

Part A of the Supporting Statement

1. IDENTIFICATION OF THE INFORMATION COLLECTION

1(a) Title of the Information Collection

This Information Collection Request (ICR) is entitled "Application Requirements for the Approval and Delegation of Federal Air Toxics Programs to State, Territorial, Local, and Tribal Agencies" and numbered as EPA ICR Number 1643.06 and Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Control Number 2060-0264. This is a revision of OMB-approved EPA ICR Number 1643.05.

1(b) Short Characterization

This information collection is an application from State, territorial, local, or tribal agencies (S/L/Ts) for delegation of regulations developed under section 112 of the Clean Air Act (Act). In the time frame for this submittal, we, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) estimate that the majority of the delegated regulations will be those developed under section 112(d) of the Act. The procedures and requirements that the S/L/Ts will use to request the delegations are codified as 40 CFR 63, subpart E, in accordance with section 112(l) of the Act.

The subpart E regulations contain the following five options for delegation:

- Straight delegation
- Rule adjustment
- Rule substitution
- Equivalency by permit
- State program approval.

Straight delegation is the option where the respondents, S/L/Ts, choose to accept delegation of a section 112 provision and to implement and enforce the provision as written. The S/L/Ts may use the rule adjustment option when they want to substitute a rule and/or requirement that is unequivocally no less stringent than the otherwise applicable section 112 standard, such as part 63 national emission standards for hazardous air pollutants (NESHAP). They may use rule substitution when they wish to substitute individual rules and/or requirements in place of the otherwise applicable section 112 standard. They may use the equivalency by permit option when they wish to substitute operating permit terms and conditions for a section 112 standard; this option is only applicable to a limited number of sources using title V permit terms and conditions. Finally, S/L/Ts may use the State program approval option if they want to substitute their overall air toxics program for the Federal air toxics program; i.e., the section 112(d) standards.

The delegation options vary in the types of changes allowed, the level of demonstration required, and the amount of time and process needed to implement them. Respondents must submit any packages requesting delegation to their EPA Regional office. We must then review

and approve, partially approve, or disapprove the request based on the subpart E approval criteria. The request may only take effect after our approval (or partial approval of a subset of the request), public notice, and, in some cases, public comment.

Subpart E also contains provisions for delegating accidental release prevention program authorities (40 CFR part 68) under the authority of section 112(r) of the Act. In addition, we also reserve the right to review and withdraw an approved S/L/T rule, program, or requirement if we decide it is not as stringent as the otherwise applicable Federal standard or if the S/L/T is failing to adequately implement or enforce it. Subpart E includes the procedures for this the review and withdrawal process.

The OMB did not raise any issues related to the Terms of Clearance applicable to the currently approved collection (EPA ICR Number 1643.05).

2. NEED FOR AND USE OF THE COLLECTION

2(a) Need / Authority for the Collection

The information is needed and used to determine if the entity submitting an application has met the criteria established in the subpart E rule. This information is necessary for the Administrator to determine the acceptability of approving the S/L/T's rules, requirements, or programs in lieu of the Federal section 112 rules or programs. The collection of information is authorized under 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

2(b) Practical Utility / Users of the Data

This information is necessary for the proper performance of our functions. The information will have practical utility because we will use the information generated from the collection to ensure that the subpart E approval criteria have been met.

3. NONDUPLICATION, CONSULTATIONS, AND OTHER COLLECTION CRITERIA

3(a) Nonduplication

This information collection is not unnecessarily duplicative of information otherwise reasonably accessible to us. Rather, for instances where other reports required by us would duplicate information required by this rule (for example, the part 70 operating permits rule), it is possible to use information previously submitted to the EPA to meet the requirements of this information collection.

3(b) Public Notice Required Prior to ICR Submission to OMB

We solicited public comments on this ICR prior to submitting it to OMB. We issued a *Federal Register* notice requesting comments on the amended burden estimate reflected in this ICR on April 27, 2007 (72 FR 21003). No comments were received.

3(c) Consultations

The final rule amendments for subpart E were promulgated on September 14, 2000 (65 FR 55810). Since then, we have gained extensive experience in working with the S/L/Ts in delegating section 112(d) NESHAP. We have consulted with knowledgeable EPA staff in the Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards (OAQPS), Office of General Council (OGC), and Office of Enforcement and Compliance (OECA) as well as each of the EPA Regional Office Air Toxics Coordinators to assess their experience in the type of delegations used by the S/L/Ts, the overall number of delegations granted, and the level of effort expended. We used this information to prepare this ICR renewal package.

3(d) Effects of Less Frequent Collection

Applicants are only required to submit information when they wish to receive delegation of a promulgated section 112 standard. Subpart E specifies the minimum information we require to determine whether their request is approvable. The rule clarifies that the respondent only needs to submit material demonstrating it meets the up-front approval requirements one-time, unless circumstances change at the S/L/T, which would require an updated submittal.

The intent of this voluntary program is to encourage S/L/Ts to accept delegation of the Federal section 112 standards, and to allow them to adjust or substitute S/L/T requirements when they can be shown to be at least as stringent as the Federal requirements. These provisions for alternatives will help preserve existing S/L/T programs and prevent dual regulation of sources.

We also reserve the right to review and withdraw an approved S/L/T rule, program, or requirement if we decide it is not as stringent as the otherwise applicable Federal standard or if the S/L/T is failing to adequately implement or enforce it. In this case, the S/L/T would be asked to submit information regarding permits, monitoring, resources, etc. We will use this information to decide if the rule, program, or requirement should be withdrawn. Our ability to review and withdraw approval is needed to ensure we can satisfy our obligations under the Act to implement and enforce the section 112 standards.

3(e) General Guidelines

None of the general information collection guidelines in 5 CFR 1230.5(d)(2) of the OMB regulations implementing the Paperwork Reduction Act is being exceeded in the subpart E regulations.

3(f) Confidentiality

All information submitted to us for which a claim of confidentiality is made will be safeguarded according to the policies set forth in title 40, chapter 1, part 2, subpart B, Confidentiality of Business Information. See 40 CFR; 41 FR 36902, September 1, 1976; amended by 43 FR 3999, September 8, 1978; 43 FR 42251, September 28, 1978; and 44 FR 17674, March 23, 1979. Even where we have determined that data received in response to an ICR is eligible for confidential treatment under 40 CFR part 2, subpart B, we may nonetheless disclose the information if it is "relevant in any proceeding" under the statute [42 U.S.C. 7414(c); 40 CFR 2.301(g)]. The information collection complies with the Privacy Act of 1974 and OMB Circular 108.

3(g) Sensitive Questions

This section is not applicable. This ICR does not contain any sensitive questions relating to sexuality, religious beliefs, or other matters usually considered private.

4. THE RESPONDENTS AND THE INFORMATION REQUESTED

4(a) Respondents / SIC Codes

Respondents are S/L/Ts participating in this voluntary program. These government establishments are classified as Air and Water Resource and Solid Waste Management Programs under Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) code 9511 and North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code 92411. No industries under any SIC or NAICS codes will be included among respondents.

4(b) Information Requested

(i) Data Items, Including Recordkeeping Requirements

The information requirements will vary depending upon the type of option an S/L/T chooses for accepting delegation of the Federal standards. The information requirements are as follows:

For **overall approval to receive delegation** (§63.91)

- Confirmation letter from the State Attorney General.
- Demonstration of respondent's resources.
- Copy of the respondent's statutes, regulations and other requirements that contain appropriate provisions granting authority to implement and enforce the respondent's rule or program upon approval us.
- Respondent's implementation schedule.
- Respondent's compliance plan.
- Respondent's enforcement plan.

Once respondents have demonstrated they meet the overall approval criteria, they may request **straight delegation** (§63.91) of the unchanged section 112 standards. This request may be automatic, i.e., the overall delegation established that the respondent agreed to accept delegation of all future NESHAP. Alternatively, the overall delegation may establish a procedure where the respondent requests delegation of individual standards when they are promulgated. Respondents choosing to utilize **any** of the **other options** to demonstrate the equivalency of their requirements to the Federal requirements must supply the following information:

For the **rule adjustment** option (§63.92):

- Stringency and compliance demonstration.

For the **rule substitution** option (§63.93):

- Demonstration of S/L/T rule equivalency with the otherwise applicable Federal standard.

For the **equivalency by permit** option (§63.94):

- A list of affected sources and standards within the respondent's jurisdiction.
- Draft permit terms and conditions.
- Demonstration of the equivalency of S/L/T permit terms and conditions to the otherwise applicable Federal standard.

For the **State program approval** option (§63.97):

- Source categories for submission within the respondent's jurisdiction.
- Description of enforcement measures for area sources (if the otherwise applicable Federal standard applies to area sources).
- Collection of the respondent's rules, regulations, permits, implementation plans, or other enforceable mechanisms.
- Equivalency demonstration of respondents' alternative rules to the otherwise applicable Federal standard.

For the **accidental release prevention program** (§63.95):

- Demonstration of adequate resources.
- Demonstration of adequate enforcement authority.
- Description of coordination mechanisms.

We also have the option of withdrawing a program if we decide that the S/L/T is not properly implementing its rule or program in lieu of the otherwise applicable Federal standard. Under the **EPA review and withdrawal** option (§63.96), the respondents must submit the following:

- Information regarding permits, monitoring, resources, etc.

(ii) *Respondent Activities*

The respondent activities required by the rule are listed in the Table 1a through 1g. These activities vary by option because of the different types of information required under each option. To the maximum extent practicable, these activities were developed to allow the S/L/Ts to respond in ways that are consistent and compatible with their existing reporting and recordkeeping practices. Note that we only anticipate activities related to delegation options described in Tables 1a through 1d during the 3-year approval period.

5. THE INFORMATION COLLECTED -- AGENCY ACTIVITIES, COLLECTION METHODOLOGY, AND INFORMATION MANAGEMENT

5(a) Agency Activities

This section addresses the activities to review the applications submitted by the S/L/Ts under subpart E. The activities vary according to the option used by the S/L/T and are as follows:

For the **overall approval to receive delegation** (§63.91):

- Create a *Federal Register* notice seeking public comment.
- Review public comments.
- Evaluate the S/L/T submittal.
- Create a *Federal Register* notice announcing approval or disapproval of the S/L/T submittal.

For the **straight delegation** of individual standards, the Agency will either automatically delegate them to the S/L/T or delegate them in response to a written request, depending on the mechanism established via the overall approval. If the S/L/T decides to use **any** of the **other options** listed within subpart E to demonstrate the equivalency of their rules to the Federal rule, then we will complete both the following activities listed in the applicable option below.

For the **rule adjustment** option (§63.92):

- Create a *Federal Register* notice seeking public comment.
- Review public comments and S/L/T responses.
- Evaluate the S/L/T submittal.
- Create a *Federal Register* notice announcing the approval or disapproval of the S/L/T submittal.

For the **rule substitution** option (§63.93):

- Create a *Federal Register* notice seeking public comment.
- Review public comments and S/L/T responses.
- Evaluate the S/L/T submittal.

- Create a *Federal Register* notice announcing approval or disapproval of the S/L/T submittal.

For the **equivalency by permit** option (§63.94):

- Create a *Federal Register* notice seeking public comment on the up-front approval of the S/L/T submittal.
- Review public comments and S/L/T responses.
- Create a *Federal Register* notice announcing approval or disapproval of the S/L/T up-front submittal.
- Evaluate the draft permit terms and conditions submitted by the S/L/T.
- Create a final *Federal Register* notice announcing approval or disapproval of the draft permit terms and conditions.

For the **State program approval** option (§63.97):

- Create a *Federal Register* notice seeking public comment on the up-front approval of the S/L/T submittal.
- Review public comments and S/L/T responses.
- Create a *Federal Register* notice announcing approval or disapproval of the S/L/T submittal.
- Create a *Federal Register* notice seeking public comment on the specific alternative rule submitted by the S/L/T.
- Review public comments and S/L/T responses.
- Evaluate the equivalency demonstration submitted by the S/L/T.
- Create a final *Federal Register* notice announcing approval or disapproval of the alternative rules submitted by the S/L/T.

For the **accidental release prevention** program (§63.95):

- Evaluate and approve or disapprove the S/L/T submittal.

Furthermore, we reserve the right to review and withdraw a S/L/T rule or program if we decide that the program is not as stringent as the otherwise applicable Federal standard. During the **EPA review and withdrawal** option (§63.96), we conduct the following activities:

- Request information from the affected S/L/T.
- Evaluate technical information, data, and results of any site visits within the jurisdiction of the S/L/T.
- Create a *Federal Register* notice announcing our intent to withdraw the S/L/T program or rule.
- Evaluate public comments and S/L/T responses.
- Create a *Federal Register* notice announcing the final decision.

The EPA activities required by the rule and the technical hours associated with them are found in Tables 2a through 2g. Note that we only anticipate activities related to delegation options described in Tables 2a through 2d during the 3-year approval period.

5(b) Collection Methodology and Management

All S/L/Ts using subpart E to accept delegation of Federal standards must submit the proper application to us for review and evaluation. They should prepare their applications using guidance we issued in April 2001 to facilitate subpart E implementation. This guidance is available on the internet at [www.epa.gov/ttn/atw/112\(1\)/112-lpg.html](http://www.epa.gov/ttn/atw/112(1)/112-lpg.html). The regulations contain no forms.

Qualified staff that work for the EPA Regional offices as well as EPA Headquarters will review the subpart E applications. The S/L/Ts must supply any calculations and assumptions supporting the technical portion of the application, and we will review these supporting materials to verify them. In regard to information management, we have planned and allocated resources for the efficient and effective use of the information, including the processing of the information in a manner which enhances the utility of the information to us and to the public. For example, in most cases, existing S/L/T part 70 operating permit program approvals may be used to meet the up-front approval criteria in §63.91.

The subpart E regulations do not require the request of information through any type of survey.

Most delegation requests are submitted in hard copy. Submitting agencies are encouraged to work with their applicable EPA Regional office to determine if there are procedures to follow if they wish to use an electronic format. Approvals still have to be sent to the *Federal Register* for publication, but courtesy copies can be sent to S/L/Ts via e-mail.

5(c) Small Entity Flexibility

Minimizing the information collection burden for all sizes of organizations is a continuing principle for our efforts. The subpart E regulations only include the application, recordkeeping and reporting requirements we need to determine compliance with the rule. We have reduced the collection burden to the extent practicable and appropriate, including consideration of the resources available to the respondents and clarifying, consolidating, and simplifying the requirements. Furthermore, we do not anticipate that any small entities will be participating in this program.

5(d) Collection Schedule

The schedule is tied to the promulgation of Federal section 112 standards. As these are issued, S/L/Ts may request delegation. Each S/L/T may submit an application under one of the five options discussed in section 1(b). Preparation of an application in compliance with subpart E

is a one-time per standard activity. The subpart E regulations do not require periodic reporting or surveys.

6. ESTIMATING THE BURDEN AND COST OF THE COLLECTION

6(a) Estimating Respondent Burden

This ICR requires the calculation of the amount of burden hours associated with each activity for each respondent (S/L/T) when complying with the subpart E regulations. In calculating the burden hours for subpart E, we made assumptions about the number of S/L/Ts that would use each option as well as the total number of Federal standards delegated by each option. We also made assumptions about the type and level of regulatory activity that would result in delegations. During this ICR collection period (2007 – 2010), there are three types of section 112 standards potentially relevant to subpart E delegation. First, the section 112(d) maximum achievable control technology standards (MACT) that predominantly apply to major source emitters have all been promulgated, and the majority of MACT standards have been delegated to those S/L/Ts willing to accept delegation. In the upcoming clearance period we anticipate that there will be 24 delegation requests for the remaining standards. For example, in EPA Region 6, most States still need to adopt the boiler MACT standards.

We are currently working to propose and promulgate several standards under the section 112(k) area source program. We anticipate that 40 of these standards will be promulgated during the next ICR collection period, but there is less certainty regarding the number of S/L/Ts that will accept delegation of these standards. In some cases, the S/L/Ts have automatic delegation for all section 112(l) standards (e.g., Region VIII S/L/Ts), but other Regions have indicated there may be cases where because of resource constraints, a limited number of affected sources, and/or a sense that the State rules are more restrictive than the Federal rules, not all S/L/Ts will accept delegation of these rules. For purposes of this analysis, we estimate that 80 percent of the S/L/Ts will accept delegation of the area source rules.

We are also in the process of reviewing several of the promulgated MACT standards under a Risk and Technology Review (RTR process), which is a combined effort to evaluate both risk and technology as required by the Clean Air Act (CAA) after the application of MACT standards. Section 112(f)(2) directs us to conduct risk assessments on each source category subject to MACT standards, and to determine if additional standards are needed to reduce residual risks. Section 112(d)(6) of the CAA requires us to review and revise the MACT standards, as necessary, taking into account developments in practices, processes and control technologies. Three RTRs will be completed in 2007, but we anticipate that these will not result in any further action. An additional 12 MACT standards are undergoing RTR and up to 6 of these may result in significant changes to the MACT rules. However, these changes are expected to be revisions to the MACT rules that have already been delegated (or not) and not actions requiring new delegations.

Based on our consultations as described in section 3(c) and information on the status of the regulatory development efforts, we assumed that 124 S/L/Ts will continue to maintain their

subpart E program for the MACT standards. This annual effort for each S/L/T results in a total of 372 occurrences in the clearance period.

As described earlier, we assumed that there will be 24 delegation requests (occurrences) for MACT standards during the clearance period. Based on input from the Regional coordinators, we think that 12 of these will be straight delegation, 3 of these will be equivalency by permit, 8 of these will be rule substitutions, and 1 will be a rule adjustment. Using this methodology, as illustrated in Figure 1, we calculated the number of occurrences for each option, resulting in an average number of responses per year of 133. One reason that these remaining MACTs are still pending is that the S/L/Ts and Regions are still working on the alternative in rules or permits. The total number of occurrences shown in Figure 1 is 399.

In the case of area source standards, we assumed that 40 will be promulgated during the 3-year clearance period and that 80 percent (99) of the S/L/Ts will take delegation. We assumed the same distribution of delegation options as in 2004 for this group of standards, i.e., 80 percent straight delegation, 3 percent rule adjustment, 5 percent rule substitution, 12 percent equivalency by permit. Of these, we assumed half (6 percent) would need to obtain initial approval to use the equivalency by permit options. The percent of MACT standards delegated per option was multiplied by the total number of S/L/T taking delegation (99). The Equivalency by Permit Option is a two-step process. We assumed participates of this option that are undergoing step two of the delegation process lacked initial approval. We also assumed that one S/L/T might seek to use the program approval option during the 3-year period. Using this methodology, as illustrated in Figure 2, we calculated the number of occurrences for each option, resulting in an average number of responses per year of 1,392.

The average number of responses per year for both, MACT and Area Source standards is 1,525. The table below summarizes the number of occurrences of delegated request by MACT and Area Source standards.

Summary: The Number of Occurances of Delegation Requests (MACT and Area Source)								
Year	Program Maintenance	Straight Delegation (\$63.91)	Rule Adjustment Option (\$63.92)	Rule Substitution Option (\$63.93)	Equivalency by Permit Option (Initial Approval) (\$63.94)	Equivalency by Permit Option (\$63.94)	State Approval Option (\$63.97)	
1	124	1060	33	69	80	159	0	
2	124	1060	34	69	80	159	1	
3	124	1060	33	68	80	159	0	
Total	372	3180	100	206	240	477	1	4576
Average								1525

In calculating the burden hours associated with each delegation option, we retained the same activities and burden hour estimates used in the previous ICR (ICR Number 1643.05) for subpart E

The total hours associated with each option in tables 1a through 1g are for technical hours only. Consistent with the previous ICR, we calculated management hours as 5 percent of technical hours and clerical hours as 10 percent of technical hours. Table 4a contains the results of the burden hours calculation for each activity during each year of this ICR. Overall, the promulgated subpart E regulations contain an average burden of 62,844 hours per year.

6(b) Estimating Respondent Cost

(i) Estimating Labor Costs

To estimate respondent labor costs, we used State and local government wage rates and benefit costs obtained from the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS). We chose the different pay grade levels for management, technical, and clerical personnel by following the example set by other ICRs. We calculated the overhead rate as 50 percent of the total compensation rate (i.e., salary plus benefits). The addition of benefits and overhead to the hourly rate produces a pay rate that reflects the true cost to employ a State worker. Following is a summary of the computed wages for S/L/T personnel. Note that the BLS has changed the way it reports professional staff. In 2004, the wage rate table distinguished between “professional specialty” at a higher total wage rate of \$45.64 per hour and “technical” at a lower wage rate of \$28.76 (63 percent of the professional rate). In 2007, there is no separate listing for technical staff, so we pro-rated the professional rate of \$46.62 to a comparable technical rate of \$29.37.

Labor Type	Base Salary, Hourly Rate	Benefit Hourly Rate	Overhead Hourly Rate	Adjusted Hourly Rate
Management	33.04	13.82	23.43	70.29
Technical	20.92	8.45	14.69	44.06
Clerical	16.05	9.8	12.91	38.76

The respondent labor costs are found by multiplying the burden hours associated with each activity by the hourly rate associated with each labor type. In total, the subpart E regulations contain an average labor cost of \$2,959,000 per year. Table 4a contains the results of the calculation of labor costs for the respondents.

(ii) Capital / Start-up Costs

This ICR does not require any capital or start-up costs for equipment, machinery, and construction.

(iii) Operating and Maintenance (O&M) Costs

Respondents who choose to request delegation under subpart E must submit a complete application, which results in photocopying and postage costs. We determined that two types of documents would be both copied and mailed: 2-ounce letters and 1-pound packages. The 2-ounce letter would contain 5 pages, and the 1-pound package would contain 200 pages. Based on the typical price to copy a page, we used \$0.06 a page as our price per unit cost of copying. The cost

for mailing a 2-ounce letter and 1-pound package via the United States Postal Service is \$0.58 and \$4.50, respectively. We also assumed that a total of three copies would be made for each letter or package. Tables 5a through 5d show the activities that would require copying and postage. Table 6 summarizes the total copying and mailing costs per year. For respondents, the average cost for copying and postage is \$53,600 per year. Therefore, the average total cost to respondents, including labor cost and copying/postage cost is \$3,012,600 per year. Table 7 shows this breakdown by year.

6(c) Estimating Agency Burden and Cost

Under the subpart E regulations, we must review and evaluate the subpart E applications submitted by the S/L/Ts. In reviewing and evaluating these applications, we will carry out the activities listed in section 5(a) of this ICR. Managerial activities are considered 5 percent of the technical hours while clerical activities are considered 10 percent of the technical hours.

We calculated hourly rates for EPA employees using information on annual salaries from the Internet site for the Office of Personnel Management for the appropriate pay grade levels for management, technical, and clerical personnel. We multiplied this rate by a 1.6 benefit multiplier factor to produce a pay rate that reflects the true cost to the Federal government to employ a Federal worker. Following is a summary of the computed wages for EPA personnel.

Labor Type	Pay Grade	Hourly Rate	Adjusted Hourly Rate
Management	GS-15	\$50.54	\$80.86
	(Step 5)		
Technical	GS-12	\$30.57	\$48.91
	(Step 5)		
Clerical	GS-6	\$15.51	\$24.82
	(Step 5)		

The EPA labor costs are found by multiplying the burden hours associated with each activity by the hourly rate associated with each labor type. Overall, the average burden hours for EPA is 56,800 hours per year. Table 4b contains a breakdown of EPA burden hours per year. The average labor cost for the EPA is \$2,738,000 dollars per year. Table 4b contains a breakdown of EPA labor costs per year. Copying and postal costs for the EPA were calculated in the same manner as described in the last paragraph of section 6(b). Tables 5a through 5d contain a detailed listing of EPA copying and postal costs. Table 6 presents the total EPA copying and postal costs. The EPA would spend an average of \$17,900 on copying and postage. The total cost for EPA, including labor and copying/postal costs would be an average of \$2,755,200 per year. Table 7 shows a breakdown of the total cost for the EPA by year.

6(d) Estimating the Respondent Universe and Total Burden Costs

In order to estimate the number of S/L/Ts participating in the subpart E program, we obtained information from the EPA’s Regional Air Toxic Coordinator contacts regarding subpart

E delegation activity in their Regions. We determined that 124 agencies are participating in the subpart E program. The breakdown of these agencies is as follows: 49 State agencies, 4 territorial agencies, 66 local agencies, and 5 Tribal agencies.

6(e) Bottom Line Burden Hours and Cost

(i) Respondent Tally

Over the 3-year period of this ICR, the total average annual burden and labor cost for the respondents resulting from the subpart E regulations are 62,844 hours and \$2,959,000, respectively. Table 4a contains the bottom line estimate of burden hours and labor cost associated with the subpart E regulations. There is no capital start-up costs associated with this collection. Operation and maintenance costs result from photocopying and postage expenses, which are a total of \$53,600 per year. Table 6 shows the O&M costs. The total average annual cost to respondents is \$3,012,600. Table 7 contains the total estimate of costs associated with the subpart E regulations.

(ii) Agency Tally

Over the 3-year period of this ICR, the total average annual burden and labor cost for the EPA is 56,800 hours and \$2,738,000, respectively. Table 4b contains the bottom line estimate of burden hours and labor cost associated with the subpart E regulations. Operation and maintenance costs result from photocopying and postage expenses, which are a total of \$17,900 per year. Table 6 shows the O&M costs. The total average annual cost to EPA is \$2,755,200. Table 7 contains the total estimate of costs associated with the subpart E regulations.

6(f) Reasons for Change in Burden

The currently approved reporting and recordkeeping hour burden, based on ICR Number 1643.05, is 41,577 hours per year. We are requesting an increase in burden to 62,844 hours per year. The difference, 21,267 hours, is due to a program adjustment. The following discussion explains these changes.

The change in burden results from: (1) an increase number of occurrences related to the number of NESHAP delegated and (2) a change in the distribution of S/L/Ts using each option. These changes are discussed below.

First, the number of occurrences has increased because more section 112 standards are being promulgated during the clearance period. While the total number of MACT standards being delegated has decreased to only 24 occurrences, we estimate that 80 percent of the S/L/Ts will take delegation of the 40 area source rules to be promulgated during the clearance period. This is double the number of MACT standards promulgated during the previous clearance period.

Second, based on the experience the EPA's Regional Air Toxics Coordinators have had with the subpart E program, S/L/Ts' use of the various delegation options has changed. As shown

in the table below, Straight Delegation is still the primary delegation mechanism and we have assumed that all of the S/L/Ts will continue to maintain their overall program approval delegation. However, we found that S/L/Ts are using the Equivalency by Permit Option and the Rule Substitution Option with greater frequency than previously assumed. Generally, sources do not use the State Program Approval Option; however one is expected to do so in the upcoming 3-year clearance period.

Option	Percent Distribution of Responses		
	2004	2007	Difference
Straight Delegation (§63.91)	82.90	77.62	-5.28
Rule Adjustment Option (§63.92)	2.45	2.19	-0.26
Rule Substitution Option (§63.93)	4.10	4.50	0.40
Equivalency by Permit Option (§63.94)	10.55	15.67	5.12
State Program Approval Option (§63.97)	0.00	0.02	0.02

Overall, the respondent hour burden has increased. The table below breaks down this increase by option. Due to the overall increase in the amount of occurrences, the overall burden increases by 51 percent.

Option	Average Annual Burden (hr/yr)†			
	2004	2007	Difference	Percent Difference
Straight Delegation Option (§63.91)	11,032.30	15,042	4,009.70	36.35%
Rule Adjustment Option (§63.92)	1,863	2,779	916.17	49.18%
Rule Substitution Option (§63.93)	10,528.60	17,491	6,962.40	66.13%
Equivalency by Permit Option (§63.94)	18,152.80	27,439	9,286.20	51.16%
Accidental Release Prevention Program (§63.95)	0	0	0.0	0.00%
EPA Withdrawal (§63.96)	0	0	0.0	0.00%
State Program Approval Option (§63.97)	0	92.67	92.7	100.00%
Total	41,577	62,844	21,267	51.15%

Similarly, the respondent average total labor cost per year increased by \$1,168,000 (or 65 percent). The breakdown by option within the subpart E program is shown in the table below. Increases in the total average annual cost for the options reflect the increase in the amount of burden for that particular option. The amount of increase is more than the amount of increase in

hours, which is largely due to increases in the average labor wage rates. These rates were updated to reflect current estimates.

Option	Average Annual Total Cost (\$1,000/yr) †			Percent Difference
	2004	2007	Difference	
Straight Delegation Option (\$63.91)	475	673	198	42%
Rule Adjustment Option (\$63.92)	80	124	44	55%
Rule Substitution Option (\$63.93)	453	783	329	73%
Equivalency by Permit Option (\$63.94)	782	1375	593	76%
Accidental Release Prevention Program (\$63.95)	0	0	0	0%
EPA Withdrawal (\$63.96)	0	0	0	0%
State Program Approval Option (\$63.97)	0	4	4	100%
Total	1791	2959	1168	65%

We are requesting an increase in the reporting and recordkeeping cost burden due to the increases in mailing costs. Our assumptions for copying and postage costs are discussed in section 6(b). The total copying and postage cost for S/L/Ts is \$53,600 per year, of which 80 percent is associated with obtaining straight delegation of the NESHAP.

6(g) Burden Statement

The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 41 hours per response.

Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time need to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA’s regulation are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR chapter 15.

To comment on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including the use of automated collection techniques, EPA has established a public docket for this ICR under Docket ID Number EPA-HQ-OAR-2004-0065, which is available for online viewing at www.regulations.gov, or in person viewing at the Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center in the EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), EPA West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. The EPA Docket Center Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Reading Room is (202) 566-1744, and the telephone number for the Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center is (202) 566-1742. An electronic version of the public docket is available


at www.regulations.gov. This site can be used to submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the public docket, and to access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. When in the system, select “search,” then key in the Docket ID Number identified above. Also, you can send comments to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20503, Attention: Desk Officer for EPA. Please include the EPA Docket ID Number EPA-HQ-OAR-2004-0065 and OMB Control Number 2060-0264 in any correspondence.

Part B of the Supporting Statement

Part B is not applicable because statistical methods are not used in data collection associated with this regulation.

FIGURES


Figure 1. Allocation of Subpart E Delegation Options-MACT Standards



^a Each MACT is delegated to one S/L/T. The number of agencies taking delegation is equal to the number of MACTs.

^b Equivalency by Permit is a two-step process. We assumed S/L/Ts taking delegation under the second step do not already have initial approval.

Figure 2. Allocation of Subpart E Delegation Options-Area Source Standards



^a Each MACT is delegated to all 99 agencies. The percent of MACT standards delegated per option is multiplied by 99.

^b Equivalency by Permit is a two-step process. We assumed that half of the S/L/Ts taking delegation under the second step do not already have initial approval

^c We assumed one agency would seek State Program Approval during the clearance period.

TABLES

Table 1a. Activity and Burden for the Overall Approval to Receive Delegation/Straight Delegation	
(§63.91)[†]	
Activity	Technical Hours per Occurrence
A. Read Rule	0
B. Receive Training on Rule	0
C. Plan Process	0
D. Create Confirmation Letter from Attorney General	0
E. Create Adequate Resources Demonstration/ Legal Authority	0
F. Supply a Copy of State Statutes, Regulations, and Requirements	0
G. Create Expedious Implementation Schedule and Compliance Plan	0
H. Compile, Process, and Review Information	0
I. Create Overall Cover Letter	0
J. Fill Out Completeness Checklist	0
K. Send Submittal to EPA	0
L. Store, File, and Maintain Information	20
Total (hr/yr)	20
M. Request Straight Delegation of NESHAP	10

[†]For this renewal period, we assumed that all agencies have already completed activities A-K and have received up-front delegation.

Table 1b. Activity and Burden for the Rule Adjustment Option (§63.92)	
Activity	Technical Hours per Occurrence
A. Conduct State Rulemaking	35
B. Collect Alternative Rule and NESHAP for Analysis and Demonstrate Stringency	15
C. Fill out Completeness Checklist	1
D. Send Submittal to EPA	1.5
E. Store, File, and Maintain Information	20
Total (hr/yr)	72.5

Table 1c. Respondent Activity and Burden for the Rule Substitution Option (§63.93)	
Activity	Technical Hours per Occurrence
A. Conduct Rulemaking and Respond to Public Comments	45
B. Prepare Equivalency Demonstration Table	60
C. Prepare Narrative Text for Equivalency Demonstration Table	60
D. Prepare Cover Letter and Completeness Checklist for Submittal Package	10
E. Send Submittal to EPA	1.5
F. Respond to Public Comments	25
G. Store, File, and Maintain Information	20
Total (hr/yr)	221.5

Table 1d. Respondent Activities and Burden for the Equivalency by Permit Option (§63.94)	
Activity	Technical Hours per Occurrence
One-Time Activities	
A. Preparation of List of Affected Sources and Affected 112 Standards	20
Activities per Standard	
A. Collection of Draft Terms and Conditions to Submit to EPA	20
B. Alteration of Draft Terms and Conditions	26
C. Preparation of Side-by-Side Comparison of Alternative Requirements	30
D. Preparation of Narrative to Side-by-Side Comparison	30
E. Completion of Cover Letter and Completeness Checklist for Submittal to EPA	10
F. Send Submittal to EPA	1.5
G. Incorporation of Alternative Requirements into Permits	20
H. Store, File, and Maintain Information	20
Total (hr/yr)	158

Table 1e. Respondent Activities and Burden for the State Program Approval Option (\$63.97)	
Activity	Technical Hours per
One-time activities	
A. Collection of Source Categories for Submission	0
B. Description of Enforcement Measures for Area Sources	15
C. Preparation of Up-front Submittal to EPA	40
Activities per Standard	
A. Participation in the Review of Public Comments and Consultations	20
B. Collection of State Rules, Regulations, Permits, Implementation Plans, or Other Enforceable Mechanisms and State Rulemaking	60
C. Preparation of Equivalency Demonstration Table	40
D. Preparation of Narrative to Accompany Equivalency Demonstration Table	40
E. Preparation of Submission to EPA and Completeness Checklist	5
F. Submittal to EPA	1.5
G. Store, File, and Maintain Information	20
Total (hr/yr)	241.5

Table 1f. Respondent Activities and Burden for the Accidental Release Prevention Program (§63.95)†	
Activity	Technical Hours
A. Resource Demonstration	5
B. Demonstration of Adequate Enforcement Authority	20
C. Description of Coordination Mechanism	15
D. Preparation of Package for Submission to the EPA	25
Total (hr/yr)	65

†Not expected to use this option during 3-year period.

Table 1g. Respondent Activities and Burden for the EPA Review and Withdrawal Option (§63.96)†	
Activity	Technical Hours per Occurrence
A. Respond to Information Requests (permits, monitoring, meetings, etc.)	30
B. S/L/T attempts to correct deficiencies	30
C. Notification to Sources of Withdrawal	40
Total (hr/yr)	100

†Not expected to use this option during 3-year period.

Table 2a. EPA Activities and Burden for the Overall Approval to Receive Delegation/Straight Delegation (§ 63.91)†	
Activity	Technical Hours per Occurrence
A. Review Submission for Completeness	0
B. Notification to State of Complete/Incomplete Application	0
C. Creation of Federal Register Notice to Seek Public Comment	0
D. EPA Review of Public Comments and S/L/T Responses	0
E. EPA Evaluation of General Criteria	0
F. Creation of Final Federal Register Notice	0
G. Answer Respondent Questions	10
H. Store, File, and Compile Information	10
Total (hr/yr)	20
I. Send Letter Granting Straight Delegation	5

†For this renewal period, we assumed that all agencies have already completed activities. A-F and have received up-front delegation.

Table 2b. EPA Activities and Burden for the Rule Adjustment Option (§ 63.92)	
Activity	Technical Hours per
A. Creation of Federal Register Notice to Seek Public Comment	5
B. EPA Review of Public Comments and S/L/T Responses	20
C. EPA Evaluation of General Criteria	5
D. Creation of Final Federal Register Notice	5
E. Answer Respondent Questions	15
F. Store, File, and Compile Information	10
Total (hr/yr)	60

Table 2c. EPA Activities and Burden for the Rule Substitution Option (§ 63.93)	
Activity	Technical Hours per Occurrence
A. Review Submission for Completeness	10
B. Creation of Federal Register Notice to Seek Public Comment	5
C. EPA Review of Public Comments	20
D. EPA Evaluation of Equivalency Demonstrations	80
E. Creation of Final Federal Register Notice	10
F. Answer Respondent Questions	35
G. Store, File, and Compile Information	10
Total (hr/yr)	170

Table 2d. EPA Activities and Burden for the Equivalency by Permit Option (§63.94)	
Activity†	Technical Hours per Occurrence
One-Time Activities	
A. Notification of Whether Alternative Rule Submittal is Complete (Letter and Consultations)	10
B. Creation of Federal Register notice for Public Comment for Up-front Approval	5
C. Review of Public Comments and S/L Responses for Up-front Approval	30
D. Creation of Federal Register Notice to Approve/Disapprove Program	25
Activities per Standard	
E. Notification to Respondent of Complete Package of Alternative Terms and Conditions for Approval	10
F. Evaluation of Draft Permit Terms and Conditions	50
G. Creation of Notification of Approved/Disapproved Draft Permit Terms and Conditions (Letters and Meetings)	20
H. Creation of Final Federal Register Notice for Notification	15
I. Answer Respondent Questions	35
J. Store, File, and Maintain Information	10
Total (hr/yr)	140

† Assumes that any up-dates by the respondent to the list of affected sources and standards will be incorporated as part of any individual requests.

Table 2e. EPA Activities and Burden for the State Program Approval Option (§63.97)	
Activity	Technical Hours per Occurrence
A. Notification by Letter and Meetings of Whether Alternative Rule Submittal is Complete	10
B. Creation of Federal Register Notice for Public Comment for Up-front Approval	5
C. Review of Public Comments and S/L/T Responses for Up-front Approval	30
D. Creation of Federal Register Notice to Approve/Disapprove Submittal	25
E. Notification of Complete Alternative Requirements	10
F. Creation of Federal Register Notice for Public Comment on Alternative Rules	5
G. Review of Public Comments and State Responses on Alternative Rules	40
H. Evaluation of Equivalency Demonstration	40
I. Creation of Final Federal Register Notice for Notification	25
J. Answer Respondent Questions	35
K. File, Store, and Maintain Information	10
Total	235

Activity	Technical Hours per Occurrence
Evaluation of Submission for Approval	25
Total (hr)	25

†Not expected to use this option during 3-year period.

Activity	Technical Hours per Occurrence
A. Creation of Request for Information	15
B. Evaluation of Information Submitted by S/L/Ts (Permits, Site Visits, Monitoring Data)	180
C. Letter Informing S/L/T of Inadequate Program	10
D. Creation of Notice for Proposed Withdrawal	15
E. Evaluation of Public Comments and S/L/T Responses	50
F. Notification of Changes for S/L/Ts to Make to Correct Deficiencies	15
G. Notification of Withdrawal	10
H. Publication of Schedule for Compliance	5
Total (hr)	300

†Not expected to use this option during 3-year period.

Table 3. Summary of Delegations by S/L/Ts				
	Total Number of Occurrences per Year^b			
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Total
Option/Year	(occ/yr)	(occ/yr)	(occ/yr)	(occ)
Overall Delegation (§63.91)	124	124	124	372
Straight Delegation per Standard (§63.91)	1060	1060	1060	3180
Rule Adjustment (§63.92)	33	34	33	100
Rule Substitution (§63.93)	69	69	68	206
Equivalency by Permit – Initial Approval (§63.94)	80	80	80	240
Equivalency by Permit – Per Standard (§63.94)	159	159	159	477
State Program Approval (§63.97)	0	1	0	1
Accidental Release Prevention Program (§63.95)	0	0	0	0
Withdrawal (§63.96)	0	0	0	0
Total	1525	1527	1524	4576
Average (occurrences/yr)				1525

^b Number of occurrences is the sum of MACT standards delegated and area standards delegated (See Figures 1 & 2).

Table 4a. Total Annual Burden for Respondents								
Year Option	Person-Hours				Labor Costs			
	Year 1 (hr/yr)	Year 2 (hr/yr)	Year 3 (hr/yr)	Total (hr/yr)	Year 1 (\$1,000/yr)	Year 2 (\$1,000/yr)	Year 3 (\$1,000/yr)	Total (\$1,000/yr)
Straight Delegation (§63.91) -- Administrative Criteria	2852	2852	2852	8556	128	128	128	383
Straight Delegation (§63.91) -- Delegation of Standard	12190	12190	12190	36570	545	545	545	1636
Rule Adjustment Option (§63.92)	2751	2835	2751	8337.5	123	127	123	373
Rule Substitution Option (§63.93)	17576	17576	17321	52473	786	786	775	2348
Equivalency by Permit Option (§63.94) -- One-Time Application	1840	1840	1840	5520	82	82	82	247
Equivalency by Permit Option (§63.94) -- per Standard	25599	25599	25599	76797	1293	1293	1293	3878
State Program Approval Option (§63.97) -- One-Time Application	0	277.725	0	278	0	12	0	12
State Program Approval Option (§63.97) -- Per Standard ^a	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Accidental Release Prevention Program (§63.95)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EPA Review and Withdrawal (§63.96)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	62808	63170	62554	188532	2957	2973	2946	8877
Average				62844				2959

^a Combined with one-time costs, above.

Table 4b. Total Annual Burden for EPA								
Year	Person-Hours				Labor Costs			
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Total	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Total
Option	(hr/yr)	(hr/yr)	(hr/yr)	(hr/yr)	(\$1,000/yr)	(\$1,000/yr)	(\$1,000/yr)	(\$1,000/yr)
Straight Delegation (§63.91) -- Administrative Criteria	2852	2852	2852	8556	137	137	137	412
Straight Delegation (§63.91) -- Delegation of Standard	6095	6095	6095	18285	294	294	294	881
Rule Adjustment Option (§63.92)	2277	2346	2277	6900	110	113	110	333
Rule Substitution Option (§63.93)	13489.5	13489.5	13294	40273	650	650	641	1941
Equivalency by Permit Option (§63.94) -- One-Time Application	6440	6440	6440	19320	310	310	310	931
Equivalency by Permit Option (§63.94) -- per Standard	25599	25599	25599	76797	1234	1234	1234	3702
State Program Approval Option (§63.97) -- One-Time Application	0	270.25	0	270.25	0	13	0	13
State Program Approval Option (§63.97) -- Per Standard ^a	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Accidental Release Prevention Program (§63.95)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EPA Review and Withdrawal (§63.96)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	56752.5	57091.75	56557	170401	2736	2752	2726	8214
Average				56800				2738

^a Combined with one-time costs, above.

Activity (Respondent/EPA)		Send submittal to EPA (Respondent)^{†a}	Answer Respondent Questions (EPA)^{‡b}
Year			
Occurrences	Year 1	124	1,060
	Year 2	124	1,060
	Year 3	124	1,060
Postage	Year 1 (\$/yr)	71.92	4770
	Year 2 (\$/yr)	71.92	4770
	Year 3 (\$/yr)	71.92	4770
Copying	Year 1 (\$/yr)	111.6	38160
	Year 2 (\$/yr)	111.6	38160
	Year 3 (\$/yr)	111.6	38160
Total	Year 1 (\$1,000/yr)	0.18	42.93
	Year 2 (\$1,000/yr)	0.18	42.93
	Year 3 (\$1,000/yr)	0.18	42.93
	Total (\$1,000/yr)	0.55	128.8
	Average (\$1,000/yr)	0.18	42.93

[†]Falls under maintenance of subpart E program approval.

[‡]Falls under the Straight Delegation Option.

^aAssumes 2-oz. letter.

^bAssumes 1-lb. package.

Table 5b. Copying/Postal Costs for the Rule Adjustment Option (§ 63.92)			
Activity (Respondent/EPA)		Send submittal to EPA (Respondent)^a	Answer Respondent Questions (EPA)^b
Year			
Occurrences	Year 1	33	33
	Year 2	34	34
	Year 3	33	33
Postage	Year 1 (\$/yr)	148.5	19.14
	Year 2 (\$/yr)	153	19.72
	Year 3 (\$/yr)	148.5	19.14
Copying	Year 1 (\$/yr)	1188	29.7
	Year 2 (\$/yr)	1224	30.6
	Year 3 (\$/yr)	1188	29.7
Total	Year 1 (\$1,000/yr)	1.34	0.05
	Year 2 (\$1,000/yr)	1.38	0.05
	Year 3 (\$1,000/yr)	1.34	0.05
	Total (\$1,000/yr)	4.05	0.15
	Average (\$1,000/yr)	1.35	0.05

^aAssumes 1-lb. package.

^bAssumes 2-oz. letter.

Table 5c. Copying/Postal Costs for the Rule Substitution Option (§63.93)			
Activity		Send submittal to EPA (Respondent)^a	Answer Respondent Questions (EPA)^b
(Respondent/EPA)			
Year			
Occurrences	Year 1	69	69
	Year 2	69	69
	Year 3	68	68
Postage	Year 1 (\$/yr)	310.5	40.02
	Year 2 (\$/yr)	310.5	40.02
	Year 3 (\$/yr)	306	39.44
Copying	Year 1 (\$/yr)	2484	62.1
	Year 2 (\$/yr)	2484	62.1
	Year 3 (\$/yr)	2448	61.2
Total	Year 1 (\$1,000/yr)	2.79	0.10
	Year 2 (\$1,000/yr)	2.79	0.10
	Year 3 (\$1,000/yr)	2.754	0.10
	Total (\$1,000/yr)	8.34	0.30
	Average (\$1,000/yr)	2.8	0.10

^aAssumes 1-lb. package.

^bAssumes 2-oz. letter.

Activity		Preparation of a list of affected sources and affected 112 standards ^{†,a}	Send submittal to EPA ^{†,b}	Notification of whether alternative rule submittal is complete ^{†,a}	Notification to respondent of complete package of alternative terms & conditions ^{†,a}	Creation of notification of approved/disapproved draft permit terms and conditions ^{†,a}	Answer respondent questions ^{†,a}
(Respondent/EPA)		(Respondent)	(Respondent)	(EPA)	(EPA)	(EPA)	(EPA)
Year							
Occurrences	Year 1	80	159	80	159	159	159
	Year 2	80	159	80	159	159	159
	Year 3	80	159	80	159	159	159
Postage	Year 1 (\$/yr)	46.4	715.5	46.4	92.22	92.22	92.22
	Year 2 (\$/yr)	46.4	715.5	46.4	92.22	92.22	92.22
	Year 3 (\$/yr)	46.4	715.5	46.4	92.22	92.22	92.22
Copying	Year 1 (\$/yr)	72	5724	72	5724	5724	5724
	Year 2 (\$/yr)	72	5724	72	5724	5724	5724
	Year 3 (\$/yr)	72	5724	72	5724	5724	5724
Total	Year 1 (\$1,000/yr)	0.12	6.44	0.12	5.82	5.82	5.82
	Year 2 (\$1,000/yr)	0.12	6.44	0.12	5.82	5.82	5.82
	Year 3 (\$1,000/yr)	0.12	6.44	0.12	5.82	5.82	5.82
	Total (\$1,000/yr)	19.7	Respondents	52.7	EPA		
	Average (\$1,000/yr)	6.6	Respondents	17.6	EPA		

[†]Initial Equivalency by Permit Option approval.

[‡]Per Standard Equivalency by Permit Option approval.

^a Assumes 1-lb. package.

^b Assumes 2-oz. letter

Table 5e. Copying/Postal Costs for the State Program Approval Option (§63.97)			
Activity (Respondent/EPA)		Send submittal to EPA (Respondent)^a	Answer Respondent Questions (EPA)^b
Year			
Occurrences	Year 1	0	0
	Year 2	1	1
	Year 3	0	0
Postage	Year 1 (\$/yr)	0	0
	Year 2 (\$/yr)	4.5	0.58
	Year 3 (\$/yr)	0	0
Copying	Year 1 (\$/yr)	0	0
	Year 2 (\$/yr)	36	0.9
	Year 3 (\$/yr)	0	0
Total	Year 1 (\$1,000/yr)	0	0.00
	Year 2 (\$1,000/yr)	0.04	0.00
	Year 3 (\$1,000/yr)	0	0.00
	Total (\$1,000/yr)	0.04	0.00
	Average (\$1,000/yr)	0.0	0.00

^a Assumes 1-lb. package.

^b Assumes 2-oz. letter

Table 6. Total Copying/Postal Costs for Respondents and EPA-Summary								
Year	Respondents				EPA			
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Total	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Total
Option	(\$1,000/yr)	(\$1,000/yr)	(\$1,000/yr)	(\$1,000/yr)	(\$1,000/yr)	(\$1,000/yr)	(\$1,000/yr)	(\$1,000/yr)
Straight Delegation (§63.91) -- Administrative Criteria	0	0	0	0	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.54
Straight Delegation (§63.91) -- Delegation of Standard	42.93	42.93	42.93	128.79	0	0	0	0
Rule Adjustment Option (§63.92)	1.34	1.38	1.34	4.06	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.15
Rule Substitution Option (§63.93)	2.79	2.79	2.75	8.33	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3
Equivalency by Permit Option (§63.94) -- One-Time Application)	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.36	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.36
Equivalency by Permit Option (§63.94) -- per Standard	6.44	6.44	6.44	19.32	17.46	17.46	17.46	52.38
State Program Approval Option (§63.97) -- One-Time Application	0	0.04	0	0.04	0	0	0	0
State Program Approval Option (§63.97) -- Per Standard ^a	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Accidental Release Prevention Program (§63.95)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EPA Review and Withdrawal (§63.96)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	53.62	53.7	53.58	160.9	17.91	17.91	17.91	53.73
Average				53.6				17.9

^a Combined with one-time costs, above.

Table 7. Total Costs for Respondents and EPA (Labor and Copying/Postal)								
Year	Respondents				EPA			
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Total	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Total
Option	(\$1,000/yr)	(\$1,000/yr)	(\$1,000/yr)	(\$1,000/yr)	(\$1,000/yr)	(\$1,000/yr)	(\$1,000/yr)	(\$1,000/yr)
Straight Delegation (§63.91) - - Administrative Criteria	128	128	128	384	137.18	137.18	137.18	411.54
Straight Delegation (§63.91) - - Delegation of Standard	587.93	587.93	587.93	1763.79	294	294	294	882
Rule Adjustment Option (§63.92)	124.34	128.38	124.34	377.06	110.05	113.05	110.05	333.15
Rule Substitution Option (§63.93)	788.79	788.79	777.75	2355.33	650.1	650.1	641.1	1941.3
Equivalency by Permit Option (§63.94) -- One-Time Application)	82.12	82.12	82.12	246.36	310.12	310.12	310.12	930.36
Equivalency by Permit Option (§63.94) -- per Standard	1299.44	1299.44	1299.44	3898.32	1251.46	1251.46	1251.46	3754.38
State Program Approval Option (§63.97) -- One-Time Application	0	12.04	0	12.04	0	13	0	13
State Program Approval Option (§63.97) -- Per Standard ^a	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Accidental Release Prevention Program (§63.95)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EPA Review and Withdrawal (§63.96)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	3010.62	3026.7	2999.58	9036.9	2752.91	2768.91	2743.91	8265.73
Average				3012.3				2755.2

^a Combined with one-time costs, above.