Direct Verification Evaluation

Local Education Agency (LEA) Survey

Responding Agency

[Affix label here]

Contact person(s), if different from above
Name:
Telephone: ()
E-mail address:

Please return the completed survey by November 30, 2007 A pre-paid Federal Express return envelope has been provided.

Questions about the content of the survey may be directed to:

Telephone: 866-638-2112 (toll-free)
E-mail: DirectVerificationStudy@abtassoc.com

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0584-XXXX. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. This information collection expires XX/XX/XXX.

Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the Food and Nutrition Service, Office of Analysis, Nutrition and Evaluation, 3101 Park Center Drive, Alexandria, VA 22302.

BLANK

Instructions

This LEA Survey is conducted by Abt Associates Inc. for the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), Food and Nutrition Service. The survey has been sent to approximately 240 local education agencies in 7 States participating in the Direct Verification Evaluation.

The survey will provide USDA with information about the feasibility and effectiveness of direct verification. The Child Nutrition and WIC Reauthorization Act of 2004 expanded authorization for direct verification and required that USDA determine if direct verification significantly decreases the portion of verification samples that must be verified, while ensuring that adequate verification information is obtained; and to determine if direct verification can be conducted by most State agencies and local educational agencies.

Your participation in this survey is voluntary and important to ensure scientifically valid findings. None of your responses will be released in a form that identifies you or any other agency staff member by name.

You are asked to provide two types of data:

- Responses to survey questions
- Documentation of direct verification photocopies of directly verified NSLP applications, and photocopies of the information used for direct verification.

The LEA Survey consists of three pages and has two parts:

- Direct Verification Report information about verification results, and your opinions about the process.
- b) Verification Time and Cost Report information on the value of LEA staff time spent on direct verification (lookups and documentation) and other (household) verification.

Please complete this data collection by November 30, 2007.

- Survey questions complete the survey questions on paper or via the Web. The web address
 and login information will be sent to you via e-mail in mid-November.
- Documentation of direct verification Photocopies of directly verified NSLP applications
 must be submitted along with documentation of direct verification. Documentation should
 include a copy of the information available to confirm direct verification (e.g., printout of
 computer screen with query results).

TO ENSURE DATA SECURITY, PLACE DOCUMENTS IN THE ENVELOPE MARKED "CONFIDENTIAL", AND INCLUDE IN THE FEDERAL EXPRESS TRANSMITTAL ENVELOPE.

NOTE: NSLP applicant information is confidential, however, the law permits release of this information for FNS program evaluation. Abt Associates will not contact NSLP applicants.

Thank you for your participation in this important study!

DIRECT VERIFICATION REPORT

1.	When did your district SY2007-08 sample of I verification?	-		 Did your district use Food Stamp /TANF or Medicaid information to verify school meal applications? 					
	mouth day				1. Food Stamp/TAN 2. Medicaid informa 3. Both	_			
2.	What type of verificate this year? CHECK ON	_	l you use		4. None				
	1. 3% of approved applications selected from error-prone applications				5b. If both Food Stamp and Medicaid data were not used, why not?				
	2. Alternate samp	le: 3% selecte	d at random						
	3. Alternate sample: 1% selected from error-prone plus ½ of 1% of applications with Food Stamp (FS)/Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) case numbers								
3.	•	low many school meal applications and adents were sampled for verification?			Please provide the counts students directly verified directly verified applicat	l. Count all s			
		Number of applications	Number of students			Number of applications	Number of students		
	Free, based on income:				Directly verified with Food Stamp or TANF data				
	Free, based on Food Stamp (FS) or TANF case number			8	Approved for free meals and directly verified with Medicaid data				
	Reduced-price (RP)			8	Approved for RP meals and directly verified with Medicaid data				
	TOTAL								

7.	How many applications and students were in	Do you plan to use direct verification with
	households that did not respond to the verification request by November 15?	Medicaid data next year?
	• •	1. Yes 2. No 3. Not sure
	Number of applic ations:	
	Number of students:	10b. What are your main reasons for using, or not using, direct verification with Medicaid next year?
8.		
	and 5 is very useful, how useful was direct	
	verification with Medicaid to your school district? [CIRCLE ONE.]	
	usaict: [Circle Ove.]	
	1 2 3 4 5	
	Not useful Very useful	
	8b. What are the main reasons for your rating?	
		11. What part of the direct verification process do you want to do differently next year?
		you want to do differently flext year:
9.	On a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 is very easy and 5	
	is very difficult, how difficult was direct verification with Medicaid for your school	
	district? [CIRCLE ONE.]	
	-	
	1 2 3 4 5 Very easy Very difficult	Please feel free to provide additional comments
	Very easy Very difficult	on last page of booklet.
	0h What	
	9b. What parts of the process were difficult, if any, and why?	

VERIFICATION TIME AND COST REPORT

This information will be kept confidential and used only to compute verification costs. Begin by entering the start and end dates for verification activities.

Instructions for the data grid, by column number:

- List titles of all school district (LEA) personnel who conduct or assist in the verification of applications for free/reduced-price meals.
- (2) Record the total number of hours spent on direct verification by each person from the start of verification activity through completion. Direct verification includes all activities using data from the Food Stamp, TANF, or Medicaid Program to verify applications without contacting households.
- (3) Record the total number of hours spent on other verification activities by each person from the start of verification activity through completion. This includes requesting information from households, reviewing documentation from households or third-party contacts, and notification of changed/terminated benefits. DO NOT include time spent sampling and re-reviewing applications prior to verification.
- List salary or wages for each person (may be hourly, weekly, biweekly, monthly, or annual).
- (5) Circle 1 if number if column 4 is hourly, 2 if weekly, 3 if biweekly, 4 if monthly, 5 if annual.
 - (6) Enter the total paid hours per week for each person. Paid hours include holidays and leave when taken. If hours vary, provide the average or usual amount.
- (7) For each salaried employee ("5" is circled in column 5), enter the number of paid weeks per year.

Start of verification activities/	-	End of verificat	ion activities							
month day	7		1	month	ı d	ay				
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)					(6)	(7)
Title/Position	Total Direct	Total Other	Salary/	Basi	is Pai	id			Total Paid	If salaried, enter
	Verification	Verification	Wage	Hr.	Wk.	Bi.	Mo.	Yr.	Hours/ Week	Number Paid
	Hours	Hours								Weeks/Year
1.				1	2	3	4	5		
2.				1	2	3	4	5		
3.				1	2	3	4	5		
4.				1	2	3	4	5		
5.				1	2	3	4	5		
6.				1	2	3	4	5		
7.				1	2	3	4	5		
8.				1	2	3	4	5		
9.				1	2	3	4	5		
10.				1	2	3	4	5		