Supporting Statement for Paperwork Reduction Act Submission

A. Justification

1. Explain the circumstances that make the collection of information necessary. Identify any legal or administrative requirements that necessitate the collection. Attach a copy of the appropriate section of each statute and regulation mandating or authorizing the collection of information.

Consistent with the provisions of P.L. 105-244 (Title VII, Part B, Section 741 of the Higher Education Act as amended), the Fund for the Improvement of Postsecondary Education (FIPSE) works to improve postsecondary education through grants to postsecondary educational institutions and agencies. Such grants are awarded on the basis of competitively reviewed applications submitted to FIPSE under its Comprehensive and Special Focus Competition Program grant competitions (see P.L. 105-244 [Title VII, Part B, Section 744(c)(3) and (4)] for Special Focus Competitions). The U.S. Department of Education is requesting approval of the grant application guidelines used to solicit applications for new grants under the Postsecondary Student Achievement and Institutional Performance Pilot Program. This is a new FIPSE Special Focus Competition and was initiated in response to concerns raised by the Secretary of Education's Commission on the Future of Higher Education and the Academic Competitiveness Council regarding the lack of long-term student outcomes data. In fiscal year 2007, FIPSE intends to use \$2.5 million of the \$8 million available for new awards to support at least one consortium of institutions of higher education. associations, public and private non-profit organizations, and/or states to develop methods and implement mechanisms to systematically measure, assess and report on student achievement and institutional performance at the postsecondary level. The request and justification provided here apply to the application instructions package, which includes program-specific information about this competition, as well as standard forms required for submission.

2. Indicate how, by whom, and for what purpose the information is to be used. Except for a new collection, indicate the actual use the agency has made of the information received from the current collection.

This application package will be used for the first time in fiscal year 2007. It will be used to provide potential applicants with information and criteria needed to submit proposals to the Postsecondary Student Achievement and Institutional Performance Pilot Program. As mentioned above, this program will make at least one grant award to a consortium of institutions of higher education, associations, public and private non-profit organizations, and/or states to develop methods and implement mechanisms to systematically measure, assess and report on student achievement and institutional performance at the postsecondary level. Using established review criteria in 34 CFR 75, external readers and FIPSE program staff will review and score applications, in order to select at least one recipient.

3. Describe whether, and to what extent, the collection of information involves the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or forms of information technology, e.g. permitting electronic submission of responses, and the basis for the decision of adopting this means of collection. Also describe any consideration of using information technology to reduce burden.

This competition will be conducted using Grants.gov, the electronic government-wide system used by agencies to publish grant opportunities and by applicants to obtain and submit applications for those awards.

4. Describe efforts to identify duplication. Show specifically why any similar information already available cannot be used or modified for use of the purposes described in Item 2 above.

OPE staff have made every effort to ensure that there is no duplication of data acquisition. The collection gathers information that is unique to this competition, which is not replicated by any other office or agency.

5. If the collection of information impacts small businesses or other small entities (Item 5 of OMB Form 83-I), describe any methods used to minimize burden.

The collection of information does not impact small businesses or other small entities.

6. Describe the consequences to Federal program or policy activities if the collection is not conducted or is conducted less frequently, as well as any technical or legal obstacles to reducing burden.

This application process occurs as infrequently as possible in order to award funds appropriated under this program. If the competition is not conducted or is conducted less frequently, applicants will be unable to compete for the funds that have been designated for this program, and the Department of Education will be unable to make corresponding grant awards.

7.	Explain any special circumstance that would cause an information collection to be conducted in a manner:
	requiring respondents to report information to the agency more often than quarterly;
	requiring respondents to prepare a written response to a collection of information in fewer than 30 days after receipt of it;
	requiring respondents to submit more than an original and two copies of any document;
	requiring respondents to retain records, other than health, medical, government contract, grant-in-aid, or tax records for more than three years;
	in connection with a statistical survey, that is not designed to produce valid and reliable results that can be generalized to the universe of study;
	requiring the use of a statistical data classification that has not been reviewed and approved by OMB;
	that includes a pledge of confidentiality that is not supported by authority established in statute or regulation, that is not supported by disclosure and data security policies that are consistent with the pledge, or which unnecessarily impedes sharing of data with other agencies for compatible confidential use; or
	requiring respondents to submit proprietary trade secrets, or other confidential information unless the agency can demonstrate that it has instituted procedures to protect the information's confidentiality to the extent permitted by law.

Please note that no special circumstances apply to the circumstances cited.

8. If applicable, provide a copy and identify the date and page number of publication in the Federal Register of the agency=s notice, required by 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on the information collection prior to submission to OMB. Summarize public comments received in response to that notice and describe actions taken by the agency in response to these comments. Specifically address comments received on cost and hour burden.

Describe efforts to consult with persons outside the agency to obtain their views on the availability of data, frequency of collection, the clarity of instruction and record keeping, disclosure, or reporting format (if any), and on the data elements to be recorded, disclosed, or reported.

Consultation with representatives of those from whom information is to be obtained or those who must

compile records should occur at least once every 3 years - even if the collection of information activity is the same as in prior periods. There may be circumstances that may preclude consultation in a specific situation. These circumstances should be explained.

Fiscal year 2007 will be the first time that these application guidelines will be used. This application has been developed in response to the work of the Secretary's Commission on the Future of Higher Education, which was formed in September 2005 to prompt a national dialogue on higher education. In the year that followed, the Commission consulted representatives from diverse segments of the higher education community, including students and families, university faculty and administrators, business leaders, association officials, researchers, and policy makers. The Commission also invited public comment via phone, e-mail, or attendance at one of the Commission's meetings. This broad range of perspectives was incorporated into the Commission's final report to the Secretary, which was released in September 2006. This report emphasized that, despite the many successes of American higher education, improvements are needed to ensure that the system is accessible, affordable, and accountable to the American public. The Commission cited the lack of clear, reliable data on student and institutional performance as a challenge for both students and policy makers facing decisions about investment in higher education. This pilot program speaks directly to that need and seeks to provide data that will enable the continued transparency and accountability of American higher education.

9. Explain any decision to provide any payment or gift to respondents, other than renumeration of contractors or grantees.

The U.S. Department of Education does not provide any payment or gift to respondents.

10. Describe any assurance of confidentiality provided to respondents and the basis for the assurance in statute, regulations, or agency policy.

No assurance of confidentiality is provided to respondents except as provided by the Privacy Act and the U.S. Department of Education's policies governing the review of discretionary grant applications.

11. Provide additional justification for any questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private. This justification should include the reasons why the agency considers the questions necessary, the specific uses to be made of the information, the explanation to be given to persons from whom the information is requested, and any steps to be taken to obtain their consent.

There are no questions of a sensitive nature.

cate the number of respondents, frequency of response, annual hour burden, and an explanation of the burden was estimated. Unless directed to do so, agencies should not conduct special surveys to in information on which to base hour burden estimates. Consultation with a sample (fewer than 10) of nitial respondents is desirable. If the hour burden on respondents is expected to vary widely because ifferences in activity, size, or complexity, show the range of estimated hour burden, and explain the ons for the variance. Generally, estimates should not include burden hours for customary and usual ness practices.
v a e di

12. Provide estimates of the hour burden of the collection of information. The statement should:

form and aggregate the hour burdens in Item 13 of OMB Form 83-1.

☐ Provide estimates of annualized cost to respondents of the hour burdens for collections of information,

☐ If this request for approval covers more than one form, provide separate hour burden estimates for each

identifying and using appropriate wage rate categories. The cost of contracting out or paying outside parties for information collection activities should not be included here. Instead, this cost should be included in Item 14.

Number of Respondents: 5

Frequency of response: once annually

Annual hour burden: 30 hours per application; total 150 annual hour burden (estimated).

Estimated Annualized Cost to Respondents of Hour Burdens:

Preparation of applications (\$28.00) per hour x 150 burden hours...\$4200

- 13. Provide an estimate of the total annual cost burden to respondents or record keepers resulting from the collection of information. (Do not include the cost of any hour burden shown in Items 12 and 14.)
- ☐ The cost estimate should be split into two components: (a) a total capital and start-up cost component (annualized over its expected useful life); and (b) a total operation and maintenance and purchase of services component. The estimates should take into account costs associated with generating, maintaining, and disclosing or providing the information. Include descriptions of methods used to estimate major cost factors including system and technology acquisition, expected useful life of capital equipment, the discount rate(s), and the time period over which costs will be incurred. Capital and start-up costs include, among other items, preparations for collecting information such as purchasing computers and software; monitoring, sampling, drilling and testing equipment; and record storage facilities.
- If cost estimates are expected to vary widely, agencies should present ranges of cost burdens and explain the reasons for the variance. The cost of contracting out information collection services should be a part of this cost burden estimate. In developing cost burden estimates, agencies may consult with a sample of respondents (fewer than 10), utilize the 60-day pre-OMB submission public comment process and use existing economic or regulatory impact analysis associated with the rulemaking containing the information collection, as appropriate.
- ☐ Generally, estimates should not include purchases of equipment or services, or portions thereof, made: (1) prior to October 1, 1995, (2) to achieve regulatory compliance with requirements not associated with the information collection, (3) for reasons other than to provide information or keep records for the government, or (4) as part of customary and usual business or private practices.

Total Annualized Capital/Startup Cost: \$.00 Total Annual Costs (O&M): \$.00

Total Annualized Costs Requested: \$.00

Respondents will submit applications through Grants.gov; therefore, there are no postage and printing costs.

14. Provide estimates of annualized cost to the Federal government. Also, provide a description of the method used to estimate cost, which should include quantification of hours, operational expenses (such as equipment, overhead, printing, and support staff), and any other expense that would not have been incurred without this collection of information. Agencies also may aggregate cost estimates from Items 12, 13, and 14 in a single table.

Review by FIPSE program staff (100 hours x \$30.50)......\$3050.00

Administrative and Support staff

(25 hours x \$14 + 87 hours x \$30.50)	
	<u>\$7553.50</u>
15. Explain the reasons for any program changes or adjustm 83-I.	ents reported in Items 13 or 14 of the OMB Form
This is a new FIPSE Special Focus Competition, to be conductive there is no burden on record for this application. reflected as a difference in burden hours due to a program of	The total burden hours for this program are
16. For collections of information whose results will be public Address any complex analytical techniques that will be used including beginning and ending dates of the collection of information and other actions.	Provide the time schedule for the entire project,
No data or results of this information collection are published	•
17. If seeking approval to not display the expiration date explain the reasons that display would be inappropriate. We will display the expiration date for OMB approval of the c	
18. Explain each exception to the certification statement in Reduction Act Submissions," of OMB Form 83-I.	lentified in Item 20, "Certification for Paperwork
There are no exceptions.	
B. Collections of Information Employing Statistical Meth	ods
The agency should be prepared to justify its decision not to methods might reduce burden or improve accuracy of result "Yes," the following documentation should be included in applies to the methods proposed:	ts. When item 17 on Form OMB 83-I is checked
1. Describe the potential respondent universe (including a respondent selection method to be used. Data on the null local government units, households, or persons) in the corresponding sample are to be provided in tabular form f strata in the proposed sample. Indicate expected respondent to the collection had been conducted previously, include the accollection.	mber of entities (e.g., establishments, state and universe covered by the collection and in the for the universe as a whole and for each of the as rates for the collection as a whole. If the
Not applicable: FIPSE does not employ statistical methods	nods in this collection of information.
2. Describe the procedures for the collection of information,	including:

☐ Statistical methodology for stratification and sample selection.

 $\hfill \square$ Degree of accuracy needed for the purpose described in the justification.

☐ Estimation procedure.

Unusual problems	requiring specialized sampling procedures, and			
Any use of periodic (less frequent than annual) data collection cycles to reduce burden.				
Not applicable:	EIPSE does not employ statistical methods in this collection of information			

3. Describe methods to maximize response and to deal with issues of non-response. The accuracy and reliability of information collected must be shown to be adequate for intended uses. For collections based on sampling, a special justification must be provided for any collection that will not yield "reliable" data that can be generalized to the universe studied.

Not applicable: FIPSE does not employ statistical methods in this collection of information.

4. Describe any tests of procedures or methods to be undertaken. Testing is encouraged as an effective means of refining collections of information to minimize burden and improve utility. Tests must be approved if they call for answers to identical questions from 10 or more respondents. A proposed test or set of tests may be submitted for approval separately or in combination with the main collection of information.

Not applicable: FIPSE does not employ statistical methods in this collection of information.

5. Provide the name and telephone number of individuals consulted on statistical aspects of the design and the name of the agency unit, contractor(s), grantee(s), or other persons who will actually collect and/or analyze the information for the agency.

Not applicable: FIPSE does not employ statistical methods in this collection of information.