HUD Master Models (2007)

HH

Healthy Homes Demonstration Program Healthy Homes Demonstration Program

Purpose: To demonstrate better and more cost effective methodologies for reducing housing related environmental health and safety hazards in very low and low-income households with children under age of six.

Need Statement

Very low and low-income populations, especially households with children under the age of six, are at risk of housing related environmental health and safety hazards.

There is a need to develop, demonstrate, and promote cost effective preventive interventions to correct multiple safety and health hazards in the home.

There is a need to standardize the measurement of outcomes resulting from interventions and their impact on the health and safety of children under age six, especially children in very low and low-income households.

Activities and Outputs

Business opportunities - Other – Businesses	Businesses
Business opportunities - Other – Dollars	Dollars
Business opportunities - Section 3 – Businesses	Businesses
Business opportunities - Section 3 – Dollars	Dollars
Community participants meetings for input/feedback – Meeting	Meetings
Community participants meetings for input/feedback – Persons	Persons
Coordination with Weatherization Programs	Households
Education-community – Persons	Persons
Education-community – Sessions	Sessions
Education-household members	Persons
Education-medical establishment – Persons	Persons
Education-medical establishment – Sessions	Sessions
Employment opportunities-Other – Available jobs	Available jobs
Employment opportunities-Other – Persons	Persons
Employment opportunities-Section 3 – Available jobs	Available jobs
Employment opportunities-Section 3 – Persons	Persons
Households recruited	Households
Households recruited - children 6-17	Persons
Households recruited - children under age six	Persons
Housing assessment	Households
Mitigate asthma triggers-cockroaches-children 6-17	IPM Interventions
Mitigate asthma triggers-cockroaches-children under six	IPM Interventions
Mitigate asthma triggers-dust mite-children 6-17	IPM Interventions
Mitigate asthma triggers-dust mite-children under six	IPM Interventions
Mitigate asthma triggers-mold-children 6-17	Interventions
Mitigate asthma triggers-mold-children under six	Interventions
Mitigate asthma triggers-other-children 6-17	Interventions
Mitigate asthma triggers-other-under six	Interventions
Mitigate asthma triggers-rodents-children 6-17	IPM Interventions
Mitigate asthma triggers-rodents-children under six	IPM Interventions
Outreach materials disseminated-English	Materials
Outreach materials disseminated-LEP	LEP Materials
Outreach-distributing materials to targeted population	Persons
Partnerships with non-profits established	Partnerships
Referrals to medical establishment	Referrals
Resources leveraged-dollar value of labor & materials	Dollars
Resources leveraged-dollars	Dollars

Safety interventions-burn prevention-children 6-17	Interventions
Safety interventions-burn prevention-children under six	Interventions
Safety interventions-child proof locks-children under six	Interventions
Safety interventions-fall prevention-handrails-gateschildren 6-17	Interventions
Safety interventions-fall prevention-handrails-gates-children under six	Interventions
Safety interventions-smoke/CO detectors-children 6-17	Interventions
Safety interventions-smoke/CO detectors-children under six	Interventions
Safety interventions-smoking cessation	Interventions
Safety interventions-window guards-children 6-17	Interventions
Safety interventions-window guards-children under six	Interventions
Temporary relocation for families	Families
Temporary relocation for individuals	Persons
Training Opportunities - Other	Persons
Training Opportunities-Section 3	Persons
Other	Other

Outcomes and Indicators

Business opportunities - Other – Businesses	Businesses
Business opportunities - Other – Dollars	Dollars
Business opportunities - Section 3 – Businesses	Businesses
Business opportunities - Section 3 – Dollars	Dollars
Employment opportunities - Other – Available jobs	Available jobs
Employment opportunities - Other – Persons	Persons
Employment opportunities - Section 3 – Available jobs	Available jobs
Employment opportunities - Section 3 – Persons	Persons
Hazard assessment methods implemented-reduced costs	Dollars
Hazard reduction protocols implemented-reduced costs	Dollars
Improvements in health measures-incidence/severity-asthma	Reduced asthma
Improvements in health measures-incidence/severity-blood lead level	Reduced levels
New/improved tool-resident understanding of housing health hazards	Tool
Other	Other
Reduction in blood lead levels	Children
Reduction in household smoking-quit smoking	Persons
Reduction in housing related health hazards-improved health	Households
Reduction in housing related health hazards-improved safety	Households
Reduction-incidence/severity-asthma – ER visits	ER visits
Reduction-incidence/severity-asthma – Hospitalization days	Hospitalization
	days
Reduction-incidence/severity-asthma – Other	Other
Reduction-incidence/severity-asthma exacerbation	Physician visits
Training Opportunities-Other	Persons
Training Opportunities-Section 3	Persons
Other	Other

Evaluation Process – These are standard requirements that HUD will expect every program manager receiving a grant to do as part of their project management.

- An evaluation process will be part of the on-going management of the program.
- Comparisons will be made between projected and actual numbers for both outputs and outcomes.
- Deviations from projected outputs and outcomes will be documented and explained.
- Analysis of data to determine the relationship of outputs to outcomes; what outputs produce which outcomes.

HUD Will Use The Following Questions To Evaluate Your Program

- 1. How many persons are participating in the program?
- 2. How many persons under age six are participating in the program?
- 3. Did the project achieve its demonstration objectives?
- 4. If your program implemented new technologies for reducing housing related environmental health and safety hazards in very low and low-income households with children under age of six, identify the technology, and impact achieved.
- 5. Did your program identify more cost effective practices for reducing housing related environmental health and safety hazards in very low and low-income households with children under age of six?
- 6. What is the value of each of the cost effective practices implemented during this demonstration program?
- 7. What cost savings were realized as a result of conducting the demonstration?
- 8. If the approved project protocol changed as a result of field experience, explain any difference in the initial approved demonstration protocol resulting from actual project experience?
- 9. What activities, if any, significantly changed during project implementation?
- 10. What new practices would you incorporate into your program as a result of this demonstration project?
- 11. What is the likelihood that your project will result in improved field methods of intervention/remediation?
- 12. Has the community adopted Healthy Homes principles when undertaking rehabilitation or construction projects? If yes, please explain in narrative and indicate the number of units affected since implementation.

©Carter-Richmond Methodology™

The above evaluation questions developed for your program are based on the ©Carter-Richmond Methodology™. A description of the ©Carter-Richmond Methodology™ appears in the General Section of the NOFA

Carter-Richmond Methodology

The above Management Questions developed for your program are based on the Carter-Richmond Methodology1. A description of the Carter-Richmond Methodology appears in the General Section of the NOFA.

1© The Accountable Agency – How to Evaluate the Effectiveness of Public and Private Programs," Reginald Carter, ISBN Number 9780978724924.