INTRODUCTION SECTION

INTRODUCTION

This survey represents an opportunity for employees to express their views and opinions on a variety of topics regarding organizational climate and Safety Conscious Work Environment. This survey is part of ongoing efforts on the part of the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management (OCRWM) to pursue continuous improvement and comply with employee protection requirements. Results of this survey will be shared with participating Federal, contractor, and national laboratory organizations to promote a shared understanding of employee opinions, and facilitate future management improvements. Participation in this survey is voluntary, and the survey should take only approximately 25 minutes to complete.

YOUR OPINIONS ARE STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL

This survey is being conducted by Towers Perrin-ISR, an independent, nationally recognized consulting firm. Your responses will be submitted directly to Towers Perrin-ISR for processing. No one at the U.S. Department of Energy, Bechtel SAIC Company, LLC. (BSC), United States Geological Survey (USGS), the national laboratories, or any contractors other than Towers Perrin-ISR will be allowed to see your completed questionnaire. If Towers Perrin-ISR receives less than 10 responses for any individual organization, the information will be combined into the results of the next organizational level.

Towers Perrin-ISR is only able to track the employee participation rate based upon the responses from employees in specific organizations. The electronic survey format does not allow Towers Perrin-ISR to track an employee by name or any other personal identifier.

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING THE ONLINE SURVEY

Please click on the "Definitions" button at the top of the page to review the terms and definitions used in the survey. You may print this page to refer back to as you proceed through the questionnaire.

Please indicate your opinion for each statement by selecting the answer that best represents your response. If you wish to change your answer, simply select another answer and your "old" answer will automatically be unselected.

If you are unable to complete your survey in one sitting, simply click on the "Finish Later" button. You will be provided a randomly generated six-digit password that will allow you to complete your survey at a later time.

Once you have finished, click on the "Submit Survey" button at the end of the survey. This will save your responses directly to Towers Perrin-ISR's secure server for processing. Once you have submitted your survey, you will be unable to access your survey.

CODING SECTION

In this section you are asked to provide a minimum amount of information about yourself and how you support the program. The following coding questions are necessary to maximize the usefulness of your survey responses, as there may be varying opinions from different offices, divisions, job levels, etc. Towers Perrin-ISR will use the coding section to break down the results in a meaningful way while preserving the confidentiality of all respondents.

1. Please select the program organization you work for from the list below: Note: Answer questions in regards to the

	ation you support. If you are matrixed, please answer accordingly. People within organizations with fewer than 10 should select the consolidated "All Other" groups.
	U.S. DOE/OCRWM
	01. DOE OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR
	02. DOE OFFICE OF QUALITY ASSURANCE
	03. DOE OFFICE OF CHIEF SCIENTIST
	DOE OFFICE OF CHIEF ENGINEER
	04. ENGINEERING DESIGN
	05. ALL OTHER DOE OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER
	06. DOE REGULATORY AUTHORITY OFFICE
	07. DOE CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT AND SITE OPERATIONS OFFICE
	08. DOE WASTE MANAGEMENT OFFICE
	09. DOE OFFICE OF LOGISTICS MANAGEMENT
	DOE OFFICE OF PROJECT MANAGEMENT AND PROCUREMENT
	10. PROJECT MANAGEMENT DIVISION
	11. PROCUREMENT DIVISION
	12. ALL OTHER DOE OFFICE OF PROJECT MANAGEMENT AND PROCUREMENT
	DOE OFFICE OF GOVERNMENT SERVICES
	13. INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY
	14. BUDGET & FINANCE
	15. HUMAN RESOURCES
	16. ALL OTHER DOE OFFICE OF GOVERNMENT SERVICES
	17. DOE OFFICE OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS
	18. DOE DISPOSAL OPERATIONS OFFICE

19. ALL OTHER DOE/OCRWM
OCRWM CONTRACTORS
20. ENERGY ENTERPRISE SOLUTIONS (EES)
21. INNOVATIONS
22. LEGIN GROUP (GTSS AND SUBCONTRACTORS)
23. BOOZ ALLEN HAMILTON (BAH) AND SUBCONTRACTORS
24. PROJECT ENHANCEMENT CORPORATION
25. ALL OTHER OCRWM CONTRACTORS
U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
26. EPSILON-STOLLER
27. U.S. BUREAU OF RECLAMATION
28. U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY FEDERAL EMPLOYEES
LABORATORIES
LEAD LABORATORY - SANDIA NATIONAL LABORATORIES
29. LEAD LAB SCIENCE AND PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT GROUP
30. LEAD LAB LICENSING
31. LEAD LAB ADMINSTRATION AND TECHNICAL SUPPORT
32. ALL OTHER LEAD LAB
33. ALL OTHER LABORATORIES
BECHTEL SAIC COMPANY (BSC)
34. BSC GENERAL MANAGER
35. BSC ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY, AND HEALTH
BSC QUALITY AND PERFORMANCE ASSURANCE
36. BSC QUALITY ASSURANCE
37. BSC TRAINING
38. ALL OTHER BSC QUALITY AND PERFORMANCE ASSURANCE
39. BSC PROJECT CONTROLS
40. BSC PROGRAM MANAGEMENT AND TRANSPORTATION

BSC BUSINESS SYSTEMS 41. BSC FINANCE 42. BSC HUMAN RESOURCES/BSMGT/PRIME CONTRACTS 43. BSC INTERNAL & EXTERNAL COMMUNICATIONS 44. BSC LICENSING SUPPORT NETWORK AND RECORDS SERVICES 45. BSC PROCUREMENT AND PROPERTY 46. BSC INFORMATION SYSTEMS AND TECHNOLOGY 47. ALL OTHER BSC BUSINESS SYSTEMS BSC REPOSITORY PROJECT MANAGEMENT 48. BSC PROJECT MANAGEMENT AND CONSTRUCTION 49. BSC REPOSITORY PROJECT MANAGEMENT 50. BSC FACILITY OPERATIONS 51. ALL OTHER BSC REPOSITORY PROJECT MANAGEMENT **BSC ENGINEERING** 52. BSC BALANCE OF PLANT BSC SUBSURFACE 53. THERMAL/STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS 54. MINING/GEOTECH/VENTILATION 55. ALL OTHER BSC SUBSURFACE 56. ALL OTHER BSC ENGINEERING BSC NUCLEAR FACILITIES 57. C/S/A 58. MECHANICAL ALL 59. ALL OTHER BSC NUCLEAR FACILITIES **BSC LICENSING & NUCLEAR SAFETY** 60. BSC WASTE MANAGEMENT INTEGRATION 61. BSC LA PROCESS INTEGRATION 62. BSC CONFIGURATION MANAGEMENT AND SAFETY EVALUATION

		63. BSC PRECLOSURE SAFETY ANALYSIS
		64. ALL OTHER BSC LICENSING & NUCLEAR SAFETY
		65. BECHTEL SUBCONTRACTORS
2.	What is	your job function?
	<u> </u>	Administrative
	2.	Program Management
	<u> </u>	Support (Other than Administrative)
	4.	Technical
3.	What is	your job level?
"Se	enior Ma	unager" – a member of the executive leadership of your organization.
"M	id-Mana	ager" – an individual who is between the first-level/lead supervisor and senior manager
	<u> </u>	Non-supervisory staff
	2.	First-level/lead supervisor
	<u> </u>	Mid-manager
	4.	Senior manager
4		
4.	What is	your total nuclear industry experience, including this program?
	1.	Less than 10 years
	2.	10 years but less than 20 years
	3.	20 years or more
_		
Э.	How lo	ng have you been supporting this program?
	<u> </u>	Less than 10 years
	2.	10 years or more

OPINION SECTION

DEFINITIONS

These definitions are intended to help clarify terms used in the survey. Please review these definitions prior to completing the survey.

- "OCRWM/Program" refers to the DOE Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management.
- "**Organization**" refers to who coordinates and directs your work on behalf of OCRWM (e.g., DOE, BSC, Lead Lab, USGS, DOE direct support contractors).
- "Senior Management" refers to the executive leadership of your organization.
- "Mid-Management" refers to the level of management between you and your senior management.
- "Supervisor" refers to the person to whom you report on a day-to-day basis regardless of his/her title.
- "Work Group" refers to the group you support the majority of the time.
- "Office" refers to your Office within the DOE.
- "Department" refers to your Department with BSC, Lead Lab or USGS.
- **"Retaliation"** refers to harassment, intimidation, retaliation or discrimination for engagement in the following protected activities in which an employee:
 - Notifies his or her employer, the OCRWM Concerns Program, or the NRC of an alleged violation of U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) regulations or other requirements; or an alleged nuclear safety or quality concern.
 - Refuses to engage in any practice made unlawful by the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974 (ERA) or the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (AEA), if the employee has identified the alleged violation to their employer;
 - Testifies before Congress or at any Federal or State proceeding regarding any provision of the ERA or AEA;
 - Provides the NRC with information about possible violations of NRC requirements; Requests NRC action;
 - Testifies in any NRC or U.S. Department of Labor proceeding; or
 - Commences or participates in a proceeding under Section 211 of the ERA.

"Safety Conscious Work Environment (SCWE)" A work environment in which all employees feel free to raise nuclear safety and quality concerns without fear of retaliation, and where such concerns are promptly reviewed, prioritized, and resolved based on safety significance, with timely feedback to the employee. (Ref: POL-RW-2006-001)

Please indicate your opinion for each statement by selecting the answer that best represents your response. If you cannot decide about a statement or if it does not apply to you, mark the "?" response. If you wish to change your answer, simply select another answer and your "old" answer will automatically be unselected.

AgreeTend To?Tend ToDisagreeAgreeDisagree

1.	I believe that employees are committed to maintaining a workplace with zero					
	tolerance for retaliation against individuals who raise concerns.	1	2	3	4	5
2.	My organization's commitment to quality is apparent in what we do on a day-to-day basis.	1	2	3	4	5
3.	Differing opinions are openly discussed in reaching decisions in my work group.	1	2	3	4	5
4.	My organization's management provides a clear sense of direction.	1	2	3	4	5
5.	My organization does an excellent job of keeping employees informed about matters affecting us.	1	2	3	4	5
6.	Senior management's expectations regarding safety and quality are clearly communicated.	1	2	3	4	5
7.	If I had a concern to raise, I would be comfortable going to my supervisor first.	1	2	3	4	5
8.	I am confident that issues reported through the DOE OCRWM Concerns Program are:					
	a. Thoroughly investigated	1	2	3	4	5
	b. Appropriately resolved	1	2	3	4	5
9.	Corrective actions within my office/department are:					
	a. Timely	1	2	3	4	5
	b. Effective	1	2	3	4	5
	c. Well communicated	1	2	3	4	5
10.	The people I work with cooperate to get the job done.	1	2	3	4	5
11.	supervisors are trained on detecting and preventing retaliation against					
	workers for raising concerns.	1	2	3	4	5
		<u>Agree</u>	<u>Tend To</u> <u>Agree</u>	<u>?</u>	<u>Tend To</u> <u>Disagree</u>	<u>Disagree</u>
12.	I have a clear understanding of the					

21.	I am aware of the Safety Conscious		<u> </u>		<u>Disagi cc</u>	
		<u>Agree</u>	<u>Tend To</u> <u>Agree</u>	?	<u>Tend To</u> <u>Disagree</u>	<u>Disagree</u>
20.	I am sufficiently informed about the status of my organization's performance relative to mission, goals and objectives.	1	2	3	4	5
19.	I believe my organization's core values are clear.	1	2	3	4	5
	b. Innovative ideas can fail without penalty to the originating person or group	1	2	3	4	5
	a. People can challenge our traditional ways of doing things	1	2	3	4	5
18.	My organization has established a climate where:					
17.	In my organization, employees are encouraged to communicate ideas to improve quality.	1	2	3	4	5
16.	My organization's management takes corrective actions on employee concerns brought to their attention.	1	2	3	4	5
	c. My organization's senior management	1	2	3	4	5
	b. My organization's mid-management	1	2	3	4	5
	a. My supervisor	1	2	3	4	5
15.	I feel free to approach the following levels of management regarding any concern:					
14.	I would recommend my organization as a good place to work.	1	2	3	4	5
13.	Priorities or work objectives are changed so frequently I have trouble getting my work done.	1	2	3	4	5
	d. The Program as a whole	1	2	3	4	5
	c. My organization	1	2	3	4	5
	b. My office/department	1	2	3	4	5
	a. My work group	1	2	3	4	5
	goals and objectives of:					

	Work Environment Policy.	1	2	3	4	5
22.	My supervisor:					
	 a. Manages people effectively (including dealing with difficult or emotional situations) 	1	2	3	4	5
	b. Communicates effectively	1	2	3	4	5
23.	I am confident that issues reported through the BSC Employee Concerns Program are:					
	a. Thoroughly investigated	1	2	3	4	5
	b. Appropriately resolved	1	2	3	4	5
24.	I am confident that issues reported through the Corrective Action Program are:					
	a. Prioritized appropriately	1	2	3	4	5
	b. Investigated thoroughly	1	2	3	4	5
25.	The people I work with are willing to help each other, even if it means doing something outside their usual activities.	1	2	3	4	5
26.	Developing a Safety Conscious Work Environment is considered an important priority by:					
	a. My supervisor	1	2	3	4	5
	b. My mid-management	1	2	3	4	5
	c. My senior management	1	2	3	4	5
	d. The Program as a whole	1	2	3	4	5
27.	The amount of stress I experience on my job seriously reduces my effectiveness.	1	2	3	4	5
28.	I am proud to be associated with my organization.	1	2	3	4	5
29.	If I were dissatisfied with my supervisor's decision on an important	<u>Agree</u>	Tend To Agree	?	<u>Tend To</u> <u>Disagree</u>	<u>Disagree</u>

	matter, I would feel free to go to someone higher in authority.	1	2	3	4	5
30.	My organization sacrifices the quality of our products/services in order to meet schedules/deadlines.	1	2	3	4	5
31.	I believe my organization's management decisions are consistent with my organization's core values.	1	2	3	4	5
32.	Although I may not agree with the decisions made by the following levels of management, the rationale for the decisions have been effectively communicated to me:					
	a. My organization's senior	1	2	3	4	5
	management b. My organization's mid management	1	2	3	4	5
22	b. My organization's mid-management	1	2	3	4	5
33.	My supervisor does a good job of building teamwork.	1	2	3	4	5
34.	I believe that the following program will protect my confidentiality, if I so request:					
	a. DOE OCRWM Concerns Program	1	2	3	4	5
	b. BSC Employee Concerns Program	1	2	3	4	5
	c. Lead Lab Concern Program (Sandia Employee Concern Program/Ombudsman)	1	2	3	4	5
35.	The Corrective Action Program is used effectively to resolve conditions adverse to quality in a timely manner.	1	2	3	4	5
36.	There is good cooperation between:	1	_	J	·	5
50.	a. Work groups in my office/department	1	2	3	4	5
	b. My office/department and other offices/departments	1	2	3	4	5
37.	I am satisfied with my involvement in		_	_		_
	decisions that affect my work.	1	2	3	4	5
		<u>Agree</u>	<u>Tend To</u> <u>Agree</u>	3	<u>Tend To</u> <u>Disagree</u>	<u>Disagree</u>
38.	I am personally committed to achieving the goals of our		-		-	

	organization.	1	2	3	4	5
39.	It is safe to express differing opinions in my organization.	1	2	3	4	5
40.	I have enough flexibility in my job to do what is necessary to provide good service to my customers.	1	2	3	4	5
41.	My organization operates with integrity in its external dealings (with customers, suppliers, etc.).	1	2	3	4	5
42.	My supervisor is usually respectful and willing to listen to suggestions for change.	1	2	3	4	5
43.	Resolution of potential nuclear safety or quality issues, through the Corrective Action Program, is effective.	1	2	3	4	5
44.	Based on my most recent experiences, my organization's management trusts the judgment of people at my level in the organization.	1	2	3	4	5
45.	I fit well into the culture of my organization.	1	2	3	4	5
46.	I believe a culture exists that is conducive to raising safety and quality concerns in:					
	a. My work group	1	2	3	4	5
	b. My office/department	1	2	3	4	5
	c. My organization	1	2	3	4	5
	d. The Program as a whole	1	2	3	4	5

<u>Agree</u>	Tend To	?	Tend To	Disagree
	<u>Agree</u>		<u>Disagree</u>	

47. People in my office/department:

a. Are encouraged to come up with

57.	I believe my work environment is generally professional and open (i.e.,	Agree	Tend To Agree	?	<u>Tend To</u> <u>Disagree</u>	<u>Disagree</u>
	problems and adverse conditions.	1	2	3	4	5
56.	I am responsible for identifying safety	1	2	2	4	_
55.	I would write a Condition Report to address a potential nuclear safety, environmental safety and health, or quality issue.	1	2	3	4	5
54.	I trust my supervisor.	1	2	3	4	5
53.	I would write a Condition Report to address a condition adverse to quality.	1	2	3	4	5
52.	I would raise a significant nuclear safety, environmental safety and health, or quality concern.	1	2	3	4	5
51.	I work beyond what is required to help my organization succeed.	1	2	3	4	5
50.	My supervisor involves me in solving problems related to our office/department work.	1	2	3	4	5
49.	I know how to write a Condition Report and get it into the Corrective Action Program.	1	2	3	4	5
	b. Accepts constructive feedback on the effectiveness of his/her leadership practices	1	2	3	4	5
	a. Seeks constructive feedback on the effectiveness of his/her leadership practices	1	2	3	4	5
48.	My supervisor:					
	c. Question each other to confirm understanding of work issues	1	2	3	4	5
	b. Continually try to improve our performance	1	2	3	4	5
	innovative solutions to work-related problems	1	2	3	4	5

	free from any harassment, intimidation, discrimination, or retaliation).	1	2	3	4	5
58.	I have the ability to suspend work processes if I am unsure on how to proceed.	1	2	3	4	5
59.	I believe I can raise any concern without fear of retaliation.	1	2	3	4	5
60.	I feel comfortable seeking feedback on my performance from my peers.	1	2	3	4	5
61.	I believe my supervisor wants employees to report concerns.	1	2	3	4	5
62.	I understand my responsibility to report any instances of retaliation for raising concerns.	1	2	3	4	5
63.	My organization's management actively seeks to detect and prevent retaliation for raising concerns.	1	2	3	4	5
64.	I actively seek feedback from my peers on my performance.	1	2	3	4	5
65.	I am confident that issues reported through the USGS Concerns and Recommendations Procedure are:					
	a. Thoroughly investigated	1	2	3	4	5
	b. Appropriately resolved	1	2	3	4	5
66.	I am confident that issues reported through the USGS Corrective Action Procedures are:					
	a. Prioritized appropriately	1	2	3	4	5
	b. Investigated thoroughly	1	2	3	4	5
67.	The USGS Corrective Action Procedures are used effectively to resolve conditions adverse to quality in					
	a timely manner.	1	2	3	4	5
68.	Resolution of potential nuclear safety or quality issues, through the USGS	Agree	<u>Tend To</u> <u>Agree</u>	?	<u>Tend To</u> <u>Disagree</u>	<u>Disagree</u>
	Corrective Action Procedures, is					

	effective.	1	2	3		4	5
69.	I know how to initiate a USGS Deficiency Report.	1	2	3		4	5
70.	I would initiate a USGS Deficiency Report to address a condition adverse to quality.	1	2	3		4	5
71.	I would initiate a USGS Deficiency Report to address a potential nuclear safety, environmental safety and health, or quality issue.	1	2	3		4	5
72.	I am confident that issues reported through the Lead Lab Concern Program (Sandia Employee Concern Program/Ombudsman) are:						
	a. Thoroughly investigated	1	2	3		4	5
	b. Appropriately resolved	1	2	3		4	5
73.	How good a job do you feel your	<u>Very</u> <u>Good</u>	<u>Good</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Poor</u>	<u>Very</u> <u>Poor</u>	<u>Don't</u> <u>Know</u>
74.	organization is doing encouraging behaviors that promote a Safety Conscious Work Environment? In your judgment, with all things considered how good a job is senior	1	2	3	4	5	6
74.	behaviors that promote a Safety Conscious Work Environment? In your judgment, with all things considered, how good a job is senior management of your organization doing in handling the following aspects of the business:	1	2		4		6
74.	behaviors that promote a Safety Conscious Work Environment? In your judgment, with all things considered, how good a job is senior management of your organization doing in handling the following	1	2	3	4	5 5	6
74.	behaviors that promote a Safety Conscious Work Environment? In your judgment, with all things considered, how good a job is senior management of your organization doing in handling the following aspects of the business:						
74.	behaviors that promote a Safety Conscious Work Environment? In your judgment, with all things considered, how good a job is senior management of your organization doing in handling the following aspects of the business: a. Stating objectives clearly b. Establishing priorities c. Making decisions promptly	1	2	3	4	5	6
74.	behaviors that promote a Safety Conscious Work Environment? In your judgment, with all things considered, how good a job is senior management of your organization doing in handling the following aspects of the business: a. Stating objectives clearly b. Establishing priorities	1 1	2 2	3 3	4 4	5 5	6 6
74.	behaviors that promote a Safety Conscious Work Environment? In your judgment, with all things considered, how good a job is senior management of your organization doing in handling the following aspects of the business: a. Stating objectives clearly b. Establishing priorities c. Making decisions promptly	1 1 1	2 2 2	3 3 3	4 4 4	5 5 5	6 6 6

75. At the present time, are you seriously

3

2

Please read the following definition before answering questions 76-79.

"Retaliation" refers to harassment, intimidation, retaliation or discrimination for engagement in the following protected activities in which an employee:

1

- Notifies his or her employer, the OCRWM Concerns Program, or the NRC of an alleged violation of U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) regulations or other requirements; or an alleged nuclear safety or quality concern.
- Refuses to engage in any practice made unlawful by the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974 (ERA) or the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (AEA), if the employee has identified the alleged violation to their employer;
- Testifies before Congress or at any Federal or State proceeding regarding any provision of the ERA or AEA;
- Provides the NRC with information about possible violations of NRC requirements; Requests NRC action;
- Testifies in any NRC or U.S. Department of Labor proceeding; or
- Commences or participates in a proceeding under Section 211 of the ERA.

		<u>Agree</u>	<u>Disagree</u>
76.	Within the last 6 months, I have NOT experienced retaliation from management for raising nuclear safety or quality concerns.	1	2
77.	Within the last 6 months, I have NOT experienced retaliation from a peer for raising nuclear safety or quality concerns.	1	2
78.	Within the last 6 months, no one I know has experienced retaliation from management for raising nuclear safety or quality concerns.	1	2
79.	Within the last 6 months, no one I know has experienced retaliation from a peer for raising nuclear safety or quality concerns.	1	2

	<u>Agree</u>	<u>Tend To</u> <u>Agree</u>	?	<u>Tend To</u> <u>Disagree</u>	<u>Disagree</u>
80. I am familiar with the DOE OCRWM					
Concerns Program.	1	2	3	4	5

81.	I feel free to use the DOE OCRWM Concerns Program without fear of retaliation.	1	2	3	4	5
82.	I would raise a concern with the DOE OCRWM Concerns Program if I were uncomfortable raising the concern through other means.	1	2	3	4	5
83.	I know how to submit a concern, or who to contact, for the DOE OCRWM Concerns Program.	1	2	3	4	5
84.	I believe that senior management supports the DOE OCRWM Concerns Program.	1	2	3	4	5
85.	I am familiar with the BSC Employee Concerns Program.	1	2	3	4	5
86.	I know how to submit a concern, or who to contact, for the BSC Employee Concerns Program.	1	2	3	4	5
87.	I feel free to use the BSC Employee Concerns Program without fear of retaliation.	1	2	3	4	5
88.	I would raise a concern with the BSC Employee Concerns Program if I were uncomfortable raising the concern through other means.	1	2	3	4	5
89.	I believe that senior management supports the BSC Employee Concerns Program.	1	2	3	4	5
90.	I am familiar with the USGS Concerns and Recommendations Procedure.					
91.	I know how to submit a concern, or who to contact, for USGS Concerns and Recommendations Procedure.	1	2	3	4	5 5
92.	I feel free to use the USGS Concerns and Recommendations Procedure without fear of retaliation.		2	3		
	without real of retaliation.	1			4	5 D:
		<u>Agree</u>	<u>Tend To</u> <u>Agree</u>	<u>?</u>	<u>Tend To</u> <u>Disagree</u>	<u>Disagree</u>
93.	I would raise a concern using the USGS Concerns and Recommendations Procedure if I were					

	uncomfortable raising the concern through other means.	1	2	3	4	5
94.	I believe that senior management supports the USGS Concerns and Recommendations Procedure.	1	2	3	4	5
95.	I am familiar with the Lead Lab Concern Program (Sandia Employee Concern Program/Ombudsman).	1	2	3	4	5
96.	I know how to submit a concern, or who to contact, for Lead Lab Concern Program (Sandia Employee Concern Program/Ombudsman).	1	2	3	4	5
97.	I feel free to use the Lead Lab Concern Program (Sandia Employee Concern Program/Ombudsman) without fear of retaliation.	1	2	3	4	5
98.	I would raise a concern to the Lead Lab Concern Program (Sandia Employee Concern Program/Ombudsman) if I were uncomfortable raising the concern through other means.	1	2	3	4	5
99.	I believe that senior management supports the Lead Lab Concern Program (Sandia Employee Concern					
	Program/Ombudsman).	1	2	3	4	5

COMMENT SECTION

If you would like to provide a written comment, please use the space provided below. Your comments will be collected, sorted into relevant themes, and reported to management exactly as written. Please do not include your name or any information that might directly identify you.

Please note that providing a comment does not relieve you of your responsibility to report conditions adverse to quality; violations of ES&H regulations; fraud, waste or abuse; or allegations of retaliation using the appropriate business process.

Please indicate the subject category of your comment.

1. Freedom to Raise Concerns	7. Leadership Effectiveness		13. Godis & Objectives		
2. Knowledge of Retaliation	8. Supervision		14. Knowledge of Four Pillars		
3. Quality	9. Confidence in Concerns Programs		15. Obstacles to Work		
4. Organizational Climate	10. Corrective Action Process11. Teamwork/Cooperation12. Nuclear Safety Culture		16. Engagement		
5. Organizational Values			17. Other		
6. Communication					
Please indicate to which organiza	ation your comment(s) apply:				
1. DOE 3. Lead Laboratory –	Sandia National Laboratory	5. Other Or	ganization		
2. BSC 4. USGS		6. All			