

workplace. Consequently, if a test standard also covers any product(s) for which OSHA does not require such testing and certification, an NRTL's scope of recognition does not include that product(s).

Many UL test standards also are approved as American National Standards by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI). However, for convenience, we use the designation of the standards developing organization for the standard as opposed to the ANSI designation. Under our procedures, any NRTL recognized for an ANSI-approved test standard may use either the latest proprietary version of the test standard or the latest ANSI version of that standard. You may contact ANSI to find out whether or not a test standard is currently ANSI-approved.

## 2. Supplemental Programs

The renewal is also limited to continued use by NTS of the following supplemental programs, all of which are currently in its scope.

Program 4: Acceptance of witnessed testing data.

Program 8: Acceptance of product evaluations from organizations that function as part of the International Electrical Commission Certification Body (IEC-CB) Scheme.

Program 9: Acceptance of services other than testing or evaluation performed by subcontractors or agents.

In developing these programs, OSHA responded to industry requests and allowed certain of their ongoing practices to continue but in a manner controlled by OSHA criteria. In this sense, they are special conditions that the Agency places on an NRTL's recognition. OSHA does not consider these programs in determining whether an NRTL meets the requirements for recognition under 29 CFR 1910.7. However, these programs help to define the scope of that recognition.

### Conditions

NTS must also abide by the following conditions of the recognition, in addition to those already required by 29 CFR 1910.7:

OSHA must be allowed access to NTS's facility and records for purposes of ascertaining continuing compliance with the terms of its recognition and to investigate as OSHA deems necessary;

If NTS has reason to doubt the efficacy of any test standard it is using under this program, it must promptly inform the test standard developing organization of this fact and provide that organization with appropriate relevant information upon which its concerns are based;

NTS must not engage in or permit others to engage in any misrepresentation of the scope or conditions of its recognition. As part of this condition, NTS agrees that it will allow no representation that it is either a recognized or an accredited Nationally Recognized Testing Laboratory (NRTL) without clearly indicating the specific equipment or material to which this recognition is tied, or that its recognition is limited to certain products;

NTS must inform OSHA as soon as possible, in writing, of any change of ownership, facilities, or key personnel, and of any major changes in its operations as an NRTL, including details;

NTS will meet all the terms of its recognition and will always comply with all OSHA policies pertaining to this recognition; and

NTS will continue to meet the requirements for recognition in all areas where it has been recognized.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 4th day of June, 2007.

**Edwin G. Foulke, Jr.,**

*Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health.*

[FR Doc. E7-12024 Filed 6-20-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-26-P**

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Notice of Intent To Seek Approval To Revise an Information Collection

**AGENCY:** National Science Foundation.

**ACTION:** Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Pub. L. 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), and as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, the National Science Foundation (NSF) is inviting the general public and other Federal agencies to comment on this proposed continuing information collection. This is the third notice for public comment; the first was published in the **Federal Register** at 71 FR 38428 and one comment that had no significant suggestions for altering the data plans was received. The second notice was published at 71 FR 78226, simultaneous with submission of the clearance package to OMB. The information collection request was withdrawn on March 29, 2007 to allow the program to clarify burden hours and participants. NSF is now forwarding the proposed submission to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance simultaneously with the publication of this third notice.

**DATES:** Comments regarding these information collections are best assured of having their full effect if received by OMB within 30 days of publication in the **Federal Register**.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments regarding (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of NSF, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of NSF's estimate of burden including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; or (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology should be addressed to: Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs of OMB, Attention: Desk Officer for National Science Foundation, 725-17th Street, NW., Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, and to Suzanne H. Plimpton, Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 295, Arlington, Virginia 22230 or send e-mail to [splimpto@nsf.gov](mailto:splimpto@nsf.gov). Copies of the submission may be obtained by calling (703) 292-7556.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Suzanne H. Plimpton, NSF Reports Clearance Officer at (703) 292-7556 or send e-mail to [splimpto@nsf.gov](mailto:splimpto@nsf.gov).

An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a currently valid OMB control number and the agency informs potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information that such persons are not required to respond to the collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title of Collection:* Evaluation of the Research Experiences for Undergraduates (REU) Program in the NSF Directorate for Engineering (ENG).  
*OMB Number:* 3145-0121.

*Type of Request:* Intent to seek approval to renew an information collection for three years.

*Proposed Project:* NSF has supported the REU Program since 1987. The Program was evaluated after three and five years and as part of a larger study of all NSF undergraduate research opportunities (URO) in 2003. The proposed project will enable NSF's Directorate for Engineering (ENG) to learn about the activities, outcomes, and

impacts of the REU awards made by that Directorate, as well as lessons learned to improve the results of future REU awards. Two types of REU awards will be studied, REU sites and REU supplements. REU Site awards fund groups of undergraduates to work with faculty members at an institution. Half of the undergraduates in an REU site must come from other institutions. ENG also makes REU Supplement awards to NSF-funded Engineering Research Centers and to other NSF-funded researchers for comparable involvement of undergraduates.

The proposed study will be similar to the 2003 URO study. It will focus on undergraduate ENG REU participants and the faculty members who are responsible for the ENG REU awards during summer 2006 through spring 2007, and will examine in detail for the first time the activities, outcomes, and impacts of REU awards made in a single NSF directorate—ENG. The study will evaluate the longer-term effects of REU experiences with a follow-up survey of the students approximately two years later. The REU program officers in the NSF's Division of Engineering Education and Centers (EEC) particularly want to learn in depth about the EEC REU Site and ERC REU Supplement awards from former REU students and awardees, any differences between the Sites and ERC Supplements, and lessons learned for subsequent proposal review and advising prospective PIs. Information will also be used for ENG Program reporting requirements. The study will examine (1) the role of the REU program in aiding participating undergraduates in a decision to pursue graduate education or careers in engineering; and (2) the relationship between how REU activities are structured and managed and participants' subsequent education and career decisions and actions.

The survey data collection will be done on the World Wide Web.

*Estimate of Burden:* Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 30 minutes per response.

*Respondents:* Individuals.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 10,529.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents:* 5,094 hours.

*Frequency of Response:* One time for faculty, two times for students.

Dated: June 15, 2007.

**Suzanne H. Plimpton,**  
*Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation.*

[FR Doc. 07-3054 Filed 6-20-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards; Meeting Notice

In accordance with the purposes of Sections 29 and 182b. of the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 2039, 2232b), the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS) will hold a meeting on July 11-13, 2007, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland. The date of this meeting was previously published in the **Federal Register** on Wednesday, November 15, 2006 (71 FR 66561).

#### Wednesday, July 11, 2007, Conference Room T-2B3, Two White Flint North, Rockville, Maryland

*8:30 a.m.-8:35 a.m.: Opening Remarks by the ACRS Chairman* (Open)—The ACRS Chairman will make opening remarks regarding the conduct of the meeting.

*8:35 a.m.-10:15 a.m.: Sampling Methodology and Statistical Thresholds for Selecting ITAACs for Inspection* (Open)—The Committee will hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the NRC staff regarding the feasibility of the ACRS review of the sampling methodology and statistical thresholds proposed by the NRC staff for selecting Inspections, Tests, Analyses, and Acceptance Criteria (ITAACs) for inspection, and related matters.

*10:30 a.m.-12:15 p.m.: Dissimilar Metal Weld Issue* (Open)—The Committee will hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the NRC staff and nuclear industry regarding the preliminary results of the advanced finite element analysis performed by the industry to provide basis for leak-before-break.

*1:15 p.m.-2:15 p.m.: Activities in the Safeguards and Security Areas* (Open/Closed)—The Committee will hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the NRC staff regarding ongoing and planned activities in the safeguards and security areas, items that are expected to be submitted to the ACRS for review, and the associated schedule.

**Note:** A portion of this session may be closed to protect information classified as National Security Information as well as Safeguards Information pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1) and (3).

*2:30 p.m.-3:30 p.m.: Revisions to Draft Final NUREG-1852, "Demonstrating the Feasibility and Reliability of Operator Manual Actions in Response to Fire"* (Open)—The Committee will hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the NRC staff regarding the changes made to draft final NUREG-1852 to address ACRS Comments and recommendations.

*3:45 p.m.-6 p.m.: Preparation of ACRS Reports* (Open)—The Committee will discuss proposed ACRS reports on matters considered during this meeting, as well as a proposed ACRS report on Technology-Neutral Framework for Future Plant Licensing.

#### Thursday, July 12, 2007, Conference Room T-2B3, Two White Flint North, Rockville, Maryland

*8:30 a.m.-8:35 a.m.: Opening Remarks by the ACRS Chairman* (Open)—The ACRS Chairman will make opening remarks regarding the conduct of the meeting.

*8:35 a.m.-10:30 a.m.: Draft NUREG-0654, Supplement 3, "Criteria for Protective Action Recommendations for Severe Accidents"* (Open)—The Committee will hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the NRC staff regarding draft NUREG-0654, Supplement 3, "Criteria for Protective Action Recommendations for Severe Accidents".

*10:45 a.m.-12:15 p.m.: Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Unit 1 Restart Activities* (Open)—The Committee will hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the NRC staff regarding the findings and recommendations of the Browns Ferry Unit 1 Restart Panel, activities associated with restart, any problems encountered prior to, during, and after restart as well as current status of the plant.

*1:15 p.m.-2 p.m.: Future ACRS Activities/ Report of the Planning and Procedures Subcommittee* (Open)—The Committee will discuss the recommendations of the Planning and Procedures Subcommittee regarding items proposed for consideration by the full Committee during future meetings. Also, it will hear a report of the Planning and Procedures Subcommittee on matters related to the conduct of ACRS business, including anticipated workload and member assignments.

*2 p.m.-2:15 p.m.: Reconciliation of ACRS Comments and Recommendations* (Open)—The Committee will discuss the responses from the NRC Executive Director for Operations to comments and recommendations included in recent ACRS reports and letters.

*2:15 p.m.-2:30 p.m.: Subcommittee Report on State-of-the-Art Reactor Consequence Analysis (SOARCA) Project* (Open)—The Committee will hear a report by and hold discussions with the Chairman of the ACRS Subcommittee on Regulatory Policies and Practices regarding the SOARCA Project that was discussed by the Subcommittee on July 10, 2007.

*2:45 p.m.-3:45 p.m.: Status Report on the Quality Assessment of Selected NRC Research Projects* (Open)—The Committee will hold discussions with the members of the ACRS Panels regarding the status of the quality assessment of selected NRC research projects.

*3:45 p.m.-7 p.m.: Preparation of ACRS Reports* (Open)—The Committee will discuss proposed ACRS reports.

#### Friday, July 13, 2007, Conference Room T-2B3, Two White Flint North, Rockville, Maryland

*8:30 a.m.-1 p.m.: Preparation of ACRS Reports* (Open)—The Committee will continue discussion of proposed ACRS reports.

*1 p.m.-1:30 p.m.: Miscellaneous* (Open)—The Committee will discuss matters related to the conduct of Committee activities and matters and specific issues that were not