

Paperwork Reduction Act Submission

Please read the instruction before completing this form. For additional forms or assistance in completing this forms, contact your agency's Paperwork Reduction Officer. Send two copies of this form, the collection instrument to be reviewed, the Supporting Statement, and any additional documentation to: Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Docket Library, Room 10102, 725 Seventeenth St. NW, Washington, DC 20503.

1. Agency/Subagency Originating Request: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Multifamily Housing Program - Office of Housing Assistance & Grant Administration	2. OMB Control Number: a. 2502-0267 b. None
3. Type of information collection: (check one) a. <input type="checkbox"/> New Collection b. <input type="checkbox"/> Revision of a currently approved collection c. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Extension of a currently approved collection d. <input type="checkbox"/> Reinstatement, without change , of previously approved collection for which approval has expired e. <input type="checkbox"/> Reinstatement, with change , of previously approved collection for which approval has expired f. <input type="checkbox"/> Existing collection in use without an OMB control number For b-f, note item A2 of Supporting Statement instructions.	4. Type of review requested: (check one) a. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regular b. <input type="checkbox"/> Emergency - Approval requested by c. <input type="checkbox"/> Delegated 5. Small entities: Will this information collection have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No 6. Requested expiration date: a. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Three years from approval date b. <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify)
7. Title: Section 202 Supportive Housing for the Elderly Application Submission Requirements	
8. Agency form number(s): (if applicable) HUD-92015-CA, HUD-96010, HUD 92041, SF-424, SF-424-Supplemental, SF-LLL, HUD-2880, HUD-2990, HUD-2991, HUD-92042, HUD 424B, HUD-27300, HUD-96011, & HUD-2994-A, SF-269	
9. Keywords: Housing, Mortgage, Elderly, Project Development, Multifamily Projects, Predevelopment funding.	
10. Abstract: Grant application for Section 202 Supportive Housing for the Elderly and addition of predevelopment grant funding for architectural and engineering work, site control, and other planning related expenses for Section 202 grantees.	
11. Affected public: (mark primary with "P" and all others that apply with "X") a. Individuals or households e. Farms b. Business or other for-profit f. Federal Government c. P Not-for-profit institutions g. State, Local or Tribal Government	12. Obligation to respond: (mark primary with "P" and all others that apply with "X") a. Voluntary b. P Required to obtain or retain benefits c. Mandatory
13. Annual reporting and recordkeeping hour burden: a. Number of respondents 300 b. Total annual responses 300 Percentage of these responses collected electronically 95% c. Total annual hours requested 12,001 d. Current OMB inventory 15,227 e. Difference (+,-) -3,226 f. Explanation of difference: 1. Program change: 2. Adjustment: -3,226	14. Annual reporting and recordkeeping cost burden: (in thousands of dollars) Do not include costs based on the hours in item 13. a. Total annualized capital/startup costs b. Total annual costs (O&M) c. Total annualized cost requested d. Total annual cost requested e. Current OMB inventory f. Explanation of difference: 1. Program change: 2. Adjustment:
15. Purpose of Information collection: (mark primary with "P" and all others that apply with "X") a. P Application for benefits e. Program planning or management b. Program evaluation f. Research c. General purpose statistics g. X Regulatory or compliance d. Audit	16. Frequency of recordkeeping or reporting: (check all that apply) a. <input type="checkbox"/> Recordkeeping b. <input type="checkbox"/> Third party disclosure c. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reporting: 1. <input type="checkbox"/> On occasion 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Weekly 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Monthly 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly 5. <input type="checkbox"/> Semi-annually 6. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annually 7. <input type="checkbox"/> Biennially 8. <input type="checkbox"/> Other (describe)
17. Statistical methods: Does this information collection employ statistical methods? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	18. Agency contact: (person who can best answer questions regarding the content of this submission) Name: Alicia Anderson Phone: 202-708-3000 ext. 5787

19. Certification for Paperwork Reduction Act Submissions

On behalf of the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, I certify that the collection of information encompassed by this request complies with 5 CFR 1320.9.

Note: The text of 5 CFR 1320.9, and the related provisions of 5 CFR 1320/8(b)(3) appears at the end of the instructions. The certification is to be made with reference to those regulatory provisions as set forth in the instructions.

The following is a summary of the topics, regarding the proposed collections of information that the certification covers:

- (a) It is necessary for the proper performance of agency functions;
- (b) It avoids unnecessary duplication;
- (c) It reduces burden on small entities;
- (d) It uses plain, coherent, and unambiguous terminology that is understandable to respondents;
- (e) Its implementation will be consistent and compatible with current reporting and recordkeeping practices;
- (f) It indicates the retention periods for recordkeeping requirements;
- (g) It informs respondents of the information called for under 5 CFR 1320.8(b)(3):
 - (i) Why the information is being collected;
 - (ii) Use of the information;
 - (iii) Burden estimate;
 - (iv) Nature of response (voluntary, required for a benefit, or mandatory);
 - (v) Nature and extent of confidentiality; and
 - (vi) Need to display currently valid OMB control number;
- (h) It was developed by an office that has planned and allocated resources for the efficient and effective management and use of the information to collected (see note in item 19 of the instructions);
- (i) It uses effective and efficient statistical survey methodology; and
- (j) It makes appropriate use of information technology.

If you are unable to certify compliance with any of these provisions, identify the item below and explain the reason in item 18 of the Supporting Statement.

Signature of Program Official:

Date:

X
Michael Winiarski, Acting Director, Organizational Policy, Planning and Analysis Division, HROA

Signature of Senior Officer or Designee:

Date:

X
Lillian Deitzer, Departmental Reports Management Officer,
Office of the Chief Information Officer

Supporting Statement for Paperwork Reduction Act Submissions
Section 202 Supportive Housing for the Elderly Application Submission Requirements
OMB Number 2502-0267

A. Justification:

1. The Section 202 Program, amended by the National Affordable Housing Act (NAHA) of 1990, the Housing and Community Development Act of 1992, the Rescissions Act of 1995, and the American Homeownership and Economic Opportunity Act of 2000, provides capital advances to private nonprofit organizations to expand the supply of supportive housing for the elderly. In order to ensure that only eligible private nonprofit organizations are selected, it is important to obtain information from prospective applicants to assist HUD in determining if they have the administrative capacity to develop such a project and whether the project design and proposed services meet the needs of the residents. These factors are critical in meeting statutory requirements and in protecting the Department's financial interest in projects funded under this program. The collection of this information is authorized by 24 CFR 891.115 (copy attached).

As authorized by the Consolidated Appropriations Resolution, 2003 (Pub. L. 108-7), approved February 20, 2003 and the Consolidated Appropriations Resolution, 2004 (Public Law 108-199), approved January 23, 2004, the Section 202 Pre-Development Grant Program will assist Sponsors of projects that receive Fund Reservation Awards, commencing with the FY 2004 SuperNOFA for the Section 202 Supportive Housing for the Elderly Program, by providing predevelopment grant funding for architectural and engineering work, site control, and other planning related expenses that are eligible for funding under the Section 202 Supportive Housing for the Elderly Program. Only those private nonprofit organizations and nonprofit consumer cooperatives that submitted an application for funding consideration under the Section 202 Supportive Housing for the Elderly Program NOFA are eligible to apply for funding under the Section 202 Pre-Development Grant Program.

The Department is aware of the complexities of developing Section 202 projects and understands that a lack of predevelopment funding may be a contributing factor in many instances where project Sponsors are not able to move their approved projects from Fund Reservation award to Initial Closing within the required 18-month time frame. Sponsors that receive a Section 202 Pre-Development Grant funding will have their Section 202 Capital Advance funding reduced by the amount of Section 202 Pre-Development Grant funding awarded. Funding under this program is not intended to supplement Section 202 Capital Advance funding, but rather to provide a source of funding for predevelopment costs that would otherwise not be reimbursable until Initial Closing or would be payable from eligible funding resources secured outside of Section 202 Capital Advance funding.

The Department has an on-going commitment to identify ways to simplify the process by which the Section 202 program is administered (including the application submission requirements) so that it can be less costly and more consumer-friendly. For example, each year, in developing the instructions for applicants pertaining to the Section 202 application submission requirements and in an effort to streamline this process for the next fiscal year funding round, the Department takes into consideration, among other things, comments received from prior year's successful and unsuccessful Section 202 applicants.

The Section 202 application package consists of 3 stages, the first two are required stages while the third is optional.

Stage One - General Application Requirements:

This stage, which is titled as exhibit eight, consists of various Certifications and Resolutions that are required for all federal assistance programs. A detailed listing of such forms is listed in the attached funding matrix.

Stage Two - Section 202 Fund Reservation Requirements:

The contents of the Application for a Section 202 Fund Reservation have been reorganized to include four parts and eight exhibits. The eighth exhibit includes 10 prescribed forms, of which, eight are categorized as certifications and resolutions. The four components of the application submission are:

Part 1 - Application Form for Section 202 Supportive Housing - Capital Advance;

Part 2 - Sponsor's Ability to Develop and Operate the Proposed Project;

Part 3 - The Need for Supportive Housing for the Target Population in the Area to Be Served, Site Control and Suitability of Site, Adequacy of the Provision of Supportive Services and of the Proposed Project; and

Part 4 - General Application Requirements, Certifications, and Resolutions

Stage Three - Section 202 Pre-Development Grant Program Requirements (optional):

Once an applicant has submitted a proposal for Section 202 Fund Reservation, they may then submit the following information if they wish to be considering for predevelopment funding:

1. Cover letter
 2. Narrative Demonstrating Need for Predevelopment Funding
 3. Proposed Predevelopment Activities and Budget
 4. Project Development Schedule
 5. Logic Model
2. The Section 202 Supportive Housing for the Elderly as well as the Section 202 Pre-Development Grant application submission requirements are necessary to assist HUD in determining an applicant's eligibility and capacity to develop housing for the elderly consistent with prescribed statutory and program criteria. A thorough evaluation of an applicant's qualifications and capabilities is critical in protecting the Federal Government's financial interest and to mitigate any possibility of fraud, waste, or mismanagement of public funds.

The procedures for this information collection require the prospective applicant to submit its Section 202 application to the appropriate local HUD Office by the nationally established deadline date (usually in May or June). Local HUD Offices evaluate applications based on established criteria, identified in the Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA), rate the applications, and make selection recommendations to Headquarters (usually by the first week of September). Applicants are notified of selection or non-selection generally by September 30th. This process occurs once a year.

The Section 202 Pre-Development Grant applications will not be awarded through a rating and raking process. HUD Multifamily Field Office staff will review applications for completeness and compliance with the eligibility criteria set forth in the program NOFA. If an application was received by the deadline date, meets all eligibility criteria, proposes reasonable costs for eligible activities, and includes all technical corrections by the designated deadline date, the Field Office staff will then forward to Headquarters a listing of all applications that are eligible to receive Section 202 Pre-Development Grant funding under this NOFA.

Once HUD Headquarters completes the Section 202 Supportive Housing for the Elderly Fund Reservation selections, they will then review the listings of eligible Section 202 Pre-Development Grant applications to determine which applicants will be placed in the lottery. Only those application that were selected for a Section 202 Fund Reservation award will be eligible for selection.

The purpose and use of the four components of the Section 202 Fund Reservation application exhibits are briefly described below:

Part 1 - Application for Section 202 Supportive Housing - Capital Advance.

Exhibit 1: This exhibit requires applicants to submit Form HUD-92015-CA, Section 202 Supportive Housing for the Elderly - Application for Capital Advance Summary Information. The form identifies the applicant and its known development team members and collects basic information with regard to the proposed project's characteristics. HUD staff will use this exhibit to obtain basic information regarding the proposed project. *The information collected from the Sponsor respective to item 2 of this form is needed for the Department's Minority Business Enterprise goals.*

Part 2 - Sponsor's Ability to Develop and Operate the Proposed Project

Exhibit 2: This exhibit requests the submission of organizational documents, By-laws, and an IRS tax exemption ruling. It is important to note that not all applicants will have to submit all of the information asked for in this exhibit. Applicants who have received a Section 202 fund reservation within the last three funding cycles are not required to submit their organizational documents and IRS tax exemption rulings unless there has been a change in these documents since they were last selected. Instead, these applicants must submit only the project numbers of their latest application and the modifications, if any.

Exhibit 3: This exhibit requests several narrative descriptions of the applicant's purpose, community ties, and experience in operating rental housing projects as well as its experience with programs other than housing, such as the provision of services. This information includes the applicant's experience in serving the elderly and minorities, which is used to assist HUD in determining the applicant's overall previous experience and capacity to operate the proposed project over an extended period of time. This is consistent with the statute, along with other criteria, which requires applicants to be selected based on their ability to develop and operate the proposed housing.

In addition, the statute provides for the local coordination of services by requiring, among other things, that applicants have management capacity to coordinate the provision of services and seek on a continuous basis new sources of assistance for the provision of supportive services tailored to the individual needs of the residents. In order to assess the applicant's ability to carry out these statutory requirements, the applicant is required to describe its ties to the community, including minority support, in which the proposed project is to be built, as well as its purposes and activities.

The applicant must submit a description of local government support, including financial assistance, donation of land, provision of services, etc. The applicant must also submit letters of support for the Sponsor and for the proposed project from organizations familiar with the housing and supportive services needs of the target population (elderly) that the Sponsor expects to serve.

Under this part, the applicant also submits a narrative description of its efforts to involve elderly persons including minority elderly persons in the development of the application and its intent to involve such persons in the development and operation of the project.

The applicant also includes a description of the practical solutions it will implement to enable the residents to achieve independent living, including the provision of educational opportunities and any other activities that will enhance the quality of life for the residents and make the project an improved living environment for the residents.

In order to comply with the Department's policy to hold applicants accountable for their performance if awarded a fund reservation, the applicant is required to describe its plan for completing the proposed project. The description must include a project development timeline which lists the major development stages for the project with associated dates that must be met in order to get the project to initial closing and start of construction within the 18-month fund reservation period as well as the full completion of the

project, including final closing. In addition, the applicant must describe how the project will remain viable for the 40-year capital advance period.

It is important to note that many applicants will experience some relief of paperwork burden in preparing this exhibit because applicants that have participated in prior funding competitions will be able to utilize information and exhibits from previously prepared applications. Some examples include information regarding previous experience in the provision of housing and services, supportive services plan, community ties, and experience serving minorities.

Part 3 - The Need for Supportive Housing for the Target Population in the Area to Be Served, Site Control and Suitability of Site, Adequacy of the Provision of Supportive Services and of the Proposed Project

Exhibit 4: This exhibit requests information to assist HUD in determining the overall market need and acceptability of project and site.

Under this exhibit, the applicant includes a description of the proposed population the housing is intended to serve and evidence demonstrating sustained effective demand for supporting housing for that population in the market area, taking into consideration the occupancy and vacancy conditions in existing Federally assisted housing for the elderly (e.g., other HUD-assisted housing, such as public housing and housing financed by Rural Housing Service under the Department of Agriculture). In preparing this exhibit, the applicant must also consider any State or local data on the limitations in activities of daily living among the elderly in the area, aging in place in existing assisted rental housing, trends in demographic changes in elderly population, the numbers of income eligible elderly households and housing conditions, and the types of supportive services currently available in the area. Also, the applicant must provide a description of how information in the community's Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice was used in documenting the need for the project.

This exhibit also requires the applicant to: (1) describe the project, including the building design and whether or not the design will promote energy efficiency; (2) describe how the project will benefit the target population and the community in which it will be located; (3) provide information about the environmental condition of the proposed site by submitting a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) and a Phase II ESA, if the Phase I ESA indicates the need for further study; (4) provide evidence of site control with any evidence of permissive zoning; (5) provide a copy of the letter sent to the State/Tribal Historical Preservation Officer (SHPO/THPO) that addresses any historical significance of the proposed project with a copy of the SHPO/THPO response letter; (6) describe the topographical and demographic suitability of the site and area and how the site will promote greater housing opportunities for minority elderly and elderly with disabilities; and (7) include a map showing the location of the site, the racial composition of the neighborhood and any areas of racial concentration.

Furthermore, if the applicant proposes to develop a mixed-finance project by developing additional units over and above the Section 202 units with the use of Section 202 funds in combination with other funding sources, the applicant must provide a description of any plans and the actions it has taken to create such a mixed-finance project. The applicant is required to include copies of any letters it sent requesting funding for the non-Section 202 units and the related responses. Lastly, the applicant has to demonstrate its ability to proceed without developing a mixed-finance project in the event the additional funding cannot be obtained or HUD disapproves the mixed-finance proposal.

Information relative to the need for the housing, proposed residents, building design, and site is necessary to determine whether (1) there is a market need and demand for the housing in the area to be served by the proposed project; (2) the applicant is proposing to serve an eligible population; (3) the design will result in an economical and energy efficient building and will accommodate the special physical needs of the

elderly residents as well as the changing needs as the residents age in place; (4) the proposed site is acceptable from an environmental and locational standpoint for the intended residents; (5) the applicant has legal control of the site; and (6) the site is permissively zoned for the intended use. Information with respect to the promotion of an energy efficient building design will be used to assist HUD in determining compliance with the energy efficiency standards in accordance with Section 109 of the NAHA.

The information required under this Exhibit is in accordance with NAHA, which provides for the selection of Section 202 applications based on criteria as established under this Exhibit.

Exhibit 5: Applicants must submit a supportive services plan that includes (1) a description of the supportive services that will be provided to the project residents; (2) a description of anticipated public or private sources of assistance to fund the supportive services; and (3) the manner in which the services will be provided to the residents, including whether a service coordinator will be used.

This information is evaluated to determine the adequacy of the provision of supportive services, how such services will be funded, and how the supportive services will meet the identified needs of the residents as well as accommodate the aging of the residents over the years. This is important because the NAHA requires HUD to ensure that supportive services are provided which are tailored to the needs of the type of elderly persons (including the frail elderly) occupying the housing. HUD funds through Project Rental Assistance Contracts may be used to cover a small portion (not to exceed \$15 per unit per month) of the cost for such services as well as the cost of a service coordinator. The balance of the service cost, however, must be provided from other sources.

Part 4 - General Application Requirements, Certifications, and Resolutions.

Exhibit 6: To assist HUD in determining if the applicant is in compliance with the NOFA requirement pertaining to the maximum number of units an applicant can apply for nationwide, the applicant submits for HUD's review a list of all Section 202 and Section 811 applications submitted for the current fiscal year funding round. Additionally, to determine if the applicant is over-committed and to provide an incentive for previously selected Sponsors to get their projects to initial closing within the 18-month term of the fund reservation, the applicant submits for HUD's review a list of all Section 202 and Section 811 projects previously funded which have not been finally closed- with information on each project regarding (1) whether or not the project has been initially closed; (2) how long it took to get to initial closing; (3) the reason for any delay if not initially closed or if the initial closing took longer than 24 months from the fund reservation award; (4) and whether amendment money was needed as a result of any delay. This is information that an applicant who participated in a prior year application process can easily update, if necessary, and resubmit for the current year. In the rating process, HUD will deduct points from the application if previously selected Sponsors took longer than 24 months to get the project to initial closing and if amendment money was required as a result of the delay, unless the delay and the need for amendment money was beyond the Sponsor's control.

Exhibit 7: For Section 202 proposals involving the acquisition of existing properties, the applicant submits a statement (1) identifying all persons occupying the property on the date of submission of the application for capital advance (2) estimating the cost of relocation payments and other services; (3) identifying the staff organization that will carry out the relocation activities; and (4) identifying all persons who have moved from the site within the past 12 months. This exhibit assists the Department in determining whether the acquisition will result in the displacement of existing occupants, and obtaining the applicant's relocation plans/costs. This information is consistent with the requirements of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Act of 1970, as amended.

Exhibit 8: This exhibit consists of certifications and resolutions to be completed by the Section 202 applicant, and includes:

8(f): Sponsor’s Conflict of Interest Resolution (Form HUD-92041) requires the Sponsor to certify that none of its officers or directors has or will have any financial interest in any contract with the Owner or in any firm or corporation that has or will have a contract with the Owner, for the provision of goods or services to the project, which is a regulatory requirement.

8(g): Sponsor’s Resolution for Commitment to Project (Form HUD-92042) is a certified resolution from the applicant’s Board acknowledging its responsibilities of sponsorship and long-term support of the project, along with its willingness to fund the minimum capital investment, estimated start-up expenses, and the cost of any amenities or features that cannot be covered by the capital advance.

The purpose and use of the components of the Section 202 Pre-Development Grant Program application exhibits are briefly described below:

Narrative Demonstrating Need for Predevelopment Funding. This exhibit requires applicants to submit Form HUD-2880, Applicant/Recipient Disclosure/Update Report. A disclosure of assistance from other government sources received in connection with the project. Applicants must also submit a brief narrative describing the financial circumstances that resulted in your need to apply for funding assistance with predevelopment activities and how the lack of such assistance has impacted the organization’s previous or current development efforts.

Proposed Predevelopment Activities and Budget. This exhibit requires applicants to submit a spreadsheet that specifically identifies the proposed activity(ies) and their anticipated cost.

Project Development Schedule. This Exhibit requires the applicant to submit a detailed development schedule which identifies the predevelopment activities being proposed, their projected start and completion dates, the projected completion date for all predevelopment planning activities, and a brief narrative describing the applicant’s plan for monitoring this schedule of activities and addressing delays should they occur.

Logic Model (HUD-96010). The logic model is representative of this Section 202 Demonstration Pre-Development Grant Program proposal and it serves as the “executive summary” for this grant request. Applicants must ensure that its logic model accurately represents the purpose of the funding request and the expected impact on the development process.

The Section 202 Pre-Development Grant Program proposal submission requirements are necessary because they ensure that only eligible private nonprofit organizations are selected. It is important to obtain information from prospective applicants to assist HUD in determining whether the applicant has the administrative capacity to develop such a Section 202 project and whether the project design and proposed services meet the needs of the residents. These factors are critical in meeting statutory requirements and in protecting the Department’s financial interest in projects funded under this program.

In the absence of collecting the information for both the Section 202 Supportive Housing for the Elderly Program and the Section 202 Pre-Development Grant Program, the Department would not be able to assess the worthiness of the applications, determine whether the projects and services meet statutory and regulatory requirements, or make sound judgments regarding the potential risk to the Government.

3. In FY 2005, the Department has successfully migrated from paper application submission to electronic application submission. Both applicants under the Section 202 and Section 811 Capital Advance Programs are required to submit their application electronically.

Applicants are required to download and submit their application through Grants.gov. In the event that the applicants are unable to submit their application electronically, such an applicant must seek a waiver of the electronic grant submission requirement. To date, less than five percent of applicants in a respective year have received a waiver of the electronic submission requirement.

The electronic submission requirement will reduce paperwork, postal costs and storage costs that are typically associated with the submission, processing, and retention of grant proposals.

4. No duplication exists, as there are no other forms or exhibits used for the purposes specified under Item 2 herein. Individual applications are evaluated and rated by HUD on the merits of the responses submitted with the application. Each application is unique. The information contained in each application relates to a particular Sponsor proposing a specific project, design, site, etc., and, as such, the information collected from applicants will be significantly different per application. The aforementioned information also applies to the Section 202 Pre-Development Grant Program with the exception that once eligibility has been determined, the applicants are random selected.
5. This information collection will not have a significant economic impact on small entities. Due to the highly competitive nature of the Section 202 program, the application submission requirements were designed to minimize the front-end cost to the nonprofit applicant and only require the minimum amount of information needed in HUD's evaluation. This is important because only about 40 percent of the universe of applications received ultimately get selected.

HUD recognizes that some applicants who are sincerely interested in providing housing may lack the staff and other resources to develop such a project. Therefore, in recognition of the need for these applicants to use the services of professional housing consultants, HUD permits a reasonable fee for consultant's services to be included in the Owner's Developer's Fee, which is an eligible cost for inclusion in the Section 202 capital advance. The consultant may assist the applicant in preparing the Application Package to request a Section 202 Capital Advance and throughout the final development of the project should the applicant be selected for funding.

6. The information collection activities under both the Section 202 Supportive Housing for the Elderly Program and the Section 202 Pre-Development Grant Program occur annually to coincide with the receipt of annual fiscal year appropriations for the program. As the funding cycle for the program occurs annually, including the Invitations for Applications, it is not possible to require the submission of this information less frequently.
7. This request for information under the Section 202 Section 202 Pre-Development Grant Program is consistent with the guidelines in the OMB Form 83-I. However, the Supportive Housing for the Elderly Program does have one exception to the guidelines in the OMB Form 83-I. HUD requires applicants to submit an original and four copies of the Section 202 Supportive Housing for the Elderly Program application rather than an original and two copies. The changes to the application submission requirement resulted in a better-organized Application Package. As the program is administered on an annual basis, processing of the application must be accomplished in an expeditious manner in order that decisions regarding selections of applications and reservations of funds can be made prior to the end of the fiscal year.

During the course of processing the applications, seven HUD technical disciplines are involved in the review process: staff from the Offices of Valuation, Architectural and Engineering, Project Manager, Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity, Economic and Market Analysis, Community Planning and Development, and the General Counsel. These HUD staff members' review and rate applications and serve on the HUD Field Office Rating/Selection Panel.

Only those staff from the Offices of Valuation, Architectural and Engineering, Project Manager will be involved in the processing of the Section 202 Pre-Development Grant proposals.

Because of the various HUD staff involved in the review process, the significant volume of applications received each fiscal year, and the commitment to obligate funds by the fiscal year-end; HUD requires concurrent reviews of the applications by the aforementioned HUD staff to assure prompt processing with minimum interruption. For example, additional information or clarification is often needed from applicants to permit HUD to make a fair and complete evaluation. The requirement for simultaneous reviews promotes a more efficient, timesaving method to provide applicants a single notification regarding all deficiencies noted as a result of a full review from each HUD technical discipline.

8. In accordance with 5 CFR 1320.8(d), the agency’s notice soliciting public comments was announced in the *Federal Register* on May 2, 2007 (Vol. 72, No. 84, page 24324-24325). No comments received.

This OMB request is the result of on-going telephone conversations, meetings and workshops HUD staff has held since 1991 with Section 202 nonprofit Sponsors, housing consultants, elderly residents, potential residents, and other interested HUD program staff. The Department consults with various housing professionals representing the types of Sponsors that generally participate in the Section 202 program, i.e., minority organizations, small organizations, and non-minority organizations; and continues to consult with program participants during each annual funding cycle. Below is a random selection of housing professionals (Housing Consultants and Section 202 Sponsors) that the Department regularly consults with in an effort to maintain the integrity of the programs: The most recent consultations were held in 2006.

Judy Ponds Housing Services 1234 – 4 th Street, SW Washington, DC 20024 202-488-1639	Sam Simmons National Center on Black Aged 1424 K Street, NW, Suite 500 Washington, DC 20005 202-637-8400	Mark Olshan B'nai B'rith 1640 Rhode Island Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20036 202-857-6580
Suellen Galbraith Consortium for Citizens With Disabilities 4200 Evergreen Lane, Suite 315 Annandale, VA 22003 703-642-6614	Alan Patricio P. O. Box 53274 Atlanta, GA 53274 404-237-9877	Nick Smyrnis AHEPA Management Corporation 7202 N. Shadeland Avenue Indianapolis, IN 317-845-3410
Jan Graf Mercy Charities Housing 1028A Howard Street San Francisco, CA 94103 415-487-6825	Harrison Joseph National Baptist Convention 338 Washington Street Newark, OH 43005 614-258-7998	Stephen Vander Schaaf Accessible Space, Inc. 2550 University Boulevard West St. Paul, MN 55114 612-645-7271

9. HUD does not provide any payment or gift to respondents, other than the award of capital advance and project rental assistance funds for those Sponsors selected for funding.
10. HUD does not ensure confidentiality. Documentation and other information regarding each application submitted, including any letters of support, will be made available for public inspection for a 5-year period in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and HUD’s implementing regulations in 24 CFR Part 15. Also since HUD-2880 requires a Social Security number from the applicant, the form addresses the Privacy Act.
11. The application submission requirements do not contain any sensitive questions.
12. The number of applicants that annually submit a proposal for funding under the Section 202 program is estimated to be 300. Based on the number of applicants that have responded to the request for proposals since the inception of the Section 202 Pre-Development Grant Program, we average the number of respondents for that program to be at least thirty-five percent (which equals 105 applicants) of those who applied for funding under the Section 202 Program.

In estimating the cost to the applicants, it should be noted that in order to comply with the revised program requirements, the applicant may retain an attorney, must have control of the site, and conduct a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA), including a Phase II ESA if needed based on the conclusion reached in the Phase I ESA. In addition, as many nonprofit organizations do not have in-house expertise or staff to develop an application, the applicant usually hires a housing consultant. However, the applicant can perform the services of a housing consultant or hire an independent housing consultant. If the applicant hires an independent housing consultant to prepare the application, any additional services provided by the applicant in connection with the preparation of the application will be at no cost to the project. In view of this, the following illustrates the estimated cost to the respondent:

Section 202 Application Requirements	Number of Respondents	Responses per Respondent	Total Annual Responses	Hours per Response	Total Hours
General Application Package					
Exhibit 8, Certifications and Resolutions	300	1	300		
8(a) SF-424 - Application for Federal Assistance	300	1	300	0.75	225
8(b) SF-424-Supplemental, Survey on Ensuring Equal Opportunity for Applicants	300	1	300	0.3	90
8(c) SF-LLL - Disclosure of Lobbying Activities	300	1	300	0.1667	50
8(d) HUD-2880 - Applicant/Recipient Disclosure/Update Report(2510-0011)	300	1	300	0	0
8(e) HUD-2991 - Cert of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan	300	1	300	0	0
8(f) HUD-92041 - Sponsor's Conflict of Interest Resolution	300	1	300	.40	120
8(g) HUD-92042 - Sponsor's Resolution for Commitment to Project	300	1	300	.40	120
8(h) HUD-2990 - Certification of Consistency with the RC/EZ/EC Strategic Plan	30**	1	30	0	0
8(i) HUD-96010 - Logic Model (2535-0114)	300	1	300	0	0
8(j) HUD-27300 – Questionnaire for HUD's Initiative on Removal of Regulatory Barriers (2510-0013)	300	1	300	0	0
8(k) HUD-96011 – Facsimile Transmittal (2535-0118)	300	1	300	0	0
8(l) HUD-2994-A – you are Our Client/Grant Applicant Survey (2535-0116)	300	1	300	0	0
Totals	300	1	300	2.0167	605
Section 202 Application Package before Section 202 Pre-Development Grant					
Part 1: Exhibit 1, Form HUD-92015-CA	300	1	300	0.5	150
Part 2: Exhibit 2, Legal Status of Sponsor	300	1	300	2	600
Exhibit 3, Sponsor's purpose, community ties, and experience	300	1	300	8	2,400
Part 3: Exhibit 4, Project information and Site	300	1	300	21.5	6,450
Exhibit 5, Supportive Services Plan	300	1	300	2	600
Part 4: Exhibit 6, List of other applications submitted to any other HUD office	300	1	300	2	600
Exhibit 7, Statement that identifies occupants and relocation costs	15*	1	15	4	60
Totals	300	1	300	40	10,860
Section 202 Pre-Development Grant Application Package Post Section 202 Award					
Cover Letter	105	1	105	.10	10.5
Narrative Demonstrating Need for Predevelopment Funding	105	1	105	2	210
Logic Model (HUD-96010).	105	1	105	0	0
Narrative Detailing the Proposed Predevelopment Activities and Budget	105	1	105	1	105
Project Development Schedule	105	1	105	1	105
Standard Form 424 – Application for Federal Assistance.	105	1	105	1	105
HUD-2880 - Applicant/Recipient Disclosure/Update Report (2510-0011)	105	1	105	0	0
Facsimile Transmittal Cover Page (HUD-96011)	105	1	105	0	0
HUD-2993, Acknowledgement of Application Receipt	105	1	105	0	0
HUD-2994, Client Comments and Suggestions	105	1	105	0	0
Quarterly Report HUD-96010	105	4	420	0	0
Quarterly Report SF-269	105	4	420	0	0
HUD-27061, Racial and Ethnic Reporting	105	4	420	0	0
Total	105	1	105	5.1	535.5
Grand Total for 2502-0267	300				12,000.5

* No more than 5% of proposals will involve relocation.

** No more than 10% of proposals will be for sites located in an RC/EZ/EC area.

Section 202 Supportive Housing for the Elderly Program

Housing Consultant (\$70 per hour)	\$1,800
Applicant (Sponsor)	Pro bono
Attorney	1,150
Site Option Cost	500
Phase I Environmental Site Assessment	<u>750</u>
Total Cost Per Respondent	\$4,200
Total Annual Number of Responses	<u>x 300</u>
Estimated Annual Cost For Applicants	\$1,260,000

These figures above are based on HUD's experience, as well as consultation with housing professionals in the field. It should be noted that many professionals work on a retainer basis and if the application is not approved, a fee is not collected. To assist the applicant assembling an Application for the Section 202 Program, the Department developed an Application Package consisting of the information, forms, and materials needed by the applicant to assemble an application. The HUD Application Package, which is made available to all applicants, is expected to aid the applicant and housing consultant in reducing time and effort in assembling an application.

The Section 202 Section 202 Pre-Development Grant Program

Housing Consultant (\$70 per hour)	\$1,800
Applicant (Sponsor)	Pro bono
Attorney	<u>1,150</u>
Total Cost Per Respondent	\$2,950
Total Annual Number of Responses	<u>x 105</u>
Estimated Annual Cost For Applicants	\$ 309,750

13. There are no additional costs to respondents or record keepers for collection of information for the components: (a) total capital and start-up cost; and (b) total operation and maintenance and purchase of services.
14. Inasmuch as the majority of the work involved in reviewing the applications is performed at the local HUD Office level, the significant costs attributable to the promulgation of the application requirements will be the cost involved in reviewing the information submitted by applicants. Outstanding program procedures require the following reviews performed by the various Field Office staff. The cost to the Federal Government is based on an average salary at the GS-12/5 level, except for the General Counsel and the Clerical Assistant, which is at the GS-14/5 and GS-7/5 levels, respectively. Also included is the cost associated with the preparation and printing of the HUD Application Package for use by the applicants in assembling their individual Application Packages.

The total cost to the Federal Government for Section 202 Fund Reservation and the Section 202 Pre-Development Grant Program is **\$422,996.25**.

15. This is an extension of the currently approved collection.

The Demonstration Planning Grant Program has been renamed to the Section 202 Pre-Development Grant Program.

Based on the number of respondents over the last two years, the estimated of the number of respondents has been revised downward from 361 to 300 for the Section 202 program and from 216 to 105 for the Section 202 Demonstration Pre-Development Grant Program. The Department feels that this number is more

representative of the number of applicants that respond to the request for grant proposals under the subject programs.

Under the Section 202 Demonstration Pre-Development Grant Program, applicants are no longer required to submit Form HUD-424-C, Budget Summary for Competitive Grant Programs in their application for funding consideration under the Section 202 Pre-Development Grant Program.

Under the Section 202 program applicants are no longer required to submit Form HUD-424B, Applicant Assurances and Certifications. Also Form HUD-2530, Previous Participation Certification is no longer required to be submitted with the application at the NOFA stage

16. Collection of this information will not be published. However, a list of awardees will be made public, usually by *Federal Register* publication, upon completion of selection process.
17. HUD is not seeking approval to avoid displaying the OMB expiration date.
18. There are not exceptions to the certification statement identified in Item 19 of the OMB 83-I.

Collections of Information Employing Statistical Methods:

There are no plans to use statistical methods for collection of this information. Collection for this information occurs once for each application submitted.