Problem Based Economics Summer Institute Evaluation

Instructions

Thank you, in advance, for responding to the following questions. This instrument is being administered as part of a long-term research project by WestEd to understand strategies for improving high school economics. WestEd, a research, development, and service agency, works with education and other communities to promote excellence, achieve equity, and improve learning for children, youth, and adults.

This survey is designed to evaluate the overall quality of the Summer Institute. It will take you no more than 10 minutes to complete it. All your answers will remain confidential and will be used only for statistical purposes.

1. Please generate **a unique identification number** by following the example, and filling in the blank boxes below:

Month of birth date (November = 11) + Last 4 digits of home phone (5432) + First letter of last name (S)

Example:	1	1	+	5	4	3	2	+	S
YOUR ID:									

2. Your email address:

2	For Part I places and each question and indicate the point on	the coale t		onwoodnt	rroum noci	ltion
3. For Part I, please read each question and indicate the point on the scale that best represent your po						
	Select only one using " $$ " for each statement.					
	Example:	Not At A	ΔII		Vors	y Much
		NOU AU	XII		v er y	/ IVIUCI
	I enjoy attending the Summer Institute					

4. For Part II open-ended questions, please write your comments/suggestions on the spaces provided.

Problem Based Economics Summer Institute Evaluation

PART I. Please rate the quality of the workshop in terms of the following aspects:

1. The content of the Institute:	Strongly Disagree				Strongly Agree	
a. was relevant and practical						
b . met its stated objectives						
c. improved my understanding of economics						
d . provided me with useful new ways to teach economics						
Comments:						
2. The agenda for the Institute:	Strongly Disagree				Strongly Agree	
a. contained clearly stated goals						
b . was designed to meet its goals						
c. was followed but adjusted if necessary						
d . was appropriately paced						
Comments:						
3. The presenters of the Institute:	Strongly Disagree				Strongly Agree	
a. had sufficient knowledge of economics content						
b . had sufficient knowledge of classroom instructional methodology						
c. were engaging and helpful						
d . used effective presentation skills						
Comments:						

4. The facilities and amenities of the Institute:	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree
a. were comfortable and conducive to working			
b. included adequate supplies of materials and tools			
Comments:			
5. Overall, the institute:	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree
a. was valuable to me			
b . was well organized			
c. was the right length of time			
d . included high-quality materials			
e. prepared me well enough to implement the units in my classroom		0	
f . was a good start, but I will need further training and/or coaching			
Comments:			

Part II. Please tell us more about the workshop and how it could be improved. 1. Describe any topics or issues that should be included in future workshops and/or coaching. 2. What was the most important or relevant thing you learned during the workshop? 3. What was the least valuable part of the workshop? 4. Any additional comments, questions or suggestions: Thank you for filling out this evaluation form!