

Evaluation of the Fair Housing Initiatives Program (FHIP)

Justification for FHIP Survey

(C-CHI-00885)

Submitted To:

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Attn: Todd Richardson

Contact:

Jeffrey May, MURP
DB Consulting Group, Inc.
8403 Colesville Rd. 10th Floor
Silver Spring, MD 20910
(301) 589-4020
jmay@dbconsultinggroup.com

PART A	JUSTIFICATION	3
A1)	IDENTIFICATION OF THE INFORMATION COLLECTION	٥.
A2)	CIRCUMSTANCES THAT MAKE THE COLLECTION OF INFORMATION NECESSARY	3
A3)	HOW AND BY WHOM THE DATA WILL BE USED	4
A3.1	Project Overview	4
A3.2	Purpose of the Data Collection	5
A3.3	Who Will Use the Information	5
A3.4	InstrumentItem-By-Item Justification	5
A4)	USE OF IMPROVED TECHNOLOGIES	
A5)	EFFORTS TO IDENTIFY DUPLICATION.	
A6)	INVOLVEMENT OF SMALL ENTITIES	
A7)	CONSEQUENCES OF LESS FREQUENT DATA COLLECTION	
A8)	SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES.	
A9)	CONSULTATIONS OUTSIDE THE AGENCY	
A10)	PAYMENTS TO RESPONDENTS	
A11)	ARRANGEMENTS AND ASSURANCES REGARDING CONFIDENTIALITY	7
A12)	SENSITIVE QUESTIONS	
A13)	ESTIMATE OF ANNUALIZED BURDEN HOURS	
	1	
A14)	ESTIMATED RECORD KEEPING AND REPORTING COST BURDEN ON RESPONDENTS	
A15)	ESTIMATED COST TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT	
A16)	REASONS FOR CHANGES IN BURDEN	
A17)	TABULATION PLANS, STATISTICAL ANALYSIS, AND STUDY SCHEDULE	
A18)	EXPIRATION DATE DISPLAY EXEMPTION	
A19)	EXCEPTIONS TO CERTIFICATION	9
PART B	STATISTICAL METHODS OF DATA COLLECTIONERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED).
B1)	POTENTIAL RESPONDENT UNIVERSE	
B2)	SAMPLING METHOD AND RESPONDENT UNIVERSEERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINEI).
B3)	PROCEDURES TO DEAL WITH NON-RESPONSE).
B4)	PRE-TESTING OF PROCEDURES).
B5)	PERSONS RESPONSIBLE FOR STATISTICAL ASPECTS OF THE DESIGN. ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED).
ANNEX	1	0
ANNEX	A1	1
FAIR HO	USING INITIATIVES PROGRAM WEB SURVEY IN TELEPHONE INSTRUMENT GUIDE	
	1	1
Annex E	3	2

Evaluation of the Fair Housing Initiatives Program Web Survey

PART A JUSTIFICATION

A1) Circumstances that Make the Collection of Information Necessary

The Department of Housing and Urban Development's (HUD's) FY 2007 Annual Performance Plan (APP) specifies six strategic goals. They are to: (1) increase homeownership opportunities; (2) promote decent affordable housing; (3) strengthen communities; (4) ensure equal opportunities in housing; (5) embrace high standards of ethics, management, and accountability; and (6) promote the participation of faith-based and community organizations. Associated with each goal are several strategic objectives; the FY 2007 APP links these goals and objectives with HUD's policies, programs, budget resources, and impacts on communities. It links measures of desired societal outcomes, such as increasing homeownership rates, with programmatic indicators of outputs from HUD programs. It also cites key external factors, such as macro-economic conditions, consumer confidence, mortgage interest rates, tax policies, and the like, that affect those outcomes, but that are typically beyond HUD's control.

One of HUD's outcome indicators measuring performance with respect to strategic goal Number 5—embracing high standards of ethics, management, and accountability—is improving accountability, service delivery, and customer service of HUD and its partners. According to the APP:

This strategic objective reflects HUD's extensive use of the partnership model as a fundamental aspect of its operations. HUD's partners include state and local governments, nonprofit and for-profit organizations, and other federal agencies, as well as Congress, for developing the course of Departmental policies and providing budget resources. Desired management improvements and accountable, measurable performance improvements must both be developed in conjunction with and accomplished through the actions of our partners.

HUD has completed the Office of Management and Budget's Program Assessment Rating Tool evaluation on 25 major program areas, representing over 80 percent of HUD's budget, to identify areas in need of actions to improve the focus of performance measures and establish efficiency measures. HUD continues to work with the Office of Management and Budget to develop and use efficiency measures for major programs and to reduce the number and improve the focus of performance measures covering the Department's core goals and objectives (p.60).

The data collection outlined in this package will provide otherwise unavailable information essential to measuring the current effectiveness of the Fair Housing Initiatives Program (FHIP) and potentially offering new measures that can be used to annually assess FHIP's effectiveness. One of the activities planned as part of the evaluation of the FHIP program is conducting a web, and for a subsample of non-respondents, a telephone survey of recipients of FHIP funds in FY 2003, FY 2004, and FY 2005. To estimate the amount of time it would require to respond to the proposed survey, two former executive directors of FHIP organizations completed the survey as they would have when they were running their FHIP programs. This data collection activity is authorized under [12.U.S.C. 1701z-1], which reads as follows:

TITLE 12--BANKS AND BANKING

CHAPTER 13 -- NATIONAL HOUSING

Sec. 1701z-1. Research and demonstrations; authorization of appropriations; continuing availability of funds

The Secretary of Housing and Urban Development is authorized and directed to undertake such programs of research, studies, testing, and demonstration relating to the mission and programs of the Department as he determines to be necessary and appropriate. There is \1\ authorized to be appropriated to carry out this title [12 U.S.C. 1701z-1 et seq.] \$35,000,000 for fiscal year 1993 and \$36,470,000 for fiscal year 1994.

\1\ So in original. Probably should be ``are''.

(Pub. L. 91-609, title V, Sec. 501, Dec. 31, 1970, 84 Stat. 1784; Pub. L. 94-375, Sec. 23(a), Aug. 3, 1976, 90 Stat. 1078; Pub. L. 95-128, title II, Sec. 204, Oct. 12, 1977, 91 Stat. 1129; Pub. L. 95-557, title III, Sec. 305(a), Oct. 31, 1978, 92 Stat. 2097; Pub. L. 96-153, title III, Sec. 304, Dec. 21, 1979, 93 Stat. 1112; Pub. L. 96-399, title III, Sec. 303, Oct. 8, 1980, 94 Stat. 1639; Pub. L. 97-35, title III, Sec. 337, Aug. 13, 1981, 95 Stat. 414; Pub. L. 98-181, title IV, Sec. 466(a), Nov. 30, 1983, 97 Stat. 1236; Pub. L. 100-242, title V, Sec. 564, Feb. 5, 1988, 101 Stat. 1945; Pub. L. 101-625, title IX, Sec. 951(a), Nov. 28, 1990, 104 Stat. 4417; Pub. L. 102-550, title IX, Sec. 901, Oct. 28, 1992, 106 Stat. 3866.)

References in Text

This title, referred to in text, is title V of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1970, Pub. L. 91-609, Dec. 31, 1970, 84 Stat. 1784, as amended, which is classified generally to section 1701z-1 et seq. of this title. For complete classification of this Act to the Code, see Short Title of 1970 Amendments note set out under section 1701 of this title and Tables.

Codification

Section was enacted as part of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1970, and not as part of the National Housing Act which comprises this chapter.

A2 How and By Whom the Data Will Be Used

A2.1 Project Overview

This survey is being conducted as part of a larger process and outcome evaluation that will use data from this survey, in-depth interviews with fewer than nine agencies, and various sources of administrative data. The overall study will provide a program history, identify different agency types and approaches to

pursuing fair housing enforcement and education activities, and attempt to identify what value FHIP agencies add to the enforcement process by comparing the quality and outcomes of complaints filed with HUD by FHIP agencies to similar cases filed without FHIP agency involvement.

This FHIP agency survey will show how FHIP grantees use the funds they receive from HUD to conduct fair housing activities. It will also show how other monetary resources are implemented and how much of their activities are fair-housing related. It will also show which activities are common to FHIP grantees throughout the nation and which are regional or local.

The data collected from the different organizations will be compared to determine what activities can be used to effectively and efficiently assess the program annually.

A2.2 Purpose of the Data Collection

As indicated, the purpose of the data collection is to determine how FHIP agencies use the funds they receive from HUD; for what activities; and to determine what data might be used to annually track program performance.

A2.3 Who Will Use the Information

HUD's Office of Policy Development and Research (PD&R), in conjunction with HUD's Office of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity (FH&EO), will use this information to assess the effectiveness of the FHIP program and the activities undertaken by its grantees.

A2.4 Instrument--Item-By-Item Justification

Respondents will consist of approximately 184 fair housing organizations in the United States. The instrument consists of questions that have not been used in a survey of this type. The survey can be divided into ten parts:

Contact Information. Contact information on the respondent is being collected to allow for follow-up calls to clarify responses, if necessary.

Organization Mission. Two short questions are presented to respondents to determine the mission of the organizations for which they work. HUD is using the questions to understand the variety of fair housing organizations throughout the country and the priority fair housing has within that organization.

Organization Structure. Five questions and subparts are presented to respondents to show what proportion of FHIPs are nonprofits or other types of organization, if they are members of other civil rights organizations, and the characteristics of their boards of directors. These data will be used to see if organizational structure, relationships with other organizations, and board of director affiliation impact on the priorities and approach of FHIP agencies.

Organization History. Three questions and subparts are presented to respondents to learn about the history of the FHIP organization. HUD wants to know about the organization, including how

long it has been in existence, how long it has been providing fair housing services, and its organizational stability.

Service Area and Population. Six questions and subparts are presented to respondents to learn about the service area and population of the organization. HUD wants to determine if there are differences in capacity and approach based on service area and targeted population. HUD also wants to assess the approximate national coverage of FHIP agencies. That is, approximately what proportion of the US population is reasonably served by FHIP groups? HUD also want to understand how FHIP agencies market their program and how most clients report they learned about fair housing groups.

Technology. One question with five subparts ask about what technology the FHIP grantees use in their daily work. Use of technology can substantially increase the efficiency and effectiveness of organizations. There is anecdotal evidence that FHIP groups have very different technology capabilities. The survey will document if limited use of technology is a problem for these agencies.

Employees. Five questions and subparts are presented to respondents to learn about the employees of the FHIPs. HUD wants to know the demographics, education, experience, staff longevity, and training/certifications of the staff that work for these agencies. This information is critical for understanding organizational effectiveness and stability.

Budget. Five questions and subparts are presented to respondents to learn about the financial stability of fair housing organizations. One of the core goals of this evaluation, for HUD, is to understand the financial stability of fair housing organizations from year-to-year. The questions ask about total budget, sources of funding for fair housing activities, and general budget stability from year-to-year.

Enforcement Activities. Thirty-three questions and subparts are presented to respondents to learn about how FHIP agencies undertake enforcement activities and how important the Private Enforcement Initiative (PEI) Grant for doing that work. HUD wants to create a typology of activities conducted by PEI organizations and determine how much investigation and testing are conducted by these organizations.

Education and Outreach Activities. Ten questions and subparts are presented to respondents to learn about Education and Outreach Initiative (EOI) Grant activities. HUD wants to create a typology of activities conducted by EOI organizations and determine how much funding of EOI activities was conducted by FHIP and what activities were covered by other resources.

FHIP Program Overall. Six questions and subparts are presented to respondents to get their thoughts about the FHIP program overall. Do the agencies see any implementation problems? What are their most significant organizational challenges? What is there view on potential program changes? This information will help HUD in its policy discussions on making changes to the program.

A3) Use of Improved Technologies

The survey will be conducted by web-based technology as first choice. DB Consulting will make full use of the latest methodological and technical developments in web-based surveying. However, since most recipients of the FHIP funding are nonprofit agencies, HUD will also employ telephone interviewing for a sub-sample of agencies that do not respond to the web-based survey.

A4) Efforts to Identify Duplication

There is no other national survey assessing the current effectiveness of FHIP. Prior to this submission, a literature search as well as an inquiry of experts found no other comparable survey. Experts consulted included staff in HUD's Office of Policy Development and Research, HUD's Office of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity, and organizations located in other communities across the country that are primarily involved in fair housing.

A5) Involvement of Small Entities

All respondents to this survey will be FHIP-funded recipients for FY 2003, FY 2004, and FY 2005. Most of these entities are small non-profit organizations. The cost to these organizations is the time to complete the survey. In addition, the survey is being conducted by DB Consulting, a small business.

A6) Consequences of Less Frequent Data Collection

With this survey, HUD intends to measure the effectiveness of the FHIP through use of fair housing enforcement activities and public information campaigns relating to federal fair housing laws over the past year. Less frequent measurement will allow more time to go by without the benefit of information about the outcomes of private fair housing groups' efforts to enhance public awareness of fair housing laws and enforcement, which, in turn is presumed to reduce discriminatory actions. There are both significant public costs and adverse consequences to discriminatory actions such as less diverse areas having a lower income tax base.

A7) Special Circumstances

The proposed data collection activities are consistent with the guidelines set forth in 5 CFR 1320.6 (Controlling Paperwork Burden on the Public--General Information Collection Guidelines). There are no special circumstances that require deviation from these guidelines.

A8) Consultations outside the Agency

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, HUD published a Notice in the *Federal Register* announcing the Agency's intention to request an OMB review of this data collection. The Notice was published on December 11, 2006, (Docket No._FR-5403-N-11) in Volume 71, Number 237, page 71551, and provided a 60-day period for public comments. A copy of this Notice appears in the Annex. No comments were received based on this publication.

One very experienced FHIP grantee was consulted on the survey who provided extensive comments on burden, question clarity, and policy utility. In response to those comments, several questions were dropped and/or shortened. Other questions were clarified and some new questions were added.

A9) Payments to Respondents.

No payments are being made to respondents who voluntarily agree to participate in this data collection.

A10) Arrangements and Assurances Regarding Confidentiality

The data set to be provided to the Government from this survey will not contain any identifying information—such as name, address, etc.—that would permit disclosure or identification of respondents.

A11) Sensitive Questions

The questions being asked are not considered sensitive.

A12) Estimate of Annualized Burden Hours

Total annualized burden hours are estimated at 199. Exhibit 1 provides detailed information on the estimated respondents' time to complete the data collection and the total respondents' burden for the data collection effort.

Exhibit 1

А	В	С	D	Е	F	G
Number of Responde nts	Burden per Respondent (Minutes)	Total Annual Burden (Minutes)	Number of Respons es	Total Respondent Burden (Minutes)	Total Burden per Responde nt	Total Project Burden (Hours)
		(A x B)		(C x D)	(B x D)	
184	65	11,960	1	11,960	65	199

A13) Estimated Record Keeping and Reporting Cost Burden on Respondents

The cost burden to respondents is the time required to respond to the FHIP Web survey questions, including the time to look up information. The survey is requesting information from the FHIP agencies that cannot be obtained any other way. No additional record keeping is required.

A14) Estimated Cost to the Federal Government

The total contracted cost to the Federal government for the study is \$500,000. This includes the design, all data collection, and the cost to analyze and report the results. The contractor has 303 hours and \$30,143 budgeted for completion of this survey.

A15) Reasons for Changes in Burden

The change in burden is occurring because this is a new survey, and no prior burden exists.

A16) Tabulation Plans, Statistical Analysis, and Study Schedule

When the data collection is completed by the contractor, they will then analyze the data and prepare an initial report for HUD. The report will include the analysis of the collected data to determine the typologies requested by HUD and may result in recommendations for performance measures that could be used annually. Data collection is scheduled to begin in June 2007. A report is scheduled to be delivered in November 2007.

A17) Expiration Date Display Exemption

Any reproduction of the data collection instrument will prominently display the OMB approval number and expiration date.

A18) Exceptions to Certification

This submission, describing data collection, requests no exceptions to the Certificate for Paperwork Reduction Act (5 CFR 1320.9).

ANNEX

The Annex contains:

- the FHIP web and telephone survey; and,
 a copy of the *Federal Register* announcement for the survey.

Annex A

FAIR HOUSING INITIATIVES PROGRAM WEB SURVEY IN TELEPHONE INSTRUMENT GUIDE FORMAT

Please take a few minutes to complete this survey. DB Consulting is conducting a program evaluation of the Fair Housing Initiatives Program on behalf of the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). This study is intended to provide HUD with an in-depth understanding of how fair housing agencies function and what role FHIP funds play in supporting their operation. This information may be used by HUD as part of future budget justifications for the program, design of new performance measures, and program changes. Your response to the survey is critical to the success and validity of this research.

Your participation in this survey is voluntary. The questions have been approved by the United States Office of Management and Budget, number xxxxxx. It is estimated that it will take you between 45 and 90 minutes to complete the survey. If you do not have time to complete the survey in one sitting, you can return to it at a later time. After collecting the data and preparing an analysis file, DB consulting will remove identifying information, such as name and detailed location, about your specific organization. HUD will only be provided the data file after the identifying information has been removed.

Note that this is a survey of all FHIP agencies receiving grants between FY 2003 and FY 2005. Most of the questions, however, ask about what you did in 2006 or Fiscal Year 2006, whether or not you received a grant in FY 2005. This is intentional, as we are interested in how groups operate in years when they get funding and when they do not get funding. When a reference is made to the year 2006, you may choose to use data on your fiscal year or on the calendar year, whichever is easier for you.

CONTACT INFORMATION

In case we need to follow up with you to clarify any response, please provide the
following contact information:
A) Name of Primary Person Completing Survey:

H_{I}	name of Filmary Ferson Completing Survey
B)	Title:
	Phone Number:
D)	Email:

QUESTIONS

Organizational Mission

As part of this evaluation, HUD is trying to understand the variety of models fair housing organizations use nationwide and in what context of the agency's mission does their fair housing work fall. The following questions asked about your organization's mission and structure:

1) What	t is the overall missio	on of your orga	nization?
E P S S	does <u>fair housing</u> fit exclusive role Primary role	g several	erall mission?

<u>Organization Structure</u>
3) Which of the following describes your organization? Non-profit
4a) Does your organization have a Board of Directors? Yes
4b) If yes, what is the size of your organization's Board of Directors (including vacancies) ?4c) How many of the Board of Director positions are currently vacant?
4d) Does your Board of Directors include representatives from: (a) Other civil rights organizationsYes No (b) Legal professionals
4e) How many board members have been on the board for: (a) 10 years or longer?(b) 5 to 10 years?(c) 2 to 5 years?
5a) Is your agency an operating member of the National Fair Housing Alliance? Yes (skip to 6)

5b) If No, is your agency a supporting member of the National Fair Housing Alliance? Yes No
6) Is it a member of any civil rights organizations? Yes
7) Is it affiliated with other organizations? Yes Specify No
Organization History As part of this evaluation, HUD wants to know about organization history and where and whom it generally serves. This information will help understand more about FHIP organization stability and the overall geographic coverage by FHIP agencies nationwide.
8) In what year was your organization founded (legally incorporated)?
9) In what year did it first become active in fair housing activities?
10a) Has your agency's involvement in fair housing activities been continuous since that time or has it been discontinuous (that is, stopped doing fair housing activities at some point and then restarted)? Continuous (skip to 11)
10b) If discontinuous, why did it stop providing fair housing services?
10c) If discontinuous, in what year did it become active in fair housing activities for the most recent period of continuous activity?

Service Area and Population
11a) Please describe your organization's fair housing primary service area? (That is,
the area where you primarily market your program and where most of the clients you serve live).
·
Targeted neighborhood(s)
A single city
A single county
Multiple cities and/or counties outside of a metropolitan area
Statewide
Multiple states (specify)
Nationwide
Other (specify)
Other (Speeny)
11b) What is the approximate population of your fair housing primary service area?
(That is, the number of people in the area where you primarily market your program
and where most of the clients you serve live).
12a) In 2006, did your organization primarily market to a specific population or
populations?
Yes
No (skip to 13)
12b) If yes, what population(s) did your organization primarily market to in 2006 (you
may select more than one)?
Persons with Disabilities
Families
African Americans
Hispanics
Asians
Native Americans
Immigrants
Low-Income
Women
Men
Other
Specify

12c) In 2006, did your group limit its fair housing services to only families below a

specified income threshold (such as low-income)?
Yes
No

13) Please indicate whether or not your organization provided the following fair housing services in 2006 (you may select more than one)? Education and Outreach
14) If your organization provided other services beyond fair housing in 2006, what were the three largest types of programs provided? (a) Largest:
15a) How do you market your <u>fair housing</u> services? Check each activity you used in 2006.
15b) Does your organization have a web page? Yes
16a) Do you ask clients how they became aware of your <u>fair housing</u> services? Yes No (skip to 17)

reported that they learned about your agency?
Yellow pages
Radio advertisement
Newspaper advertisement
Bus advertisement
Internet advertisement
Internet search (Google, Yahoo, etc)
Friend, neighbor, or relative
Brochures
Newsletters
Presentations to organizations/civic groups
Referral from another agency
Other
Specify
Specify
Technology 17a) In 2006, approximately how many of your regular staff had a working computer
available for their exclusive use?
"All" ("Most" ("Roughly Half" ("A few" ("None" ("
17b) In 2006, approximately how many of your regular staff had a working computer available for their exclusive used that also had access to the internet? "All" "Most" "Roughly Half" "A few" "None"
17c) In 2006, did your organization have a working fax machine? Yes No
17d) In 2006, did your organization use audio recorders in its fair housing testing?
(select only one)
Yes, all of the time Yes, some of the time No Not legal in
our state We do not do testing
17e) In 2006, did your organization use any of the following information services as
part of its fair housing work?
(a) WestlawYes No
(b) LexisNexisYes No
(c) Census DataYes 🗌 No 🗌
(d) OtherYes No No

charac	yees core of any organization are its employees. HUD wants to know about the cteristics of fair housing employees, their education levels, fair housing training operience, and length of tenure in employment.
(a) Ful exclud accour (b) Par positio	ow many employees does your <u>overall</u> organization have? I time (35-hour workweek - include volunteers who fill regular staff positions, le temporary staff and professional services conducted by third-parties such as nting, bookkeeping, and legal counsel)? Int time (less than 35-hour work week - include volunteers who fill regular staff ons, exclude temporary staff and professional services conducted by third-services accounting, bookkeeping, and legal counsel)?
18c) H job?	low many employees work on fair housing activities as a regular part of their
	(a) Full time?(b) Part time?

Specify ______Specify _____

19a) How many of your fair housing staff (both full-time and part-time) provided the following fair housing services in 2006. Please distinguish between primary (more than 50%) responsibility and occasional responsibility (less than 50%):

Responsibility	<u>Primary</u>	<u>Occasional</u>	<u>Total</u>
(a) Intake?			
(b) Education and			
Outreach?			
(c) Investigation?			
(e) Testing?			
(f) Statistical			
Analysis/Research?			
(g) Legal Referrals?			
(h) Policy Issues?			
(i) Advocacy?			
(j) Other?			
Specify			

19b) How many of your fair housing staff (both full-time and part-time) belong to each of these racial/ethnic groups?

 (a) Non-Hispanic White?
19c) How many of your fair housing staff (both full-time and part-time) are women, and how many are men? (a) Women?
19d) How many of your fair housing staff (both full-time and part-time) have the following as their highest level of education completed? (a) Less than high school graduate? (b) High school graduate only? (c) 2-year college degree? (d) 4-year college degree? (e) Masters degree? (f) Law degree (J.D.)? (g) Doctorate (Ph.D.)? (h) Not Known?
19e) How many of your fair housing staff (both full-time and part-time) have worked for your agency (a) More than 10 years?
or your agency (a) More than 10 years?

19f) How many of your fair housing staff (both full-time and part-time) have worked on fair housing issues, whether or not with your agency \dots

19gi) How many staff in 2006 had responsibility for coordinating tests?
19gii) If you had a test coordinator(s) in 2006, please describe the testing-related training the test coordinator(s) had received and when they received that training.
10hi) Hannaran ataffin 2006 had war anaihilitu fan dainn ar analaint intala2
19hi) How many staff in 2006 had responsibility for doing complaint intake?
19hii) If you had intake staff in 2006, please describe the intake-related training that they have received and when they received that training.
20a) Over the past five years how many different Executive Directors have you had for your organization?
20b) If different than the Executive Director, over the past five years how many different Fair Housing Program Directors have you had for your organization?
21) How much did your organization spend in FY 2006 on <u>fair housing</u> related staff training and continuing education? \$
Budget One of the core goals of this evaluation is to understand the financial stability of fair housing organizations from year-to-year. The following questions ask about total budget, sources of funding for fair housing activities, and general budget stability from year-to-year.
22) What was your organization's TOTAL operating budget for its fiscal year 2006? \$
23) What was your organization's FAIR HOUSING operating budget for its fiscal years (a) 2004?\$(b) 2005?\$

(c) 2006?.....\$____

24) For the organization's fiscal year 2006, please indicate the amount of dollars from each source that supported your FAIR HOUSING operations, please distinguish between enforcement activities and education and outreach activities (if unable to differentiate between activities, please provide the "Total" amount and indicate "DK" under "Enforcement" and "Education and Outreach"):

and Emoreement and Education at			
	<u>Enforceme</u>	Education &	
	<u>nt</u>	<u>Outreach</u>	<u>Total</u>
(a) FHIP PEI	\$	\$	\$
(b) FHIP EOI	\$	\$	\$
(c) FHIP FHOI	\$	\$	\$
(d) CDBG from City, County, or State	\$	\$	\$
(e) Other funding from City, County,	\$	\$	\$
or State			
(f) HUD Housing Counseling Funds	\$	\$	\$
(g) Proceeds from fair housing	\$	\$	\$
settlement(s)			
(h) Realtors	\$	\$	\$
(i) Bankers/Mortgage Companies	\$	\$	\$
(j) Landlords or Associations	\$	\$	\$
(k) Foundations	\$	\$	\$
(I) Charitable Donations	\$	\$	\$
(k) Specify			
	\$	\$	\$
	\$	\$	\$

25a) Over the past five years, would you describe your funding FROM ALL SOURCES for fair housing activities to be:

Generally increasing over time.....

Relatively stable from year-to-year

Up and down modestly from year-to-year

Up and down significantly from year-to-year

Generally decreasing over time.....

25b) Please explain what most affects the stability of your <u>fair housing</u> funding from year-to-year:

settlements as a result of lawsuits or administrative complaints? Yes
26b) If yes, what is the total amount your organization has received from settlements in the past 5 years as a result of as a result of lawsuits or administrative complaints?
Enforcement Activities Please answer the following questions if your organization conducted any enforcement activities in 2006 , whether or not you received a PEI grant. Enforcement activities involve receiving, initiating, and/or investigating fair housing complaints.
27) Did your organization receive and/or investigate fair housing complaints in 2006? Yes No (skip to 60)
Inquiries
28) How do you track and log fair housing inquiries (An inquiry is the initial request for assistance on an allegation of what appears to be unlawful housing discrimination)? (check all that apply) (a) Each inquiry is recorded in a database (b) Each inquiry is recorded in a paper file (c) Inquiry is noted in some other format (d) Inquiries are not tracked
29) Are any of the following items collected at time of initial contact? (a) Name

17

30a) From how many individuals or organizations (excluding your agency) did the

agency receive a fair housing inquiry in 2006?
30b) How many FAIR HOUSING investigations were "agency initiated" (for example initiation of a systemic investigation based on renting patterns in a community)?

31) How many of the (a) Led to fair (b) Led to fair (c) Were reso none (d) Were inve (e) Still under (f) Led to evic (g) Were refe (h) Were refe (i) Were refer (f) Resulted in	housing con housing suit lved by your stigated but investigation dence being r rred to a stat rred to the St red to a state n some other	nplaints file as filed in conganization and discriment after file attention	ed with Hourt?on outsidention withe Department agency? ey Genero agency?	UD or FI le of HUI ras confi artment ? ral? ?	HAP? or none O/FHAP or rmed? or none of Justice or none or none or none	or none [court? _ or non or non or or	or ne
Other Out	come I:						
Other Out	come 2:						
Other Out	_						
32) What are the total number of FAIR HOUSING inquiries where the agency conducted some sort of an investigation in 2006 (an investigation is any proactive work by the fair housing agency to obtain evidence to validate the potential complaint)?							
		<u>wnat p</u>	<u>proportio</u>			stigated	<u>were</u>
					<u>igated</u>		
				1	<u>l funds?</u>		
	<u>Number</u>	<u>Al</u>	<u>l</u>	<u>Sc</u>	<u>ome</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>ne</u>
(a) Rental							
(b) Sales							
(c) Lending							
(d) Insurance							
(e) Other							
(C) Other]		$\overline{}$		\neg
]				

35) In 2006, did your FHIP investigate any inquiries involving the following protected
classes? If yes, were any investigated using PEI funds?
(a) Raceyes no ; With PEI? yes no (b) Coloryes no ; With PEI? yes no (c)
(b) Coloryes no; With PEI? yes no (c) National Originyes no; With PEI? yes no
(e) Familial Statusyes no ; With PEI? yes no
(f) Sexyes no ; With PEI? yes no
(g) Religionyes no ; With PEI? yes no
(I) Design and Construction yes no ; With PEI? yes no
(m) Disability (excluding design and construction) yes \(\begin{array}{c}\) no \(\begin{array}{c}\); With PEI?
yes no
(h) Other yes no ; With PEI? yes no
36) Did you do any investigations in 2006 that used consultants or specialists?
Yes
No (skip to 38)
37) For investigations that used consultants or specialists, what types of service did
they provide?
(a) Engineering/ArchitectureYes No
(b) Statistical AnalysisYes No
(c) OtherYes No
Fair Housing Tosting
Fair Housing Testing 38) Doos your organization conduct fair housing tosts?
38) Does your organization conduct fair housing tests? Yes
No (skip to 60)
NO (SKIP to 00)
For organizations conducting fair housing tests:
Tor organizations conducting fair nousing tests.
39) Is there a staff person charged with coordinating tests?
Yes
No (skip to 41)
NO (3KIP to 41)
40) If yes, approximately how much of that person's time in a year is dedicated to
coordinating tests?
"All"
"Three-quarters"
"Roughly Half"

"One-quarter or less".....

41) Do you have documented tester training manual?	
Yes	
No (skip to 43)	
42) If yes, please describe how you developed the tester training manual (yo indicate more than one)? Primarily locally developed	u may
43) Indicate if any testers were recruited in 2006 from the following methods Referrals from current testers	; :
44) Of the testers conducting tests in 2006, about what proportion were NEW in 2006? "All"	/ testers
45) Of the testers conducting tests in 2006, about what proportion had atten tester training session in 2006? "All"	ded a
46) How did you compensate the <u>majority</u> of your testers in 2006? Volunteers (no compensation) Paid an honorarium or stipend Paid staff (hourly or salary)	

of discrimination from an individual complainant (excluding your own "agency initiated" tests or tests initiative at the request of HUD or a FHAP agency)? "All" "Most" "Roughly Half" "A few" "None"
48) Approximately what proportion of your testing in 2006 was "agency initiated" (for example, initiation of a systemic investigation based on renting patterns in a community)?
"All"
49) Were any tests conducted in 2006 in response to a request from HUD or FHAP agency?
Yes No
50) Did your agency conduct any tests in 2006 for the housing industry as part of a "self-testing" program?
Yes No
51) Did your agency conduct any tests in 2006 as part of a research project (such as to measure the level of discrimination in your community)? Yes
52) Did your agency conduct any tests in 2006 for compliance testing in response to a settlement or court order?
Yes
53) How many TOTAL fair housing tests were conducted by the agency in 2006?
54) How many TOTAL fair housing tests were conducted by the agency in 2006 that were funded with FHIP PEI funds?
55) Please indicate if in 2006 you conducted one or more of the following type of fair housing test:
(a) Rentalyes
(c) Lendingyes no

(d) Insurar	nce	yes	no 🗌
56) Please indica	ate if in 2006 you conducted one or more of the	fair housin	a test for
these protected		iali ilousiili	g test for
•	n American	yes	no 🗌
(b) Hispan	nic or Latino	yes	no 🗌
(c) Asian		yes	no 🗌
	American	• =	no 🗌
	Islander	- =	no
	Race	- —	no
<u>-</u>	Color	- —	no 🗌
	on	· =	no
	al Origin	- =	no 🗌
	al Status	_	no 🗌
• •	and Construction	, <u> </u>	no 🗌
_	cal Disability (excluding design and construction	• =	no
_	ry Disability (excluding design and construction)	- =	no
(o) Mental	I Disability (excluding design and construction) .	yes	no 🗌
(p) Other		yes	no 🗌
	ely what proportion of your testing is done throught" "Roughly Half" "A few" "None"		n visits?
initiated") compl	ely what proportion of your testing based on <u>indi</u> laints in 2006 resulted in some type of enforcem t" "Roughly Half" "A few" "None" _	ent action	
	ely what proportion of your <u>agency initiated</u> testi forcement action? t"	ng in 2006	resulted in

		~	
Education	and	()utreach	$\Delta ctivition$
Luucation	anu	Outleatii	ACLIVILIES

Please answer the following questions if your organization conducted **any education** and outreach activities in 2006, whether or not you received an EOI grant. Education and outreach activities are activities that include developing education materials, analyzing local impediments to housing choice, providing housing counseling and classes, convening meetings that bring together the housing industry with fair housing groups, developing technical materials on accessibility, and mounting public information campaigns.

60) Did your organization undertake any FAI activities in 2006? Yes	R HOUSING education and outreach
Fair Housing Act Design Manual - HUD Fair Housing for HOME Participants - H Fair Housing Planning Guide - HUD	ch activities (please only indicate a ceived or used the material in 2006). (English/Spanish) - HUD
Reserve	
62) If you used other educational materials i please provide the names and source of each the National Fair Housing Alliance (NFHA), yo housing group): i. Name:	n (for example, a brochure developed by our state's civil rights office, or another fair
ii. Name:	Source:
iii. Name:	
63) Has your organization developed its own the materials are currently used in your educed Yes	
64) For educational material developed local	lly, please provide a title, purpose, target

than three developed, list three used most often in 2006). i. Title of locally developed material	
Purpose (ie. How to spot a predatory loan)	
Target Audience (ie. Hispanic homebuyers)	
Language (ie. English)	
Funded with current or past EOI grant: Yes	No 🗌
ii. Title:	
Purpose:	
Target Audience	
Language :	
Funded with current or past EOI grant: Yes	No 🗌
iii. Title:	
Purpose:	
Target Audience	
Language :	
Funded with current or past EOI grant: Yes	No 🗌
65) Please describe any fair housing education material that developing and producing.	at you think HUD should be

audience, language, and if its development was paid for with the FHIP EOI grant (if more

66) What types of Education and Outreach activities did your organization undertake in 2006? For each, indicate if it was undertaken at all followed by whether or not it was funded all or partially with FHIP EOI funds. (a) <u>Develop</u> education materials?yes no; With EOI? yes no (b) <u>Distribute</u> education materials?yes no; With EOI? yes no (c) Analyze local impediments to housing choice?yes no; With EOI? yes no (d) Provide housing counseling and classes?yes no; With EOI? yes no
(e) Convene meetings that bring together the housing industry with fair housing
groups?
yes no ; With EOI? yes no (f) Develop technical materials on accessibility?yes no ; With EOI? yes no
(g) Mount media campaign(s)?yes no ; With EOI? yes no (h) Training to landlords/management agencies?yes no ; With EOI? yes no
(i) Training to real estate agents?yes no ; With EOI? yes no (j) Training to lenders?yes no ; With EOI? yes no (k) Training to local government officials?yes no ; With EOI? yes no (l) Other (specify), yes no ; With EOI? yes no (l)
67) How many total people received direct fair housing education or training from your organization in 2006?
68) How many separate organization's did you provide fair housing training to their staff in 2006?

69) Do you undertake customer service survey of individuals after they receive training? Yes							
	l he survey is seeking in tion and your opinions						
70) To what extent have there been implementation problems, notable hardships, or significant costs associated with the administration of your FHIP grant? (a) Implementation Problems yes no (b) Notable hardships .yes no (c) Significant costsyes no (d) If yes to any, please specify 71) What are the most significant challenges for organizations fair housing program?							
,	Very significant	<u>Somewhat</u> significant	Not at all significant				
Consistent funding for enforcement operations		<u> </u>					
Consistent funding for education and outreach activities							
Staff retention Staff expertise/training							
							

72) Please rate your agreement with the following statements about HUD's role in supporting private fair housing organizations with appropriation levels held constant?

Supporting private fair floasing organizations with appropriation levels field constant:						
			<u>Disagree</u>	<u>Disagree</u>		
	<u>Agree a lot</u>	<u>Agree a little</u>	<u>a little</u>	<u>a lot</u>		
HUD should set standards for						
FHIP agency investigations						
Standards for testing should						
be developed so FHIP						
agencies could have "certified						
testing programs"						
Make all PEI grants 3-year						
awards, but have fewer PEI						
and EOI grants each year						
Discontinue PEI 3-year awards						
and fund more EOI grants						
Discontinue PEI 3-year awards						
and fund more PEI grants						
Reinstate the FHOI Program						
and have fewer overall PEI						
grants						

73) In your own words, in what ways do the services your group provide add value to promoting and enforcing fair housing laws?

74) Please tell us what things you see as being problems with the FHIP program and what you think might be done to fix those problems.

75) Please use the following space to provide any further comments on either the challenges facing FHIP agencies, HUD's role in supporting FHIP agencies, or concerns that were not captured in the survey.

Thank you very much for your participation in this survey. If you have any questions regarding the survey please contact the survey director, xxx of DB Consulting group, toll-free at 1-888-xxx-xxxx or email at xxx@DBConsulting.com.

Annex B

Federal Register Notice

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-5043-N-11]

Notice of Proposed Information Collection for Public Comment: Survey of Agencies Receiving Funding Under HUD's Fair Housing Initiative Program (FHIP)

AGENCY: Office of the Policy Development and Research, HUD. ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The proposed information collection requirement described below will be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act. The Department is soliciting public comments on the subject proposal.

DATES: Comments Due Date: February 9, 2007.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name and/or OMB Control number and should be sent to: Report Liaison Officer, Office of Policy Development and Research, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street, SW., Room 8226, Washington, DC 20410-5000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Todd M. Richardson, (202) 708-3700, extension 5706, (this is not a toll-free number) for copies of the proposed forms and other available documents. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department will submit the proposed information collection to OMB for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended). This Notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information to: (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or

This Notice also lists the following information:

of responses).

other forms of information technology (e.g., permitting electronic submission

Title of Proposal: Survey of Agencies Receiving Funding Under HUD's Fair Housing Initiative Program (FHIP)

Description of the need for the information and proposed use: This request is for the clearance of a survey instrument designed to provide a broad, statistically accurate picture of the activities of FHIP agencies nationwide. This survey would be based on the population of approximately 168 FHIP agencies. The purpose of the survey is to characterize the nature of the organizations that receive FHIP grants and the types of activities they undertake. The proposed survey includes questions on agency size, budget, mission, experience, governance/administrative structure, staff, and funding history. The proposed survey will also look specifically at the grantee's process of implementing its FHIP grant.

OMB Approval Number: Pending. Agency form numbers: None. Members of Affected Public: FHIP Agencies.

Estimation of the total number of hours needed to prepare the information collection including number of respondents, frequency of response, and hours of response: 168 FHIP agencies will be surveyed. Average time to complete the survey is 45 minutes. Respondents will only be contacted once. Total burden hours are 126.

Status of the proposed information collection: New.

Authority: Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended.

Date: December 1, 2006.

Darlene F. Williams,

Assistant Secretary for Policy Development and Research.

[FR Doc. E6-20934 Filed 12-8-06; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[MT-039-1020-PK]

Notice of Public Meeting, Dakotas Resource Advisory Council Meeting

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA) and the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (FACA), the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management (BLM), Dakotas Resource Advisory Council will meet as indicated below.

DATES: A meeting will be held January 10 and 11, 2007, at the Holiday Inn, at 190 Exit 14, Spearfish, SD 57783, beginning at 1 p.m. The public comment period will begin at 8 a.m. on Thursday, January 11, 2007.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The 15member Council advises the Secretary of the Interior, through the Bureau of Land Management, on a variety of planning and management issues associated with public land management in North and South Dakota. All meetings are open to the public. The public may present written comments to the Council. Each formal Council meeting will also have time allocated for hearing public comments. Depending on the number of persons wishing to comment and time available, the time for individual oral comments may be limited. Individuals who plan to attend and need special assistance, such as sign language interpretation, or other reasonable accommodations, should contact the BLM as provided below. The Council will hear updates to Recreation Resource Advisory Committee roles, Sage Grouse Conservation, and upcoming resource management planning efforts.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Marian Atkins, Field Manager, South
Dakota Field Office, 310 Roundup St.,
Belle Fourche, South Dakota, 605–892–
7000, or Lonny Bagley, Field Manager,
North Dakota Field Office, 99 23rd Ave.,
W. Suite A, Dickinson, North Dakota,
701–227–7700.

Dated: December 5, 2006.
Michael A. Nash,
Acting, Field Manager.
[FR Doc. E6–20972 Filed 12–8–06; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–40–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[MT-060-01-1020-PG]

Notice of Public Meeting; Central Montana Resource Advisory Council

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Federal Land Policy and Management Act and the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972, the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Central Montana Resource Advisory Council (RAC) will meet as indicated below.