0.The Supporting Statement for OMB 0596-NEW

Research Data Archive Use Tracking October 2007

A. Justification

1. Explain the circumstances that make the collection of information necessary. Identify any legal or administrative requirements that necessitate the collection. Attach a copy of the appropriate section of each statute and regulation mandating or authorizing the collection of information.

Laws, Statutes, and Regulations

- <u>Public Law 95-307</u> Forest and Rangeland Renewable Resources Research Act of 1978
- **16 USC 1645(c)** Dissemination of knowledge and technology developed from research activities; cooperation with specified entities.

Section 1645(c) of 16 USC, states (in part): "The Secretary shall use the authorities and means available to...disseminate the knowledge and technology developed from research activities conducted under or supported by this subchapter..."

Forest Service Research and Development (FS R&D) plans to create a data archive to store and disseminate data collected in the course of Forest Service scientific research. Currently, research data sets collected by FS R&D are shared with other researchers at the discretion of the collecting researcher or as a response to a Freedom of Information Act request.

Preparing data sets for the archive requires significant effort by researchers. The Forest Service has an obligation to encourage ethical use of these data sets and therefore needs to know how others are using the data sets.

The information provided by this collection will assist FS R&D personnel in evaluating the research program. By creating a data archive, FS R&D is attempting to broaden and simplify access to data sets while satisfying information requirements associated with scientist performance evaluations. Information about the use of the products of a Forest Service scientist's research is of significant importance in evaluating performance of the scientist.

- 2. Indicate how, by whom, and for what purpose the information is to be used. Except for a new collection, indicate the actual use the agency has made of the information received from the current collection.
 - a. What information will be collected reported or recorded? (If there are pieces of information that are especially burdensome in the collection, a specific explanation should be provided.)

The following information is collected using form FS-4000-5:

- name;
- organization affiliation;

- contact information (including e-mail address);
- Statement of Intended Use; and Data Use Agreement: The Data Use Agreement and associated information collection closely follow the data access structure used by the National Science Foundation's Long Term Ecological Research network.

The Forest Service believes this structure provides a sound balance between meeting obligations to scientific staff and ease-of-access by the public (research community). Forest Service archive staff will review the individual Data Use Agreements prior to approving release of the data set to the requester. This review ensures that submitted information is complete and correct.

b. From whom will the information be collected? If there are different respondent categories (e.g., loan applicant versus a bank versus an appraiser), each should be described along with the type of collection activity that applies.

The information will be collected from individuals who wish to obtain a copy of a research data set in the data archive. Generally, the interested population is expected to be scientists (in government agencies, nonprofits [including colleges and universities], and for profit companies) and graduate students. Private individuals or people associated with secondary education institutions may occasionally participate as well. The simple, Internet-based information collection process is the same for everyone.

c. What will this information be used for - provide ALL uses?

There are three known uses:

- 1. Assist the researcher who collected the data to describe the impact of this research accomplishment as part of the performance appraisal.
- 2. The collection of Data Use Agreements (via FS-4000-5) will be evaluated by the data archiving program staff to identify opportunities for improving the archive's function and offerings.
- 3. Assist FS R&D communications office in assessing the effectiveness of its research and technology transfer activities.

This information collection is a critical component in the campaign to encourage Forest Service scientists to deposit their research data in the archive system. Sharing research data is very useful to the broader research community and sharing well-documented FS R&D data sets via the archive will be impossible without this information collection.

d. How will the information be collected (e.g., forms, non-forms, electronically, face-to-face, over the phone, over the Internet)? Does the respondent have multiple options for providing the information? If so, what are they?

An Internet-based form (FS-4000-5) will collect the information. Those respondents unable to use the form may respond via telephone or e-mail.

Archivists are available to assist respondents.

The form will not be available on the Internet site (http://www.fs.fed.us/research) until after OMB approval of this package.

e. How frequently will the information be collected?

Collection of the information occurs on a case-by-case basis when someone requests access to a particular data set. During the initial three-year period, the archive will be small, so it seems reasonable to estimate that a given individual would need to provide information no more than once per year. This may change in the future, as more data sets become available to the public.

f. Will the information be shared with any other organizations inside or outside USDA or the government?

The individual data use agreements will not be shared. Broad summary information related to accomplishment reporting may be reported upward to USDA.

g. If this is an ongoing collection, how have the collection requirements changed over time?

This is a new collection.

3. Describe whether, and to what extent, the collection of information involves the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g. permitting electronic submission of responses, and the basis for the decision for adopting this means of collection. Also describe any consideration of using information technology to reduce burden.

This collection is intended to be entirely electronic using Internet-based forms. The basis for this decision is an interest in making the collection as easy and as fast as possible. Also, the data archive is accessed via the Web so using Webbased forms was the most logical approach.

4. Describe efforts to identify duplication. Show specifically why any similar information already available cannot be used or modified for use for the purposes described in Item 2 above.

There is no similar information available for use by the Forest Service. A given data set may used in many ways, many of them unforeseen by the original researcher. Consequently, the collected information is unique to the researcher-data set combination.

5. If the collection of information impacts small businesses or other small entities, describe any methods used to minimize burden.

The information collection primarily affects individuals, not organizations. Burden is minimized by collecting the information electronically and by keeping the collection of items small and relevant to the problem. Generally, people will know the answers to the questions before they come to the archive – this will either be part of their broader research planning activity or be an outcome of

that planning.

6. Describe the consequence to Federal program or policy activities if the collection is not conducted or is conducted less frequently, as well as any technical or legal obstacles to reducing burden.

There are no known technical or legal obstacles to reducing the burden further; the obstacle is functional utility.

If the collection is not conducted at all, a reasonable outcome would be a much greater reluctance to contribute data sets to the archive. This would have negative consequences on long-term availability/utility of the data set and would leave in place the more burdensome current process of obtaining permission to use a data set from the original researcher or filing a Freedom of Information Act request.

- 7. Explain any special circumstances that would cause an information collection to be conducted in a manner:
 - Requiring respondents to report information to the agency more often than quarterly;
 - Requiring respondents to prepare a written response to a collection of information in fewer than 30 days after receipt of it;
 - Requiring respondents to submit more than an original and two copies of any document;
 - Requiring respondents to retain records, other than health, medical, government contract, grant-in-aid, or tax records for more than three years;
 - In connection with a statistical survey, that is not designed to produce valid and reliable results that can be generalized to the universe of study;
 - Requiring the use of a statistical data classification that has not been reviewed and approved by OMB;
 - That includes a pledge of confidentiality that is not supported by authority established in statute or regulation, that is not supported by disclosure and data security policies that are consistent with the pledge, or which unnecessarily impedes sharing of data with other agencies for compatible confidential use; or
 - Requiring respondents to submit proprietary trade secret, or other confidential information unless the agency can demonstrate that it has instituted procedures to protect the information's confidentiality to the extent permitted by law.

There are no special circumstances. The collection of information is conducted in a manner consistent with the guidelines in 5 CFR 1320.6.

8. If applicable, provide a copy and identify the date and page number of publication in the Federal Register of the agency's notice, required by 5 CFR 1320.8 (d), soliciting comments on the information collection

prior to submission to OMB. Summarize public comments received in response to that notice and describe actions taken by the agency in response to these comments. Specifically address comments received on cost and hour burden.

Describe efforts to consult with persons outside the agency to obtain their views on the availability of data, frequency of collection, the clarity of instructions and record keeping, disclosure, or reporting format (if any), and on the data elements to be recorded, disclosed, or reported.

Consultation with representatives of those from whom information is to be obtained or those who must compile records should occur at least once every 3 years even if the collection of information activity is the same as in prior periods. There may be circumstances that may preclude consultation in a specific situation. These circumstances should be explained.

Notice was published in the Federal Register on 03/22/2007; citation: Volume 72, Number 44, Pages 13468-13469. No comments were received during the 60-day comment period.

Because this information collection is patterned on the successful structure used by the National Science Foundation's Long Term Ecological Research data access agreement, additional consulting was not considered useful.

9. Explain any decision to provide any payment or gift to respondents, other than remuneration of contractors or grantees.

No gifts or payments are provided to respondents.

10. Describe any assurance of confidentiality provided to respondents and the basis for the assurance in statute, regulation, or agency policy.

No confidential information is requested, so no assurance of confidentiality is provided.

11. Provide additional justification for any questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior or attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private. This justification should include the reasons why the agency considers the questions necessary, the specific uses to be made of the information, the explanation to be given to persons from whom the information is requested, and any steps to be taken to obtain their consent.

There are no questions of a sensitive nature as described in the question.

- 12. Provide estimates of the hour burden of the collection of information. Indicate the number of respondents, frequency of response, annual hour burden, and an explanation of how the burden was estimated.
 - Indicate the number of respondents, frequency of response, annual hour burden, and an explanation of how the burden was estimated.

If this request for approval covers more than one form, provide separate hour burden estimates for each form.

- a) Description of the collection activity
- b) Corresponding form number (if applicable)
- c) Number of respondents
- d) Number of responses annually per respondent,
- e) Total annual responses (columns c x d)
- f) Estimated hours per response
- g) Total annual burden hours (columns e x f)

Table 1

(a) Description of the Collection Activity	(b) Form Number	(c) Number of Respondents	(d) Number of responses annually per Respondent	(e) Total annual responses (c x d)	(f) Estimate of Burden Hours per response	(g) Total Annual Burden Hours (e x f)
Data set usage info	FS-4000-5	100	1	100	0.25	25
Totals		100		100]		25

- Record keeping burden should be addressed separately and should include columns for:
 - a) Description of record keeping activity: None
 - b) Number of record keepers: None
 - c) Annual hours per record keeper: None
 - d) Total annual record keeping hours (columns b x c): Zero
- Provide estimates of annualized cost to respondents for the hour burdens for collections of information, identifying and using appropriate wage rate categories.

Table 2

(a) Description of the Collection Activity	(b) Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents (Hours)	(c) Estimated Average Income per Hour	(d) Estimated Cost to Respondents
Data set usage information	25	\$23.77*	\$594.25
Totals	25		\$594.25

^{*} Income based on Information category found on page 4 of Bureau of Labor New Release at http://www.bls.gov/news.release/pdf/realer.pdf

13. Provide estimates of the total annual cost burden to respondents or record keepers resulting from the collection of information, (do not include the cost of any hour burden shown in items 12 and 14). The cost estimates should be split into two components: (a) a total capital and start-up cost component annualized over its expected useful life; and (b) a total operation and maintenance and purchase of services component.

There are no capital operation and maintenance costs.

14. Provide estimates of annualized cost to the Federal government. Provide a description of the method used to estimate cost and any other expense that would not have been incurred without this collection of information.

The response to this question covers the actual costs the agency will incur as a result of implementing the information collection. The estimate should cover the entire life cycle of the collection and include costs, if applicable, for:

Employee labor and materials for developing, printing, storing forms

Employee labor and materials for developing computer systems, screens, or reports to support the collection

Employee travel costs

Cost of contractor services or other reimbursements to individuals or organizations assisting in the collection of information

Employee labor and materials for collecting the information

Employee labor and materials for analyzing, evaluating, summarizing, and/or reporting on the collected information

Assume 3-year life cycle due to need to obtain collection approval and rate of change of Web technology. There are no physical forms to design, print, or store. No travel costs are associated with the information collection. Collection of information is automated; there are no contractor or employee costs for collecting the information. Cost/hr covers salary, benefits, and office support.

- **1.** Start-up cost to develop screens and reports: 24 hours (3 days) x \$51.44*/hr = \$1,234.56 annualized cost.
- **2.** Cost to analyze collected information: 10 minutes per entry x 100 entries x \$51.44*/hr = \$857.33 annualized cost.

Total annualized cost estimate = \$2,091.89

*Cost to Government based on GS-13/step 8 salary multiplied by 1.30 (to factor in cost to Government) = $$39.57 \times 1.30 = $/hour cost$ to government. Taken from: http://www.opm.gov/oca/07tables/pdf/gs_h.pdf, cost to Government calculated as base salary multiplied by 130 percent

15. Explain the reasons for any program changes or adjustments reported in items 13 or 14 of OMB form 83-I.

This is a new collection

16. For collections of information whose results are planned to be published, outline plans for tabulation and publication.

No plan to publish results

17. If seeking approval to not display the expiration date for OMB approval of the information collection, explain the reasons that display would be inappropriate.

No exemption to requirement to display the expiration date for OMB approval is sought.

18. Explain each exception to the certification statement identified in item 19, "Certification Requirement for Paperwork Reduction Act."

There are no exceptions to the certification statement identified in item 19, "Certification Requirement for Paperwork Reduction Act."

B. Collections of Information Employing Statistical Methods

This collection does not employ statistical methods.