TO:	Rachel Potter
	OMB Desk Officer

- THROUGH: Katrina Ingalls Kathy Axt Lee Eiden Regulatory Information Management Services
- THROUGH: Ray Simon, Deputy Secretary

FROM: Kerri Briggs, Acting Assistant Secretary Office of Planning, Evaluation and Policy Development

> Tyrrell Flawn, Executive Director National Mathematics Advisory Panel

SUBJECT: Justification for Emergency Information Clearance Process from OMB

Background: The National Mathematics Advisory Panel (Panel) was established within the President's American Competitiveness Initiative through Executive Order 13398, dated April 18, 2006 (Appendix A). Contained in the Executive Order is a requirement for the Panel to issue a Final Report to the President through the Secretary of Education not later than **February 28, 2008**.

The Panel was established because there is a growing national concern over the mathematical proficiency of young people who are now emerging from our schools or will emerge in the next decades. Our world is a world of ideas, a world of innovation, where national well-being rests largely on economic competitiveness, which inevitably and increasingly depends on broad respect for and command of mathematics in the workforce. Present evidence strongly suggests that the United States is not renewing its workforce with adequate rigor and foresight. The Panel is charged to advise the President and the Secretary to effectively make recommendations to meet this national need.

The Office of Planning, Evaluation, and Policy Development (OPEPD) manages the work of the Panel and this work has the highest priority in this office and is directly supported by the Deputy Secretary of Education, Ray Simon, who reports to the Secretary. Both Ray Simon and Kerri Briggs (Acting Assistant Secretary for OPEPD) are in support of this expedited clearance process.

Description of Information Collection: To meet the mission as stated in the Presidential Executive Order, the Panel requires the collection of information during the spring semester of 2007 from teachers currently teaching algebra. Because learning algebra is so often a turning point in a student's math education – when the student either thrives and moves forward or struggles and perhaps gives up on math – algebra teachers can offer a unique

perspective on math education that is well worth understanding in some detail. The Panel intends to conduct a national survey of public school algebra teachers to illuminate the experiences of algebra teachers in various kinds of school systems including, for example, low-income, minority, higher income, or ethnically diverse or non-diverse schools.

To obtain information and study this information to present findings for the Final Report, the Panel must conduct this survey immediately. Therefore, the Math Panel must survey algebra teachers this school term of 2007 by the beginning of the month of **April to mid June of 2007**, allowing teachers several weeks to respond to the survey before the end of the school year (that typically occurs in June). The Panel selected a company to develop the survey instrument and conduct this survey. This contractor was hired by February 8, 2007. A survey instrument was prepared and ready for OMB clearance on the February 28, 2007. The Department requires OMB approval of the collection by March 29, 2007.

The contractor will obtain the survey results from the teacher survey and present this information to the Panel by early May 2007. The Panel intends to study the survey responses, in-depth. Then, the Panel will construct research questions and obtain new research and evidence to address teacher-identified issues. The Panel will review results of the research and will summarize their findings. These findings will under-pin recommendations presented by the Panel in the final report.

Below is a timeline of activities that must occur in order for the Panel to submit its findings and recommendations in the Final Report. This timeline is wholly depended upon the survey of algebra teachers occurring in March and April of **2007**. It is not possible to obtain teacher survey results in the fall of 2007, study these findings and research educational studies to respond to the survey findings, and present new research to the Panel for their recommendations for the Final Report. From the timeline presented here, it is essential that the survey is conducted at the beginning of March 2007 so that teachers have enough time to respond to the survey and the contractor can present the results of the survey and obtain additional research information responding to teacher responses. The Panel requires time to determine findings from the new research information obtained by the contractor. Please see the timeline below:

National Mathematics Advisory Panel Algebra Teachers Survey Timeline

Critical Task	Current Status	Start Date	<u>Absolute</u> End Date
Award Contract with Gift Funds	Final Proposal Review	2/8/2007	2/8/2007
Review Teacher Survey Questionnaire	Panel Reviewing Questions	2/5/2007	2/8/2007
OMB Review of Teacher Survey Questionnaire and public comment.	No Action As Yet	2/28/2007	3/29/2007

Launch Teacher	No Action As	4/7/2007	6/15/2007
Survey	Yet		
Questionnaire in Schools			
Review Results of	No Action As	5/15 2007	6/30/2007
Teacher Survey	Yet	5/15/2007	0/30/2007
Questionnaire			
Provide Survey	No Action As	6/30/2007	7/30/2007
Results to National	Yet		
Math Panel			
Obtain Panel's	No Action As	7/4/2007	8/4/2007
Response to Survey	Y et		
New Research			
Questions			
Conduct New	No Action As	8/4/2007	9/30/2007
Research Responding	Yet		
to Research			
Questions		E /20 /200E	0/5/0005
Present Research	No Action As	//30/2007	9/5/2007
Fillulligs to Pallel	ret		
Panel Task Groups	No Action As	8/30/2007	9/30/2007
Write Responses to	Yet		
Research Finding			
Task Group Reports	No Action As	9/6/2007	9/6/2007
Presented to Entire	Y et		
Panel Writes un	No Action As	9/10/2007	10/10/2007
Survey Findings for	Yet	5/10/2007	10/10/2007
Final Report			
Author of Final	No Action As	10/10/2007	12/10/2007
Report Rewrites	Yet		
Findings for Final			
Report Final Deport Findings	No Action Ac	12/21/2007	12/21/2007
Completed and	Vet	12/21/2007	12/21/2007
Preliminary Approval	100		
Obtained by Panel			
Text for Final Report	No Action As	1/15/2007	1/30/2007
is Completed by	Yet		
Author of Final			
Keport Final Poport is	No Action Ac	1/20/2007	2/15/2007
Reviewed by	Vet	1/30/2007	2/13/200/
Department			
Final Report	No Action As	2/25/2008	2/25/2008

Approved by Panel,	Yet	
Sent to President and		
Secretary and		
Available on Internet		

Justification for Emergency Information Clearance Process:

1) Condition of Missing a Statutory Deadline: As mandated in the Presidential Executive Order 13398, the work of the Panel must be completed by February 28, 2008. It is critical that the Panel obtain information from the national survey of public school algebra teachers by spring of 2007 in order to conduct research on the results of this survey. This research resulting from the survey data will inform the findings of the Panel and will be included in the Final Report of the Panel to be submitted the February 2008 to the President and the Secretary. The Panel's work must be completed by the end of 2007 for publication in the Final Report in February 2008.

2) Condition of an Unanticipated Event: The Department did not anticipate the formation of the Panel and, therefore, could not have anticipated this information collection. As stated above, National Mathematics Advisory Panel (Panel) was established April 18, 2006, by the President through Executive Order 13398. The first Panel meeting took place May 22, 2006. Further, the need for the national survey of public school algebra teachers has resulted from the current work of the Panel that took place during the September, 2006, meeting. Neither the formation of the Panel nor the resulting need for a national survey was anticipated.

Composition of the Panel and Process of Work

The National Mathematics Advisory Panel comprises 22 members designated by the Secretary of Education, of whom 17 are experts not employed by the Federal Government and five are *ex officio* designees from Federal agencies. The members were sworn into service, and the Panel began its work on May 22, 2006. The list of panel members follows:

Members from outside the Federal Government:

Larry R. Faulkner, Chair President, Houston Endowment President Emeritus, The University of Texas at Austin Camilla Persson Benbow, Vice Chair Dean of Education and Human Development, Peabody College, Vanderbilt University Deborah Loewenberg Ball Dean, School of Education and William H. Payne Professor, University of Michigan

A. Wade Boykin Professor and Director of the Graduate Program, Department of Psychology, Howard University Francis "Skip" Fennell Professor of Education, McDaniel College President, National Council of Teachers of Mathematics David C. Geary Curators' Professor, Department of Psychological Sciences, University of Missouri at Columbia Russell M. Gersten Executive Director, Instructional Research Group Professor Emeritus, College of Education, University of Oregon Nancy Ichinaga Former Principal, Bennett-Kew Elementary School, Inglewood, California Tom Loveless The Herman and George R. Brown Chair Senior Fellow, Governance Studies, The Brookings Institution Liping Ma Senior Scholar for the Advancement of Teaching, **Carnegie Foundation** Valerie F. Reyna Professor of Human Development and Professor of Psychology, **Cornell University** Wilfried Schmid Dwight Parker Robinson Professor of Mathematics, Harvard University Robert S. Siegler Teresa Heinz Professor of Cognitive Psychology, Carnegie Mellon University James H. Simons President, Renaissance Technologies Corporation Former Chairman, Mathematics Department, State University of New York at Stony Brook Sandra Stotsky Independent researcher and consultant in education Member, Massachusetts State Board of Education Former Senior Associate Commissioner, Massachusetts Department of Education Vern Williams Mathematics Teacher, Longfellow Middle School, Fairfax, Virginia Hung-Hsi Wu Professor of Mathematics, University of California at Berkeley

Ex-Officio Members from Federal agencies:

Daniel B. Berch Associate Chief, Child Development and Behavior, Director, Mathematics and Science Cognition and Learning Program, National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, National Institutes of Health **Diane Jones** Deputy to the Associate Director for Science, White House Office of Science and Technology Policy Thomas W. Luce, III Former Assistant Secretary, Office of Planning, Evaluation, and Policy Development, U. S. Department of Education (May 22, 2006 through November 1, 2006) Kathie L. Olsen Deputy Director, National Science Foundation (May 22, 2006 through January 11, 2007) Raymond Simon Deputy Secretary, U.S. Department of Education Grover "Russ" Whitehurst Director, Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education

Over the past eight months, the Panel has met five times at institutions chosen to symbolize high educational expectations. In the remaining time before the President's charge expires on April, 18, 2008, there will be at least five additional meetings.

3) Condition of Harm to the Public: Because the Presidential Executive Order instituting this Panel results from a national concern of economic competitiveness, the work of the Panel is directly linked to the public's well-being. Moreover, the findings of the Panel will influence the implementation of mathematics instruction across the nation. The importance of this Panel obtaining the information that it requires is essential in order to effectively inform the public. The absence of reliable, effective information will adversely affect the findings of the Panel and its report to the nation.

Specifically, the information obtained through this survey will be the only information of its kind and is critical to inform the Panel of current issues ongoing in schools in the teaching of algebra. Without this key information, the Panel will not have correct information upon which to base the Panel's findings. Therefore, the recommendations of the Panel (based on inaccurate, false information) will be incorrect and policies resulting from these incorrect recommendations will be inaccurate. The implementation on the national level of false policy built on false information will disseminate to the public false information. This has the potential to lead the public into a direction that is completely incorrect. Clearly, the public will be harmed by dissemination poor information and implementation of poor policy and inaccurate leadership at the national level. Further, it is essential, as stipulated in the executive order that the Math Panel provide recommendations to improve the condition of mathematics education in the nation for the purpose of ensuring American competitiveness.

Conclusion: Because the requested information collection meets all three criteria for an expedited information clearance process through OMB, the Deputy Secretary and the Acting Assistant Secretary of the Office for Planning, Evaluation, and Policy Development strongly and urgently requests approval from OMB for a expedited clearance process.

Contact:

Marian Banfield 202-401-7767

Appendix A Presidential Executive Order

Federal Register/Vol. 71, No. 77/Friday, April 21, 2006/Presidential Documents

20519

Presidential Documents

Executive Order 13398 of April 18, 2006

National Mathematics Advisory Panel

By the authority vested in me as President by the Constitution and the laws of the United States of America, it is hereby ordered as follows:

Section 1. *Policy.* To help keep America competitive, support American talent and creativity, encourage innovation throughout the American economy, and help State, local, territorial, and tribal governments give the Nation's children and youth the education they need to succeed, it shall be the policy of the United States to foster greater knowledge of and improved performance in mathematics among American students.

Sec. 2. *Establishment and Mission of Panel.* (a) There is hereby established within the Department of Education (Department) the National Mathematics Advisory Panel (Panel).

(b) The Panel shall advise the President and the Secretary of Education (Secretary) consistent with this order on means to implement effectively the policy set forth in section 1, including with respect to the conduct, evaluation, and effective use of the results of research relating to proveneffective and evidence-based mathematics instruction.

Sec. 3. Membership and Chair of Panel. (a) The Panel shall consist of no more than 30 members as follows:

(i) no more than 20 members from among individuals not employed by the Federal Government, appointed by the Secretary for such terms as the Secretary may specify at the time of appointment; and

(ii) no more than 10 members from among officers and employees of Federal agencies, designated by the Secretary after consultation with the heads of the agencies concerned.

(b) From among the members appointed under paragraph(3)(a)(i) of this order, the Secretary shall designate a Chair of the Panel.

(c) Subject to the direction of the Secretary, the Chair of the Panel shall convene and preside at meetings of the Panel, determine its agenda, direct its work and, as appropriate to deal with particular subject matters, establish and direct the work of subgroups of the Panel that shall consist exclusively of members of the Panel.

Sec. 4. Report to the President on Strengthening Mathematics Education. In carrying out subsection 2(b) of this order, the Panel shall submit to the President, through the Secretary, a preliminary report not later than January 31, 2007, and a final report not later than February 28, 2008. Both reports shall, at a minimum, contain recommendations, based on the best available scientific evidence, on the following:

(a) the critical skills and skill progressions for students to acquire competence in algebra and readiness for higher levels of mathematics;

(b) the role and appropriate design of standards and assessment in promoting mathematical competence;

(c) the processes by which students of various abilities and backgrounds learn mathematics;

 (d) instructional practices, programs, and materials that are effective for improving mathematics learning; (e) the training, selection, placement, and professional development of teachers of mathematics in order to enhance students' learning of mathematics;

(f) the role and appropriate design of systems for delivering instruction in mathematics that combine the different elements of learning processes, curricula, instruction, teacher training and support, and standards, assessments, and accountability;

(g) needs for research in support of mathematics education;

(h) ideas for strengthening capabilities to teach children and youth basic mathematics, geometry, algebra, and calculus and other mathematical disciplines;

(i) such other matters relating to mathematics education as the Panel deems appropriate; and

 $\left(j\right)$ such other matters relating to mathematics education as the Secretary may require.

Sec. 5. Additional Reports. The Secretary may require the Panel, in carrying out subsection 2(b) of this order, to submit such additional reports relating to the policy set forth in section 1 as the Secretary deems appropriate.

Sec. 6. *General Provisions.* (a) This order shall be implemented in a manner consistent with applicable law, including section 103 of the Department of Education Organization Act (20 U.S.C. 3403), and subject to the availability of appropriations.

(b) The Department shall provide such administrative support and funding for the Panel as the Secretary determines appropriate. To the extent permitted by law, and where practicable, agencies shall, upon request by the Secretary, provide assistance to the Panel.

(c) The Panel shall obtain information and advice as appropriate in the course of its work from:

(i) officers or employees of Federal agencies, unless otherwise directed by the head of the agency concerned;

(ii) State, local, territorial, and tribal officials;

(iii) experts on matters relating to the policy set forth in section 1;

(iv) parents and teachers; and

(v) such other individuals as the Panel deems appropriate or as the Secretary may direct.

(d) Members of the Panel who are not officers or employees of the United States shall serve without compensation and may receive travel expenses, including per diem in lieu of subsistence, as authorized by law for persons serving intermittently in Government service (5 U.S.C. 5701–5707), consistent with the availability of funds.

(e) Insofar as the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended (5 U.S.C. App.) (the "Act"), may apply to the administration of any portion of this order, any functions of the President under that Act, except that of reporting to the Congress, shall be performed by the Secretary in accordance with the guidelines issued by the Administrator of General Services.

(f) This order is not intended to, and does not, create any right or benefit, substantive or procedural, enforceable by any party at law or in equity against the United States, its departments, agencies, entities, officers, employees, or agents, or any other person. Sec. 7. *Termination*. Unless hereafter extended by the President, this Advisory Panel shall terminate 2 years after the date of this order.

Ayw Be

THE WHITE HOUSE, April 18, 2006.

[FR Doc. 06–3865 Filed 4–20–06; 8:45 am] Billing code 3195–01–P