

rec'd 3/7/06

Lat

CMS 10064

ELDER LAW AND DISABILITY RIGHTS SECTION

CHAIR

SANFORD J. MALL
30445 NORTHWESTERN HWY, STE 250
FARMINGTON HILLS 48334-3109

March 6, 2007

CHAIR-ELECT

DOLORES M. COULTER
8341 OFFICE PARK DR, STE C
GRAND BLANC 48439-2077

SECRETARY

AMY ROMBYER TRIPP
2127 SPRING ARBOR RD
JACKSON 49203-2707

TREASURER

DON L. ROSENBERG
1301 W LONG LAKE RD, SUITE 340
TROY 48098-6349

COUNCIL

ROXANNE J. CHANG
PLYMOUTH
PRISCILLA CHEEVER
ANN ARBOR
CAROLINE M. DELLENBUSCH
GRAND RAPIDS
BRADLEY H. GELLER
ANN ARBOR
KATHLEEN N. HARRIS
CLARKSTON
THOMAS G. HARTWIG
CLINTON TOWNSHIP
KONRAD F. HITTNER
EAST LANSING
PATRICIA E. KEFALAS DUDEK
BLOOMFIELD HILLS
ARTHUR L. MALISOW
FARMINGTON HILLS
HARLEY D. MANELA
FARMINGTON HILLS
DAVID M. McCLOREY
DETROIT
HON. ALLEN J. NELSON
FLUORING
JOHN B. PAYNE
DEARBORN
PAUL A. STURGUL
HURLEY, WI
BRADLEY A. VAUTER
LANSING

CMS, Office of Strategic Operations and Regulatory Affairs,
Division of Regulations Development – C
Attention: Bonnie L. Harkless
Room C4-26-05
7500 Security Blvd.
Baltimore, Maryland 21244-1850

Re: **71 Fed. Reg. 68708 (November 27, 2006)**
Final Regulations, Notification of Hospital Discharge Appeal Rights

Dear Ms. Harkless:

Thank you for your consideration of this letter in opposition to the above noted Final Regulations. As Chair of the Elder Law & Disability Rights Section of the State Bar of Michigan, I represent close to 1700 Section members. The Section Council has requested that I write to inform CMS of our opposition to these regulations because of the projected detrimental impact the regulations will have on Medicare beneficiaries.

Specifically, we are requesting that CMS review the regulations as written and consider making amendments that will provide beneficiaries with greater protection and access to needed care services. More specifically, the Final Regulations should be amended to provide:

- 1) Medicare beneficiaries with appeal rights at least as protective as those under current regulations;
- 2) That hospitals should be required to give beneficiaries a detailed notice regarding rationale supporting the decision for discharge; and
- 3) That beneficiaries subject to a short stay should continue be provided with the Hospital Issued Notice of Non-Coverage (HINN) prior to discharge.

Thank you again for every consideration.


IMMEDIATE PAST CHAIR

KATHLEEN M. GRAHAM
REDFORD

COMMISSIONER LIAISON

EDWARD L. HAROUTUNIAN
BINGHAM FARMS

Very truly yours,


Sanford J. Mall, Chair

3 of 2

LEGAL ASSISTANTS:
TINA TAYLOR
CAROL HALTOM
KAREN MONTICCIOLO

CARE ADVOCACY &
CASE MANAGEMENT:
SHARON K. TERRY

THE MALL MALISOW FIRM, PC
HOLISTIC ESTATE & ELDERCARE ATTORNEYS

30445 NORTHWESTERN HIGHWAY, SUITE 250
FARMINGTON HILLS, MICHIGAN 48334
TELEPHONE: (248) 538-1800 ♦ TOLLFREE: (866) 699-1800
FACSIMILE: (248) 538-1801
www.TheElderCareLawFirm.com

COUNSELORS AT LAW:
SANFORD J. MALL*
ARTHUR L. MALISOW
JODIE M. GILES
HARLEY D. MANELA

*CERTIFIED AS AN
ELDER LAW ATTORNEY
BY THE NATIONAL ELDER
LAW FOUNDATION

MEMBER NATIONAL ACADEMY OF ELDER LAW ATTORNEYS ♦ MEMBER INTERNATIONAL ALLIANCE OF HOLISTIC LAWYERS ♦ MEMBER WEALTH COUNSEL

March 6, 2007

CMS, Office of Strategic Operations and Regulatory Affairs,
Division of Regulations Development – C
Attention: Bonnie L. Harkless
Room C4-26-05
7500 Security Blvd.
Baltimore, Maryland 21244-1850

Re: 71 Fed. Reg. 68708 (November 27, 2006)
Final Regulations, Notification of Hospital Discharge Appeal Rights

Dear Ms. Harkless:

Thank you for your consideration of my comments in opposition to the above noted Medicare regulation. I make this comment in my individual capacity as well as my capacity as Chair of the Elder Law and Disability Rights Section of the State Bar of Michigan. As an attorney practicing Elder Law and more specifically providing Elder and Disability Care Advocacy, I am quite concerned that the above noted Final Regulations will result in restricting access to needed services and ultimately diminished care.

I understand that the Final Regulations are a result of the Weichardt v. Leavitt lawsuit. Among other things the negotiated settlement of the case was to help improve Medicare beneficiary appeal rights when being discharged from a hospital or being terminated from Medicare coverage. The Final Regulations however, shorten the appeal period for Medicare beneficiaries to appeal to their QIO. Medicare beneficiaries will also no longer be entitled to receive Hospital Issued Notice of Non-coverage (HINN) prior to discharge. Therefore, beneficiaries will likely not have adequate information available as a basis for an appeal of discharge. Finally, these new regulations give hospitals virtual amnesty against recourse for failure to provide a Medicare beneficiary with a second review of the Important Message from Medicare, when the hospitalization is a short stay. This third concern is especially poignant given Code 44 Regulations.

3 of


Ms. Bonnie L. Harkless
CMS, Office Strategic Operations and Regulatory Affairs
March 6, 2007
Page 2

In summation, the Final Regulations should be amended to provide:

- 1) Medicare beneficiaries with appeal rights at least as protective as those under current regulations;
- 2) That hospitals should be required to give beneficiaries a detailed notice regarding rationale supporting the decision for discharge; and
- 3) That beneficiaries subject to a short stay should continue be provided with the Hospital Issued Notice of Non-Coverage (HINN) prior to discharge.

Thank you for your consideration of the above comment in opposition of the Final Regulations affecting the Important Message from Medicare and Notification of Hospital Discharge Appeal Rights. Please feel free to contact me for further clarification of our position or if I can be of any further assistance in any other way.

Very truly yours,
THE MALL MALISOW FIRM, PC



Sanford J. Mall

SJM/km