820010991

44 CFR Ch. I (10-1-05 Edition)

§153.8 Appeal.

may not appeal the amount of the the distribution of funds. An applicant plicant is not eligible to participate in mination made by FEMA that the ap-(a) An applicant may appeal a deter-

eligibility. The notice of intention to correct. The notice of intention to appeal, a brief statement explaining why the applicant believes the determinasubmit, along with the notice of apnot be accepted. The applicant must submitted by facsimile or e-mail will 21727. A notice of intention to appeal South Seton Avenue, Emmitsburg, MD Heroes Stamp, appeal must be sent to: Appeals Specialist, Office of Dispute Resolution, FEMA's Appeals Specialist within 15 tice of his/her intent to appeal to ceived by FEMA. peal is deemed filed on the date it is retion regarding the application was inissuance of FEMA's determination of calendar days of the date of the (b) The applicant must submit a no-USFA, NETC, 16825

within 60 calendar days of the date of (c) The applicant must file an appeal

> or e-mail will not be accepted. All suption, Heroes Stamp, USFA, NETC, 16825 porting documentation must be sub-The appeal must be sent to: Appeals Specialist, Office of Dispute Resoluexclusive of supporting documentation. The appeal shall not exceed 15 pages, test FEMA's eligibility determination nation of the applicant's basis to con-An appeal shall mean a written explaby FEMA. deemed filed on the date it is received mitted with the appeal. The appeal is 21727. Appeals submitted by facsimile South Seton Avenue, Emmitsburg, MD the issuance of FEMA's determination

sion on the matter. will constitute the final agency deci-(d) FEMA's decision on the appeal

§153.9 Subrogation.

subrogation or otherwise, against the compensation paid by the Fund. any right of recovery, whether through half of an eligible claimant shall have benefits or compensation to or on be-No person or entity having paid other

PARTS 154-199 [RESERVED]

SUBCHAPTER D-DISASTER ASSISTANCE

PART 200 [RESERVED]

PART 201—MITIGATION PLANNING

Purpose

Definitions

Responsibilities.

201.3 201.4 201.5 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans. Standard State Mitigation Plans. Enhanced State Mitigation Plans.

AUTHORITY: Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act, 42 U.S.C. 5121-5206; Reorganization Plan No. 3 of 1978, 43 FR 41943, 3 CFR, 1978 Comp., p. 329; E.O. 12127, 44 FR 19867, 3 CFR, 1979 Comp., p. 376; E.O. 12148, 44 FR 43239, 3 CFR, 1979 Comp., p. 412; and E.O. 12673, 54 FR 12571, 3 CFR, 1989 Comp., p. 214.

otherwise noted. SOURCE: 67 FR 8848, Feb. 26, 2002, unless

§ 201.1 Purpose.

322 of the Stafford Act, 42 U.S.C. 5165. required by the provisions of section procedures for mitigation planning as vide information on the polices and (a) The purpose of this part is to pro-

ment the plan, taking advantage of a actions and activities to reduce any wide range of resources. lish a coordinated process to impleal governments to identify the natural ning is for State, local, and Indian triblosses from those hazards, and to estabhazards that impact them, to identify (b) The purpose of mitigation plan-

§ 201.2 Definitions.

acting as grantee will assume the responsibilities of a "state", as described State. An Indian tribal government in this part, for the purposes of adminmay act as a subgrantee under the ment may choose to be a grantee, or declaration, an Indian tribal govern-State is the grantee. However, after a grant award document. Generally, the nent of the entity is designated in the entity even if only a particular compovided. The grantee is the entire legal countable for the use of the funds prowhich a grant is awarded, which is acistering the grant. Grantee means the government to

> nate the long-term risk to human life and property from hazards. tained action taken to reduce or elimi-Hazardmitigation means any sus-

5165.5170c and implemented at 44 CFR Part 206, Subpart N, which authorizes fundnatural hazards conducted under section 322 of the Stafford Act 42 U.S.C ing for certain mitigation measures means the program authorized under identified through the evaluation of section 404 of the Stafford Act, 42 U.S.C Hazard MitigationGrant Program

edges to exist as an Indian tribe under the Federally Recognized Tribe in private individuals. tions, the ownership of which is vested not include Alaska Native corpora Act that the Secretary of Interior acknowlnation, pueblo, village, or community an Indian or Alaska Native tribe, band Federally recognized governing body of Indian tribal government means any of 1994, 25 U.S.C. 479a. This does List

any rural community, unincorporated town or village, or other public entity. ka Native village or organization; and authorized tribal organization, or Alasa local government; any Indian tribe or entity, or agency or instrumentality of council of governments is incorporated governments (regardless of whether the authority, school district, special dislaw), regional or interstate government as a nonprofit corporation under State trict, intrastate district, nicipality, city, town, township, public Local government is any county, mucouncil of

Managing State. minister and manage the HMGP as a thority to tribal governments to ad-5170c(c). FEMA may also delegate au-HMGP under the criteria established which FEMA has delegated the authority to administer and manage Managing State means a State to FEMA pursuant to U.S.C.

gional office of FEMA, or his/her designated representative. Regional Director is a director of a re-

State as a rural community, and is not a remote area within the individuals that is identified by means a community of 3,000 or fewer Small and impoverished communities corporate

boundaries of a larger city; is economically disadvantaged, by having an average per capita annual income of residents not exceeding 80 percent of national, per capita income, based on best available data; the local unemployment rate exceeds by one percentage point or more, the most recently reported, average yearly national unemployment rate; and any other factors identified in the State Plan in which the community is located.

The Stafford Act refers to the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act, Public Law 93–288, as amended (42 U.S.C. 5121–5206).

State is any State of the United States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands.

State Hazard Mitigation Officer is the official representative of State government who is the primary point of contact with FEMA, other Federal agencies, and local governments in mitigation planning and implementation of mitigation programs and activities required under the Stafford Act.

Subgrantee means the government or other legal entity to which a subgrant is awarded and which is accountable to the grantee for the use of the funds provided. Subgrantees can be a State agency, local government, private nonprofit organizations, or Indian tribal government. Indian tribal governments acting as a subgrantee are accountable to the State grantee.

§ 201.3 Responsibilities.

- (a) General. This section identifies the key responsibilities of FEMA, States, and local/tribal governments in carrying out section 322 of the Stafford Act, 42 U.S.C. 5165.
- (b) *FEMA*. The key responsibilities of the Regional Director are to:
- (1) Oversee all FEMA related pre- and post-disaster hazard mitigation programs and activities;
- (2) Provide technical assistance and training to State, local, and Indian tribal governments regarding the mitigation planning process;
- (3) Review and approve all Standard and Enhanced State Mitigation Plans;

(4) Review and approve all local mitigation plans, unless that authority has been delegated to the State in accordance with § 201.6(d);

(5) Conduct reviews, at least once every three years, of State mitigation activities, plans, and programs to ensure that mitigation commitments are fulfilled, and when necessary, take action, including recovery of funds or denial of future funds, if mitigation commitments are not fulfilled.

(c) State. The key responsibilities of the State are to coordinate all State and local activities relating to hazard evaluation and mitigation and to:

(1) Prepare and submit to FEMA a Standard State Mitigation Plan following the criteria established in § 201.4 as a condition of receiving Stafford Act assistance (except emergency assistance).

(2) In order to be considered for the 20 percent HMGP funding, prepare and submit an Enhanced State Mitigation Plan in accordance with §201.5, which must be reviewed and updated, if necessary, every three years from the date of the approval of the previous plan.

(3) At a minimum, review and, if necessary, update the Standard State Mitigation Plan by November 1, 2004 and every three years from the date of the approval of the previous plan in order to continue program eligibility.

(4) Make available the use of up to the 7 percent of HMGP funding for planning in accordance with \$206,434.

planning in accordance with §206.434. (5) Provide technical assistance and training to local governments to assist them in applying for HMGP planning grants, and in developing local mitigation plans.

(6) For Managing States that have been approved under the criteria established by FEMA pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 5170c(c), review and approve local mitigation plans in accordance with § 201.6(d).

(7) If necessary, submit a request from the Governor to the Director of FEMA, requesting an extension to the plan deadline in accordance with § 201.4(a)(2).

(d) Local governments. The key responsibilities of local governments are

(1) Prepare and adopt a jurisdictionwide natural hazard mitigation plan as

Federal Emergency Management Agency, DHS

a condition of receiving project grant funds under the HMGP, in accordance with §201.6.

(2) At a minimum, review and, if necessary, update the local mitigation plan every five years from date of plan approval to continue program eligibility.

(e) Indian tribal governments. Indian tribal governments will be given the option of applying directly to us for Hazard Mitigation Grant Program funding, or they may choose to apply through the State. If they apply directly to us, they will assume the responsibilities of the State, or grantee, and if they apply through the state, they will assume the responsibilities of the local government, or subgrantee.

[67 FR 8848, Feb. 26, 2002, as amended at 67 FR 61515, Oct. 1, 2002; 69 FR 55096, Sept. 13, 2004]

§ 201.4 Standard State Mitigation Plans.

Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act, 42 U.S.C. 5133, will also continue to be available. The mitigation amendments, or revisions listed under with the requirement for updates their mitigation plan, but must comply the HMGP Administrative Plan choose to include the requirements of fects of natural hazards. States may commit resources to reducing the efguide for State decision makers as they from natural hazards and serves as a State's commitment to reduce risks plan is Section 203 of the Robert T. Stafford tion (PDM) program, authorized under fected. Mitigation planning grants provided through the Pre-Disaster Mitiga-5180, 5182, 5183, 5184, 5192 will not be afemergency assistance provided under 42 U.S.C. 5170a, 5170b, 5173, 5174, 5177, 5179, Plans will be accepted. In any case made available. Until that date, existto November 1, 2004 will continue to be thorized under disasters declared prior order to receive assistance under the Standard State Mitigation Plan meeting, FEMA approved State Mitigation Stafford ing the requirements of this section in 2004, States must have an approved (a) Plan requirement. (1) By November the demonstration of the Act, although assistance au-

(2) A Governor, or Indian tribal leader, may request an extension to the plan approval deadline by submitting a request in writing to the Director of FEMA, through the Regional Director. At a minimum, this must be signed by the Governor or the Indian tribal leader, and must include justification for the extension, identification of the reasons the plan has not been completed, identification of the amount of additional time required to complete the plan, and a strategy for finalizing the plan. The Director of FEMA will review each request and may grant a plan approval extension of up to six months. However, any extended plan approval deadline will be no later than May 1,

(b) Planning process. An effective planning process is essential in developing and maintaining a good plan. The mitigation planning process should include coordination with other State agencies, appropriate Federal agencies, interested groups, and be integrated to the extent possible with other ongoing State planning efforts as well as other FEMA mitigation programs and initiatives.

(c) Plan content. To be effective the plan must include the following elements:

(1) Description of the planning process used to develop the plan, including how it was prepared, who was involved in the process, and how other agencies participated.

and vulnerability assessments. gation measures under the strategy, overview. This overview will allow the ards and risks to provide a statewide lowing: risk assessment shall include the foland to prioritize jurisdictions for retheir priorities for implementing mitithroughout the State and to determine State to compare potential losses characterize and analyze natural hazplan. Statewide risk assessments must factual basis for activities proposed in developing more detailed local risk ceiving technical and financial support the strategy portion of the mitigation (2) Risk assessments that provide the

(i) An overview of the type and location of all natural hazards that can affect the State, including information on previous occurrences of hazard

federal Emergency Management Agency, DHS

- (ii) An overview and analysis of the State's vulnerability to the hazards described in this paragraph (c)(2), based on estimates provided in local risk assessments as well as the State risk assessment. The State shall describe vulnerability in terms of the jurisdictions most threatened by the identified hazards, and most vulnerable to damage and loss associated with hazard events. State owned critical or operated facilities located in the identified hazard areas shall also be addressed;
- (iii) An overview and analysis of potential losses to the identified vulnerable structures, based on estimates provided in local risk assessments as well as the State risk assessment. The State shall estimate the potential dollar losses to State owned or operated buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities located in the identified hazard areas.
- (3) A Mitigation Strategy that provides the State's blueprint for reducing the losses identified in the risk assessment. This section shall include:

guide the selection of activities to

(i) A description of State goals to

mitigate and reduce potential losses.

- (ii) A discussion of the State's preand post-disaster hazard management policies, programs, and capabilities to mitigate the hazards in the area, including: an evaluation of State laws, regulations, policies, and programs related to hazard mitigation as well as to development in hazard-prone areas; a discussion of State funding capabilities for hazard mitigation projects; and a general description and analysis of the effectiveness of local mitigation policies, programs, and capabilities.
- (iii) An identification, evaluation, and prioritization of cost-effective, environmentally sound, and technically feasible mitigation actions and activities the State is considering and an explanation of how each activity contributes to the overall mitigation strategy. This section should be linked to local plans, where specific local actions and projects are identified.
- (iv) Identification of current and potential sources of Federal, State, local,

or private funding to implement mitigation activities.

- (4) A section on the Coordination of Local Mitigation Planning that includes the following:
- (i) A description of the State process to support, through funding and technical assistance, the development of local mitigation plans.
- (ii) A description of the State process and timeframe by which the local plans will be reviewed, coordinated, and linked to the State Mitigation Plan.
- (iii) Criteria for prioritizing communities and local jurisdictions that would receive planning and project grants under available funding programs, which should include consideration for communities with the highest risks, repetitive loss properties, and most intense development pressures. Further, that for non-planning grants, a principal criterion for prioritizing grants shall be the extent to which benefits are maximized according to a cost benefit review of proposed projects and their associated costs.
- (5) A Plan Maintenance Process that includes:
- (i) An established method and schedule for monitoring, evaluating, and updating the plan.
- (ii) A system for monitoring implementation of mitigation measures and project closeouts.
- (iii) A system for reviewing progress on achieving goals as well as activities and projects identified in the Mitigation Strategy.
- (6) A *Plan Adoption Process*. The plan must be formally adopted by the State prior to submittal to us for final review and approval.
- (7) Assurances. The plan must include assurances that the State will comply with all applicable Federal statutes and regulations in effect with respect to the periods for which it receives grant funding, in compliance with 44 CFR 13.11(c). The State will amend its plan whenever necessary to reflect changes in State or Federal laws and statutes as required in 44 CFR 13.11(d).
- (d) Review and updates. Plan must be reviewed and revised to reflect changes in development, progress in statewide mitigation efforts, and changes in priorities and resubmitted for approval to the appropriate Regional Director

every three years. The Regional review will be completed within 45 days after receipt from the State, whenever possible. We also encourage a State to review its plan in the post-disaster time-frame to reflect changing priorities, but it is not required.

[67 FR 8848, Feb. 26, 2002, as amended at 67 FR 61515, Oct. 1, 2002; 69 FR 55096, Sept. 13, 2004]

§201.5 Enhanced State Mitigation Plans.

- (a) A State with a FEMA approved Enhanced State Mitigation Plan at the time of a disaster declaration is eligible to receive increased funds under the HMGP, based on twenty percent of the total estimated eligible Stafford Act disaster assistance. The Enhanced State Mitigation Plan must demonstrate that a State has developed a comprehensive mitigation program, that the State effectively uses available mitigation funding, and that it is capable of managing the increased funding. In order for the State to be eligible for the 20 percent HMGP funding, FEMA must have approved the plan within three years prior to the disaster
- (b) Enhanced State Mitigation Plans must include all elements of the Standard State Mitigation Plan identified in §201.4, as well as document the following:
- (1) Demonstration that the plan is integrated to the extent practicable with other State and/or regional planning initiatives (comprehensive, growth management, economic development, capital improvement, land development, and/or emergency management plans) and FEMA mitigation programs and initiatives that provide guidance to State and regional agencies.
- (2) Documentation of the State's project implementation capability, identifying and demonstrating the ability to implement the plan, including:
- (i) Established eligibility criteria for multi-hazard mitigation measures.
- (ii) A system to determine the cost effectiveness of mitigation measures, consistent with OMB Circular A-94, Guidelines and Discount Rates for Benefit-Cost Analysis of Federal Programs,

eview and to rank the measures according to after the State's eligibility criteria.

r pos(iii) Demonstration that the State

has the capability to effectively manage the HMGP as well as other mitigation grant programs, including a record of the following:

(A) Meeting HMGP and other mitigations.

(A) Meeting HMGP and other mitigation grant application timeframes and submitting complete, technically feasible, and eligible project applications with appropriate supporting documentation;

(B) Preparing and submitting accurate environmental reviews and benefit-cost analyses;

(C) Submitting complete and accurate quarterly progress and financial reports on time; and (D) Completing HMGP and other

mitigation grant projects within established performance periods, including financial reconciliation.

(iv) A system and strategy by which the State will conduct the system.

the State will conduct an assessment of the completed mitigation actions and include a record of the effectiveness (actual cost avoidance) of each mitigation action.

(3) Demonstration that the State effectively uses existing mitigation programs to achieve its mitigation goals.

(4) Demonstration that the State is

(4) Demonstration that the State is committed to a comprehensive state mitigation program, which might include any of the following:

(i) A commitment to the content of the content of the commitment of the commitment of the content of the commitment of the commitm

- (i) A commitment to support local mitigation planning by providing workshops and training, State planning grants, or coordinated capability development of local officials, including Emergency Management and Floodplain Management certifications.
- (ii) A statewide program of hazard mitigation through the development of legislative initiatives, mitigation councils, formation of public/private partnerships, and/or other executive actions that promote hazard mitigation.
- (iii) The State provides a portion of the non-Federal match for HMGP and/ or other mitigation projects.
- (iv) To the extent allowed by State law, the State requires or encourages local governments to use a current version of a nationally applicable model building code or standard that addresses natural hazards as a basis for

- (v) A comprehensive, multi-year plan to mitigate the risks posed to existing buildings that have been identified as necessary for post-disaster response and recovery operations.
- (vi) A comprehensive description of how the State integrates mitigation into its post-disaster recovery operations.
- (c) Review and updates. (1) A State must review and revise its plan to reflect changes in development, progress in statewide mitigation efforts, and changes in priorities, and resubmit it for approval to the appropriate Regional Director every three years. The Regional review will be completed within 45 days after receipt from the State, whenever possible.
- (2) In order for a State to be eligible for the 20 percent HMGP funding, the Enhanced State Mitigation plan must be approved by FEMA within the three years prior to the current major disaster declaration.

§ 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans.

The local mitigation plan is the representation of the jurisdiction's commitment to reduce risks from natural hazards, serving as a guide for decision makers as they commit resources to reducing the effects of natural hazards. Local plans will also serve as the basis for the State to provide technical assistance and to prioritize project funding.

- (a) Plan requirements.
- (1) For disasters declared on or after November 1, 2004, a local government must have a mitigation plan approved pursuant to this section in order to receive HMGP project grants.
- (2) Local governments must have a mitigation plan approved pursuant to this section in order to receive a project grant through the Pre-Disaster Mitigation (PDM) program under any Notice of funding opportunity issued after November 1, 2003. The PDM program is authorized under \$203 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act, 42 U.S.C. 5133. PDM planning grants will continue to be made available to local governments after this time to enable

them to meet the requirements of this section.

- (3) Regional Directors may grant an exception to the plan requirement in extraordinary circumstances, such as in a small and impoverished community, when justification is provided. In these cases, a plan will be completed within 12 months of the award of the project grant. If a plan is not provided within this timeframe, the project grant will be terminated, and any costs incurred after notice of grant's termination will not be reimbursed by FEMA.
- (4) Multi-jurisdictional plans (e.g. watershed plans) may be accepted, as appropriate, as long as each jurisdiction has participated in the process and has officially adopted the plan. State-wide plans will not be accepted as multi-jurisdictional plans.
- (b) Planning process. An open public involvement process is essential to the development of an effective plan. In order to develop a more comprehensive approach to reducing the effects of natural disasters, the planning process shall include:
- An opportunity for the public to comment on the plan during the drafting stage and prior to plan approval;
- (2) An opportunity for neighboring communities, local and regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, and agencies that have the authority to regulate development, as well as businesses, academia and other private and non-profit interests to be involved in the planning process; and
- (3) Review and incorporation, if appropriate, of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information.
- (c) Plan content. The plan shall include the following:
- (1) Documentation of the planning process used to develop the plan, including how it was prepared, who was involved in the process, and how the public was involved.
- (2) A risk assessment that provides the factual basis for activities proposed in the strategy to reduce losses from identified hazards. Local risk assessments must provide sufficient information to enable the jurisdiction to identify and prioritize appropriate mitigation actions to reduce losses from identified

hazards. The risk assessment shall include:

Federal Emergency Management Agency, DHS

- (i) A description of the type, location, and extent of all natural hazards that can affect the jurisdiction. The plan shall include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events.
- (ii) A description of the jurisdiction's vulnerability to the hazards described in paragraph (c)(2)(i) of this section. This description shall include an overall summary of each hazard and its impact on the community. The plan should describe vulnerability in terms of:
- (A) The types and numbers of existing and future buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities located in the identified hazard areas;
 (B) An estimate of the potential dol-
- (a) An estimate of the potential dollar losses to vulnerable structures identified in paragraph (c)(2)(i)(A) of this section and a description of the methodology used to prepare the estimate;
- (C) Providing a general description of land uses and development trends within the community so that mitigation options can be considered in future land use decisions.
- (iii) For multi-jurisdictional plans, the risk assessment section must assess each jurisdiction's risks where they vary from the risks facing the entire planning area.
- (3) A mitigation strategy that provides the jurisdiction's blueprint for reducing the potential losses identified in the risk assessment, based on existing authorities, policies, programs and resources, and its ability to expand on and improve these existing tools. This section shall include:
- (i) A description of mitigation goals to reduce or avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards.
- (ii) A section that identifies and analyzes a comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions and projects being considered to reduce the effects of each hazard, with particular emphasis on new and existing buildings and infrastructure.
- (iii) An action plan describing how the actions identified in paragraph (c)(2)(ii) of this section will be

prioritized, implemented, and administered by the local jurisdiction. Prioritization shall include a special emphasis on the extent to which benefits are maximized according to a cost benefit review of the proposed projects and their associated costs.

- (iv) For multi-jurisdictional plans, there must be identifiable action items specific to the jurisdiction requesting FEMA approval or credit of the plan.

 (4) A plan maintenance process that in
- (4) A plan maintenance process that includes:
- (i) A section describing the method and schedule of monitoring, evaluating, and updating the mitigation plan within a five-year cycle.
 (ii) A process by which local govern-
- ments incorporate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms such as comprehensive or capital improvement plans, when appropriate.
- (iii) Discussion on how the community will continue public participation in the plan maintenance process.

 (5) Documentation that the plan had
- (5) Documentation that the plan has been formally adopted by the governing body of the jurisdiction requesting approval of the plan (e.g., City Council, County Commissioner, Tribal Council). For multi-jurisdictional plans, each jurisdiction requesting approval of the plan must document that it has been formally adopted.
- (d) Plan review. (1) Plans must be submitted to the State Hazard Mitigation Officer for initial review and coordination. The State will then send the plan to the appropriate FEMA Regional Office for formal review and approval.

 (2) The Regional review will be com-
- (2) The Regional review will be completed within 45 days after receipt from the State, whenever possible.
- (3) Plans must be reviewed, revised if appropriate, and resubmitted for approval within five years in order to continue to be eligible for HMGP project grant funding.
- (4) Managing States that have been approved under the criteria established by FEMA pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 5170c(c) will be delegated approval authority for local mitigation plans, and the review will be based on the criteria in this part. Managing States will review the plans within 45 days of receipt of

the Regional Office. the plans, whenever possible, and provide a copy of the approved plans to

[67 FR 8848, Feb. 26, 2002, as amended at 67 FR 61515, Oct. 1, 2002; 68 FR 61370, Oct. 28, 2003; 69 FR 55096, Sept. 13, 2004]

PARTS 202-203 [RESERVED]

PART 204—FIRE MANAGEMENT ASSISTANCE GRANT PROGRAM

Subpart A—General

Sec. 204.1 204.2 Scope. Purpose

204.3 Definitions used 204.4-204.20 [Reserved] Definitions used throughout this part

Subpart B—Declaration Process

tion criteria. 204.22 Submittii 204.21 Fire management assistance declara-Submitting a request for a fire man-

agement assistance declaration. 204.23 Processing a request for a Processing a request for a fire man-

agement assistance declaration.

204.24 Determination on request for a fire 204.25 FEMA-State agreement for fire manmanagement assistance declaration.

204.27-204.40 [Reserved] agement assistance grant program. 204.26 Appeal of fire management assi declaration denial Appeal of fire management assistance

Subpart C—Eligibility

204.41 Applicant eligibili 204.42 Eligible costs. 204.43 Ineligible costs. 204.44-204.50 [Reserved] Applicant eligibility

Subpart D—Application Procedures

204.52 Application and approval procedures 204.51 Application and approval procedures ior a fire management assistance grant. for a subgrant under a fire management

204.53 Certifying costs and payments 204.54 Appeals 204.55-204.60 [Reserved] assistance grant.

Subpart E—Grant Administration

204.61 204.62 ance. Duplication and recovery of assist-Cost share.

204.63204.64 Reporting and audit requirements. Allowable costs.

Relief and Emergency Assistance Act, 42 U.S.C.:5121-5206; Reorganization Plan No. 3 of AUTHORITY: Robert T. Stafford Disaster

1978; 43 FR 41943, 3 CFR, 1978 Comp., p. 329;

E.O. 12127, 44 FR 19367, 3 CFR, 1979 Comp., p. 376; E.O. 12148, 44 FR 43239, 3 CFR, 1979 CFR, 1989 Comp., p. 214. Comp., p. 412; and E.O. 12673, 54 FR 12571, 3 12148, 44 FR 43239, 3 CFR,

otherwise noted. Source: 66 FR 57347, Nov. 14, 2001, unless

Subpart A—Genera

(FEMA) will actively work with State bility and the eligibility of costs to This part also details applicant eligisions of section 420 of the Stafford Act. by this part. foresters on the efficient delivery of and Tribal emergency managers and considered under the program. Program in accordance with the provi-Fire Management Assistance Grant grants management processes for the fire management assistance as directed the procedures for the declaration This part provides information on

§ 204.2 Scope.

Grant Program, as well as those applylarations and administering grants viduals responsible for requesting decing for assistance under the program. under the Fire Management Assistance This part is intended for those indi-

§ 204.3 Definitions used throughout this part.

grant. grant, or a State, local, or Indian tribal government submitting an application to us for a fire management assistance approved fire management assistance to the Grantee for a subgrant under an government submitting an application Applicant. A State or Indian tribal

rector or Assistant Director, as appliher designated representative. cable, of the Readiness, Response and Recovery Directorate of FEMA, or his/ Associate Director. The Associate Di-

criteria listed in § 204.21. declaration and in accordance with quest for a fire management assistance approved in response to a State's reaster, which the Associate Director has tion as would constitute a major disfire complex, threatening such destruc-Declared fire. An uncontrolled fire or

cedures for deactivating, bling, and transporting back to their Demobilization. The process and prodisassem-

> port a declared fire. point of origin all resources that had been provided to respond to and sup-FEMA Form 90-91. See Project Work-

Federal Emergency Management Agency, DHS

Fire complex. Two or more individual

Commander. which are assigned to a single Incident fires located in the same general area,

ance declaration. request for a fire management assistmanagement assistance, including the State, all necessary documents for fire Governor to execute, on behalf of the (GAR). The person empowered by the Governor's Authorized Representative

plication and that FEMA approves recosts for which a State submits an apee. The grant award will be based on ments, by FEMA to an eligible Grantance, including the projected amount of total eligible ated to a declared fire. Grant. An award of financial assistcooperative agree-

istering the grant. sponsibilities of a "state", as described acting as Grantee will assume the reee, or it may act as a subgrantee under government may choose to be a Grantafter a declaration, an Indian tribal Program, is the Grantee. However the FEMA-State Agreement for the ignated in the grant award document. Generally, the State, as designated in ticular component of the entity is destire legal entity even if only a parwhich is accountable for the use of the funds provided. The Grantee is the enment to which a grant is awarded in this Part, for the purpose of adminthe State. An Indian tribal government Fire Management Assistance Grant Grantee. The Grantee is the govern-

Plan, described in 44 CFR part 201. produce long-term benefits. We address risk to people and property from all hazards. The intent of hazard mitigagovernment will take to reduce the velop actions the State, local, or tribal State's comprehensive mitigation of fire hazards as part of the identify wildfire hazards and cost-effecment Assistance Grant Program is to tion planning under the Fire Manage-Hazard mitigation plan. A plan to demitigation alternatives Mitigation that

management of fire operations, plancial responsible Incident commander. The ranking offifor overseeing the

> response. ning, logistics, and finances of the field

igible. resentative and the Principal Advisor during which the declared fire occurs the incident period to be considered elerally, costs must be incurred during will establish the incident period. Genwith the Governor's Authorized Rep-The Regional Director, in consultation Incident period. The time interval

ownership of which is vested in private clude Alaska Native corporations, the erally Recognized Tribe List Act of exist as an Indian tribe under the Fedognized governing body of an Indian or 1994, 25 U.S.C. 479a. This does not in-Secretary of Interior acknowledges pueblo, village, or community that the Alaska tribal government is any Federally rec-Indian tribal government. An Indian Native tribe, band, nation, to

ganizations. For further information, eral Government or through State or may be provided directly by the Fedsee subparts D, E, and F of part 206. local governments or disaster relief oraster or an emergency. Such assistance lies adversely affected by a major dis-Stafford Act to individuals and fami-Federal assistance provided under the Individual assistance. Supplementary

corporated town or village, or other government; any Indian tribal governgovernments is incorporated as a nonschool district, special district, intralitical subdivision of a State. tion; and any rural community, uninor Alaska Native village or organizament or authorized tribal organization or agency or instrumentality of a local gional or interstate government entity profit corporation under State law), re-(regardless of whether the council of state district, council of governments town, is any county, municipality, city for assistance is made by a State or po public entity, for which an applicatior Local government. A local government township, public authority,

during the incident period of a declared Those activities undertaken, generally fects and to manage and control the fire, to minimize immediate adverse ef Mitigation, management, and control

subgrantee as a condition of receiving a project subgrant under the HMGP as outlined in 44 CFR 201.6.

Standard State Mitigation Plan is the

hazard mitigation plan approved under 44 CFR part 201, as a condition of receiving Stafford Act assistance as outlined in § 201.4.

State Administrative Plan for the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program means the plan developed by the State to describe the procedures for administration of the HMGP.

Subgrant means an award of financial assistance under a grant by a grantee to an eligible subgrantee.

Subgrant application means the request to the grantee for HMGP funding by the eligible subgrantee, as outlined in §206.436.

Subgrantee means the government or other legal entity to which a subgrant is awarded and which is accountable to the grantee for the use of the funds provided. Subgrantees can be a State agency, local government, private nonprofit organizations, or Indian tribal government as outlined in \$206.433. Indian tribal governments acting as a subgrantee are accountable to the State grantee.

[67 FR 8852, Feb. 26, 2002]

\$206.432 Federal grant assistance.

- (a) General. This section describes the extent of Federal funding available under the State's grant, as well as limitations and special procedures applicable to each.
- (b) Amounts of assistance. The total of Federal assistance under this subpart shall not exceed either 7½ or 20 percent of the total estimated Federal assistance (excluding administrative costs) provided for a major disaster under 42 U.S.C. 5170b, 5172, 5173, 5174, 5177, 5178, 5183, and 5201 as follows:
- (1) Seven and one-half (71/2) percent. Effective November 1, 2004, a State with an approved Standard State Mitigation Plan, which meets the requirements outlined in 44 CFR 201.4, shall be eligible for assistance under the HMGP not to exceed 71/2 percent of the total estimated Federal assistance described in this paragraph. Until that date, existing FEMA approved State Mitigation Plans will be accepted. States may re-

up to six months to the Director of FEMA by providing written justification in accordance with 44 CFR 201.4(a)(2).

(2) Twenty (20) percent. A State with an approved Enhanced State Mitigation Plan, in effect prior to the disaster declaration, which meets the requirements outlined in 44 CFR 201.5 shall be eligible for assistance under the HMGP not to exceed 20 percent of the total estimated Federal assistance described in this paragraph.

(3) The estimates of Federal assistance under this paragraph (b) shall be based on the Regional Director's estimate of all eligible costs, actual grants, and appropriate mission assignments.

(c) Cost sharing. All mitigation measures approved under the State's grant will be subject to the cost sharing provisions established in the FEMA-State Agreement. FEMA may contribute up to 75 percent of the cost of measures approved for funding under the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program for major disasters declared on or after June 10, 1993. FEMA may contribute up to 50 percent of the cost of measures approved for funding under the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program for major disasters declared before June 10, 1993. The nonFederal share may exceed the Federal share. FEMA will not contribute to costs above the Federally approved estimate.

[55 FR 35537, Aug. 30, 1990, as amended at 59 FR 24356, May 11, 1994; 67 FR 8853, Feb 26, 2002; 67 FR 61515, Oct. 1, 2002; 69 FR 55097, Sept. 13, 2004]

§ 206.433 State responsibilities.

- (a) Grantee. The State will be the Grantee to which funds are awarded and will be accountable for the use of those funds. There may be subgrantees within the State government.
- (b) Priorities. The State will determine priorities for funding. This determination must be made in conformance with § 206.435.
- (c) Hazard Mitigation Officer. The State must appoint a Hazard Mitigation Officer, as required under 44 CFR part 206 subpart M, who serves as the responsible individual for all matters

related to the Hazalu Mitcheauth Grant Program.

(d) Administrative plan. The State must have an annroved administrative

must have an approved administrative plan for the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program in conformance with § 206.437.

§ 206.434 Eligibility.

- (a) Applicants. The following are eligible to apply for the Hazard Mitigation Program Grant:
- (1) State and local governments;
- (2) Private non-profit organizations or institutions that own or operate a private non-profit facility as defined in § 206.221(e);
- (3) Indian tribes or authorized tribal organizations and Alaska Native villages or organizations, but not Alaska native corporations with ownership vested in private individuals.
- (b) Plan requirement.
- (1) For all disasters declared on or after November 1, 2004, local and Indian tribal government applicants for project subgrants must have an approved local mitigation plan in accordance with 44 CFR 201.6 prior to receipt of HMGP subgrant funding for projects. Until November 1, 2004, local mitigation plans may be developed concurrent with the implementation of subgrants.
- (2) Regional Directors may grant an exception to this requirement in extraordinary circumstances, such as in a small and impoverished community when justification is provided. In these cases, a plan will be completed within 12 months of the award of the project grant. If a plan is not provided within this timeframe, the project grant will be terminated, and any costs incurred after notice of grant's termination will not be reimbursed by FEMA.
- (c) Minimum project criteria. To be eligible for the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program, a project must:
- (1) Be in conformance with the State Mitigation Plan and Local Mitigation Plan approved under 44 CFR part 201;
- (2) Have a beneficial impact upon the designated disaster area, whether or not located in the designated area;
- (3) Be in conformance with 44 CFR part 9, Floodplain Management and Protection of Wetlands, and 44 CFR part 10, Environmental Considerations;

constitute a functional portion of a solution where there is assurance that the project as a whole will be completed. Projects that merely identify or analyze hazards or problems are not eligible;

(#) Antrantageniti titiatanta a antoa (#)

- (5) Be cost-effective and substantially reduce the risk of future damage, hardship, loss, or suffering resulting from a major disaster. The grantee must demonstrate this by documenting that the project;
- (i) Addresses a problem that has been repetitive, or a problem that poses a significant risk to public health and safety if left unsolved,
- (ii) Will not cost more than the anticipated value of the reduction in both direct damages and subsequent negative impacts to the area if future disasters were to occur. Both costs and benefits will be computed on a net present value basis,
- (iii) Has been determined to be the most practical, effective, and environmentally sound alternative after consideration of a range of options,
- (iv) Contributes, to the extent practicable, to a long-term solution to the problem it is intended to address,
- (v) Considers long-term changes to the areas and entities it protects, and has manageable future maintenance and modification requirements.
- (d) Eligible activities—(1) Planning. Up to 7% of the State's HMGP grant may be used to develop State, tribal and/or local mitigation plans to meet the planning criteria outlined in 44 CFR part 201.
- (2) Types of projects. Projects may be 1 of any nature that will result in prod tection to public or private property.

 Eligible projects include, but are not limited to:
- (i) Structural hazard control or protection projects;
- (ii) Construction activities that will e result in protection from hazards;
- (iii) Retrofitting of facilities;(iv) Property acquisition or reloca-
- (iv) Froperty acquisition or relocation, as defined in paragraph (e) of this section;
 (v) Development of State or local
- (v) Development of State or local mitigation standards;
- (vi) Development of comprehensive mitigation programs with implementation as an essential component;

(vii) Development or improvement of warning systems.

(e) Property acquisition and relocation requirements. A project involving property acquisition or the relocation of structures and individuals is eligible for assistance only if the applicant enters an agreement with the FEMA Regional Director that provides assurances that:

(1) The following restrictive coverants shall be conveyed in the deed to any property acquired, accepted, or from which structures are removed (hereafter called in section (d) the property):

(i) The property shall be dedicated and maintained in perpetuity for uses compatible with open space, recreational, or wetlands management practices; and

(ii) No new structure(s) will be built on the property except as indicated below:

(A) A public facility that is open on all sides and functionally related to a designated open space or recreational use;

(B) A rest room; or

(C) A structure that is compatible with open space, recreational, or wetlands management usage and proper floodplain management policies and practices, which the Director approves in writing before the construction of the structure begins.

(iii) After completion of the project, no application for additional disaster assistance will be made for any purpose with respect to the property to any Federal entity or source, and no Federal entity or source will provide such assistance.

(2) In general, allowable open space, recreational, and wetland management uses include parks for outdoor recreational activities, nature reserves, cultivation, grazing, camping (except where adequate warning time is not available to allow evacuation), temporary storage in the open of wheeled vehicles which are easily movable (except mobile homes), unimproved, previous parking lots, and buffer zones.

(3) Any structures built on the property according to paragraph (d)(1) of this section, shall be floodproofed or elevated to the Base Flood Elevation plus one foot of freeboard.

(f) Inapplicability of the Uniform Relocation Act. The Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 does not apply to real property acquisition projects which meet the criteria identified below:

(1) The project provides for the purchase of property damaged by the major, widespread flooding in the States of Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wisconsin during 1993;

(2) It provides for such purchase solely as a result of such flooding:

ly as a result of such flooding:
(3) It is carried out by or through a
State or unit of general local govern-

(4) The purchasing agency (grantee or subgrantee) notifies all potential property owners in writing that it will not use its power of eminent domain to acquire the properties if a voluntary agreement is not reached;

(5) The project is being assisted with amounts made available for:

(i) Disaster relief by the Federal Emergency Management Agency; or

(ii) By other Federal financial assistance programs.

(g) Duplication of programs. Section 404 funds cannot be used as a substitute or replacement to fund projects or programs that are available under other Federal authorities, except under limited circumstances in which there are extraordinary threats to lives, public health or safety or improved property.

(h) Packaging of programs. Section 404 funds may be packaged or used in combination with other Federal, State, local, or private funding sources when appropriate to develop a comprehensive mitigation solution, though section 404 funds cannot be used as a match for other Federal funds.

[55 FR 35537, Aug. 30, 1990, as amended at 59 FR 24356, May 11, 1994; 67 FR 8853, Feb. 26, 2002; 67 FR 61515, Oct. 1, 2002; 69 FR 55097, Sept. 13, 2004]

§ 206.435 Project identification and selection criteria.

(a) Identification. It is the State's responsibility to identify and select eligible hazard mitigation projects. All funded projects must be consistent with the State Mitigation Plan. Hazard

Mitigation projects shall be identified and prioritized through the State, Indian tribal, and local planning process.

Federal Emergency Management Agency, DHS

(b) Selection. The State will establish procedures and priorities for the selection of mitigation measures. At a minimum, the criteria must be consistent with the criteria stated in §206.434(c) and include:

(1) Measures that best fit within an overall plan for development and/or hazard mitigation in the community, disaster area, or State;

(2) Measures that, if not taken, will have a severe detrimental impact on the applicant, such as potential loss of life. loss of essential services, damage to critical facilities, or economic hardship on the community;

(3) Measures that have the greatest potential impact on reducing future disaster losses;

(c) Other considerations. In addition to the selection criteria noted above, consideration should be given to measures that are designed to accomplish multiple objectives including damage reduction, environmental enhancement, and economic recovery, when appropriate.

[55 FR 35537, Aug. 30, 1990, as amended at 66 FR 8853, Feb. 26, 2002; 68 FR 63738, Nov. 10, 2003]

§ 206.436 Application procedures.

(a) General. This section describes the procedures to be used by the grantee in submitting an application for HMGP funding. Under the HMGP, the State or Indian tribal government is the grantee and is responsible for processing subgrants to applicants in accordance with 44 CFR part 13 and this part 206. Subgrantees are accountable to the grantee.

(b) Governor's Authorized Representative. The Governor's Authorized Representative serves as the grant administrator for all funds provided under the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program. The Governor's Authorized Representative's responsibilities as they pertain to procedures outlined in this section include providing technical advice and assistance to eligible subgrantees, and ensuring that all potential applicants are aware of assistance available and submission of those documents necessary for grant award.

contain any pertinent project management. The narrative statement will cation for Federal Assistance, SF 424D clude a Standard Form (SF) 424, Applimitigation measures for which funding Mitigation. The narrative statement State's administrative plan for Hazard ment information not included in the if appropriate, and an narrative state-Assurances for Construction Programs application will identify one or more Mitigation Grant Program application resentative) will submit its Hazard the State (Governor's Authorized Repeach mitigation measure shall include is requested. Information required for will also serve to identify the specific is requested. The application must inmitigation measures for which funding to the FEMA Regional Director. The the following: identification of mitigation measures (c) Hazard mitigation application. Upor

(1) Name of the subgrantee, if any;

(2) State or local contact for the measure;

(3) Location of the project;(4) Description of the measure;

(5) Cost estimate for the measure:

(6) Analysis of the measure's cost-effectiveness and substantial risk reduction, consistent with §206.434(c);

(7) Work schedule;

(8) Justification for selection;

(9) Alternatives considered;

(10) Environmental information consistent with 44 CFR part 9, Floodplain Management and Protection of Wetlands, and 44 CFR part 10, Environmental Considerations.

(d) Application submission time limit. The State's application may be amended as the State identifies and selects local project applications to be funded. The State must submit all local HMGP applications and funding requests for the purpose of identifying new projects to the Regional Director within 12 months of the date of disaster declaration.

(e) Extensions. The State may request the Regional Director to extend the application time limit by 30 to 90 day increments, not to exceed a total of 180 days. The grantee must include a justification in its request.

(f) FEMA approval. The application and supplement(s) will be submitted to