

QUESTIONNAIRE COVER LETTER
For REU Faculty Mentors

Subject line: NSF Research Experiences for Undergraduates (REU) Questionnaire

Dear Dr. [insert last name],

We are conducting a study for NSF on its Research Experiences for Undergraduates (REU) Program and would appreciate your participation. Your name was provided to us by [insert PI name] as someone who mentored/supervised undergraduate students in the REU Program during [insert time period].

Our survey questionnaire can be accessed by clicking on this link:

[insert link to questionnaire—respondent’s survey ID number will be imbedded]

If you did not mentor/supervise REU undergraduate students during this time period but did do so [insert alternate time period], please reply to this e-mail with “[insert alternate time period]” in the subject line and we will redirect you to the correct questionnaire.

If you have not mentored/supervised REU undergraduate students at all during Summer 2006 through Spring 2007, please reply to this e-mail with “NOT REU” in the subject line, and we will remove your name from our survey sample.

All your responses will be strictly confidential and will be used only in combination with those from other respondents. The ID number included in the survey URL allows us to cross your name off our contact list once we have received your questionnaire and to send you a summary of the study results.

For more information about our study, please see the overview below. If you have any questions or problems with the survey, simply reply to this e-mail.

Please complete and submit the questionnaire as soon as possible. Your participation is important to the success of this study.

Sincerely yours,

Mary P. Hancock
Study Director
SRI International
1100 Wilson Blvd. Suite 2800
Arlington, VA 22209

STUDY OVERVIEW

What is the objective of this study?

The objective of the study is to obtain in-depth information about the activities, outcomes, and impacts of the NSF Directorate of Engineering (ENG) Research Experiences for Undergraduates (REU) Program from the perspectives of the faculty and undergraduate student participants. It is anticipated that the study results will help NSF better understand the components and characteristics of effective REUs and thus will help provide direction to ENG's REU program officers in their reviews of REU proposals and in the advice they give to REU PIs. The study is NOT an evaluation of outcomes from individual NSF awards or the people involved with them.

How was I selected for this study?

All undergraduate students, faculty mentors, and principal investigators (PIs) who participated in NSF ENG REU awards during summer 2006 through spring 2007 are included in this study. The PIs of those awards were contacted earlier this year and provided us with the names and contact information of undergraduate students who engaged in REU activities during FY 2006, as well as faculty who mentored/supervised those students.

Can I get a copy of the study results?

We will send all survey participants a brief summary of the survey results later this year. The study report will be available on SRI's Web site at <http://www.sri.com:8000/policy/csted/reports/university>.

Who funded the study and who is involved?

The project is funded by the National Science Foundation and conducted by researchers from SRI International (formerly Stanford Research Institute) <http://www.sri.com/policy>.

Privacy Notice

Information from this survey will be retained by the National Science Foundation (NSF), a federal agency, and will be an integral part of its Privacy Act System of Records in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974 and maintained in the Education and Training System of Records 63 Fed. Reg. 264, 272 (January 5, 1998). These are confidential files accessible only to appropriate NSF officials, their staffs, and their contractors responsible for monitoring, assessing, and evaluating NSF programs. Only data in highly aggregated form will be made available to anyone outside of NSF for research purposes. Data submitted will be used in accordance with criteria established by NSF for monitoring research and education grants, and in response to Public Law 99-383 and 42 USC 1885c.

Where can I find more information about the project?

Contact the SRI study director, Mary Hancock (360-380-4126, mary.hancock@sri.com) or the NSF project officer, Joanne Culbertson (jculbert@nsf.gov, 703-292-4602).

QUESTIONNAIRE COVER LETTER
For REU PIs

Subject line: NSF Research Experiences for Undergraduates (REU) Questionnaire

Dear Dr. [insert last name],

Thank you very much for your help earlier this year providing us with names and contact information for the study we are conducting for NSF on its Research Experiences for Undergraduates (REU) Program.

We ask you now to complete our survey questionnaire by clicking on this link:

[insert link to questionnaire—respondent's survey ID number will be imbedded]

All your responses will be strictly confidential and will be used only in combination with those from other respondents. The ID number included in the survey URL allows us to cross your name off our contact list once we have received your questionnaire and to send you a summary of the study results.

For more information about our study, please see the overview below. If you have any questions or problems with the survey, simply reply to this e-mail.

Please complete and submit the questionnaire as soon as possible. Your participation is important to the success of this study.

Sincerely yours,

Mary P. Hancock
Study Director
SRI International
1100 Wilson Blvd. Suite 2800
Arlington, VA 22209

STUDY OVERVIEW

What is the objective of this study?

The objective of the study is to obtain in-depth information about the activities, outcomes, and impacts of the NSF Directorate of Engineering (ENG) Research Experiences for Undergraduates (REU) Program from the perspectives of the faculty and undergraduate student participants. It is anticipated that the study results will help NSF better understand the components and characteristics of effective REUs and thus will help provide direction to ENG's REU program officers in their reviews of REU proposals and in the advice they give to REU PIs. The study is NOT an evaluation of outcomes from individual NSF awards or the people involved with them.

How was I selected for this study?

All undergraduate students, faculty mentors, and principal investigators (PIs) who participated in NSF ENG REU awards during summer 2006 through spring 2007 are included in this study. The PIs of those awards were contacted earlier this year and provided us with the names and contact information of undergraduate students who engaged in REU activities during FY 2006, as well as faculty who mentored/supervised those students.

Can I get a copy of the study results?

We will send all survey participants a brief summary of the survey results later this year. The study report will be available on SRI's Web site at <http://www.sri.com:8000/policy/csted/reports/university>.

Who funded the study and who is involved?

The project is funded by the National Science Foundation and conducted by researchers from SRI International (formerly Stanford Research Institute) <http://www.sri.com/policy>.

Privacy Notice

Information from this survey will be retained by the National Science Foundation (NSF), a federal agency, and will be an integral part of its Privacy Act System of Records in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974 and maintained in the Education and Training System of Records 63 Fed. Reg. 264, 272 (January 5, 1998). These are confidential files accessible only to appropriate NSF officials, their staffs, and their contractors responsible for monitoring, assessing, and evaluating NSF programs. Only data in highly aggregated form will be made available to anyone outside of NSF for research purposes. Data submitted will be used in accordance with criteria established by NSF for monitoring research and education grants, and in response to Public Law 99-383 and 42 USC 1885c.

Where can I find more information about the project?

Contact the SRI study director, Mary Hancock (360-380-4126, mary.hancock@sri.com) or the NSF project officer, Joanne Culbertson (jculbert@nsf.gov, 703-292-4602).

**NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION DIRECTORATE FOR ENGINEERING
RESEARCH EXPERIENCES FOR UNDERGRADUATES (REU)
PROGRAM**

Summer 2006 Faculty Mentor Survey

Public Burden

Submission of the requested information is voluntary. Pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.5(b), an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to an information collection unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The OMB control number for this collection is _____. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 30 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Suzanne Plimpton, Reports Clearance Officer for OMB Collection 3145-0121 (ENG REU), Facilities and Operations Branch, Division of Administrative Services, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Blvd., Suite 295, Arlington, VA 22230.

Your responses to this questionnaire are strictly confidential.

Selection Criteria

1. Were you involved in selecting undergraduates to participate in the summer 2006 program for an Engineering Research Center (ERC) or REU Site?

(PLEASE CIRCLE ONE NUMBER)

Yes..... 1 → PLEASE CONTINUE

No..... 2 → PLEASE SKIP TO QUESTION 7

2. How important was each of the following in selecting undergraduates to participate in the research activities of your summer 2006 program? **(PLEASE CIRCLE ONE NUMBER IN EACH ROW)**

	Not Important	Somewhat Important	Fairly Important	Extremely Important
a. Overall grade point average	1	2	3	4
b. Grade point average in major or selected courses	1	2	3	4
c. Major	1	2	3	4
d. Courses taken	1	2	3	4
e. Student's essay	1	2	3	4

f. Letter(s) of recommendation	1	2	3	4
g. Student's interests match faculty interests	1	2	3	4
h. Interview or other personal contact with student	1	2	3	4
i. Motivation, enthusiasm	1	2	3	4
j. Obtaining a racially/ethnically diverse group	1	2	3	4
k. Including a good mix of male and female students	1	2	3	4
l. Including students with disabilities	1	2	3	4

3. In selecting undergraduates for participation in your summer 2006 program, which of the following did you tend to prefer? **NOTE: These preferences may be determined by the target audience specified in your grant.**

A. THOSE WHO WERE UNDECIDED ABOUT GRADUATE SCHOOL OR THOSE WHO WERE ALREADY COMMITTED TO GOING TO GRADUATE SCHOOL **(PLEASE CIRCLE ONE NUMBER)**

- Those who were undecided..... 1
- Those who were committed..... 2
- Some of both..... 3
- Other (*please specify:*)..... 4
- _____
- No preference..... 5

B. THOSE WITH RESEARCH EXPERIENCE OR THOSE WITH NO RESEARCH EXPERIENCE **(PLEASE CIRCLE ONE NUMBER)**

- Research experience..... 1
- No research experience..... 2
- Some of both..... 3
- Other (*please specify:*)..... 4
- _____
- No preference..... 5

C. THOSE FROM SCHOOLS WITH FEW UNDERGRADUATE RESEARCH OPPORTUNITIES OR THOSE FROM SCHOOLS WITH MANY UNDERGRADUATE RESEARCH OPPORTUNITIES **(PLEASE CIRCLE ONE NUMBER)**

- Those from schools with few undergraduate research opportunities.... 1
- Those from schools with many undergraduate research opportunities.... 2
- Some of both..... 3
- Other (*please specify:*)..... 4
- _____
- No preference..... 5

D. RISING SOPHOMORES OR JUNIORS OR SENIORS **(PLEASE CIRCLE ONE OR MORE NUMBERS)**

- Rising sophomores..... 1

- Rising juniors..... 2
- Rising seniors..... 3
- Some from all classes..... 4
- No preference..... 5

Other important selection criteria: _____

4. How many undergraduates **applied** to participate in research in your summer 2006 ERC or REU Site program?

Please include all applicants, regardless of the potential source of their support (PLEASE ENTER YOUR BEST ESTIMATE. ENTER "99" IF YOU HAVE NO IDEA)

Number: _____

5. How many undergraduates **participated** in the summer 2006 program?

Please include all participants, regardless of the potential source of their support. (PLEASE ENTER YOUR BEST ESTIMATE)

Number: _____

6. Did any K-12 teachers or community college faculty participate in research in your summer 2006 ERC or REU Site program? **(PLEASE CIRCLE ONE NUMBER)**

Yes..... 1

No..... 2

Barriers to Increased Undergraduate Research

7. How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about the number of undergraduates who conduct research? **(PLEASE CIRCLE ONE NUMBER IN EACH ROW)**

In my department, we probably would include more undergraduates in our research if...	Disagree	Disagree Somewhat	Agree Somewhat	Agree	Have No Idea/ Doesn't Apply
a. ...we had financial support for more undergraduates	1	2	3	4	9
b. ...we had more faculty or researchers available/willing to be mentors/supervisors	1	2	3	4	9
c. ...we had more grad students or post-docs available/willing to be mentors/supervisors	1	2	3	4	9
d. ...there were more undergraduates who were interested	1	2	3	4	9
e. ...there were more undergraduates who were qualified	1	2	3	4	9
f. ...we had more lab space, facilities, or equipment	1	2	3	4	9
g. ...we had more financial support for program administration	1	2	3	4	9

Other barriers to increased undergraduate participation in research: _____

Your Undergraduate Research Mentoring/Supervising Last Summer (2006)

8. For how many undergraduates, if any, were you **personally** a research mentor/supervisor in summer 2006 (regardless of the source of their support)?

Number: _____ **IF NONE, PLEASE SKIP TO QUESTION 19**

9. What was the average number of hours **per week** that you spent engaged in **research-related mentoring activities** with **all** of the undergraduates you mentored/supervised last summer? **(PLEASE CIRCLE YOUR BEST ESTIMATE)**

Average time mentoring per week:

- Less than 1 hour per week..... 1
- 1 hour up to 5 hours per week..... 2
- 5 hours up to 10 hours per week..... 3
- 10 hours up to 20 hours per week..... 4
- 20 hours or more per week..... 5
- Have no idea..... 9

10. Which of the following did **any** of the undergraduates you mentored/supervised do as part of their experiences last summer? **(PLEASE CIRCLE ONE OR MORE NUMBERS)**

- Wrote a proposal describing the research they planned to do..... 1
- Collected and/or analyzed data or information to try to answer a research question..... 2
- Gained increasing independence over the course of the summer..... 3
- Were able to complete their research project (either during the summer or later)..... 4
- Mentored other students conducting research or led a student research team..... 5
- Understood how their work contributed to the “bigger picture” of research in this field..... 6
- Attended lectures/seminars on research ethics..... 7
- Went on research-related field trip(s) (to other labs, universities, industry, etc.)..... 8
- Attended student conference(s) that included students from multiple colleges..... 9
- Attended professional conference(s) (conferences not specifically for students)..... 10
- Received training in written or oral communication skills..... 11
- Prepared/presented a poster presentation describing their research and results..... 12
- Prepared a final written research report describing their research and results..... 13
- Delivered an oral presentation describing their research and results..... 14
- Authored or co-authored a paper that has been or will be submitted for publication in a professional journal..... 15

Worked on research with K-12 grade teachers..... 16

11. To what extent, if at all, do you think your undergraduates' experiences last summer **increased** each of the following? **(PLEASE CIRCLE ONE NUMBER IN EACH ROW)**

	How Much Each Increased:				
	Not At All	Some-what	A Fair Amount	A Great Deal	Have No Idea
Their understanding of...					
a. ... how to formulate a research question	1	2	3	4	9
b. ... how to plan a research project	1	2	3	4	9
c. ... how to conduct a research project	1	2	3	4	9
d. ...how to deal with setbacks, "negative results," etc.	1	2	3	4	9
e. ...how engineering knowledge is built	1	2	3	4	9
f. ...the nature of the job of a researcher	1	2	3	4	9
Their skills/abilities in...					
g. ...working collaboratively with others	1	2	3	4	9
h. ...working independently	1	2	3	4	9
i. ...preparing written research reports, papers, or posters	1	2	3	4	9
j. ...delivering oral research presentations	1	2	3	4	9
Their awareness of...					
k. ...career paths of the faculty in the program (how you got to where you are now)	1	2	3	4	9
l. ...what graduate school is like	1	2	3	4	9
m. ...the variety of engineering fields they could specialize in	1	2	3	4	9
n. ...career options in engineering	1	2	3	4	9
o. ...ethical issues in conducting research	1	2	3	4	9
Their...					
p. ... confidence in their research skills generally	1	2	3	4	9
q. ...confidence in their ability to succeed in graduate school	1	2	3	4	9
r. ...qualifications for jobs in related fields	1	2	3	4	9

Academic Field

12. Which of the following best describe the academic field(s) of your summer 2006 research?
(PLEASE CIRCLE ONE OR MORE NUMBERS)

- | | |
|---|---|
| Aerospace engineering.....1 | Materials or metallurgy engineering.....11.. |
| Agricultural engineering.....2 | Mechanical engineering.....12.. |
| Architectural engineering.....3 | Mining or mineral engineering.....13.. |
| Bioengineering or biomedical engineering.....4 | Nuclear engineering.....14.. |
| Chemical engineering.....5 | Ocean, marine, or naval engineering.....15.. |
| Civil engineering.....6 | Systems engineering.....16.. |
| Computer engineering.....7 | Transportation engineering.....17.. |
| Electrical engineering.....8 | Other engineering.....18.
<i>(please specify below)</i> |
| Environmental engineering.....9 | A non-engineering field.....19..
<i>(please specify below)</i> |
| Industrial or manufacturing engineering.....10..... | |

Please specify “other engineering” or “non-engineering field”:

Your Experience with Undergraduates and Undergraduate Research Generally

13. For how many years have you mentored/supervised undergraduates doing research, including mentoring/supervising you might have done as a grad student or post-doc?
(PLEASE ENTER YOUR BEST ESTIMATE)

Number of years: _____

14. Did you yourself do research as an undergraduate? **(PLEASE CIRCLE ONE NUMBER)**

Yes..... 1 → **PLEASE CONTINUE**

No..... 2 → **PLEASE SKIP TO QUESTION 16**

15. How important were your undergraduate research experiences to your decision to pursue teaching/research as a career? **(PLEASE CIRCLE ONE NUMBER)**

Not Important	Somewhat Important	Fairly Important	Extremely Important	Have No Idea
1	2	3	4	9

16. How much do you disagree or agree with each of the following statements about undergraduates and undergraduate research? (PLEASE CIRCLE ONE NUMBER IN EACH ROW)

	Disagree	Disagree Somewhat	Agree Somewhat	Agree	Have No Idea/ Doesn't Apply
a. My own positive experiences doing undergraduate research help motivate me to be a mentor.	1	2	3	4	9
b. Undergraduates have opened my eyes to things in my research I probably would have overlooked.	1	2	3	4	9
c. Involving undergraduates in my research gives me the opportunity to do something risky.	1	2	3	4	9
d. Involving undergraduates in my research enables me to expand the avenues of investigation that I can pursue.	1	2	3	4	9
e. I get a lot of personal satisfaction out of working with undergraduates doing research.	1	2	3	4	9
f. My work lends itself well to undergraduate participation.	1	2	3	4	9
g. If there were no external pressures to do so, I probably would <u>not</u> involve undergraduates in my research.	1	2	3	4	9
h. If I did not receive funding specifically for including undergraduates, I probably would not involve them in my research.	1	2	3	4	9
i. All in all, involving undergraduates in my research has been more of a burden than an asset to my research.	1	2	3	4	9
j. All in all, mentoring undergraduates is a good experience for graduate students.	1	2	3	4	9
k. Mentoring undergraduates during the summer program is a good way to recruit them to be graduate students in my lab/department.	1	2	3	4	9
l. Mentoring undergraduates is viewed favorably in my department's tenure/promotion review process.	1	2	3	4	9
m. Research is a good experience for undergraduates, regardless of their decisions about career or advanced degrees.	1	2	3	4	9
n. Research experiences are more valuable for students who will pursue research or teaching careers than for those who will not.	1	2	3	4	9

17. Which of the following best describes **your department's** position on undergraduate research mentoring/supervising? **(PLEASE CIRCLE ONE NUMBER)**

- Faculty are required to serve as mentors/supervisors on undergraduate research..... 1
 - Faculty are not required to mentor/supervise undergraduate research, but are encouraged to do so..... 2
 - The department takes no position on mentoring/supervising undergraduate research..... 3
 - Other (*please specify below*)..... 4
-

18. In **your experience**, how important are the following to providing a high quality research experience for undergraduates? **(PLEASE CIRCLE ONE NUMBER IN EACH ROW)**

	Not Important	Somewhat Important	Fairly Important	Extremely Important	Too Much Variation Among Students to Generalize	Have No Idea
a. Open and regular communication between the student and a mentor/supervisor (either faculty or graduate student)	1	2	3	4	0	9
b. A research project that is closely related to the student's regular academic course work	1	2	3	4	0	9
c. Involving the student in designing or selecting his/her project	1	2	3	4	0	9
d. Making the student feel as though he/she is an integral part of the project team	1	2	3	4	0	9
e. Providing sound technical guidance	1	2	3	4	0	9
f. Giving the student independence in conducting his/her research	1	2	3	4	0	9

Background Information

Note: The following information will help us interpret your responses to the previous questions. **All** your responses to this questionnaire are **strictly confidential** and will not be released to anyone outside our small research project team.

19. By which of the following kinds of organizations are you currently employed?
(PLEASE CIRCLE ONE OR MORE NUMBERS)

- College/university..... 1
 - For-profit organization..... 2
 - Non-profit organization..... 3
 - Government lab..... 4
 - Other (*please specify below*).... 5
-

IF YOU ARE NOT CURRENTLY EMPLOYED IN ACADEMIA, PLEASE SKIP TO QUESTION 22

20. (If currently employed in academia) What is your current academic rank? (PLEASE CIRCLE ONE NUMBER)

- Professor..... 1
 - Associate professor..... 2
 - Assistant professor..... 3
 - Adjunct professor..... 4
 - Instructor or lecturer..... 5
 - Research associate..... 6
 - Other (*please specify below*).... 7
-

21. (If currently employed in academia) What is your current tenure status? (PLEASE CIRCLE ONE NUMBER)

- Doesn't apply: No tenure system here..... 1
- Tenured..... 2
- On tenure track but not tenured..... 3
- Not on tenure track..... 4

22. What is your age? (PLEASE CIRCLE ONE NUMBER)

- Under 30..... 1
- 30 to 39..... 2
- 40 to 49..... 3
- 50 to 59..... 4
- 60 to 69..... 5
- 70 or older..... 6

23. What is your sex? **(PLEASE CIRCLE ONE NUMBER)**
 Male..... 1
 Female..... 2
24. What is your ethnicity? **(PLEASE CIRCLE ONE NUMBER)**
 Hispanic or Latino..... 1
 Not Hispanic or Latino... 2
25. What is your race? **(PLEASE CIRCLE ONE OR MORE NUMBERS)**
 American Indian or Alaskan Native..... 1
 Asian..... 2
 Black or African American..... 3
 Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander..... 4
 White..... 5

Overview

26. What is your single most important objective in mentoring/supervising undergraduate research?
27. How do you think NSF can improve the Research Experiences for Undergraduates (REU) experience for faculty mentors?
28. How do you think NSF can improve the REU experience for undergraduate students?
29. How do you think NSF can involve more undergraduate students in the REU program?
30. Other comments:

THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION IN THIS STUDY

If you have questions, please contact Mary Hancock: 360-380-4126, mary.hancock@sri.com

**NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION DIRECTORATE FOR ENGINEERING
RESEARCH EXPERIENCES FOR UNDERGRADUATES (REU)
PROGRAM**

Fall 2006-Spring 2007 Faculty Mentor Survey

Public Burden

Submission of the requested information is voluntary. Pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.5(b), an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to an information collection unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The OMB control number for this collection is _____. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 30 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Suzanne Plimpton, Reports Clearance Officer for OMB Collection 3145-0121 (ENG REU), Facilities and Operations Branch, Division of Administrative Services, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Blvd., Suite 295, Arlington, VA 22230.

Your responses to this questionnaire are strictly confidential.

Undergraduate Participants in Your Research Fall 2006 Through Spring 2007

1. For how many undergraduates have you been a research mentor/supervisor during the current academic year, **regardless of the source of their support?** (Please include only those with whom you have worked in a one-on-one mentoring relationship. Do **not** include undergraduates who are employed as technicians.)

NOTE: By “current academic year,” we mean Fall 2006 – Spring 2007

Number: _____ **IF NONE, PLEASE STOP HERE**

2. How selective are you in accepting undergraduates for participation in your research?
(PLEASE CIRCLE ONE NUMBER)

- I am selective..... 1 → **PLEASE CONTINUE**
- I take pretty much anyone who wants to participate..... 2 → **PLEASE SKIP TO QUESTION 5**
- Someone else selects undergraduates for me..... 3 → **PLEASE SKIP TO QUESTION 5**
- Doesn't apply: Students are required to do research..... 4 → **PLEASE SKIP TO QUESTION 5**

3. How important is each of the following in selecting undergraduates to participate in your research?
(PLEASE CIRCLE ONE NUMBER IN EACH ROW)

	Not Important	Somewhat Important	Fairly Important	Extremely Important
a. Overall grade point average	1	2	3	4

b. Grade point average in major or selected courses	1	2	3	4
c. Major	1	2	3	4
d. Courses taken	1	2	3	4
e. Prior contact with student	1	2	3	4

(continued)

3. (concluded) How important is each of the following in selecting undergraduates to participate in your research?

(PLEASE CIRCLE ONE NUMBER IN EACH ROW)

	Not Important	Somewhat Important	Fairly Important	Extremely Important
f. Motivation, enthusiasm	1	2	3	4
g. Student's interests match faculty interests	1	2	3	4
h. Interest in research as a career	1	2	3	4
i. Have sufficient time available	1	2	3	4
j. Dependability	1	2	3	4
k. Obtaining a racially/ethnically diverse mix	1	2	3	4
l. Including a good mix of male and female students	1	2	3	4
m. Including students with disabilities	1	2	3	4

4. In selecting undergraduates for participation in your research for the current academic year, which of the following do you tend to prefer?

A. THOSE WHO WERE UNDECIDED ABOUT GRADUATE SCHOOL OR THOSE WHO WERE ALREADY COMMITTED TO GOING TO GRADUATE SCHOOL **(PLEASE CIRCLE ONE NUMBER)**

- Those who were undecided..... 1
- Those who were committed..... 2
- Some of both..... 3
- Other (*please specify*:)..... 4
- _____
- No preference..... 5

B. THOSE WITH RESEARCH EXPERIENCE OR THOSE WITH NO RESEARCH EXPERIENCE **(PLEASE CIRCLE ONE NUMBER)**

- Research experience..... 1
- No research experience..... 2
- Some of both..... 3
- Other (*please specify*:)..... 4
- _____
- No preference..... 5

C. FRESHMEN, OR SOPHOMORES OR JUNIORS OR SENIORS **(PLEASE CIRCLE ONE OR MORE NUMBERS)**

- Freshmen..... 1
- Sophomores..... 2
- Juniors..... 3
- Seniors..... 4
- Some from all classes..... 5
- No preference..... 6

Other important selection criteria: _____

Barriers to Increased Undergraduate Research

5. How much do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about the number of undergraduates who conduct research? **(PLEASE CIRCLE ONE NUMBER IN EACH ROW)**

In my department, we probably would include more undergraduates in our research if...	Disagree	Disagree Somewhat	Agree Somewhat	Agree	Have No Idea/ Doesn't Apply
a. ...we had financial support for more undergraduates	1	2	3	4	9
b. ...we had more faculty or researchers available/willing to be mentors/supervisors	1	2	3	4	9
c. ...we had more grad students or post-docs available/willing to be mentors/supervisors	1	2	3	4	9
d. ...there were more undergraduates who were interested	1	2	3	4	9
e. ...there were more undergraduates who were qualified	1	2	3	4	9
f. ...we had more lab space, facilities, or equipment	1	2	3	4	9
g. ...we had more financial support for program administration	1	2	3	4	9

Other barriers to increased undergraduate participation in research: _____

Your Undergraduate Research Mentoring/Supervising Activities This Academic Year

6. What was the average number of hours **per week** that you spent engaged in **research-related mentoring activities** with **all** of the undergraduates you mentored/supervised during the current academic year?

(PLEASE CIRCLE YOUR BEST ESTIMATE)

Average time mentoring per week:

- Less than 1 hour per week..... 1
- 1 hour up to 5 hours per week..... 2
- 5 hours up to 10 hours per week..... 3
- 10 hours up to 20 hours per week..... 4
- 20 hours or more per week..... 5

Have no idea..... 9

7. Which of the following have **any** of the undergraduates you are mentoring/supervising done during the current academic year? **(PLEASE CIRCLE ONE OR MORE NUMBERS)**

- Wrote a proposal describing the research they planned to do..... 1
- Collected and/or analyzed data or information to try to answer a research question..... 2
- Gained increasing independence over the course of the year..... 3
- Will be able to complete their research project (either during this year or later)..... 4
- Mentored other students conducting research or led a student research team..... 5
- Understood how their work contributes to the “bigger picture” of research in this field..... 6
- Attended/will attend lectures/seminars on research ethics..... 7
- Went/will go on research-related field trip(s) (to other labs, universities, industry, etc.)..... 8
- Attended/will attend student conference(s) that include students from multiple colleges..... 9
- Attended/will attend professional conference(s) (conferences not specifically for students) 10
- Received/will receive training in written or oral communication skills..... 11
- Prepared/will prepare a poster presentation describing their research and results..... 12
- Prepared/will prepare a final written research report describing their research and results... 13
- Delivered/will deliver an oral presentation describing their research and results..... 14
- Authored or co-authored a paper (or will do so) that has been or will be submitted for publication in a professional journal..... 15

8. To what extent, if at all, do you think your undergraduates’ experiences this year have **increased** each of the following? **(PLEASE CIRCLE ONE NUMBER IN EACH ROW)**

	How Much Each Increased:				
	Not At All	Some-what	A Fair Amount	A Great Deal	Have No Idea
Their understanding of...					
a. ... how to formulate a research question	1	2	3	4	9
b. ... how to plan a research project	1	2	3	4	9
c. ... how to conduct a research project	1	2	3	4	9
d. ...how to deal with setbacks, “negative results,” etc.	1	2	3	4	9
e. ...how scientific knowledge is built	1	2	3	4	9
f. ...the nature of the job of a researcher	1	2	3	4	9
Their skills/abilities in..					
g. ...working collaboratively with others	1	2	3	4	9
h. ...working independently	1	2	3	4	9
i. ...preparing written research reports, papers, or	1	2	3	4	9

posters					
j. ...delivering oral research presentations	1	2	3	4	9

8. (concluded) To what extent, if at all, do you think your undergraduates' experiences last summer **increased** each of the following? (PLEASE CIRCLE ONE NUMBER IN EACH ROW)

	How Much Each Increased:				
	Not At All	Some-what	A Fair Amount	A Great Deal	Have No Idea
Their awareness of...					
k. ...career paths of the faculty with whom they work (how you got to where you are now)	1	2	3	4	9
l. ...what graduate school is like	1	2	3	4	9
m. ...the variety of engineering fields they could specialize in	1	2	3	4	9
n. ...career options in engineering	1	2	3	4	9
o. ...ethical issues in conducting research	1	2	3	4	9
Their ...					
p. ... confidence in their research skills generally	1	2	3	4	9
q. ...confidence in their ability to succeed in graduate school	1	2	3	4	9
r. ...qualifications for jobs in related fields	1	2	3	4	9

Academic Field

9. Which of the following best describe the academic field(s) of your research this academic year?
(PLEASE CIRCLE ONE OR MORE NUMBERS)

- | | |
|---|---|
| Aerospace engineering.....1 | Materials or metallurgy engineering.....11. |
| Agricultural engineering.....2 | Mechanical engineering.....12.. |
| Architectural engineering.....3 | Mining or mineral engineering.....13.. |
| Bioengineering or biomedical engineering.....4 | Nuclear engineering.....14.. |
| Chemical engineering.....5 | Ocean, marine, or naval engineering.....15.. |
| Civil engineering.....6 | Systems engineering.....16.. |
| Computer engineering.....7 | Transportation engineering.....17.. |
| Electrical engineering.....8 | Other engineering.....18.
<i>(please specify below)</i> |
| Environmental engineering.....9 | A non-engineering field.....19..
<i>(please specify below)</i> |
| Industrial or manufacturing engineering.....10..... | |

Please specify “other engineering” or “non-engineering field”:

Your Experience with Undergraduates and Undergraduate Research Generally

10. For how many years have you mentored/supervised undergraduates doing research, including mentoring/supervising you might have done as a grad student or post-doc?
(PLEASE ENTER YOUR BEST ESTIMATE)

Number: _____

11. Did you yourself do research as an undergraduate? **(PLEASE CIRCLE ONE NUMBER)**

Yes..... 1 → PLEASE CONTINUE

No..... 2 → PLEASE SKIP TO QUESTION 13

12. How important were your undergraduate research experiences to your decision to pursue teaching/research as a career? **(PLEASE CIRCLE ONE NUMBER)**

Not important	Somewhat important	Fairly important	Extremely important	Have no idea
1	2	3	4	9

13. Which of the following best describes **your department's** position on undergraduate research mentoring/supervising? **(PLEASE CIRCLE ONE NUMBER)**

Faculty are required to serve as mentors/supervisors on undergraduate research..... 1

Faculty are not required to mentor/supervise undergraduate research, but are encouraged to do so..... 2

The department takes no position on mentoring/supervising undergraduate research..... 3

Other (*please specify below*)..... 4

.....

14. How much do you disagree or agree with each of the following statements about undergraduates and undergraduate research? (PLEASE CIRCLE ONE NUMBER IN EACH ROW)

	Disagree	Disagree Somewhat	Agree Somewhat	Agree	Have No Idea/ Doesn't Apply
a. My own positive experiences doing undergraduate research help motivate me to be a mentor.	1	2	3	4	9
b. Undergraduates have opened my eyes to things in my research I probably would have overlooked.	1	2	3	4	9
c. Involving undergraduates in my research gives me the opportunity to do something risky.	1	2	3	4	9
d. Involving undergraduates in my research enables me to expand the avenues of investigation that I can pursue.	1	2	3	4	9
e. I get a lot of personal satisfaction out of working with undergraduates doing research.	1	2	3	4	9
f. My work lends itself well to undergraduate participation.	1	2	3	4	9
g. If there were no external pressures to do so, I probably would <u>not</u> involve undergraduates in my research.	1	2	3	4	9
h. If I did not receive funding specifically for including undergraduates, I probably would not involve them in my research.	1	2	3	4	9
i. All in all, involving undergraduates in my research has been more of a burden than an asset to my research.	1	2	3	4	9
j. All in all, mentoring undergraduates is a good experience for graduate students.	1	2	3	4	9
k. Mentoring undergraduates during the summer program is a good way to recruit them to be graduate students in my lab/department.	1	2	3	4	9
l. Mentoring undergraduates is viewed favorably in my department's tenure/promotion review process.	1	2	3	4	9
m. Research is a good experience for undergraduates, regardless of their decisions about career or advanced degrees.	1	2	3	4	9
n. Research experiences are more valuable for students who will pursue research or teaching careers than for those who will not.	1	2	3	4	9

15. In **your experience**, how important are the following to providing a high quality research experience for undergraduates? **(PLEASE CIRCLE ONE NUMBER IN EACH ROW)**

	Not Important	Somewhat Important	Fairly Important	Extremely Important	Too Much Variation Among Students to Generalize	Have No Idea
a. Open and regular communication between the student and a mentor/supervisor (either faculty or graduate student)	1	2	3	4	0	9
b. A research project that is closely related to the student's regular academic course work	1	2	3	4	0	9
c. Involving the student in designing or selecting his/her project	1	2	3	4	0	9
d. Making the student feel as though he/she is an integral part of the project team	1	2	3	4	0	9
e. Providing sound technical guidance	1	2	3	4	0	9
f. Giving the student independence in conducting his/her research	1	2	3	4	0	9

Background Information

Note: The following information will help us interpret your responses to the previous questions. **All** your responses to this questionnaire are **strictly confidential** and will not be released to anyone outside our small research project team.

16. By which of the following kinds of organizations are you currently employed?
(PLEASE CIRCLE ONE OR MORE NUMBERS)

- College/university..... 1
- For-profit organization..... 2
- Non-profit organization..... 3
- Government lab..... 4
- Other (*please specify below*).... 5

IF YOU ARE NOT CURRENTLY EMPLOYED IN ACADEMIA, PLEASE SKIP TO QUESTION 19

17. (If currently employed in academia) What is your current academic rank? **(PLEASE CIRCLE ONE NUMBER)**

- Professor..... 1
 - Associate professor..... 2
 - Assistant professor..... 3
 - Adjunct professor..... 4
 - Instructor or lecturer..... 5
 - Research associate..... 6
 - Other (*please specify below*)... 7
-

18. (If currently employed in academia) What is your current tenure status? **(PLEASE CIRCLE ONE NUMBER)**

- Doesn't apply: No tenure system here..... 1
- Tenured..... 2
- On tenure track but not tenured..... 3
- Not on tenure track..... 4

19. What is your age? **(PLEASE CIRCLE ONE NUMBER)**

- Under 30..... 1
- 30 to 39..... 2
- 40 to 49..... 3
- 50 to 59..... 4
- 60 to 69..... 5
- 70 or older..... 6

20. What is your sex? **(PLEASE CIRCLE ONE NUMBER)**

- Male..... 1
- Female..... 2

21. What is your ethnicity? **(PLEASE CIRCLE ONE NUMBER)**

- Hispanic or Latino..... 1
- Not Hispanic or Latino... 2

22. What is your race? **(PLEASE CIRCLE ONE OR MORE NUMBERS)**

- American Indian or Alaskan Native..... 1
- Asian..... 2
- Black or African American..... 3
- Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander..... 4
- White..... 5

Overview

23. What is your single most important objective in mentoring/supervising undergraduate research?

24. How do you think NSF can improve the Research Experiences for Undergraduates (REU) experience for faculty mentors?

25. How do you think NSF can improve the REU experience for undergraduate students?

26. How do you think NSF can involve more undergraduate students in the REU program?

27. Other comments:

THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION IN THIS STUDY

If you have questions, please contact Mary Hancock: 360-380-4126, mary.hancock@sri.com