

## SUPPORTING STATEMENT

### A. JUSTIFICATION

#### 1. Necessity of Information Collection

Forensic evidence has increasingly been used by agencies in the criminal justice system to convict the guilty and exonerate the innocent. Yet while new technology enhances the opportunity for the criminal justice system to “do justice,” it also creates an added need for law enforcement agencies to review old cases for potential forensic evidence that should be sent to a crime laboratory for testing.

The Survey of Law Enforcement’s Forensic Backlogs (LEFB) is a national-level data collection that will provide information on the number of unsolved criminal cases containing forensic evidence that have not been submitted to crime laboratories for analysis. Currently no other source exists for this information. These data will be collected from approximately 3,152 law enforcement agencies from across the country, representing the nearly 18,000 state and local law enforcement agencies nationwide. Law enforcement agencies will be asked about the number of unsolved homicide, rape, and property crime cases maintained, the percentage of cases with forensic evidence available for testing, and procedures used for reviewing unsolved crimes to develop new investigative leads.

#### 2. Needs and Uses

The survey provides information on forensic case backlogs in law enforcement agencies. The collected information will be used to assess the size and nature of the law enforcement forensic backlog. A better understanding of these issues can support the development of plans to improve the efficiency and functionality of the evidence collection, submission, and analysis process. This information will be of interest to the U.S. Department of Justice, state and local law enforcement agencies, and other members of the criminal justice community. The survey can assist policy makers in developing solutions to the forensic backlog problem including the added burden placed on law enforcement agencies.

#### 3. Use of Information Technology

The surveys will be administered using a web-based data collection system, as well as through fax and mail.

#### 4. Efforts to Identify Duplication

There is no duplicative effort based on the content and goals of this survey. The data requested as part of this survey are not attainable through any other means.

5. Minimizing Burden on Small Businesses

The survey will include a sample of state and local law enforcement agencies and does not impact small businesses or small entities.

6. Consequences of Not Conducting or less frequent Collection

There is no comprehensive information on the size of forensic backlogs in law enforcement agencies. As a result, it is unknown how many unsolved criminal cases that contain forensic evidence could potentially be solved if they were submitted to a crime laboratory for analysis. Furthermore, there is no detailed information on the types of forensic evidence that make up the backlog of cases not submitted to the crime laboratory.

7. Special Circumstances

This data will be collected in a manner consistent with the guidelines in 5CFR 1320.6.

8. Public Comments and Consultations

No public comments have been received by NIJ on the survey. However, NIJ has consulted with a number of individuals regarding the content, data availability, and usability of the survey. The consultants include the following:

Kevin J. Strom  
Senior Research Scientist  
RTI International  
Phone: (919) 485-5729

Jeri Roper-Miller  
Senior Research Forensic Scientist  
RTI International  
Phone: (919) 485-5729

Barry A. J. Fisher  
Crime Laboratory Director  
Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department  
2020 W. Beverly Blvd.  
Los Angeles, CA 90057  
(213) 989-5002

Barrington Gore  
Commander (ret.)  
West Virginia State Police  
507 Dabney Drive  
Charleston, WV 25314  
(304) 345-8786

Matthew Hickman  
Associate Professor  
Seattle University  
13671 18th Ave SW  
Burien, WA 98166  
(206) 248-1623

Laura Sudkamp

Forensic Laboratory Manager

Kentucky State Police  
100 Sower Blvd. Suite 102.  
Frankfort, Kentucky 40601  
(502) 564-5230

Vickie W. Watts  
Senior Criminalist  
Forensic Toxicology Associates  
th  
14427 S 140 Place

Gilbert Arizona, 85296

(480) 831-8091

9. Provision of Payments or Gifts to Respondents

Respondents will be provided with a nominal non-monetary incentive for participating in the survey. However, no payments will be given to respondents. Respondents participate on a voluntary basis.

10. Assurance of Confidentiality

Respondents' personal identity will not be linked to the information that they provide. Data will be reported in aggregate form.

11. Justification for Sensitive Questions

Not applicable.

12. Estimate of Respondent's Burden

The information collected will require only information that is maintained by the responding agencies. In order to minimize respondent's burden, the surveys will be limited to 3 pages. We also attempted to minimize the complexity of questions and included definitions that conformed to specific agency norms. Additionally, questions will primarily be close-ended (e.g. categorical responses). The surveys will be administered primarily via the web, although respondents will also be given the option of submitting their completed surveys by mail or by fax. The numbers of surveys sent to each agency and the expected time of completion is as follows:

Law Enforcement: 3152 agencies in the United States.

Average time to complete: 30 minutes

$$\frac{3152 \text{ respondents} \times 30 \text{ minutes}}{60 \text{ minutes}} = 1576 \text{ hours}$$

**TOTAL:** 1576 burden hours

13. Annualized Cost for Respondents

We do not expect respondents to incur any costs other than that of their time to respond. The information requested is of the type and scope normally included in their records and no special system or process is required for providing the requested information.

14. Cost to Federal Government

The total cost to the Federal government is estimated to be \$363,468, which will all be incurred by NIJ. These costs incurred cover the survey development, data collection, and preparation of the final report and data set.

The estimated cost to the Federal Government for this data collection is based on the following:

Office Costs (NIJ)	
Salary & Benefits:	\$13,977
Supplies:	\$1,000
Data Collection [contractor]	<u>\$348,491</u>
Grand Total	\$363,468

15. Reason for Change in Burden

Not Applicable. This is a new project.

16. Anticipated Publication Plan and Schedule

Planning and preparation	Oct 2007– Feb 2007
Pre-testing	Jan 2008- Mar 2008
Data collection	April 2008-August 2008
Data processing/analysis	August 2008-September 2008
Publication release	September 2008
Data release to public	October 2008

17. Display of Expiration Date

The OMB clearance number and expiration date will be displayed on the data collection instrument that will be provided to participants.

18. Exception to the Certification Statement

Not applicable. There are no exceptions in Item 10, “Certification for Paperwork Reduction Act Submission,” of OMB Form I-83.