U.S. Department of the Interior Office of Policy Analysis

Guidelines for Accessing the Department of the Interior's Generic Clearance for Customer Satisfaction Surveys

Revised February 2009

ATTACHMENT 1:

Instructions for Completing Supporting Statement for DOI Generic Clearance Submission, OMB Approval Number 1040-0001

8084. Survey Title/Date Submitted to the Office of Policy Analysis (PPA): Insert title for the proposed survey. Insert date that the expedited approval package will be submitted to PPA. Reminder:

Please submit the package through your bureau/office Information Collection Clearance Officer.

- 8085. Bureau/Office: Insert the name of the bureau/office conducting the survey.
- 8086. Abstract: Summarize the proposed study with an abstract not to exceed 150 words.
- 8087. Bureau/Office Point of Contact Information: Complete the bureau/office contact information. PPA will communicate with the point of contact listed here throughout the entire approval process.
- 8088. Principal Investigator (PI) Conducting the Survey: Complete information about the PI who will be conducting the survey, if different than Point of Contact listed in #4. Otherwise note: Same as #4.
- 8089. Name of Program Office Conducting Survey: Provide the name of the bureau program, office, or organizational unit conducting the survey.
- 8090. Description of Customers/Services Provided: Provide a brief description of the customers who will be surveyed, the services provided by the program conducting the survey, and how these services are provided to customers.
- 8091. Survey Dates: List the time period in which the survey will be conducted, including specific starting and ending dates. The starting date should be at least **45** days after the submission date. The request for expedited approval, and submission of a complete and accurate approval package, must be made at least **45** calendar days prior to the first day the PI wishes to administer the survey instrument to the public.
- 8092. Type of Information Collection Instrument: Check the type(s) of information collection instrument(s) that will be used. If other, please explain.
- 8093. Survey Development: Explain how the survey was developed. With whom did you consult during the development of the survey on content? On statistics? Did you pretest the survey? What actions did you take to improve the survey? What suggestions did you receive for improving the survey? Which of the six topic areas will be addressed? (Note: A description of any pretesting and peer review of the methods and/or instrument is highly recommended.)
- 8094. Survey Methodology: Explain how the survey will be conducted. Provide a description of the survey methodology including: (a) How will the customers be sampled? (if fewer than all customers will be surveyed); (b) What percentage of customers asked to take the survey will respond, and (c) What actions are planned to increase the response rate? If statistics are generated, this description must be specific and include each of the following:
 - The respondent universe,
 - The sampling plan and all sampling procedures, including how individual respondents will be selected;
 - How the instrument will be administered;
 - Expected response rate and confidence levels; and
 - Strategies for dealing with potential non-response bias.

Note: Web-based surveys are not an acceptable method of sampling a broad population. Web-based surveys must be limited to services provided by the web.

12. Total Number of Initial Contacts/Expected Number of Respondents: Provide an estimated total number of initial contacts and the total number of expected respondents.

13. Estimated Time to Complete Initial Contact/Instrument: Estimate the time to complete the initial contact and the survey instrument (in minutes).

14. Total Burden Hours: Provide the total number of burden hours. The total burden hours should account for the amount of time required to instruct the respondents in completing the survey, and the amount of time required for the respondent to complete the survey.

15. Reporting Plan: Provide a brief description of the reporting plan for the data being collected. A copy of all survey reports must be archived with PPA. Please note this in the reporting plan.

16. Justification, Purpose and Use: Provide a brief justification for the survey, its purpose, goals, and utility to managers. Specifically, describe how data will be tabulated and what statistical techniques will be used to generalize the results to the entire customer population. Describe how data from the survey will be used. Describe how you will acknowledge any limitations related to the data, particularly in cases where we obtain a lower than anticipated response rate. Note whether or not the survey is intended to measure a Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) performance measure.

ATTACHMENT 2:

Approval Form for DOI Programmatic Clearance for Customer Satisfaction Surveys (OMB Control Number 1040-0001)

U.S. Department of the Interior	PPA Tracking Number: (for PPA use only)
Office of Policy Analysis (PPA)	0 ()
	CSS-1

			Date Submitted to	9/18/2009
1.	Survey Title:	BLM Visitor Satisfaction	Survey	
2.	Bureau:	Bureau of Land Managem	ent (BLM)	

3.	Abstract: (not to exceed 150 words)
	The purpose of the BLM Visitor Satisfaction Survey is to measure visitors' opinions about BLM facilities,
	services, and recreational opportunities. This effort helps us meet requirements of the Government Performance
	and Results Act of 1993 (GPRA) and other BLM and Department of the Interior (DOI) strategic planning efforts.
	The results are used to measure performance related to the BLM GPRA goals regarding visitor satisfaction,
	BLM and DOI recreation performance measures, and by BLM managers to improve services and recreational
	opportunities provided to visitors, while protecting BLM resources.
1	

5.	First Name: Victo Last Name: Josupa Title:Outdo Bureau/Office: BLM Street Address: Nation		n Dlannor				
5.	Title: _{Outdo} Bureau/Office: _{BLM}		n Dlanner				
5.	Bureau/Office: BLM						
5.							
5.	Street Address: Nation						
5.							
		0	O Box 25047				
	City: _{Denve}				e:CO		code: 80225
5.	Phone: 303-23				×: 303-23	6-350	8
5.	Email:	victoria_jos	supait@blm.	gov			
	Principal Investigator (PI) Info	rmation					
	First Name: Jennif	er					
,	Last Name:Hoger	-					
ŀ		ch Scientist					
	Bureau/Office:Univer	rsity of Idah	o- Park Studi	es Unit	t		
•	Address:Mailco	ode 441139					
ŀ	City:Mosco	W		State	e:ID	Zi	ip code:83844-1139
•	Phone:208-88	35-4806		Fax	x:208-88	5-426	51
	Email:jhoger	@uidaho.ed	u				
	Name of Program or Office Conducting Survey:	830) and Na	tional Recreation	on and V	visitor Serv	rices D	anagement Systems (WO ivision (WO 250)
		Customers: R BLM lands.	Recreation visito	ors and n	natural, cul	tural, a	and heritage tourists to
7.	Description of Customers/	1	M provides a h	ost of se	rvices rang	ging fro	om protecting natural and
/. 	Services Provided:						such as facility/trail/road
			ation use mana		lerpretive a	ina eau	icational materials, and
				9			
8.	Survey Dates	(mm/c	dd/yyyy)	to			(mm/dd/yyyy)
I		01/15/2010)		9/30	/2012	
9.	Type of Information Collection	Instrument	(Check ALL t	hat Ap	ply)		
_ X	_InterceptTelephone	Mail	Web-bas	sed F	Focus Gr	oups	_ X _Comment Carc
			self-administere				anded to people at

10. Survey Development:

(Who assisted in survey content development statistics? Was the survey pretested? How were improvements integrated? Which of the six topic areas will be addressed?)

The proposed survey is the culmination of experience gained in using two prior approved versions. The first version of this survey that included non-GPRA items was created with input from customer focus groups, program staff at the field level and program leads at the state and national levels respectively. This first version survey was developed and tested with assistance from Kevin Coray, Ph.D., of Coray Gurnitz Consulting, Inc. This survey was successfully administered from 1999 to 2001 and 2003-2004.

The second version of the survey instrument and the associated methodology were developed in consultation with the University of Idaho Park Studies Unit (UI PSU), specifically Steve Hollenhorst, Ph.D., Director, National Park Service Visitor Services Project/Associate Dean for Outreach and Engagement, Jennifer Hoger-Russell, M.S., Research Scientist/Principal Investigator, and Yen "Lena" Le, Ph.D., Research Scientist/Statistician. Brian Forist, Senior Research Associate with the National Park Service's Social Science Program (2005) also provided input.

This proposed survey instrument and methodology was developed with the UI PSU staff identified in the previous paragraph. This survey instrument includes two new satisfaction-based questions required to meet the BLM's GPRA reporting requirements under the FY 2007 – 2012 DOI Strategic Plan.

This instrument and methodology meet the Department of the Interior (DOI) guidelines for the generic clearance for customer satisfaction surveys and the new GPRA reporting requirements. This instrument retains the previous customer satisfaction items used to comply with GPRA reporting requirements along with a few demographic questions (number in group, gender, age and zip code) that are used to perform non-response bias checks. Retaining the original satisfaction questions allows for long-term consistency and for tracking trends in comparison to the results obtained under previous versions of the survey. The continuation of the demographic questions provides the recreation site managers with basic information about which types of visitors are satisfied with which facilities, services, and recreational opportunities in efforts to better meet their needs. This limited demographic information also allows the BLM to assess survey non-response bias.

uiso uno wo the Dhivi to ussess s	arvey non response blast
11. Survey Methodology: (Use as much space as need	led; if necessary include additional explanation on separate page).
Respondent Universe	The population to be sampled is defined as all visitors, 18 years and older, at approximately 20-25 BLM sites annually each fiscal year through 2012.
Sampling Plan/Procedure	This will be an intercept, comment card survey. The instrument is considered a comment card because it is a one-time survey, with no follow up and no application of the Dillman method. Intercept surveys are an effective method for both reaching this type of customer segment and for obtaining a maximum response rate when contact information (i.e. name, phone number, mail address, email address, etc.) is not collected. Surveys will be distributed on-site by a trained survey technician (BLM employees or uniformed volunteers). Respondents will be given time and privacy to fill out the survey before depositing it into a locked drop box. The Principal Investigator (PI), the University of Idaho Park Studies Unit (UI PSU), will provide locked drop boxes for survey collection. BLM employees and volunteers will not have the ability to open the locked boxes. Past experience with this methodology strongly suggests that the face-to-face contact combined with on-site completion of the survey increases the response rate significantly. Instructions and guidelines will be issued to BLM staff at each site to explain proper sampling procedures in order to achieve the most representative and random sample possible. Included in these instructions will be procedures for recording the number of contacts with potential respondents, the number of refusals, and the number of surveys distributed (see Appendix 3 and 4).
	Daily start and end times for sampling will be flexible to coincide with historical

		patterns of use at each loc patterns.	ation, or when not available by staff's best judgment of use
			nately 8 minutes to complete.
	ument inistration	sampling time for that loc visitors (e.g., every <i>n</i> th ind season. Only one individu chosen for surveying will Using a script as a guide (the purpose of the survey guide future management voluntary and responses w will select the <i>nth</i> person clipboard and pencil with complete the survey on hi interception and deposit it	be stationed at a primary location during the optimal ation. During sampling times, systematic selection of lividual selected) will vary depending on use levels and al per group will be asked to participate. Individuals be informed that the BLM is conducting a visitor study. see Appendix 1), the survey technician will explain that is to measure overall satisfaction of visitors and results will of the BLM lands. Visitors will be told that participation is vill be confidential and anonymous. The survey technician (18 years or older). Those who agree will receive a a copy of the survey (Appendix 2). The visitor will s/her own with accorded privacy at the point of directly into a locked metal drop box. The survey following information in a survey log (see log form in
		• Dat	e
			t and end times for each location
			nber of people approached to take survey nber of refusals
			ather conditions
			eral observations of procedures
	cted Response Rate idence Levels:	surveys in each of 20-25 H version, a <i>minimum</i> of 3,5 response rate with the pre- response rate is very high sector using comment care personal contact from a su	d to approximately 5,000 individuals (between 125 and 400 BLM sites). Based on administration of the past survey 00 responses is expected (70% response rate) - actual vious survey version and methodology has been 83%. This compared to customer service evaluations in the private ds. This high response rate may be attributed to the urvey technician and the survey methodology requiring the
Strate	egies for dealing		Id collected at the time of interception. ic sampling days, the demographics of individuals who
with	potential non- onse bias	refuse the survey will be or recorded on a separate tall interview ask group size, a will be observed. For those information is collected ve survey season, the data wi	toolected via a very short (3 question) interview and ly sheet (Appendix 4). Demographic questions in the short zip code, and overall satisfaction at the location. Gender se who do complete the survey, this demographic ia the survey instrument. Following the completion of the ill be analyzed to determine if a non-response bias exists. e the bias level will be implemented in future survey
testin of the instru	ription of any pre- ng and peer review e methods and/or ument mmended)	program staff at the field i university researchers. Th assistance from Coray Gu conducted from 1999-200 The proposed version of the	rvey was created with input from customer focus groups, level and program leads at the state and national levels, and e survey instrument was developed and tested with rnitz Consulting, Inc. The survey was successfully 1 and from 2003-2004. he survey and methodology has been developed in the survey at the UI PSU to add two questions to meet GPRA
		reporting requirements. T OMB control #1040-0001 response rate of 83%.	The previous version of the survey was approved under and had been administered with great success and has a
		The National Park Service	e has successfully used a similar methodology since 1998.
12.	Total Number of Init Number of Respond	ial Contacts/ Expected ents	5000/3500
13.	Estimated Time to C Contact/ Instrument	omplete Initial	1/8
14.	Total Burden Hours		575 – This includes an additional 25 hours for
	1		contacts with visitors who declined survey

and/or involved in non- response bias check. (1500 refusals/non-response bias checks at one
minute each. $1500/60 = 25$ hours

15. Reporting Plan:

The University of Idaho will provide the BLM with a National Report as well as summary reports for each location. All reports will be sent out no later than 1 November each year (2010-2012).

16. Justification, Purpose, and	d Use:
Survey Justification and Purpose	The Government Performance and Results Act of 1993 (P.L. 103-62) requires that the BLM develop goals to improve program effectiveness and public accountability, and to measure performance related to these goals. This visitor satisfaction survey measures the performance toward those goals through a short scannable visitor survey.
	The BLM has a need to better understand visitors so that limited agency staff and budget resources can be most effectively applied in providing for quality recreation opportunities. The purpose of the study is to gain baseline and trend information on levels of satisfaction of visitors seeking outdoor recreation opportunities on BLM lands. The survey also provides site managers with information about the strengths and weaknesses of visitor service at the site, the service needs of visitors, as well as ideas and suggestions for improving customer service (see open-ended questions at the end of the survey – Appendix 2).
	Data from the survey are needed to assess performance on BLM GPRA goals 1.3.08, 3.1.02, 3.1.11, and 3.2.01. These goals are tied to DOI Strategic Goals are as follows:
	DOI Strategic Goal 1: Resource Protection: Protect the nation's natural, cultural, and heritage resources.
	End Outcome Goal 1.3: Protect Cultural and Natural Heritage Resources. BLM Measure 1.3.08: National Monuments and National Conservation Areas: Initiate priority projects to achieve the resource condition objectives for National Monuments and National Conservation Areas.
	DOI Strategic Goal 3: Recreation: Provide recreation opportunities for America.
	End Outcome Goal 3.1: Provide for a Quality Recreation Experience, including Access and Enjoyment of Natural and Cultural Resources on DOI Managed Lands and Partnered Lands and Waters.
	BLM Measure 3.1.01: Satisfaction with the quality of recreation experience. BLM Measure 3.1.11: Interpretation and Education: Satisfaction with the quality of interpretation and environmental education products in Special Recreation Management Areas as measured by a survey.
	BLM Measure 3.1.15: Satisfaction with services provided by commercial recreational operations.
	End Outcome Goal 3.2: Provide For and Receive Fair Value in Recreation. BLM Measure 3.1.16: Customer satisfaction with value for fee paid.
Survey Goals	- To conduct a scientifically sound annual satisfaction study of BLM recreation visitors.
	- To better understand the level of visitor satisfaction with a range of offerings (facilities, interpretation products, road and trail maintenance, etc.) for the purpose of allocating limited agency resources to maintain or improve the quality of recreation

	opportunities and experiences on BLM Lands.
	- To better understand the strengths and weaknesses of services
	both at the site level and at an overall national level.
	- To meet BLM GPRA performance requirements.
Utility to Managers	The results of this study will provide managers with baseline information about visitor satisfaction with current opportunities, information,
	education/interpretive programs, facilities, and value for fee paid. The
	survey provides site managers with information about the strengths and
	weaknesses of visitor service at the site, the service needs of the visitors,
	some demographic characteristics of who their visitors are, as well as ideas
	and suggestions for improving customer service (see open-ended questions
	at the end of the survey - Appendix 2). This information will support
	planning as outlined in the DOI Strategic Plan. Field office and site
	managers will be able to use this information to allocate limited agency
	resource to improve performance where satisfaction levels are low.
How will the results of the	Basic descriptive statistics (frequencies, measures of central tendency) will
survey be analyzed and used?	be provided for all questions. Close-ended questions will be scanned and
	analyzed using SPSS software and, where practical, frequency data will be presented graphically. Responses to open-ended questions will be provided verbatim to field and site managers. Site specific reports will be distributed to the participating offices and the Washington Office. Additionally, the WO will receive a national-level report; all reports are posted on the University of Idaho Park Studies Unit web site at: http://psu.uidaho.edu/blm/index.htm.

How will the data be tabulated? What Statistical Techniques will be used to generalize the results to the entire customer population? How will limitations on use of data be handled? If the survey results in a lower than anticipated response rate, how will you address this when reporting the results? (Use as much space as needed; if necessary include additional explanation on separate page).

Returned surveys will be electronically scanned and the data analyzed. Responses from individual sites in the system will be combined into one dataset. Data from sites with less than 30 returned survey cards, or from sites with discrepancies in the data collection methods will be omitted from the system report. Frequency distribution will be calculated for each indicator and category.

Limitations of the use of the data will be clearly conveyed in the report documents. Reports will convey to the reader that the results do not necessarily apply to visitors during other times of the year, to visitors who did not visit the survey locations, or to other units in the system that did not participate in the survey.

Historically this survey has not had difficulties with low response rates, however, if a low response was encountered the report would indicate this with specific language noting that low survey response rates increase the probability of non- response bias. Non-response bias occurs when those who choose to participate in a survey differ substantially and systematically from those who choose not to participate. If these differences are related to GPRA measures, the results may be unreliable.

Is this survey intended to measure a Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) performance measure? If so, please include an excerpt from the appropriate document. (Use as much space as needed; if necessary include additional explanation on separate page).

The following are the relevant DOI Strategic Goals, End Outcomes, and BLM Measures as identified in the U.S. Department of the Interior GPRA Strategic Plan Fiscal Years 2007-2012:

DOI Strategic Goal 1: Resource Protection: Protect the nation's natural, cultural, and heritage resources.

End Outcome Goal 1.3: Protect Cultural and Natural Heritage Resources.

BLM Measure 1.3.08: National Monuments and National Conservation Areas: Initiate priority projects to achieve the resource condition objectives for National Monuments and National Conservation Areas.

DOI Strategic Goal 3: Recreation: Provide recreation opportunities for America.

End Outcome Goal 3.1: Provide for a Quality Recreation Experience, including Access and Enjoyment of Natural and Cultural Resources on DOI Managed Lands and Partnered Lands and Waters.

BLM Measure 3.1.01: Satisfaction with the quality of recreation experience.

BLM Measure 3.1.11: Interpretation and Education: Satisfaction with the quality of interpretation and environmental

education products in Special Recreation Management Areas as measured by a survey. BLM Measure 3.1.15: Satisfaction with services provided by commercial recreational operations.

End Outcome Goal 3.2: Provide For and Receive Fair Value in Recreation. BLM Measure 3.1.16: Customer satisfaction with value for fee paid.

ATTACHMENT 3

Checklist for Submitting a Request to Use DOI Programmatic Clearance for Customer Satisfaction Surveys

X All questions in the survey instrument are within the scope of one of the DOI Programmatic Clearance for Customer Satisfaction Surveys topic areas.

X The approval package is being submitted to the Office of Policy Analysis at least 45 days prior to the first day the PI wishes to administer the survey to the public.

X A qualified statistician has reviewed and approved your request.

X Your bureau/office Information Collection Clearance Officer has reviewed and approved the approval package.

The approval package includes:

- X A completed Information Form
- X A signed Certification Form
- X A copy of the survey instrument
- X Other supporting materials, such as:
 - Cover letters to accompany mail-back questionnaires
 - Introductory scripts for initial contact of respondents
 - Necessary Paperwork Reduction Act compliance language
 - Follow-up letters/reminders sent to respondents

The survey methodology presented on the Information Form includes a specific description of:

X The respondent universe

X The sampling plan and all sampling procedures, including how respondents will be selected

- X How the instrument will be administered
- X Expected response rate and confidence levels
- X Strategies for dealing with potential non-response bias

X A description of any pre-testing and peer review of the methods and/or the instrument is highly recommended.

X The burden hours reported on the Information Form include the number of burden hours associated with the initial contact of all individuals in the sample (i.e., including refusals), if applicable, and the number of burden hours associated with individuals expected to complete the survey instrument.

X The package is properly formatted (Word) and submitted to the Office of Policy Analysis electronically.

ATTACHMENT 4

CERTIFICATION FORM FOR SUBMISSION UNDER OMB CONTROL NUMBER 1040-0001 This form should only be used if you are submitting a collection of information for approval under the DOI Programmatic Clearance for Customer Satisfaction Surveys.

If the collection does not satisfy the requirements of the Programmatic Clearance, you should follow the regular PRA clearance procedures described in 5 CFR 1320.

Bureau/Office Subgroup or Program:

	ise be specific)	: BLM Visitor S	burvey			
Burden Ho	our		Estimate N	lumber of Resp	ondents	3500
Total Burd	len Hours	575 (includes an additional 25 hours for contacts with visitors who declined survey and/or involved in non- response bias check)	Hours/Min	per Response		0/8
	fice Contact (who					nission):
Name	Victoria Josupai	it	Phone	303-236-6313	email:	
				victoria iocup	nit@hlm a	017
				victoria_josup	ait@blm.g	ov
requireme	ion: The collect ents of OMB con fice Qualified Sta	trol number 104	-	· · ·		
requireme Bureau/Of JGy Yen "Lena P.O. Box 4	ents of OMB con	atrol number 104 atistician rersity of Idaho, P	0-0001 Park Studies	ed by this subn	nission m	eets the
requireme Bureau/Of My Yen "Lena P.O. Box email: len	fice Qualified Sta " " Le Ph.D., Univ 441139, Moscow,	ntrol number 104 ntistician rersity of Idaho, P , ID 83844 Phone	0-0001 Park Studies e: 208-885-	ed by this subn	DATE	eets the
requireme Bureau/Of My Yen "Lena P.O. Box 4 email: len Bureau/Of	ents of OMB con fice Qualified Sta a" Le Ph.D., Univ 441139, Moscow, ale@uidaho.edu fice Information (ntrol number 104 ntistician rersity of Idaho, P , ID 83844 Phone	0-0001 Park Studies e: 208-885-	ed by this subn	DATE 8/13/09	eets the
requireme Bureau/Of Yen "Lena P.O. Box email: len Bureau/Of Jean Sonn	fice Qualified Sta " Le Ph.D., Univ 441139, Moscow, ale@uidaho.edu	ntrol number 104 ntistician rersity of Idaho, P , ID 83844 Phone	0-0001 Park Studies e: 208-885-	ed by this subn	DATE 8/13/09	eets the
requireme Bureau/Of Yen "Lena P.O. Box email: len Bureau/Of Jean Sonn Office of F	ents of OMB con fice Qualified Sta a" Le Ph.D., Univ 441139, Moscow, ale@uidaho.edu fice Information C eman, Acting	ntrol number 104 ntistician rersity of Idaho, P ID 83844 Phone Collection Clearan	ark Studies e: 208-885-	unit, 2819,	DATE 8/13/09 DATE 9/18/20	eets the