to conduct the inspection and complete the corresponding record. (Periodic inspections need to be done once every six (6) years, and FRA does not anticipate any periodic inspections after the initial inspection in the next three years). Total annual burden then for this requirement is 609 hours.

	Respondent Universe:
	22 railroads
Burden time per response:	12 minutes
Frequency of Response:	On occasion
Annual number of Responses:	3,044 records
Annual Burden:	609 hours
Annual Cost:	\$20,706

Calculation: 3,044 records x 12 min. = 609 hours
 609 hrs. x \$40 = \$20,706

Total annual burden for this entire requirement is 1,243 hours (22 + 22 + .20 + .0333 + 352 + 176 + 2 + 60 + 609).

§ 238.230 Safety Appliances - new equipment.

- A. Welded Safety Appliances. Except as provided in this section, all passenger equipment placed into service on or after January 1, 2007, that is equipped with a safety appliance, required by the “manner of applications” provisions in part 231 of this chapter to be attached by a mechanical fastener (i.e., bolts, rivets, or screws), must have the safety appliance and any bracket or support necessary to attach the safety appliance to the piece of equipment mechanically fastened to the piece of equipment.

(1) Safety appliance brackets or supports considered part of the body. Safety appliance brackets or supports will be considered part of the car body and will not be required to be mechanically fastened to the piece of passenger equipment if all of the following are met: (i) The bracket or support is welded to a surface of the equipment’s body that is at a minimum 3/16-inch sheet steel or structurally reinforced to provide the equivalent strength and rigidity of 3/16-inch sheet steel; (ii) The area of the weld is sufficient to ensure a minimum weld strength, based on yield, of three times the strength of the number of SAE grade 2, ½ inch diameter bolts that would be required for each attachment; (iii) Except for any access required for attachment of the safety appliance, the weld is continuous around the perimeter of the surface of the bracket or support; (iv) The attachment is made with fillet welds at least 3/16-inch in size; (v) The weld is designed for infinite fatigue life in the application that it will be placed; (vi) The weld is performed in accordance with the welding process and the quality control procedures contained in the current American Welding Society (AWS) Standard, the Canadian Welding Bureau (CWB) Standard, or an equivalent nationally or internationally recognized welding standard; (vii) The weld is performed by an individual possessing the qualifications to be certified under the current AWS Standard, CWB Standard, or any equivalent nationally or internationally recognized welding qualification standard; (viii) The weld is inspected by an individual qualified to determine that all of the conditions identified in paragraph (b)(1)(i) through (b)(1)(vii) of this section are met prior to the equipment being placed in service; and (ix) A written or electronic record of the inspection required in paragraph (b)(1)(viii) of this section shall be retained by the railroad operating the equipment and shall be provided to FRA upon request. At a minimum, this record shall include the date, time, location, identification of the person performing the inspection, and the qualifications of the person performing the inspection. **(New Requirement)**

FRA estimates that approximately 100 inspections will be conducted and thus 100 written/electronic records will be kept under the above requirement. It is estimated that it will take approximately a total of six (6) minutes to perform each inspection and complete the required record. Total annual burden for this requirement is 10 hours.

Respondent Universe:
22 railroads

Burden time per response:

6 minutes

Frequency of Response:	On occasion
Annual number of Responses:	100 inspection records
Annual Burden:	10 hours
Annual Cost:	\$340

Calculation: 100 inspection records x 6 min. = 10 hours
10 hrs. x \$34 = \$340

(2) Directly welded safety appliances. Passenger equipment that is equipped with a safety appliance that is directly attached to the equipment by welding (i.e., no mechanical fastening of any kind) may be placed in service only if the railroad meets the following: (i) The railroad submits a written list to FRA that identifies each piece of new passenger equipment equipped with a welded safety appliance as described in paragraph (b)(2) of this section and provides a description of the specific safety appliance; (ii) The railroad provides a detailed basis as to why the design of the vehicle or placement of the safety appliance requires that the safety appliance be directly welded to the equipment; and (iii) The involved safety appliance(s) on such equipment is inspected and handled pursuant to the requirements contained in § 238.229(g) through (k). **(New Requirement)**

FRA estimates that zero (0) written lists will be completed for new passenger safety equipment equipped with a welded safety appliance as described in paragraph (b)(2) of this section. Consequently, there is no burden associated with this requirement.

(3) Other welded safety appliances and safety appliance brackets and supports. Except for safety appliance brackets and supports identified in paragraph (b)(1) of this section, safety appliance brackets and supports on passenger equipment shall not be welded to the car body unless the design of the equipment makes it impractical to mechanically fasten the safety appliance and it is impossible to meet the conditions for considering the bracket or support part of the car body contained in paragraph (b)(1) of this section. Prior to placing a piece of equipment in service with a welded safety appliance bracket or support as described in this paragraph, the railroad must submit documentation to FRA, for FRA's review and approval, containing the following information: (i) Identification of the equipment by number, type, series, operating railroad, and other pertinent data; (ii) Identification of the safety appliance bracket(s) or support(s) not mechanically fastened to the equipment and not considered part of the car body under paragraph (b)(1) of this section; (iii) A detailed analysis describing the necessity to attach the safety appliance bracket or support to the equipment by means other than mechanical fastening; and (iv) A detailed analysis describing the inability to make the bracket or support part of the car body as provided for in paragraph (b)(1) of this section; and (v) A copy and description of the consensus or other appropriate industry standard used to ensure the effectiveness and strength of the attachment.

FRA estimates that approximately 15 documents containing the above required information will be submitted for FRA review and approval. It is estimated that it will

take approximately four (4) hours to complete each set of documents. Total annual burden for this requirement is 60 hours.

		Respondent Universe:
		22 railroads
Burden time per response:	4 hours	
Frequency of Response:	On occasion	
Annual number of Responses:	15 documents	
Annual Burden:	60 hours	
Annual Cost:		\$2,400

Calculation: 15 documents x 4 hrs. = 60 hours
60 hrs. x \$40 = \$2,400

- B. Inspection and repair. Passenger equipment with a welded safety appliance or a welded safety appliance bracket or support will be considered defective and shall be handled in accordance with § 238.17(e) if any part or portion of the weld is defective or contains a crack as defined in § 238.229(d).

The burden for this requirement is included under that of § 238.229B above. Consequently, there is no additional burden associated with this provision.

Any safety appliance bracket or support approved by FRA pursuant to paragraph (b)(3) of this section shall be inspected and handled in accordance with the requirements contained in § 238.229(g) through (k).

The burden for the first part of this requirement is included under that of § 238.230A(3) above. The burden for the second part of this requirement is included under that of § 238.229 above. Consequently, there is no additional burden associated with this provision.

- C. Passenger Cars of Special Construction. A railroad or a railroad's recognized representative may submit a request for special approval of alternative compliance pursuant to § 238.21 relating to the safety appliance arrangements on any passenger car considered a car of special construction under § 238.18 of this chapter. Any such petition shall be in the form of an industry-wide standard and, at a minimum, must: (1) Identify the type(s) of car to which the standard would be applicable; (2) As nearly as possible, based upon the design of the equipment, ensure that the standard provides for the same complement of handholds, sill steps, ladders, hand or parking brakes, running boards, and other safety appliances as are required for a piece of equipment of the nearest approximate type already identified in part 231 of this chapter; (3) Comply with all statutory requirements relating to safety appliances contained at 49 U.S.C. §§ 20301 and 20302; (4) Specifically address the number, dimension, location, and manner of application of each safety appliance contained in the standard; (5) Provide specific

analysis regarding why and how the standard was developed and specifically discuss the need or benefit of the safety appliance arrangement contained in the standard; and
(6) Include drawings, sketches, or other visual aids that provide detailed information relating to the design, location, placement, and attachment of the safety appliances; and
(7) Demonstrate the ergonomic suitability of the proposed arrangements in normal use.

The burden for this provision is already included under that § 238.230A above. Consequently, there is no additional burden associated with this requirement.

Total annual burden for this entire requirement is 350 hours (10 + 60 + 280).

§ 238.231 Brake system.

- A. Passenger cars must be equipped with a means to apply the emergency brake that is accessible to passengers and located in the vestibule or passenger compartment. The emergency brake must be clearly identified and marked.

Emergency brakes are currently identified and marked from the factory. This information collection requirement would not impose any new paperwork burden.

- B. Where practicable, the design of passenger equipment ordered on or after September 8, 2000, or placed in service for the first time on or after September 9, 2002, shall not require an inspector to place himself or herself on, under, or between components of the equipment to observe brake actuation or release. Passenger equipment not designed in this manner shall be equipped and handled in accordance with one of the following:
(1) Equipped with piston travel indicators as defined in § 238.5 or devices of similar design and inspected pursuant to the requirements contained in § 238.313 (j); or
(2) Equipped with brake indicators as defined in § 238.5, designed so that the pressure sensor is placed in a location so that nothing may interfere with the air flow to brake cylinder and the equipment is inspected pursuant to the requirements contained in § 238.313(j).

The burden for inspections relating to the requirements of § 238.313(j) are included under that of § 238.313C below. Consequently, there is no additional burden associated with the above provision.

- C. Except for MU locomotives, on locomotives so equipped, the hand or parking brake, as well as its parts and connections, must be inspected and necessary repairs made, as often as service requires, but not less frequently than every 368 days. The date of the last inspection must be either entered on Form FRA F 6180.49A, suitably stenciled or tagged on the equipment, or maintained electronically, provided FRA has access to the record upon request. **(New Requirement)**

FRA estimates that approximately 2,500 forms/stencils/tags/electronic records regarding

the date of the last inspection of the hand/parking brake (and its parts and connections) will be completed under the above requirement. It is estimated that it will take approximately 21 minutes to complete the inspection and corresponding form/stencil/tag/electronic record. Total annual burden for this requirement is 875 hours.

	Respondent Universe:
	22 railroads
Burden time per response:	21 minutes
Frequency of Response:	On occasion
Annual number of Responses:	2,500 forms/stencils/tags/records
Annual Burden:	875 hours
Annual Cost:	\$29,750

Calculation: 2,500 forms/tags/stencils/records x 21 min. = 875 hours
 875 hrs. x \$34 = \$29,750

- D. A train's air brake shall not be depended upon to hold unattended equipment (including a locomotive, a car, or a train whether or not locomotive is attached). For purposes of this section, "unattended equipment" means equipment left standing and unmanned in such a manner that the brake system of the equipment cannot be readily controlled by a qualified person. Unattended equipment shall be secured in accordance with the following requirements: (i) A sufficient number of hand or parking brakes shall be applied to hold the equipment. Railroads shall develop and implement a process or procedure to verify that the applied hand or parking brakes will sufficiently hold the equipment with the air brakes released; (ii) Except for equipment connected to a source of compressed air (e.g., locomotive or ground air source), prior to leaving equipment unattended, the brake pipe shall be reduced to zero at a rate that is no less than a service rate reduction; (iii) At a minimum, the hand or parking brake shall be fully applied on at least one locomotive or vehicle in an unattended locomotive consist or train; (iv) A railroad shall develop, adopt, and comply with procedures for securing any unattended locomotive required to have a hand or parking brake applied when the locomotive is not equipped with an operative hand or parking brake; (v) A railroad shall adopt and comply with instructions to address throttle position, status of the reverser lever, position of the generator field switch, status of the independent brakes, position of the isolation switch, and position of the automatic brake valve, or the functional equivalent of these items, on all unattended locomotives. The procedures and instruction shall take into account weather conditions as they relate to throttle position and reverser handle; and (vi) Any hand or parking brakes applied to hold unattended equipment shall not be released until it is known that the air brake system is properly charged. **(New Requirement)**

FRA estimates that approximately 22 procedures will be developed, adopted, and complied with under the above requirement. It is estimated that it will take approximately two (2) hours to develop the necessary procedures. Total annual burden for this requirement is 44 hours.

		Respondent Universe: 22 railroads
Burden time per response:	2 hours	
Frequency of Response:	On occasion	
Annual number of Responses:	22 procedures	
Annual Burden:	44 hours	
Annual Cost:	\$3,080	

Calculation: 22 procedures x 2 hrs. = 44 hours
44 hrs. x \$70 = \$3,080

Total annual burden for this entire requirement is 919 hours (875 + 44).

§ 238.237 Automated monitoring.

Except as further specified in this paragraph, on or after November 8, 1999, a working alerter or deadman control must be provided in the controlling locomotive of each passenger train operating in other than cab signal, automatic train control, or automatic train stop territory. If the controlling locomotive is ordered on or after September 8, 2000, or placed into service for the first time on or after September 9, 2002, a working alerter must be provided.

Alerter or deadman control timing must be set by the operating railroad taking into consideration maximum train speed and capabilities of the signal system. The railroad must document the basis for setting alerter or deadman control timing and make this documentation available to FRA upon request.

FRA estimates that approximately three (3) railroads will have to prepare documentation under this information collection requirement. FRA estimates that it will take approximately two (2) hours to prepare the required documentation. Total annual burden for this requirement is six (6) hours.

Respondent Universe:	22 Railroads
Burden time per response:	2 hours
Frequency of Response:	One-time
One time number of Responses:	3 documents
One time Burden:	6 hours
One time Cost:	\$240

Calculation: 3 documents x 2 hrs. = 6 hours
6 hrs. x \$40 = \$240

The following procedures apply if the alerter or deadman control fails en route and causes

the locomotive to be in non-compliance with paragraph (a)(of this section):

(1)(i) A second person qualified on the signal system and brake application procedures must be stationed in the locomotive cab; or

(1)(ii) The engineer must be in constant communication with a second crewmember until the train reaches the next terminal.

The above communication requirement is a usual and customary procedure, and is part of the operating rules of American railroads. Therefore, there is no additional paperwork burden.

(2) A tag must be prominently displayed in the locomotive cab to indicate that the alerter or deadman control is defective, until such device is repaired; and when the train reaches its next terminal or the locomotive undergoes its next calendar day inspection, whichever occurs first, the alerter or deadman control must be repaired or the locomotive must be removed as the controlling locomotive in the train.

FRA estimates that approximately 25 tags annually will be displayed in locomotive cabs under this requirement. It is estimated that each tag will take approximately three (3) minutes to complete and place in the locomotive cabs. Total annual burden for this requirement is one (1) hour.

		Respondent Universe:
		22 railroads
Burden time per response:	3 minutes	
Frequency of Response:	Annually	
Annual number of Responses:	25 tags	
Annual Burden:	1 hour	
Annual Cost:		\$50

Calculation: 25 tags x 3 min. = 1 hour
1 hr. x \$50 = \$50

Total annual burden for this entire requirement is seven (7) hours (6 +1).

Subpart D - Inspection, Testing, and Maintenance Requirements for Tier I Passenger Equipment

§ 238.301 Scope

Beginning on January 1, 2002, the requirements contained in this subpart shall apply to railroads operating Tier I passenger equipment covered by this part. A railroad may

request earlier application of the requirements contained in this subpart upon written notification to FRA's Associate Administrator for Safety as provided in § 238.1(c) of this part.

These information collection requirements are included under § 238.1 above.

§ 238.303 Exterior calendar day mechanical inspection of passenger equipment.

A. Each passenger car and each unpowered vehicle added to a passenger train shall receive an exterior calendar day mechanical inspection in accordance with the following:

(1) Except as provided in paragraph (b)(2) of this section, each passenger car and each unpowered vehicle added to a passenger train shall receive an exterior calendar day mechanical day inspection at the time it is added to the train unless notice is provided to the train crew that an exterior mechanical inspection was performed on the car or vehicle on the last day it was used in passenger service. The notice required by this section must contain the date, time, and location of the last exterior mechanical inspection.

FRA estimates that approximately 25 notices will be provided to train crews annually under the above requirement. This notice can be written or electronic. It is estimated that each notice will take approximately one (1) minute to complete. Total annual burden for this requirement is one (1) hour (rounded off).

		Respondent Universe:
		22 railroads
Burden time per response:	1 minute	
Frequency of Response:	Annually	
Annual number of Responses:	25 notices	
Annual Burden:	1 hour	
Annual Cost:		\$50

Calculation: 25 notices x 1 min.= 1 hour
1 hr. x \$50 = \$50

(2) Each express car, freight car, and each unit of intermodal equipment (for example, RoadRailers) added to a passenger train shall receive an exterior calendar day mechanical inspection at the time it is added to the train, unless notice is provided to the train crew that an exterior mechanical inspection was performed on the car within the previous calendar day. The notice required by section must contain the date, time, and location of the last exterior mechanical inspection.

Since this provision applies to Amtrak only and since Amtrak does the required exterior calendar day mechanical inspection before adding cars to its passenger trains, FRA estimates that there will be zero (0) notices issued under the above requirement.

Consequently, there is no burden associated with this requirement.

B. As part of the exterior calendar day mechanical inspection, the railroad must verify conformity with the following conditions, and non-conformity with any such condition renders the passenger car or unpowered vehicle used in a passenger train defective whenever discovered in service:

(I.) Each door and cover plate guarding high voltage equipment is marked "Danger--High Voltage" or with the word "Danger" and the normal voltage carried by the parts so protected.

Such marking is the usual and customary procedure. Consequently, there is no additional paperwork burden.

(II.) Each secondary braking system is in operating mode and does not have any known defective condition which prevents its proper operation. If the dynamic brakes on a locomotive are found not to be in operating mode or are known to have a defective condition which prevents their proper operation at the time that the exterior mechanical inspection is performed or at any other time while the locomotive is in service, the following requirements must be met in order to continue the locomotive in service:

(i) MU locomotives equipped with dynamic brakes found not to be in operating mode or containing a defective condition which prevents the proper operation of the dynamic brakes shall be handled in accordance with the following requirements:

(A) A tag bearing the words "inoperative dynamic brakes" must be securely displayed in a conspicuous location in the cab of the locomotive and contain the locomotive number, the date and location where the condition was discovered, and the signature of the individual who discovered the condition.

FRA estimates approximately 25 MU locomotives equipped with dynamic brakes found not to be in operating mode or containing a defective condition preventing the operation of the dynamic brakes will have to be tagged/carded annually (or this information will be placed in an automated tracking system) before they can be moved to the nearest repair facility. It is estimated that it will take approximately three (3) minutes per tag/card to record the required information (or to enter into an automated tracking system). Since tags/cards must be placed on both sides of the defective equipment, a total of 50 tags/cards will be completed. Total annual burden for this requirement is three (3) hours.

Respondent Universe:	22 Railroads
Burden time per response:	3 minutes
Frequency of Response:	On occasion
Annual number of Responses:	50 tags/cards
Annual Burden:	3 hours

Annual Cost: \$150
Calculation: 50 tags/cards x 3 min. = 3 hours
 3 hrs. x \$50 = \$150

(B) The locomotive engineer must be informed in writing that the dynamic brakes on the locomotive are inoperative at the location where the locomotive engineer first takes charge of the train; and

The burden for this requirement is already included under the burden for the tagging requirement above. Because the tag is sufficient to notify the locomotive engineer that the dynamic brakes on the locomotive are inoperative, there is no additional burden associated with this requirement.

(C) The inoperative or defective dynamic brakes must be repaired or removed from service by or at the locomotive’s next exterior calendar mechanical inspection.

(ii) Conventional locomotives equipped with dynamic brakes found not to be in operating mode or containing a defective condition which prevents the proper operation of the dynamic brakes must be handled in accordance with the following:

(A) A tag bearing the words “inoperative dynamic brakes” must be securely displayed in a conspicuous location in the cab of the locomotive and contain the locomotive number, the date and location where the condition was discovered, and the signature of the person discovering the condition;

FRA estimates approximately 25 conventional locomotives equipped with dynamic brakes found not to be in operating mode or containing a defective condition preventing the operation of the dynamic brakes will have to be tagged annually. It is estimated that it will take approximately three (3) minutes per tag/card to record the required information (or to enter into an automated tracking system). Since both sides of the defective equipment must be tagged/carded, a total then of 50 tags/cards will be completed. Total annual burden for this requirement is three (3) hours.

Respondent Universe: 22 Railroads
 Burden time per response: 3 minutes
 Frequency of Response: On occasion
 Annual number of Responses: 50 tags/cards
 Annual Burden: 3 hours
 Annual Cost: \$150

Calculation: 50 tags/cards x 3 min. = 3 hours
 3 hrs. x \$50 = \$150

(B) The locomotive engineer must be informed in writing that the dynamic brakes on the locomotive are inoperative at the location where the locomotive engineer first takes charge of the train; and

(C) The inoperative or defective dynamic brakes must be repaired within three (3) calendar days of being found in defective condition or at the locomotive's next periodic inspection pursuant to § 229.23 of this chapter, whichever occurs first.

The burden for this requirement is already included under the burden for the tagging requirement above. Because the tag is sufficient to notify the locomotive engineer that the dynamic brakes on the locomotive are inoperative, there is no additional burden associated with this requirement.

C. (e)(17) Each air compressor, on passenger equipment so equipped, must be in effective and operative condition. MU passenger equipment found with an inoperative or ineffective air compressor at the time of its exterior calendar day mechanical inspection may remain in passenger service until the equipment's next exterior calendar day mechanical inspection where it must be repaired or removed from passenger service, provided all of the following requirements are met: (i) The equipment has an inherent redundancy of air compressors, due to either the make-up of the train consist or the design of the equipment; (ii) The railroad demonstrates through verifiable data, analysis, or actual testing that the safety and integrity of a train is not compromised in any manner by the inoperative or ineffective air compressor. The data, analysis, or test must establish the maximum number of air compressors that may be inoperative based on size of the train consist, type of passenger equipment in the train, and the number of service and emergency brake applications typically expected in the run profile for the involved train. **(New Requirement)**

FRA estimates that approximately four (4) railroads will provide the required data, analysis, or testing under the above requirement. It is estimated that it will take approximately two (2) hours to complete each document containing the verifiable data and analysis or actual test. Total annual burden for this requirement is eight (8) hours.

	Respondent Universe:
	22 railroads
Burden time per response:	2 hours
Frequency of Response:	On occasion
Annual number of Responses:	4 documents
Annual Burden:	8 hours
Annual Cost:	\$560

Calculation: 4 documents x 2 hrs. = 8 hours
8 hrs. x \$70 = \$560

(iii) The involved train does not exceed the maximum number of inoperative or ineffective air compressors established in accordance with paragraph (e)(17)(ii) of this section; (iv) A qualified maintenance person determines and verifies that the inoperative or ineffective air compressor does not compromise the safety or integrity of the train and that it is safe to move the equipment in passenger service; (v) The train crew is informed in writing of the number of units in the train consist with inoperative or ineffective air compressors at the location where the train crew first takes charge of the train (**New Requirement**).

FRA estimates that approximately 100 train crews will be informed in writing about inoperative or ineffective air compressors under the above requirement. It is estimated that it will take approximately three (3) minutes to complete each written message. Total annual burden for this requirement is five (5) hours.

	Respondent Universe:
	22 railroads
Burden time per response:	3 minutes
Frequency of Response:	On occasion
Annual number of Responses:	100 written messages
Annual Burden:	5 hours
Annual Cost:	\$170

Calculation: 100 written messages x 3 min. = 5 hours
 5 hrs. x \$34 = \$170

(vi) A record is maintained of the inoperative or ineffective air compressor pursuant to the requirements contained in § 238.17(c)(4). (**New Requirement**)

FRA estimates that approximately 100 records will be completed under the above requirement. It is estimated that it will take approximately two (2) minutes to complete each record. Total annual burden for this requirement is three (3) hours.

	Respondent Universe:
	22 railroads
Burden time per response:	2 minutes
Frequency of Response:	On occasion
Annual number of Responses:	100 records
Annual Burden:	3 hours
Annual Cost:	\$102

Calculation: 100 records x 2 min. = 3 hours

$$3 \text{ hrs.} \times \$34 = \$102$$

and (vii) Prior to operating equipment under the provisions contained in this paragraph, the railroad must provide in writing to FRA's Associate Administrator for Safety the maximum number of inoperative or ineffective air compressors identified in accordance with paragraph (e)(17)(ii) of this section. (viii) The data, analysis, or testing developed and conducted under paragraph (e)(17)(ii) of this section must be made available to FRA upon request. FRA's Associate Administrator for Safety may revoke a railroad's ability to utilize the flexibility provided in this paragraph if the railroad fails to comply with the maximum limits established under paragraph (e)(17)(ii) or if such maximum limits are not supported by credible data or do not provide adequate safety assurances.

The burden for this new requirement is included under that of (e)(17)(ii) above. Consequently, there is no additional burden associated with this requirement.

D. Records. A record must be maintained of each exterior calendar day mechanical inspection performed. This record may be maintained in writing or electronically, provided FRA has access to the records upon request.

The written or electronic record must contain the following information: (a) The identification number of the unit; (b) The place, date, and time of the inspection; (c) Any non-complying conditions found; and (d) The signature or electronic identification of the inspector. This record may be part of a single master report covering an entire group of cars and equipment. This record must be maintained at the place where the inspection is conducted or at one central location, and must be retained for at least 92 days.

FRA estimates that approximately 45,710 cars will be inspected per week (9,142 cars daily), or an annual total of 2,376,920 cars. A record must be kept of each of these inspections. It is estimated that it takes approximately 10 minutes to complete each car inspection and approximately one (1) minute to complete each record. Total annual burden for this requirement is 435,769 hours.

Respondent Universe:	22 Railroads
Burden time per response:	10 minutes + 1 minute
Frequency of Response:	On occasion
Annual number of Responses:	2,376,920 records
Annual Burden:	435,769 hours
Annual Cost:	\$15,053,836

Calculation: 2,376,920 records x 11 min. = 435,769 hours
 396,154 hrs. x \$34 + 39,615 hrs. x \$40 = \$15,053,856

Total annual burden for this entire requirement is 435,796 hours (1 + 3 + 3 + 12 + 5 + 3 + 435,769).

§ 238.305 Interior calendar day mechanical inspection of passenger cars.

(A) As part of the interior calendar day mechanical inspection, the railroad must verify conformity with the following conditions, and non-conformity with any such condition renders the car defective whenever discovered in service, except as provided in paragraph (c)(5) through (c)(10), and paragraph (d) of this section:

(i) The words "Emergency Brake Valve" are legibly stenciled or marked near each brake pipe valve or shown on an adjacent badge plate.

(ii) All doors and cover plates guarding high voltage equipment are marked "Danger--High Voltage" or with the word "Danger" and the normal voltage carried by the parts so protected.

These are the usual and customary procedures. Consequently, there is no additional paperwork burden associated with this requirement.

(iii) All safety-related signage is in place and legible.

This is the usual and customary practice/procedure. Consequently, there is no additional burden.

(iv) Tagging requirement. All end doors and side doors must operate safely and as intended. A non-complying car may continue in passenger service pursuant to paragraph (d) of this section, if at least one operative and accessible door is available on each side of the car; and a notice is prominently displayed on the defective door indicating that the door is defective.

FRA estimates that approximately 13 of the railroads already tag their defective doors. It is estimated that the remaining nine (9) railroads will each have approximately 60 defective doors annually that will have to be tagged. It is also estimated that it will take approximately one (1) minute to place the required tag on a defective door. Total annual burden for this information collection requirement is nine (9) hours.

Respondent Universe:	22 Railroads
Burden time per response:	1 minute
Frequency of Response:	On occasion

Annual number of Responses: 540 tags
Annual Burden: 9 hours
Annual Cost: \$306

Calculation: 540 tags x 1 min. = 9 hours
9 hrs. x \$34 = \$306

(v) Any passenger car found not to be in compliance with the requirements contained in paragraphs (c)(5) through (c)(10) of this section at the time of its interior calendar day mechanical inspection may remain in passenger service until the car's next interior calendar day mechanical day inspection where it must be repaired or removed from passenger service, provided all of the specific conditions contained in paragraphs (c)(8) through (c)(10) of this section are met and all of the following requirements are met:

- (1) A qualified person or a qualified maintenance person determines that the repairs necessary to bring the car into compliance cannot be performed at the time that the current day's mechanical inspection is conducted;
- (2) A qualified person or a qualified maintenance person determines that it is safe to move the equipment in passenger service; and
- (3) A record is maintained of the non-complying condition with the date and time the condition was first diagnosed.

The burden for this requirement is included in that of (B) below under the burden for interior calendar mechanical inspection records. Consequently, there is no additional burden associated with this requirement.

(B) Records. A record must be maintained of each interior calendar day mechanical inspection performed. This record may be maintained in writing or electronically, provided FRA has access to the records upon request. The written or electronic record must contain the following information: (a) The identification number of the unit; (b) The place, date, and time of the inspection; (c) Any non-complying conditions found; and (d) The signature or electronic identification of the inspector.

This record may be part of a single master report covering an entire group of cars and equipment. This record must be maintained at the place where the inspection is conducted or at one central location, and must be retained for at least 92 days.

FRA estimates that approximately 37,865 cars will be inspected weekly, or an annual total of 1,968,980. A record will be maintained for each car under this requirement, and thus a total of 1,968,980 records will be maintained each year. It is estimated that it will

take approximately five (5) minutes to complete each car inspection and approximately one (1) minute to complete each record. Total annual burden for this requirement is 196,898 hours.

Respondent Universe:	22 Railroads
Burden time per response:	5 minutes + 1 minute
Frequency of Response:	On occasion
Annual number of Responses:	1,968,980 records
Annual Burden:	196,898 hours
Annual Cost:	\$6,891,428

Calculation: 1,968,980 records x 6 min. = 196,898 hours
 164,082 hrs. x \$34 + 32,816 hrs. x \$40 = \$6,891,428

Total annual burden for this requirement is 196,907 hours (9 + 196,898).

§ 238.307 Periodic mechanical inspection of passenger cars and unpowered vehicles used in passenger trains.

(A.) A railroad may, upon written notification to FRA’s Associate Administrator for Safety, adopt and comply with alternative periodic mechanical inspection intervals for specific components or equipment in lieu of the requirements of this section. Any alternative interval must be based upon a documented reliability assessment conducted under a system safety plan subject to periodic peer audit. The periodic inspection intervals provided in this section may be changed only when justified by accumulated, verifiable data that provides a high level of confidence that the component(s) will not fail in a manner resulting in harm to persons. FRA may monitor and review a railroad’s implementation and compliance with any alternative interval adopted. FRA’s Associate Administrator for Safety may prohibit or revoke a railroad’s ability to utilize an alternative inspection interval if FRA determines that the adopted interval is not supported by credible data or does not provide adequate safety assurances. Such a determination will be made in writing and will state the basis for such action. FRA estimates that it will receive approximately two (2) notifications annually under this requirement. It is estimated that it will take approximately (5) hours to complete each written notification. Total annual burden for this requirement is 10 hours.

Respondent Universe:	22 Railroads
Burden time per response:	5 hours
Frequency of Response:	On occasion
Annual number of Responses:	2 notifications
Annual Burden:	10 hours
Annual Cost:	\$400

Calculation: 2 notifications x 5 hrs. = 10 hours

$$10 \text{ hrs.} \times \$40 = \$400$$

(B.) Each periodic mechanical inspection required by this section must be performed by a qualified maintenance person. The periodic mechanical inspection must specifically include the following interior and exterior mechanical components, which shall be inspected not less frequently than every 184 days. At a minimum, this inspection shall determine that:

(1) Seats and seat attachments are not broken or loose. If a car is found with a seat that is not in compliance with this requirement while being used between periodic mechanical inspections, the equipment may continue to be used in passenger service until the performance of an interior calendar mechanical inspection pursuant to § 238.305 on the day following the discovery of the defective condition provided the seat is rendered unuseable, a notice is prominently displayed on the seat, and a record is maintained with the date and time that the non-complying condition was discovered.

FRA estimates that approximately 200 notices will be completed annually and prominently displayed on seats as stipulated under the above requirement. It is estimated that each notice will take approximately two (2) minutes to complete. Total annual burden for this requirement is seven (7) hours.

Respondent Universe:	22 Railroads
Burden time per response:	2 minutes
Frequency of Response:	Annually
Annual number of Responses:	200 notices
Annual Burden:	7 hours
Annual Cost:	\$280

Calculation: 200 notices x 2 min. = 7 hours
7 hrs. x \$40 = \$280

The burden for the record required above is included under that of item C below.

(2) Luggage racks are not broken or loose; (3) All beds and bunks are not broken or loose, and all restraints or safety latches and straps are in place and function as intended; (4) A representative sample of emergency window exits on the railroad's passenger cars properly operate, in accordance with the requirements of § 239.107 of this chapter; (5) Emergency lighting systems are operational; (6) With regard to switches: (i) All hand-operated switches carrying currents with a potential of more than 150 volts that may be operated while under load are covered and are operative from the outside of the cover; (ii) A means is provided to display whether the switches are open or closed; and (iii) Switches not designed to be operated safely while under load are legibly marked with the voltage and the words "must not be operated under load".

Legibly marking switches is the usual and customary practice/procedure. Consequently,

there is no additional paperwork burden associated with this requirement.

(7) Each coupler is in the following condition: (i) The distance between the guard arm and the knuckle nose is not more than 5 1/8 inches on standard type couplers (MCB contour 1904), or not more 5 5/16 inches on D&E couplers; (ii) The free slack in the coupler or drawbar not absorbed by friction devices or draft gears is not more than 1/2 inch; and (iii) The draft gear is not broken, to the extent possible without dropping cover plates; (8) All trucks are equipped with a device or securing arrangement to prevent the truck and car body from separating in case of derailment; (9) All center castings on trucks are not cracked or broken, to the extent possible without jacking the car and rolling out the trucks. However, an extensive inspection of all center castings shall be conducted by jacking the equipment and rolling out trucks at each COT&S cycle provided in § 238.309 for the equipment; (10) All mechanical systems and components of the equipment are free of all the following general conditions that endanger the safety of the crew, passengers, or equipment: (i) A continuous accumulation of oil or grease; (ii) Improper functioning of a component; (iii) A crack, break, excessive wear, structural defect, or weakness of a component; (iv) A leak; (v) Use of a component or system under a condition that exceeds that for which the component or system is designed to operate; and (vi) Insecure attachment of a component; (11) All of the items identified in the exterior calendar day mechanical inspection contained at § 238.303 are in conformity with the conditions prescribed in that section; (12) All of the items identified in the interior calendar day mechanical inspection contained at § 238.305 are in conformity with the conditions prescribed in that section. The periodic mechanical inspection shall specifically include the manual door releases, which shall be inspected not less frequently than every 368 days. At a minimum, this inspection shall determine that all manual door releases operate as intended.

(C.)(1) Records. A record must be maintained of each periodic mechanical inspection required to be performed by this section. This record may be maintained in writing or electronically, provided FRA has access to the record upon request. The record must be maintained in the railroad's files, the cab of the locomotive, or a designated location in the passenger car. The record must be retained until the next periodic mechanical inspection of the same type is performed and must contain the following information: (i) The date of the inspection; (ii) The location where the inspection was performed; (iii) The signature or electronic identification of the inspector; and (iv) The signature or electronic identification of the inspector's supervisor. Data documenting inspections, tests, component replacement and renewals, and failures must be retained for not less than three (3) inspection intervals. Non-conformity with any of the conditions set forth in this section renders the car or vehicle defective whenever discovered in service.

FRA estimates that approximately 9,642 passenger cars will undergo an inspection every six months, or an annual total of 19,284 periodic inspections. Records of these inspections must be kept. It is estimated that it will take approximately 200 hours per car to complete each inspection and approximately two (2) minutes to complete each record.

Total annual burden under this requirement is 3,857,443 hours.

Respondent Universe:	22 Railroads
Burden time per response:	200 hrs. + 2 minutes
Frequency of Response:	On occasion
Annual number of Responses:	19,284 records
Annual Burden:	3,857,443 hours
Annual Cost:	\$131,156,920

Calculation: 19,284 rcds. x 200 hrs. + 19,284 rcds. x 2 min. = 3,857,443 hours
3,856,800 hrs. x \$34 + 643 hrs. x \$40 = \$131,156,920

(C.)(2) Detailed documentation of any reliability assessments depended upon for implementing an alternative inspection interval under paragraph (a)(2) of this section, including underlying data, must be retained during the period that the alternative inspection interval is in effect.

FRA estimates that approximately five (5) documents concerning reliability assessments will be kept under this requirement. It is estimated that each document will take approximately 100 hours to complete. Total annual burden for this requirement is 500 hours.

Respondent Universe:	22 Railroads
Burden time per response:	100 hours
Frequency of Response:	On occasion
Annual number of Responses:	5 documents
Annual Burden:	500 hours
Annual Cost:	\$20,000

Calculation: 5 documents x 100 hrs. = 500 hours
500 hrs. x \$40 = \$20,000

- D. At intervals not to exceed 368 days, the periodic mechanical inspection shall specifically include the following: (1) Inspection of the manual door releases to determine that all manual door releases operate as intended; and (2) Inspection of the hand or parking brake as well as its parts and connections to determine that they are in proper condition and operate as intended. The date of the last inspection shall be either entered on Form FRA F 6180.49A, suitably stenciled or tagged on the equipment, or maintained electronically provided FRA has access to the record upon request. **(New Requirement)**

The burden for this requirement is included above. Consequently, there is no additional burden associated with this requirement.

Total annual burden for this entire requirement is 3,857,960 hours (10 + 7 + 3,857,443 +

500).

§ 238.309 Periodic brake equipment maintenance.

A railroad may petition FRA's Associate Administrator for Safety to approve alternative maintenance procedures providing equivalent safety, in lieu of the requirements of this section. The petition must be filed as provided in § 238.21.

These requirements are included under § 238.21.

Records of periodic maintenance. The date and place of the cleaning, repairing, and testing required by this section must be recorded on Form FRA 6180-49A or a similar form developed by the railroad containing the same information, and the person performing the work and that person's supervisor must sign the form, if possible. Alternatively, the railroad may stencil the vehicle with the date and place of the cleaning, repairing, and testing and maintain an electronic record of the person performing the work and that person's supervisor. A record of the parts of the air brake system that are cleaned, repaired, and tested must be kept in the railroad's files, the cab of the locomotive, or a designated location in the passenger car until the next such periodic test is performed.

Railroads currently keep these records as a usual and customary practice. Therefore, there is no additional paperwork burden.

§ 238.311 Single car test.

Except for self-propelled passenger cars, single car tests of all passenger cars and all unpowered vehicles used in passenger trains must be performed in accordance with APTA Standard SS—005-98, "Code of Tests for Passenger Car Equipment Using Single Car Testing Device," published March 1998; or an alternative procedure approved by FRA pursuant to § 238.21.

These requirements are included under § 238.21

If the single car test cannot be made at the point where repairs are made, the car may be moved in passenger service to the next forward location where the test can be made. A railroad may move a car in this fashion only after visually verifying an application and release of the brakes on both sides of the car that was repaired and provided that the car is appropriately tagged to indicate the need to perform a single car test. The single car test must be completed prior to, or as a part of, the car's next calendar day mechanical inspection.

FRA estimates that approximately 25 cars will be tagged under this requirement. It is

estimated that it will take approximately three (3) minutes to tag each car. Total annual burden for this requirement is one (1) hour.

Respondent Universe:	22 Railroads
Burden time per response:	3 minutes
Frequency of Response:	On occasion
Annual number of Responses:	25 tags
Annual Burden:	1 hour
Annual Cost:	\$34

Calculation: 25 tags x 3 min. = 1 hour
1 hr. x \$34 = \$34

§ 238.313 Class I brake test.

- A. Each passenger car and each unpowered vehicle added to a passenger train must receive Class I or Class IA brake test at the time it is added to the train unless notice is provided to the train crew that a Class I brake test was performed on the car within the previous calendar day and the car has not been disconnected from a source of compressed air for more than four hours prior to being added to the train. The notice required by this section must contain the date, time, and location of the last Class I brake test.

Railroads currently keep this information as part of their usual and customary procedure. Consequently, there is no additional paperwork burden.

- B. A record must be maintained of each Class I brake test performed. This record may be maintained in writing or electronically, provided FRA has access to the record upon request. The written or electronic record must contain the following information: (1) The date and time the Class I brake test was performed; (2) The location where the test was performed; (3) The identification number of the controlling locomotive of the train; (4) The total number of cars inspected during the test; and (5) The signature or electronic identification of the inspector. This record must be maintained at the place where the inspection is conducted or at one central location and must be maintained for 92 days. *Railroads currently keep this information as part of their usual and customary procedure. Consequently, there is no additional paperwork burden.*

- C. In addition to complying with all the Class I brake test requirements performed by a qualified maintenance person as contained in paragraphs (a) through (i) of this section, railroads operating passenger equipment that is not designed to permit the visual observation of the brake actuation and release without the inspector going on, under, or between the equipment in accordance with § 238.231(b)(1) must perform an additional inspection. At a minimum, the additional inspection requirement for equipment so designed must include all of the following: (1) An additional inspection by a qualified

maintenance person of all items and components contained in paragraphs (g)(1) through (g)(15) of this section; (2) The additional inspection must be conducted at an interval not to exceed five (5) in-service days and must be conducted while the equipment is over an inspection pit or on a raised inspection track; and (3) A record of the additional inspection must be maintained pursuant to the requirements contained in paragraph (h) of this section. This record can be combined with the Class I brake test record. (**New Requirement**)

FRA estimates that approximately 15,600 records (300 records x 52 weeks) of additional inspections will be kept by railroads under the above requirement. It is estimated that it will take approximately 30 minutes to complete each inspection and corresponding record. Total annual burden for this requirement is 7,800 hours.

	Respondent Universe:
	22 railroads
Burden time per response:	30 minutes
Frequency of Response:	Annually
Annual number of Responses:	15,600 records
Annual Burden:	7,800 hours
Annual Cost:	\$265,200

Calculation: 15,600 records x 30 min. = 7,800 hours
 7,800 hrs. x \$34 = 265,200

§ 238.315 Class IA brake test.

- A. A Class IA brake test may be performed at a shop site and is not required to be repeated at the first passenger terminal if the train remains on a source of compressed air and (1) the train remains in the custody of the train crew, or (2) the train crew receives notice that the Class IA brake test has been performed. The Class IA brake test must be performed by either a qualified person or a qualified maintenance person.

FRA estimates that approximately 18,250 notices will be received by train crews under the above requirement. It is estimated that will take approximately five (5) seconds to verbally communicate the information to the train crew. Total annual burden for this requirement is 25 hours.

Respondent Universe:	22 Railroads
Burden time per response:	5 seconds
Frequency of Response:	On occasion
Annual number of Responses:	18,250 verbal notices
Annual Burden:	25 hours
Annual Cost:	\$850

Calculation: 18,250 verbal notices x 5 sec. = 25 hours
25 hrs. x \$34 = \$850

- B. A Class IA brake test shall be performed at the air pressure at which the train's air brakes will be operated and shall determine and ensure that the communication of brake pipe pressure changes at the rear of the train is verified, which may be accomplished by observation of an application and release of the brakes on the last car in the train.

This is a regulatory and not a paperwork requirement. Consequently, there is no burden associated with it.

In performing a Class IA brake test, it shall be determined that the communicating signal system is tested and known to be operating as intended; a tested and operating two-way radio system meets this requirement.

The signal system can be either electric or pneumatic. FRA estimates that approximately 1,000 trains per day will be affected under this requirement, and will undergo a test for operational sufficiency (365,000 signal system tests annually) and that each test will take approximately 15 seconds. Total annual burden for this requirement is 1,521 hours.

Respondent Universe:	22 Railroads
Burden time per response:	15 seconds
Frequency of Response:	On occasion
Annual number of Responses:	365,000 tests
Annual Burden:	1,521 hours
Annual Cost:	\$60,840

Calculation: 365,000 communications x 15 seconds = 1,521 hours
1,521 hrs. x \$40 = \$60,840

Total annual burden for this entire requirement is 1,546 hours (25 + 1,521).

§ 238.317 Class II brake test.

In performing a Class II brake test on a train, a railroad must determine that the brakes on the rear unit of the train apply and release in response to a signal from the engineer's brake valve or controller of the leading or controlling unit, or a gauge or similar device located at the rear of the train or in the cab of the rear unit indicates that brake pipe pressure changes are properly communicated at the rear of the train.

This is a signal requirement and not a paperwork requirement. Consequently, there is no burden it.

In performing a Class II brake test on a train, a railroad must determine that the communicating signal system is tested and known to be operating as intended; a tested and operating two-way radio system meets this requirement.

The signal system can be either electric or pneumatic. FRA estimates that approximately 1,000 trains per day will be affected under this requirement, and will undergo a test for operational sufficiency (365,000 signal system tests annually) and that each test will take approximately 15 seconds. Total annual burden for this requirement is 1,521 hours.

Respondent Universe:	22 Railroads
Burden time per response:	15 seconds
Frequency of Response:	On occasion
Annual number of Responses:	365,000 tests
Annual Burden:	1,521 hours
Annual Cost:	\$60,840

Calculation: 365,000 communications x 15 seconds = 1,521 hours
 1,521 hrs. x \$40 = \$60,840

Total annual burden for this requirement is 1,521 hours.

§ 238.321 Out-of-service credit.

When a passenger car is out of service for 30 or more consecutive days or is out of service when it is due for any test or inspection required by § 238.307 or § 238.309, an out-of-use notation showing the number out of service days must be made in the records required under § 238.307(e) and § 238.309(f). If the passenger car is out of service for one or more periods of at least 30 consecutive days, the interval prescribed for any test or inspection required by § 238.307 and § 238.309 may be extended by the number of days in each period the passenger car is out of service since the last test or inspection in question. A movement made in accordance with § 2229.9 of this chapter or § 238.17 is not considered service for the purposes of determining the out-of-service credit. (*New Requirement*)

FRA estimates that approximately 1,250 out-of-use notations will be made under the above requirement. It is estimated that each notation will take approximately two (2) minutes to complete each notation. Total annual burden for this requirement is 42 hours.

Respondent Universe:	22 Railroads
Burden time per response:	2 minutes
Frequency of Response:	On occasion
Annual number of Responses:	1,250 notations
Annual Burden:	42 hours
Annual Cost:	\$1,428

Calculation: 1,250 notations x 2 min. = 42 hours
42 hrs. x \$34 = \$1,428

Subpart E - Specific Requirements for Tier II Passenger Equipment

§ 238.403 Crash energy management requirements.

Compliance with paragraphs (a) through (d) of this section must be demonstrated by analysis using a dynamic collision computer model. For the purpose of demonstrating compliance, the following assumptions must be made:

- (1) The train remains upright, in-line, and with all wheels on the track throughout the collision; and
- (2) Resistance to structural crushing follows the force-versus-displacement relationship determined during the structural analysis required as part of the design of the train.

The analysis necessitated by this section has already been fulfilled by Amtrak for its high-speed train sets. Amtrak is the only foreseeable operator of Tier II passenger equipment. Consequently, there is no burden associated with this requirement.

§ 238.405 Longitudinal static compressive strength.

To form an effective crash refuge for crew members occupying the cab of a power car, the underframe of the cab of a power car must resist a minimum longitudinal compressive force of 2,100,000 pounds without permanent deformation to the cab, unless equivalent protection to crew members is provided under an alternate design approach, validated through analysis and testing, approved by FRA under the provisions of § 238.21.

These requirements are included under § 238.21.

§ 238.421 Glazing.

(a) Except as provided in paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section, each exterior window on a passenger car and a power car cab must comply with the requirements contained in part 223 of this chapter.

These requirements are included under OMB No. 2130-0525.

(b) Each end-facing exterior window on a passenger car and a power car must also, in the orientation in which it is installed in the car, be permanently marked, prior to installation, in such a manner that the marking is clearly visible after the material has been installed.

The marking must include:

- (1) The words “FRA TYPE IHP ” to indicate that the material has successfully passed the testing requirements specified in this paragraph;
- (2) The name of the manufacturer; and
- (3) The type or brand identification of the material.

This is a usual and customary procedure. Information required is currently applied by the manufacturer. Consequently, there is no burden associated with this requirement.

(c) All exterior windows must be permanently marked, prior to installation, in such a manner that the marking is clearly visible after the material has been installed.

The marking must include:

- (1) The words “FRA TYPE IH ” for end-facing glazing or “FRA TYPE IIH” for side-facing glazing, to indicate that the material has successfully passed the testing requirements of this section;
- (2) The name of the manufacturer; and
- (3) The type or brand identification of the material.

This is a usual and customary procedure. Information required is currently applied by the manufacturer. Consequently, there is no burden associated with this requirement.

(d) Each car that is fully equipped with glazing materials that meet the requirements of this section must be stenciled on an interior wall as follows: “Fully Equipped with FRA Part 238 Glazing” or similar words conveying that meaning, in letters at least 3/8 of an inch high.

This is a usual and customary procedure. Information required is currently applied by the manufacturer. Consequently, there is no burden associated with this requirement.

§ 238.423 Fuel tanks.

Each type of external fuel tank must be approved by FRA’s Associate Administrator for Safety upon a showing that the fuel tank provides a level of safety at least equivalent to a fuel tank that complies with the external fuel tank requirements in § 223(a) of this part.

The burden for this requirement is included under section 238.21.

§ 238.427 Suspension system.

Passenger equipment must meet the safety performance standards for suspension systems contained in Appendix C to this part or alternative standards providing equivalent safety if approved by FRA under the provisions of § 238.21.

This burden for this requirement is included under § 238.21.

Each truck must be equipped with a permanently installed lateral accelerometer mounted on the truck frame. The accelerometer output signals shall be processed through a filter having a band pass of .5 to 10 Hz to determine if hunting oscillations of the truck are occurring. If hunting oscillations are detected, the train monitoring system must provide an alarm to the operator, and the train must be slowed to a speed at least 5 mph less than the speed at which the hunting oscillations stopped.

This burden for requirement is included as part of automated monitoring under § 238.445.

§ 238.431 Brake system.

The brake system shall be designed to prevent thermal damage to wheels and brake discs. The operating railroad must demonstrate through analysis and testing that no thermal damage results to the wheels or brake discs under conditions resulting in maximum braking effort being exerted on the wheels or discs.

The analysis and testing has already been done, and was accounted for in the previous submission. Consequently, there is no burden associated with this requirement.

An independent failure-detection system shall compare brake commands with brake system output to determine if a failure has occurred. The failure detection system must report brake system failures to the automated train monitoring system.

This burden for requirement is included as part of automated monitoring under § 238.445.

Passenger equipment must be equipped with an adhesion control system designed automatically to adjust the braking force on each wheel to prevent sliding during braking. In the event of a failure of this system to prevent wheel slide within preset parameters, a wheel slide alarm that is visual or audible, or both, must alert the train operator in the cab of the controlling power car to wheel-slide conditions on any axle of the train.

This burden for requirement is included as part of automated monitoring under § 238.445.

238.437 Emergency communication.

A means of emergency communication throughout a train must be provided and must include the following: (a) Except as further specified, transmission locations at each end of each passenger car, adjacent to the car's end doors, and accessible to both passengers and crew members without requiring the use of a tool or other implement. If the passenger car does not exceed 45 feet in length or if the passenger car was ordered prior to May 12, 1999, only one transmission location is required; (b) Transmission locations that are clearly marked with luminescent material; (c) Clear and understandable operating instructions at or near each emergency transmission location; and (d) Back-up power for a minimum time period of 90 minutes.

The marking and instructions mandated by this requirement have already been fulfilled and were accounted for in the previous submission. Moreover, FRA expects that no Tier II equipment will be purchased in the next five years. Consequently, there is no burden associated with this requirement.

§ 238.441 Emergency roof entrance location.

Each passenger car and power car cab must have a minimum of one roof hatch emergency entrance location with a minimum opening of 18 inches by 24 inches, or at least one clearly marked structural weak point in the roof having a minimum opening of the same dimensions to provide quick access for properly equipped emergency personnel.

The marking mandated by this requirement has already been fulfilled and was accounted for in the previous submission. Moreover, FRA expects that no Tier II equipment will be purchased in the next five years. Consequently, there is no burden associated with this requirement.

§ 238.445 Automated Monitoring.

(A) Each passenger train must be equipped to monitor the performance of the following systems or components: (1) Reception of cab signals and train control signals; (2) Truck hunting; (3) Dynamic brake status; (4) Friction brake status; (5) Fire detection systems; (6) Head end power status; (7) Alerter or deadman control; (8) Horn and bell; (9) Wheel slide; (10) Tilt system, if so equipped; and (11) on-board bearing-temperature sensors, if so equipped. When any such system or component is operating outside of its predetermined safety parameters, the train operator must be alerted; and (2) immediate corrective action must be taken, if the system or component defect impairs the train operator's ability to safely operate the train. Immediate corrective action includes limiting the speed of the train.

This requirement pertains to Amtrak, and involves approximately 20 Acela train sets. FRA estimates that approximately 500 alarms (visual or audible) will be communicated

annually per train set, or a total of 10,000 alarms/alerts to the train operators under this requirement. It is estimated that it will take approximately 10 seconds for each alert/alarm to reach the train operator that a component is functioning outside its preset safety parameters and for that operator to get through the various screens, and take the immediate necessary corrective action. Total annual burden for this requirement is 28 hours. *(Note: These communications occur automatically, so there is no labor involved on the part of the train operator/train crews. Therefore, there is no cost associated with this requirement.)*

Respondent Universe:	1 railroad (Amtrak)
Burden time per response:	10 seconds
Frequency of Response:	On occasion
Annual number of Responses:	10,000 alerts/alarms
Annual Burden:	28 hours
Annual Cost:	\$0

Calculation: 10,000 alerts x 10 sec. = 28 hours
\$0

(B) The monitoring system must be designed with an automatic self-test feature that notifies the train operator that the monitoring capability is functioning correctly and alerts the train operator when a system failure occurs.

This requirement also pertains to Amtrak, and again involves approximately 20 Acela train sets. FRA estimates that the self-test feature will take place approximately three (3) times a day for each train set every day of the year. FRA estimates then that approximately 21,900 notifications (visual or audible) will be communicated to train operators under this requirement. It is estimated that it will take approximately 20 seconds for each notification. Total annual burden for this requirement is 122 hours. *(Note: These communications occur automatically, so there is no labor involved on the part of the train operator/train crews. Therefore, there is no cost associated with this requirement.)*

Respondent Universe:	1 railroad (Amtrak)
Burden time per response:	20 seconds
Frequency of Response:	On occasion
Annual number of Responses:	21,900 notifications
Annual Burden:	122 hours
Annual Cost:	\$0

Calculation: 21,900 notifications x 20 sec. = 122 hours
\$0

Total annual burden for this entire requirement is 150 hours (28 +122).

§ 238.447 Train operator's controls and power car cab layout

Power car cab information displays must be designed with the following characteristics: (1) Simplicity and standardization must be the driving criteria for design of formats for the display of information in the cab; (2) Essential, safety-critical information must be displayed as a default condition; (3) Operator selection shall be required to display other than default information; (4) Cab or train control signals must be displayed for the operator; and (5) Displays must be readable from the operators's normal position under all lighting conditions.

This is done by the car manufacturer during the construction process. This is the usual and customary procedure. Consequently, there is no additional paperwork burden associated with this requirement.

Subpart F - Inspection, Testing, and Maintenance Requirements for Tier II Passenger Equipment.

§ 238.503 Inspection, testing, and maintenance requirements.

§ 238.505 Program Approval Procedures.

(a) General. Under the procedures provided in § 238.505, each railroad must obtain FRA approval of a written inspection, testing, and maintenance program for Tier II passenger equipment prior to implementation of that program and prior to commencing passenger operations using that equipment. As further specified in this section, the program must describe in detail the procedures, equipment, and other means necessary for the safe operation of the passenger equipment, including:

- (1) Safety inspection procedures, intervals, and criteria;
- (2) Testing procedures and intervals;
- (3) Scheduled preventive-maintenance intervals;
- (4) Maintenance procedures;
- (5) Special testing equipment or measuring devices required to perform safety inspections, tests and maintenance; and
- (6) The training, qualification, and designation of employees and contractors to perform safety inspections, tests, and maintenance.

(b) Compliance. After the railroad's inspection, testing, and maintenance program is approved by FRA under § 238.505, the railroad must adopt the program and must perform--

- (1) The inspections and tests of power brakes and other primary brakes as described in the program;
- (2) The other inspections and tests described in the program in accordance with the procedures and criteria that the railroad identified as safety-critical; and
- (3) The maintenance tasks described in the program in accordance with the procedures and intervals that the railroad identified as safety-critical.

(c) General safety inspection, testing, and maintenance procedures. The inspection, testing, and maintenance program under paragraph (a) of this section must contain the railroad's written procedures to ensure that all systems and components of in service equipment are free of any general condition that endangers the safety of the crew, passengers, or equipment. These procedures must protect against:

- (1) A continuous accumulation of oil or grease;
- (2) Improper functioning of a component;
- (3) A crack, break, excessive wear, structural defect, or weakness of a component;
- (4) A leak;
- (5) Use of a component or system under a condition that exceeds that for which the component or system is designed to operate; and
- (6) Insecure attachment of a component.

(d) Specific inspections. The program under paragraph (a) of this section must specify that all Tier II passenger equipment must receive thorough safety inspections in accordance with the following standards:

- (1) Except as provided in paragraph (d)(3) of this section, the equivalent of a Class I brake test contained in § 238.313 must be conducted prior to a train's departure from an originating terminal and every 1,500 miles or once each calendar day, whichever comes first, that the train remains in continuous service.
 - (i) Class I equivalent brake tests must be performed by qualified maintenance persons.

(ii) Except as provided in § 238.15(b), a railroad must not use or haul a Tier II passenger train in passenger service from a location where a Class I equivalent brake test has been performed, or was required by this part to have been performed, with less than 100 percent operative brakes.

(2) Except as provided in paragraph (d)(3) of this section, a complete safety exterior and interior mechanical inspection, in accordance with the railroad's inspection program, must be conducted by a qualified maintenance person at least once during each calendar day the equipment is used in service.

(3) Trains that miss a scheduled Class I brake test or mechanical inspection due to a delay en route may proceed to the point where the Class I brake test or mechanical inspection was scheduled to be performed.

(g) Maintenance intervals. The program under paragraph (a) of this section must include the railroad's initial scheduled maintenance intervals for Tier II equipment based on an analysis completed pursuant to the railroad's safety plan. The maintenance interval of a safety-critical component shall be changed only when justified by accumulated, verifiable operating data and approved by FRA under § 238.505 before the change takes effect.

(h) Training, qualification, and designation program. The program under paragraph (a) of this section must describe the training, qualification, and designation program, as defined in the training program plan under § 238.109, established by the railroad to qualify individuals to inspect, test, and maintain the equipment.

(1) If the railroad deems it safety-critical, then only qualified individuals shall inspect, test, and maintain the equipment.

(2) Knowledge of the procedures described in paragraph (a) of this section shall be required to qualify an employee or contractor to perform an inspection, testing, or maintenance task under this part.

(i) Standard procedures. The program under paragraph (a) of this section shall include the railroad's written standard procedures for performing all safety-critical equipment inspection, testing, maintenance, and repair tasks necessary to ensure the safe and proper operation of the equipment. The inspection, testing, and maintenance program required by this section is not intended to address and should not include procedures to address employee working conditions that arise in the course of conducting the inspections, tests, and maintenance set forth in the program. When reviewing the railroad's program, FRA does not intend to review any portion of the plan that relates to employee working conditions.

(j) Annual Review. The inspection, testing, and maintenance program required by this section must be reviewed by the railroad annually.

(k) Quality control program. Each railroad must establish an inspection, testing, and maintenance quality control program enforced by railroad or contractor supervisors to reasonably ensure that inspections, tests, and maintenance are performed in accordance with Federal safety standards and the procedures established by the railroad.

(l) Identification of safety-critical items. In the program under paragraph (a) of this section, the railroad must identify all inspection and testing procedures and criteria as well as all maintenance intervals that the railroad deems to be safety-critical.

Submission.

Not less than 90 days prior to commencing passenger operations using Tier II passenger equipment, each railroad to which this subpart applies must submit for approval an inspection, testing, and maintenance program for that equipment meeting the requirements of this subpart to the Associate Administrator for Safety, Federal Railroad Administration, 1120 Vermont Ave., N.W., Mail Stop 25, Washington, D.C. 20590.

This requirement pertains to Amtrak. FRA estimates that Amtrak will have to submit one new plan under this requirement. It is estimated that it will take approximately 1,200 hours to develop and submit the required program/plan. Total annual burden for this requirement is 1,200 hours.

Respondent Universe:	1 railroad (Amtrak)
Burden time per response:	1,200 hours
Frequency of Response:	On occasion
Annual number of Responses:	1 program/plan
Annual Burden:	1,200 hours
Annual Cost:	\$84,000

Calculation: 1 program/plan x 1,200 hrs. = 1,200 hours
1,200 hrs. x \$70 = \$84,000

Amendments.

If a railroad seeks to amend an approved program, the railroad must file with FRA's Associate Administrator for Safety a petition for approval of such amendment not less than 60 days prior to the proposed effective date of the amendment. A program responsive to the requirements of this subpart or any amendment to the program must not be implemented prior to FRA approval.

- (1) Each program or amendment under § 238.503 must contain:
 - (i) The information prescribed in § 238.503 for such program or amendment;

(ii) The name, title, address, and telephone number of the primary person to be contacted with regard to review of the program or amendment; and

(iii) A statement affirming that the railroad has served a copy of the program or amendment on designated representatives of railroad employees, together with a list of the names and addresses of persons served.

(2) Each railroad must serve a copy of each submission to FRA on designated representatives of railroad employees responsible for the equipment's operation, inspection, testing, and maintenance under this subpart.

No amendments have been requested, and FRA does not anticipate receiving any in the near future. Consequently, there is no burden associated with this requirement.

Comment. Not later than 45 days from the date of filing the program or amendment, any person may comment on the program or amendment.

(1) Each comment must set forth specifically the basis upon which it is made, and contain a concise statement of the interest of the commenter in the proceeding.

(2) Three copies of each comment must be submitted to the Associate Administrator for Safety, Federal Railroad Administration, 1120 Vermont Ave., N.W., Mail Stop 25, Washington, D.C. 20590.

(3) The commenter must certify that a copy of the comment was served on the railroad.

FRA estimates that it will receive approximately three comments regarding the Amtrak plan (from rail labor unions) under the above requirement. It is estimated that each comment will take approximately three (3) hours to complete and send to FRA. Total annual burden for this requirement is nine (9) hours.

Respondent Universe:	Interested Rail Parties
Burden time per response:	3 hours
Frequency of Response:	On occasion
Annual number of Responses:	3 comments
Annual Burden:	9 hours
Annual Cost:	\$360

Calculation: 3 comments x 3 hrs. = 9 hours
9 hrs. x \$40 = \$360

Approval - Within 60 days of receipt of each initial inspection, testing, and maintenance program, FRA will conduct a formal review of the program. FRA will then notify the primary railroad contact person and the designated employee representatives in writing whether the inspection, testing, and maintenance program is approved and, if not approved, the specific points in which the program is deficient. If a program is not approved by FRA, the railroad must amend its program to correct all deficiencies and resubmit its program with the required revisions not later than 45 days prior to commencing passenger operations.

FRA will review each proposed amendment to the program within 45 days of receipt. FRA will then notify the primary railroad contact person and the designated employee representatives in writing whether the proposed amendment has been approved by FRA and, if not approved, the specific points in which the proposed amendment is deficient. The railroad must correct any deficiencies and file the corrected amendment prior to implementing the amendment. Following initial approval of a program or amendment, FRA may reopen consideration of the program or amendment for cause stated. *Since FRA does not anticipate any submissions or amendments, no approvals will be necessary for initial approval of a program or an amendment. Consequently, there is no burden associated with this information collection requirement.*

Total annual burden for this entire requirement is 1,209 hours (1,200 + 9).

Subpart G - Specific Safety Planning Requirements for Tier II Passenger Equipment

§ 238.603 Safety planning requirements.

(a) Prior to commencing revenue service operation of Tier II passenger equipment, each railroad must prepare and execute a written plan for the safe operation of such equipment. The plan may be combined with any other plan required under this part. The plan must be updated at least every 365 days. At a minimum, the plan must describe the approaches and processes to: (1) Identify all requirements necessary for the safe operation of the equipment in its operating environment; (2) Identify all known or potential hazards to the safe operation of the equipment; (3) Eliminate or reduce the risk posed by each hazard identified to an acceptable level using a formal safety methodology such as MIL-STD-882; and (4) Impose operational limitations, as necessary, on the operation of the equipment if the equipment cannot meet safety requirements.

This requirement has already been completed. Consequently, there is no burden associated with this section.

(b) For the procurement of Tier II passenger equipment, and for each major upgrade or introduction of new technology in existing Tier II passenger equipment that affects a safety system on such equipment, each railroad must prepare and execute a written safety plan. The plan may be combined with any other plan required under this part. The plan

must describe the approaches and processes to: (1) Identify all safety requirements governing the design of the passenger equipment and its supporting systems; (2) Evaluate the total system, including hardware, software, testing, and support activities, to identify known or potential safety hazards over the life cycle of the equipment; (3) Identify safety issues during design reviews; (4) Eliminate or reduce the risk posed by each hazard identified to an acceptable level using a formal safety methodology such as MIL-STD-882; (5) Monitor the progress in resolving safety issues, reducing hazards, and meeting safety requirements; (6) Develop a program of testing or analysis, or both, to demonstrate that safety requirements have been met; and (7) Impose operational limitations, as necessary, on the operation of the equipment if the equipment cannot meet safety requirements.

Since FRA does not expect upgrades or new procurement in the near future, there would be no need to prepare and execute a written safety plan. Consequently, there is no burden associated with this information collection requirement.

(c) Each railroad must maintain sufficient documentation to demonstrate how the operation and design of its Tier II passenger equipment complies with safety requirements or, as appropriate, addresses safety requirements under paragraphs (a)(4) and (b)(7) of this section. Each railroad must maintain sufficient documentation to track how safety issues are raised and resolved.

This requirement has already been completed. Consequently, there is no burden associated with this section.

(d) Each railroad must make available to FRA for inspection and copying upon request each safety plan required by this section and any documentation required pursuant to such plan.

This requirement has already been completed. Consequently, there is no burden associated with this section.

Appendix B to Part 238 --Test Methods and Performance Criteria for the Flammability and Smoke Emission Characteristics of Materials Used in Passenger Cars and Locomotive Cabs

The surface flammability and smoke emission characteristics must be demonstrated to be permanent by washing, if appropriate, according to FED-STD-191A Textile Test Method 5830. The surface flammability and smoke emission characteristics must be demonstrated to be permanent by dry-cleaning, if appropriate, according to ASTM D-2724-87. Materials that cannot be washed or dry cleaned must be so labeled and must meet the applicable performance criteria after being cleaned as recommended by the manufacturer.

Some materials lose their fire retardency when washed or dry cleaned; others do not. The buyer of such materials must be so informed by the labeling in order to know and use the proper method to clean materials in order to retain the fire retardency. This type of requirement is not inconsistent with labeling of materials such as bedding or children's sleepware which is required to be labeled as meeting certain fire retardency laws.

FRA estimates there are approximately five (5) to six (6) seat manufacturers. Under current practices, for warranty purposes, these manufacturers already provide some kind of cleaning instructions with their products stating that, if the proper cleaning methods are not followed, it will void the warranty.

This information collection requirement is a usual and customary practice. Consequently, there is no burden associated with it.

Testing of a complete seat assembly (including cushions, fabric layers, upholstery) according to ASTM E-1537-99 using the pass/fail criteria of Cal TB-133, and testing of a complete mattress assembly (including foam and ticking) according to ASTM E-1590-01 using the pass/fail criteria of Cal TB-129 shall be permitted in lieu of the test methods prescribed herein, provided the assembly component units remain unchanged or new (replacement) assembly components possess equivalent fire performance properties to the original components tested. A fire hazard analysis must also be conducted that considers the operating environment within which the seat or mattress assembly will be used in relation to the risk of vandalism, puncture, cutting, or other acts which may expose the individual components of the assemblies to an ignition source.

Materials used to fabricate discontinuous small parts (such as knobs, rollers, fasteners, clips, grommets, and small electrical parts) that will not contribute materially to fire growth in end use configuration are exempt from flammability and smoke emission performance requirements, provided that the surface area of any individual small part is less than 16 square inches (100 cm²) in end use configuration and an appropriate fire hazard analysis is conducted which addresses the location and quantity of the materials used, and the vulnerability of the materials to ignition and contribution to flame spread.

If the surface area of any individual small part is less than 16 square inches (100 cm²) in end use configuration, materials used to fabricate such a part may be tested in accordance with ASTM E-1354-99 as an alternative to both (a) the ASTM E 162-98 flammability test procedure or the appropriate flammability test procedure otherwise specified in the table and (b) the ASTM E 662-01 smoke generation test procedure. Testing shall be at 50 kW/m² applied heat flux with a retainer frame. Materials tested in accordance with ASTM E-1354-99 must meet the following performance criteria: average heat release rate (q'_{180}) less than or equal to 100 kW/m² and average specific extinction area less than or equal to 500 m²/kg over the same 180-second period.

Portions of the vehicle body, which separate major ignition sources, energy sources, or sources of fuel-load from vehicle interiors, shall have sufficient fire endurance as determined by a fire hazard analysis acceptable to the railroad which addresses the location and quantity of the materials used, as well as vulnerability of the materials to ignition, flame spread, and smoke generation. These portions include equipment carrying portions of a vehicle's roof and the interior structure separating the levels of a bi-level car, but do not include a flooring assembly subject to Note 16. A railroad is not required to use the ASTM E 119-00a test method.

Cost and burden hours for conducting fire hazard analyses have been included in the discussion of the requirements of § 238.103 above. Note: These analyses themselves require railroads to consider the extent to which materials comply with the test performance criteria for flammability and smoke emission characteristics as specified in this Appendix.

The total burden for this entire information collection is 4,511,374 hours.

13. PROVIDE AN ESTIMATE OF THE TOTAL ANNUAL COST BURDEN TO RESPONDENTS OR RECORDKEEPERS RESULTING FROM THE COLLECTION OF INFORMATION. (DO NOT INCLUDE THE COSTS OF ANY HOUR BURDEN SHOWN IN ITEMS 12 AND 14).

-THE COST ESTIMATES SHOULD BE SPLIT INTO TWO COMPONENTS: (A) A TOTAL CAPITAL AND START-UP COST COMPONENT (ANNUALIZED OVER ITS EXPECTED USEFUL LIFE); AND (B) A TOTAL OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE AND PURCHASE OF SERVICES COMPONENT. THE ESTIMATES SHOULD TAKE INTO ACCOUNT COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH GENERATING, MAINTAINING, AND DISCLOSING OR PROVIDING THE INFORMATION. INCLUDE DESCRIPTIONS OF METHODS USED TO ESTIMATE MAJOR COSTS FACTORS INCLUDING SYSTEM AND TECHNOLOGY ACQUISITION, EXPECTED USEFUL LIFE OF CAPITAL EQUIPMENT, THE DISCOUNT RATE(S), AND THE TIME PERIOD OVER WHICH COSTS WILL BE INCURRED. CAPITAL AND START-UP COSTS INCLUDE, AMONG OTHER ITEMS, PREPARATIONS FOR COLLECTING INFORMATION SUCH AS PURCHASING COMPUTERS AND SOFTWARE; MONITORING, SAMPLING, DRILLING AND TESTING EQUIPMENT; AND RECORD STORAGE FACILITIES.

-IF COST ESTIMATES ARE EXPECTED TO VARY WIDELY, AGENCIES SHOULD PRESENT RANGES OF COST BURDENS

AND EXPLAIN THE REASONS FOR THE VARIANCE. THE COST OF PURCHASING OR CONTRACTING OUT INFORMATION COLLECTION SERVICES SHOULD BE A PART OF THIS COST BURDEN ESTIMATE. IN DEVELOPING COST BURDEN ESTIMATES, AGENCIES MAY CONSULT WITH A SAMPLE OF RESPONDENTS (FEWER THAN 10), UTILIZE THE 60-DAY PRE-OMB SUBMISSION PUBLIC COMMENT PROCESS AND USE EXISTING ECONOMIC OR REGULATORY IMPACT ANALYSIS ASSOCIATED WITH THE RULEMAKING CONTAINING THE INFORMATION COLLECTION, AS APPROPRIATE.

-GENERALLY, ESTIMATES SHOULD NOT INCLUDE PURCHASES OF EQUIPMENT OR SERVICES, OR PORTIONS THEREOF, MADE (1) PRIOR TO OCTOBER 1, 1995, (2) TO ACHIEVE REGULATORY COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS NOT ASSOCIATED WITH THE INFORMATION COLLECTION, (3) FOR REASONS OTHER THAN TO PROVIDE INFORMATION OR KEEP RECORDS FOR THE GOVERNMENT, OR (4) AS PART OF CUSTOMARY AND USUAL BUSINESS OR PRIVATE PRACTICES.

Additional costs to respondents are as follows:

\$59,500	-	Training Material
350	-	Postage
2,000	-	Miscellaneous Expenses
<u>190</u>	-	Printing of Defective Tags (4,758 TAGS @ 4 cents each)
\$62,040		

14. PROVIDE ESTIMATES OF ANNUALIZED COST TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. ALSO, PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION OF THE METHOD USED TO ESTIMATE COSTS, WHICH SHOULD INCLUDE QUANTIFICATION OF HOURS, OPERATIONAL EXPENSES SUCH AS EQUIPMENT, OVERHEAD, PRINTING, AND SUPPORT STAFF, AND ANY OTHER EXPENSE THAT WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN INCURRED WITHOUT THIS COLLECTION OF INFORMATION. AGENCIES ALSO MAY AGGREGATE COST ESTIMATES FROM ITEMS 12, 13, AND 14 IN A SINGLE TABLE.

\$ 3,000	-	Publish Federal Register Notices pertaining to waivers and petitions
78,000	-	2,000 hours @ \$39 per hour for review of documents
<u>1,000</u>	-	Miscellaneous expense

\$82,000

15. EXPLAIN THE REASONS FOR ANY PROGRAM CHANGES OR ADJUSTMENTS REPORTED IN ITEMS 13 OR 14 OF THE OMB FORM 83-I.

The total number of burden hours has decreased by *1,364 hours* for this collection of information. The decrease is due to both program changes and adjustments. The following **program changes** reflect *increases* in burden:

(1) Under § 238.229A(2), a new requirement was added concerning passenger equipment placed in service prior to January 1, 2007, with a safety appliance directly attached to the equipment by welding. Specifically, this equipment will be considered defective and immediately handled for repair pursuant to the requirements in § 238.17(e) unless the railroad submits a written list to FRA identifying each piece of passenger equipment so equipped. This program change increased the burden by *22 hours* (from zero (0) hours to 22 hours).

(2) Under § 238.229E, a new requirement regarding the training of inspection personnel to identify defective weld conditions was added. This program change increased the burden by *176 hours* (from zero (0) hours to 176 hours).

(3) Under § 238.230A, a new requirement regarding the inspection of welds by qualified personnel and a record of these inspections was added. This program change increased the burden by *10 hours* (from zero (0) hours to 10 hours).

(4) Under § 238.231D, a new requirement regarding the development and adoption of procedures to secure unattended equipment was added. This program change increased the burden by *44 hours* (from zero (0) hours to 44 hours).

Total burden *increases* from **program changes** amount to *252 hours*.

There were also **adjustments** that resulted in both increases and decreases. Specifically, there is one adjustment that results in a burden increase.

(1) Under § 229.47, FRA revised (raised) its estimate of the number of emergency valves that must be stenciled or marked with the words “Emergency Brake Valve.” This change in estimate *increases* the burden by *one (1) hour*.

The following estimates are revised and show *decreases* in burden:

(1) Under § 238.7, FRA revised (lowered) the estimate for the number of waivers received (from nine (9) to five (5)), with zero comprehensive waivers that previously took 25 hours

each to complete). This change in estimate *decreases* the burden by *54 hours* (from 64 hours to 10 hours).

(2) Under § 238.103(a) regarding fire protection for new equipment, FRA revised (lowered) the average burden time to develop equipment designs (from 540 hours to 300 hours). This change in estimate *decreases* the burden by *960 hours* (from 2,160 hours to 1,200 hours).

(3) Under § 238.103(a) regarding subsequent equipment orders, FRA revised (lowered) the average burden time to develop equipment designs (from 60 hours to 45 hours). This change in estimate *decreases* the burden by *60 hours* (from 240 hours to 180 hours).

(4) Under § 238.103 regarding fire protection for existing equipment, FRA revised (lowered) the number of analyses completed (from 10 to five (5)). This change in estimate *decreases* the burden by *150 hours* (from 300 hours to 150 hours).

(5) Under § 238.229H regarding records, FRA previously accounted for training in another part (E) of this section. Strictly accounting for only records *decreases* the burden for this requirement by *189 hours* (from 798 hours to 609 hours).

(6) Under § 238.303C (e)(17), FRA revised (lowered) the number of documents that will be submitted (from six (6) to four (4)). This change in estimate *decreases* the burden by *four (4) hours* (from 12 hours to 8 hours).

(7) Under § 238.307(C)(2), FRA revised (lowered) its estimate of the number of reliability assessment documents received (from five (5) to three (3)). This change in estimate *decreases* the burden by *200 hours* (from 500 hours to 300 hours).

Total burden decreases due to **adjustments** then amount to 1,617 hours.

The current inventory burden shows a total of 4,512,738 hours, while this submission reflects a total burden of 4,511,374 hours. Hence, there is a decrease of 1,364 hours.

There is no change in burden cost since the last submission.

16. FOR COLLECTIONS OF INFORMATION WHOSE RESULTS WILL BE PUBLISHED, OUTLINE PLANS FOR TABULATION, AND PUBLICATION. ADDRESS ANY COMPLEX ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES THAT WILL BE USED. PROVIDE THE TIME SCHEDULE FOR THE ENTIRE PROJECT, INCLUDING BEGINNING AND ENDING DATES OF THE COLLECTION OF INFORMATION, COMPLETION OF REPORT, PUBLICATION DATES, AND OTHER ACTIONS.

FRA has no plans to publish this information.

17. IF SEEKING APPROVAL TO NOT DISPLAY THE EXPIRATION DATE FOR OMB APPROVAL OF THE INFORMATION COLLECTION, EXPLAIN THE REASONS THAT DISPLAY WOULD BE INAPPROPRIATE.

Once OMB approval is received, FRA will publish the approval number for these information collection requirements in the Federal Register.

18. EXPLAIN EACH EXCEPTION TO THE CERTIFICATION STATEMENT IDENTIFIED IN ITEM 19, "CERTIFICATION FOR PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT SUBMISSIONS," OF OMB FORM 83-I.

No exceptions are taken at this time.

Meeting Department of Transportation (DOT) Strategic Goals

This information collection supports four of the five DOT strategic goals. First, it supports the Department's highest strategic goal, namely transportation safety. The rule (and corresponding information collection) seeks to reduce the number and severity of railroad accidents/incidents by ensuring that brake equipment used in freight operations throughout the United States is properly inspected, tested, and maintained. In particular, written standard operating requirements will force railroads to analyze the safety impacts of the various ways to handle potentially dangerous situations. These operating requirements will formalize what is already being practiced by many railroads. FRA believes that the forethought required to develop these procedures will pre-empt many mistakes that cause dangerous situations to develop. By reducing safety risks, there should be a corresponding reduction in the number of accidents/incidents, and severity of injuries to railroad employees and members of the general public.

Training record requirements will further enhance rail transportation safety. Training records will be used by railroads to demonstrate that the individuals responsible for train brake system inspection, maintenance, and tests meet the minimum qualification requirements prescribed in this rule. FRA will have access to these records. As a result, it can independently assess whether the training provided to a specific individual adequately addresses the tasks for which that individual is deemed capable of performing. Agency access to and review of training records will serve to minimize potential abuses by railroads to use insufficiently qualified or trained individuals to perform necessary inspections, tests, and maintenance required by this Part. The training and qualification requirements will be the means by which FRA can judge the effectiveness and appropriateness of a railroad's

training and qualification program. By using properly qualified and trained individuals, brake equipment will be properly serviced and maintained, and thus placed in better and safer condition. Because the rule clarifies tagging requirements, contains provisions regarding the placement of defective equipment, and provides a consistent method for calculating the percentage of operative brakes on a train, FRA believes that it is more likely that defective equipment will be moved in a safe and proper manner. This too serves DOT's top strategic goal.

Second, this information collection supports the DOT strategic goal of mobility. By ensuring rail passenger equipment and rail brake equipment which are in better and safer condition, the overall safety of the system is enhanced, and flexibility of choice is maintained for Americans wishing to travel. This provides the public with another travel option, and individuals can decide for themselves on that mode of transportation which best suits their needs and desires. A safer rail system will be more accessible, more efficient, and thus more popular.

Third, this information collection supports the Human and Natural Environment strategic goal in a very important way. By reducing the number and severity of railroad accidents/incidents and resulting property damage, communities and the natural environment affected will be protected. This is especially true in the case of passenger train-tanker truck collisions and other accidents/incidents involving hazardous materials that are caused by defective brakes. Fewer accidents/incidents will translate into fewer pollutants, and other possible toxic substances being released into the natural environment. This will serve to promote the sustainability and livability of communities throughout the country.

Finally, this information collection supports National Security. Passenger cars which are safe would be a crucial component to move the military, as well as the general public, in the event of a national emergency. In a world filled with terrorism and instability, getting large numbers of people to specific destinations on schedule would doubtless greatly serve the national interest and indeed enhance national security.

In this information collection as in all its information collection activities, FRA seeks to do its very best to fulfill DOT Strategic Goals and to be an integral part of One DOT.