Attachment D1 - Healthcare Provider Survey

This survey is intended for health care providers accessed through: 1) professional organizations; 2) health plans or HMOs; and 3) health care payers/insurers.

<u>Note</u>: Skip patterns will be programmed into the online form, making a streamlined survey for respondents.

Objectives – Types of information to be collected include:

- 1. Identify general descriptive characteristics of respondents (e.g., type of practitioner, medical specialty, practice setting).
- 2. Understand respondents' awareness of the EGAPP project and products (e.g., evidence reports, EGAPP Working Group recommendations, targeted informational messages).
- 3. Determine if the respondents have seen any general information on EGAPP or specific products (e.g., written materials about EGAPP, presentations at professional meetings, evidence reports).
- 4. Determine if the respondent has read any specific products (e.g., published or webposted evidence report, published recommendations).
- 5. Get feedback on whether specific products addressed their needs for integrating genetic testing into clinical practice (e.g., knowledge of new genetic tests, providing information to patients).
- 6. Identify any impact that the specific products have had on the respondents' clinical practice (e.g., decision to offer testing).

EGAPP SURVEY

Form Appro	oved
Exp. Date:	

Introduction to the EGAPP Survey

Evaluation of Genomic Applications in Practice and Prevention (EGAPP) is a model project initiated in 2004 by the National Office of Public Health Genomics (NOPHG) at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). The efforts of EGAPP are focused around a 13-member independent, non-federal, multidisciplinary EGAPP Working Group. The goal of EGAPP is to establish a systematic, evidence-based process to assess the effectiveness of selected genetic tests that are in transition from research to clinical and public health practice.

Products of the EGAPP project include evidence reports on selected genetic tests and published EGAPP Working Group recommendations on the appropriate use of the tests based on the evidence collected. Some evidence reports sponsored by the EGAPP project are conducted and released by Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) Evidence-Based Practice Centers.

To evaluate the value and impact of the EGAPP products, an independent consultant has been contracted to survey key stakeholder groups, including healthcare providers, healthcare payers and purchasers, certain policy organizations, targeted consumer groups, and website visitors. Response to these surveys is very important to inform the EGAPP Working Group and CDC about the best methods and approaches for future review of the effectiveness of emerging genetic tests, and about the potential impact of accurate and timely information on genetic tests on current healthcare practices.

Your feedback will provide important information about the relevance of EGAPP products to your practice. The questions relate only to EGAPP-supported evidence reports and EGAPP Working Group Recommendations. Thank you for your time and assistance.

Please enter today's date:	/ /	1
----------------------------	-----	---

Public reporting burden of this collection of information is estimated to average 10 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to CDC/ATSDR Reports Clearance Officer; 1600 Clifton Road NE, MS D-74, Atlanta, Georgia 30333; ATTN: PRA (0920-XXXXX).

1.	What is your role as a healthcare provider?
	Physician
	Laboratory Director
	Physician Assistant
	Genetic Counselor
	Nurse Practitioner
	Certified Nurse-Midwife
	Nurse
	Other (please specify): I am no longer in practice → Please do not continue.
	ran no longer in practice 7 ricase do not continue.
	SUBMIT SUBMIT
2.	What is your primary specialty?
	General Practice
	Family Medicine
	Internal Medicine
	Obstetrics/Gynecology
	Oncology
	Pathology
	Psychiatry
	Clinical Genetics
	Other (please specify):
3	Which best describes the setting in which you practice? (please check only one)
Ο.	Hospital
	Group practice
	Private practice
	Staff model HMO
	Academic medical center
	Independent laboratory
	Other (please specify):
4.	How did you first read or hear about EGAPP activities? (please check all that apply)
	I read about EGAPP on the CDC or EGAPPreviews.org website
	I heard about EGAPP through a professional journal/newsletter (please
	specify journal/newsletter title)
	A colleague told me about EGAPP
	I learned about EGAPP at a meeting (please specify)
	This survey is my first encounter with EGAPP activities ->Skip to
au	estion 6
ЧЧ	Other (please describe)
	53.51 (piodos doscilos)
5.	The EGAPP project sponsors the website: <i>EGAPPreviews.org</i> . Please rate the three

sections of the EGAPP website indicated below, using a rating scale of 1=poor
2=fair 3=good 4=excellent. If you have not used the section specified, please check 'not used' and move on to the next section.

ot used	lence Reports & EGAPP W	orking G	Group F	Recomn	nendations:	
บเ นฮธน						
	Userfriendliness	1	2	3	4	
	Clarity	1	2	3	4	
	Understandability	1	2	3	4	
	Value of information	1	2	3	4	
EG/	APP Methods:				not used	
	Userfriendliness	1	2	3	4	
	Clarity	1	2	3	4	
	Understandability	1	2	3	4	
	Value of information	1	2	3	4	
EGA	APP Topics:				not u	sed
	Userfriendliness	1	2	3	4	
	Clarity	1	2	3	4	
	Understandability	1	2	3	4	
	Value of information	1	2	3	4	
	eloped by EGAPP could have e that apply) Help me to understand the Help me to use the tests apply. Help me to better explain the Help me to determine where patients Help me to assess potential Help me determine what in Inform me about the consection of patients and their families	tests and oppropriate tests to the test at the test at the test at tervention quences	d their uely in pa o my pa s may b nd bene n to rec of testi	uses atient ca atients be of va efits of u commen ng or in	tre lue to my sing the tests d terventions	ck <u>only</u>

- 7. Below are sets of questions about three of the genetic tests on which EGAPP has completed evidence reviews. As appropriate, please answer all questions related to each test. The tests are described briefly before the questions. The tests are:
 - a. **CYP450** this test used in patients treated for depression with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors or SSRIs
 - b. **HNPCC** a test for newly diagnosed colorectal cancer patients and their families to detect a heritable form of colorectal cancer
 - c. **UGT1A1** a test for colorectal cancer patients to be treated with irinotecan

	e questions below, please place a check in the beal options that apply.	ox only if yo	our respons	se is 'yes'.
	eral knowledge on the genetic test $\rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow$	CYP450	HNPCC	UGT1A1
organi				
(speci				
	ed about this testing through the media			
	ed about this testing from a colleague			
	ed about his testing in a professional newsletter			
rieam	ed about this testing on the internet			
	ed about this testing through drug or diagnostics any literature			
Other	(specify)			
I learn	ed about this testing through the following <u>EG</u>	SAPP sourc	es:	
	nce Report commissioned by EGAPP (either full or a published summary)			
Publis Group	hed recommendations from the EGAPP Working			
recom organi	whts from the Evidence Report or Working Group mendations (e.g. from your professional zation, in the media) (specify)			
a) Reg	parding <u>CYP450,</u> please respond to the following	ng question	ıs:	
i)	Have you read the EGAPP-sponsored AHRQ <u>ev</u> <u>summary of the evidence report</u> on <u>CYP450</u> test <u>yes</u> no <u>unsure</u>		rt or publis	<u>hed</u>
	If <u>no</u> or <u>unsure</u> , respondent skips to <u>item</u>	<mark>iv</mark>		
ii)	How useful did you find the evidence report/publvery useful somewhat useful		-	
iii)	Will this information on <u>CYP450</u> testing change t yes nounsure If <u>yes</u> , please explain:			
iv)	Have you read the EGAPP Working Group recor <u>CYP450</u> testing? yes nounsure	<u>nmendation</u>	s about the	e use of
	If <u>no</u> or <u>unsure</u> , respondent skips to <u>item</u>	<u>viii</u>		
V.) How useful did you find the recommendations? very useful somewhat useful	not useful		

V) Will the recommendations about the use of <u>CYP450</u> testing change the way you practice?
	yesnounsure If <u>yes,</u> please explain:
vi	i) Which will be <u>more useful</u> to you in your practice? (Please check one.)evidence report or published summary of evidencerecommendations
Vi	ii) Are you currently using CYP450 testing for your patients with depression treated with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs)? yes no unsure
ix) Please provide any comments about the <u>EGAPP evidence report/published</u> <u>summary</u> or <u>recommendations</u> on the use of CYP450 testing that you feel would improve the information for providers.
	Comment box here
b) Re	garding <u>HNPCC</u> , please respond to the following questions:
i)	Have you read the EGAPP-sponsored AHRQ <u>evidence report or published</u> <u>summary</u> on <u>HNPCC</u> testing? yes nounsure
	If <u>no</u> or <u>unsure</u> , respondent skips to <u>item iv</u>
ii)	How useful did you find the evidence report/published summary?very useful somewhat useful not useful
iii) Will this information on <u>HNPCC testing</u> change the way you practice? yes nounsure If <u>yes</u> , please explain:
iv) Have you read the <u>EGAPP Working Group recommendations</u> about the use of <u>HNPCC</u> testing? yes nounsure
	If <u>no</u> or <u>unsure</u> , respondent skips to <u>item viii</u>
V)	How useful did you find the recommendations? very useful somewhat usefulnot useful
Vi) Will the recommendations about the use of <u>HNPCC</u> testing change the way you practice? yesnounsure If <u>yes</u> , please explain:

vii)	Which will be <u>more useful</u> to you in your practice? (Please check one.)evidence report/published summaryrecommendations
Viii	Are you currently using HNPCC testing in patients with newly diagnosed colorectal cancer? yesyes- Only patients with a family history of CRC no unsure
ix)	Please provide any comments about the <u>EGAPP evidence reports/published</u> <u>summary</u> or <u>recommendations</u> on the use of <u>HNPCC</u> testing that you feel would improve the information for providers.
	Comment box here
c. Reg	arding <u>UGT1A1</u> , please respond to the following questions:
i)	Have you read the EGAPP <u>evidence report or published summary on UGT1A1</u> testing? yes nounsure
	If <u>no</u> or <u>unsure</u> , respondent skips to <u>item iv</u>
ii)	How useful did you find the evidence report/published summary?very useful somewhat useful not useful
iii)	Will this information on <u>UGT1A1</u> testing change the way you practice? yes nounsure If <u>yes</u> , please explain:
iv)	Have you read the <u>EGAPP Working Group recommendations</u> about the use of <u>UGT1A1</u> testing? yes nounsure
	If <u>no</u> or <u>unsure</u> , respondent skips to <u>item viii</u>
v)	How useful did you find the recommendations? very useful somewhat usefulnot useful
vi)	Will the recommendations about the use of <u>UGT1A1</u> testing change the way you practice? yesnounsure If <u>yes</u> , please explain:
vii)	Which will be <u>more useful</u> to you in your practice? (Please check one.)evidence report /published summaryrecommendations
viii	Are you currently using UGT1A1 testing for your patients with colorectal cancer treated with irinotecan? yes no unsure

ix) Please provide any comments about the <u>EGAPP evidence reports/published</u> summary or <u>recommendations</u> about <u>UGT1A1 testing</u> that you feel would improve the information for providers.

Comment box here

[Question 8 will only be asked of those respondents who have read at least one EGAPP-sponsored evidence review/summary or recommendations (i.e., respondents who answered yes to at least one of the following questions: 7.a.i, 7.a.iv, 7.b.i, 7.b.iv, 7.c.i, 7.c.iv.). Others skip to question 9]

8. Using the following response scale, please indicate the <u>relevance</u> of the <u>information</u> from the EGAPP-sponsored evidence reports and recommendations to your clinical practice. (Check the appropriate number)

1:	=not relevant	2=somewhat relevant	3=rel	evant	4=very	releva	nt
a)	To understand or drug effect	d the test-related disord	ers	1	2	3	4
b)	To make infor care	med decisions about pa	atient	1	2	3	4
c)	To understand	d the validity of the tests	5	1	2	3	4
d)		d how well the tests clinical setting		1	2	3	4
e)	To understand of the tests	d the utility and value ac	dded	1	2	3	4
f)	To understand	d laboratory quality issu	es	1	2	3	4
g)		d how to access the or actions related to the	tests	1	2	3	4
h)	To understand of the tests	d the potential benefits		1	2	3	4
i)	To understand to testing	d the potential harms re	lated	1	2	3	4
j)		d the potential benefits or intervention		1	2	3	4
k)	•	e population/individuals s were developed	for	1	2	3	4

I)	To understand the financial costs associated with testing	1	2	3	4	
n	n) To understand the economic benefits associated with actions resulting from testing	1	2	3	4	
n	To understand the potential impact of testing on patients	1	2	3	4	
O	 To understand the ethical, legal, and soci implications related to testing 	al 1	2	3	4	
9. W	Vere you aware that the EGAPP Project Tea suggestions for potential topics for review? If <u>no,</u> respondent s	m has b <mark>kips to ‡</mark>	een in\ <mark>#12</mark>	viting co Yes	mments and No	
10.	Have you sent a comment or suggestion?	Yes		No	_No Applicable	е
the	Have you had any difficulty finding or acce EGAPPreviews.org website? Yes No Unsure/Don' If yes, please explain:	't know	N	lot Appl	icable	on ;
r	Have you had any difficulty finding or access EGAPP project, the EGAPP Evide ecommendations? Yes No Unsure/Dorf yes, please explain:	lence F n't know	Reports	or the Not App	e Working Gr olicable	oup
13.	If you have other comments you would like t	o make	please	do so iı	n the box belo	w.
	COMMENT BO	X HERE	≣			
	Thank you for your feedbac	k!	-→ <mark>SUE</mark>	3MIT		

Attachment D5 - General Survey

This survey is intended for general use with consumers, public health workers, and others accessed through: 1) general healthcare or genetics advocacy organizations, 2) organizations related to specific topics (e.g., Colon Cancer Alliance, National Mental Health Association), and 3) visitors to the EGAPP website(s).

<u>Note</u>: Skip patterns will be programmed into the online form, making a streamlined survey for respondents.

Objectives – Types of information to be collected include:

- 1. Identify general descriptive characteristics of respondents.
- 2. Understand respondents' awareness of the EGAPP process and products (e.g., evidence reports, EGAPP Working Group recommendations, targeted informational messages), and belief in the need for an evidence review process for genetic tests.
- 3. Determine if the respondents have seen any general information or products.
- 4. Determine if the respondents have read any products (e.g., targeted informational messages based on evidence report or Working Group recommendations), and if so, how useful they were.
- 5. Determine whether the products might have an impact on the respondents' personal decision making or work activities.

Form Approved	
OMB No.:	
Exp. Date:	

EGAPP SURVEY

Introduction to the EGAPP Survey

Evaluation of Genomic Applications in Practice and Prevention (EGAPP) is a model project initiated in 2004 by the National Office of Public Health Genomics (NOPHG) at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). The efforts of EGAPP are focused around a 13-member independent, non-federal, multidisciplinary EGAPP Working Group. The goal of EGAPP is to establish a systematic, evidence-based process to assess the effectiveness of selected genetic tests that are in transition from research to clinical and public health practice.

Products of the EGAPP project include evidence reports on selected genetic tests and published EGAPP Working Group recommendations on the appropriate use of the tests based on the evidence collected. Some evidence reports sponsored by the EGAPP project are conducted and released by Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) Evidence-Based Practice Centers.

To evaluate the value and impact of the EGAPP products, an independent consultant has been contracted to survey key stakeholder groups, including healthcare providers, healthcare payers and purchasers, certain policy organizations, targeted consumer groups, and website visitors. Response to these surveys is very important to inform the EGAPP Working Group and CDC about the best methods and approaches for future review of the effectiveness of emerging genetic tests, and about the potential impact of accurate and timely information on genetic tests on current healthcare practices.

Your feedback will provide important information about the relevance of EGAPP products to your practice. The questions relate only to EGAPP-supported evidence reports and EGAPP Working Group Recommendations. Thank you for your time and assistance.

Date:	/	1	

Public reporting burden of this collection of information is estimated to average 10 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for

reducing this burden to CDC/ATSDR Reports Clearance Officer; 1600 Clifton Road NE, MS D-74, Atlanta, Georgia 30333; ATTN: PRA (0920-XXXX).

1.	Were you directed to this survey from: (please check one) EGAPP web pages on the EGAPPreviews.org or other website CDC's Genomics Weekly Update An e-mail from EGAPP A consumer or professional organization (please specify) Other (please specify):
	Please indicate which of the following best describes your interest or role as it relates to genetic testing? (<i>Please select one</i>): Healthcare Provider (e.g., physician, physician assistant, nurse) (1) Health plan or insurer (2) Company or organization that purchases health policies/plans (3) Representative of a medical professional organization (4) Healthcare consumer, website visitor, or individual involved in a healthcare genetics- related advocacy group) (5)
	<u>OR</u>
	I have no interest or role related to genetic testing
	If respondent checks this option, "Please do not continue" → SUBMIT
If If If If th	 (1), respondent will be linked to Healthcare Provider Survey questions. (2), respondent will be linked to Policy/Payer Survey questions. (3), respondent will be linked to Purchaser Survey questions. (4), respondent will be linked to Policy Survey questions. (5) is checked respondent is directed to continue to question 3 and complete is survey. How did you first hear about EGAPP activities? (please check all that apply) I read about EGAPP on the CDC or EGAPPreviews.org website Information was emailed to me from EGAPP I read about EGAPP in a newsletter (please specify which one)
	I read about EGAPP in another publication (specify)
	A colleague, friend, or family member told me about EGAPP Information from a consumer advocacy organization Please specify what organization: This survey is my first encounter with EGAPP activities → Skip to
qu	lestion 5 Other (please describe)
4.	The EGAPP project sponsors the website: <i>EGAPPreviews.org</i> . Please rate the three sections of the EGAPP website indicated below, using a rating scale of 1=poor 2=fair 3=good 4=excellent ,. If you have not used the section specified, please check 'not used' and move on to the next section.
	Evidence Reports & EGAPP Working Group Recommendations:
nc	ot used Userfriendliness 1 2 3 4

	Clarity	1	2	3	4	
	Understandability	1	2	3	4	
	Value of information	1	2	3	4	
EGAD	P Methods:					not used
LGAF		1	0	2	4	ู กอเ นระน
	Userfriendliness	T	2	3	4	
	Clarity	1	2	3	4	
	Understandability	1	2	3	4	
	Value of information	1	2	3	4	
EGAP	P Topics:					not used
	Userfriendliness	1	2	3	4	
	Clarity	1	2	3	4	
	Understandability	1	2	3	4	
	Value of information	1	2	3	4	

- 5. The EGAPP Working Group has made clinical recommendations based on EGAPP evidence reviews of several tests. Three of the tests are described below.
 - **CYP450** this test used in patients treated for depression with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors or SSRIs
 - HNPCC a test for newly diagnosed colorectal cancer patients and their families to detect a heritable form of colorectal cancer called hereditary non-polyposis colon cancer or HNPCC
 - **UGT1A1** a test for colorectal cancer patients to be treated with irinotecan

Have you:

a)	read or heard anything about "CYP450 testing" or about a genetic test that is intended to help doctors choose the right drug and the right dose to treat depression?yesno
	If yes, please indicate where you have read or heard something about CYP450 testing: Internet Other media, such as newspaper or television Advocacy group website or materials Professional Organization Other I do not remember
	If yes, was the information relevant to your work or your personal interests?
b)	read or heard anything about "HNPCC testing" or genetic testing that identifies inherited forms of colon cancer?yesno

		If yes, please indicate where you have reatesting: Internet Other media, such Advocacy group website or materials Other	n as ne Pro	wspape ofessior	er or tele	evision	
		I do not remember					
		If yes, was the information relevant tointerests?	_ your	work c	or	_ your	personal
	c)	read or heard anything about "UGT1A1 to intended to reduce bad reactions to the drug treating colorectal cancer)?yes		ecan (co			
		If yes, please indicate where you have reactesting: Internet Other media, such Advocacy group website or materials Other I do not remember	n as ne	wspape	er or tele	evision	
		If yes, was the information relevant to interests?	_ your	work c	or	_ your	personal
7.		ease indicate your agreement with the followin netic testing? Please use the following respo			as they	pertain	to
		strongly disagree 2=somewhat disagree 3=somewhat disagree 3=somewh	omewha	at agree	4=stro	ngly agı	ree 5=no
	a)	Evidence on the <u>safety</u> of genetic tests should be available before the tests can be used in clinical practice.	1	2	3	4	5
	b)	Evidence on the <u>accuracy</u> of genetic tests should be available before the tests can be used in clinical practice.	1	2	3	4	5
	c)	I rely on my <u>physician</u> to advise me about the safety of genetic tests.	1	2	3	4	5
	d)	I rely on <u>advocacy groups</u> to advise me about the safety of genetic tests.	1	2	3	4	5
	•	EGAPP provides the kind of information that would help me understand the safety of genetic tests	1	2	3	4	5

f) I am sometimes concerned about how <u>useful</u> genetic tests really are.	1	2	3	4	5
g) I am sometimes concerned about the <u>accuration</u> information I receive about genetic tests.	cy of 1	2	3	4	5
h) I support the work that EGAPP is doing to provide important information about genetic testing	1	2	3	4	5
 i) Information about the safety and effectiveness of genetic tests should be readily available to the general public. 4 5 	5		1	2	3
 j) Distribution of information about genetic tests should be limited to professionals. 	1	2	3	4	5
k) Genetic tests are often misunderstood and may cause false hope for some people.	1	2	3	4	5
Genetic tests are often misunderstood and may cause false alarm for some people.	1	2	3	4	5
m) Genetic testing should be made available to anyone who wants it.	1	2	3	4	5
n) Health insurance should reimburse/cover genetic testing when it is medically indicated.	1	2	3	4	5
 The general public should have a basic understanding about genetics and genetic testing. 	1	2	3	4	5
 EGAPP information will be useful to me in my work. 	1	2	3	4	5
q) EGAPP information will be useful to me in my personal life.	1	2	3	4	5
r) I have a professional interest in genetics.	1	2	3	4	5
s) I have a personal interest in genetics.	1	2	3	4	5

8.	Will information about these genetic tests help you make more informed decisions about using the tests? Yes No Uncertain Not Applicable
9.	Were you aware that the EGAPP project team has been inviting comments and suggestions for potential topics for review? Yes No
	If <u>no</u> , respondent will be skipped to #12
9.	Have you sent a comment or suggestion? Yes No
10.	Do you plan on sending a comment or suggestion in the future? Yes No Not sure
11. the	Have you had any difficulty finding or accessing the topic suggestion webpage on EGAPPreviews.org website?
	Yes No Unsure/Don't know Not Applicable
12.	Have you had any difficulty finding or accessing general information about the EGAPP project, the EGAPP Evidence Reports or the Working Group recommendations?
	Yes No Unsure/Don't know Not Applicable If yes, please explain:
13.	If you have other comments you would like to make please do so in the box below.
	COMMENT BOX HERE
	Thank you for your feedback!→ SUBMIT