

ET HANDBOOK NO. 336

18th Edition

UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE
STATE QUALITY SERVICE PLAN
PLANNING AND REPORTING GUIDELINES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION

A. Background	i
B. Relationship/Coordination with Other Plans.....	iii
C. Partnership Principles.....	iv
D. Planning Considerations	v
E. OMB Approval.....	v

CHAPTER I - PLANNING

I. INTRODUCTION

II. OVERVIEW OF PROCESS

A. Schedule	I-1
B. Annual Call Memorandum.....	I-1
C. Financial Guidelines and Planning Targets.....	I-2
D. Performance Measures	I-2
E. Performance Assessment.....	I-3
F. State Plan Preparation	I-3
G. SQSP Review and Approval	I-4

III. CONTENT AND SUBMITTAL OF SQSP

A. Content of the SQSP.....	I-4
B. Submittal of the SQSP	I-5

IV. STATE PLAN NARRATIVE

A. Description	I-5
B. Format and Instructions.....	I-7

V. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS PLANS

A. Description	I-7
B. CAP Format Completion.....	I-8

VI. BUDGET WORKSHEETS AND INSTRUCTIONS

A. Worksheet UI-1, UI Staff	I-9
B. SF 424, Application for Federal Assistance.....	I-9
C. Supplemental Budget Requests (SBRs)	I-10

VII. ASSURANCES

A. Assurance of Equal Opportunity (EO)	I-13
B. Assurance of Administrative Requirements and Allowable Cost Standards	I-15
C. Assurance of Management Systems, Reporting, and Record Keeping	I-18
D. Assurance of Program Quality	I-18
E. Assurance on Use of Unobligated Funds	I-19
F. Assurance of Prohibition of Lobbying Costs	I-19
G. Drug-Free Workplace	I-19
H. Assurance of Disaster Recovery Capability	I-19
I. Assurance of Conformity and Compliance	I-19
J. Assurance of Automated Information Systems Security	I-19
K. Assurance of Confidentiality	I-19

VIII. SQSP CONTENT CHECKLIST

A. SQSP Submittal	I-20
B. SBR Submittal	I-20

CHAPTER II - REPORTING

I. INTRODUCTION

II. SUBMITTAL INSTRUCTIONS

A. Use of Computer Printouts in Lieu of Prescribed Forms	II-1
B. Electronic Submittal	II-1
C. Number of Copies and Recipient	II-1
D. Frequency and Due Dates	II-1
E. Program Management Systems Document Numbers	II-1

III. REPORTS

A. UI-3, Quarterly UI Above-base Report	II-2
B. SF 269, Financial Status Report	II-2
C. SF 270, Request for Advance or Reimbursement	II-3

IV. DEFINITIONS

A. Accrued Expenditures	II-3
B. Funding Period	II-4
C. Obligations	II-4
D. Unliquidated Obligations	II-5

E. Automation Acquisition	II-5
F. Program Management Systems Document Numbers	II-6
G. Time Distribution Definitions	II-6

APPENDIX I -- Planning Forms and Formats

A. Sample CAP Format	1
B. State Plan Narrative Outline.....	2
C. SQSP Signature Page	3
D. Worksheet UI-1 (ETA 8623A).....	4
E. Instructions for the UI-1	5
F. SF-424, Application for Federal Assistance.....	6
G. Instructions for the SF-424.....	10
H. SF-424A, Budget Information – Non-Construction Programs	12
I. Instructions for the SF 424A.....	14
J. SF-424B, Assurances – Non-Construction Programs	16

APPENDIX II -- Reporting Forms and Formats

A. Worksheet UI-3	1
B. Instructions for the UI-3	3
C. Financial Status Report, SF-269.....	7
D. Instructions for the SF-269.....	8
E. Request for Advance or Reimbursement, SF-270	9
F. Instructions for the SF-270	10

APPENDIX III -- Performance Measures and UI Programs

A. UI Performs Core Measures.....	1
B. UI Performs Management Information Measures	2
C. Unemployment Insurance Programs.....	5

ET HANDBOOK NO. 336

18th Edition

INTRODUCTION

ET HANDBOOK NO. 336 INTRODUCTION

The SQSP Handbook provides guidelines for the completion and submittal of the State Quality Service Plan (referred to as the SQSP or the State Plan) for the Unemployment Insurance (UI) program and the reports and data elements to be used for financial reporting of state UI program activities.

A. Background

The SQSP represents an approach to the UI performance management and planning process that allows for an exchange of information between the Federal and state partners to enhance the ability of the program to reflect their joint commitment to performance excellence and client centered services. The statutory basis for the SQSP is Title III, Section 302 of the Social Security Act, which authorizes the Secretary of Labor to provide funds to administer the UI program and Sections 303(a)(8) and (9) which govern the expenditure of those funds. Plans are prepared annually since funds for UI operations are appropriated each year. The Department of Labor's (DOL's) annual budget request for state UI operations contains workload assumptions for which the state must plan in order for the Secretary to carry out her responsibilities under Section 303(a)(1) of the Social Security Act, which ensures full payment of unemployment compensation when due. DOL issues financial planning targets based on the budget request. States make plans based on such assumptions and targets via this mechanism.

As part of UI Performs, a comprehensive performance management system for the UI program, the SQSP is the principal vehicle that the state UI programs use to plan, record and manage improvement efforts as they strive for excellence in service. UI Performs was officially announced in August 1995. Unemployment Insurance Program Letter (UIPL) No. 41-95, dated August 24, 1995, outlined a construct for a comprehensive performance management system based on the following:

- a significantly improved data collection infrastructure that provides more management information more frequently;
- performance measures that include national core criterioned measures and a menu of non-criterioned measures for states to use in measuring and improving their program performance;
- a dynamic planning process that is state focused; and
- a goal of continuous improvement with responsibility shared by both state and Federal partners.

UIPL No. 14-05, dated February 18, 2005, and UIPL No. 14-05, Change I, dated October 12, 2005, outlined changes to UI Performs as a result of a review of the system. The changes streamlined UI Performs by:

ET HANDBOOK NO. 336 INTRODUCTION

- reducing the number of measures for which performance criteria are set to a few core measures;
- recognizing remaining measures as management information with no set performance criteria; and
- streamlining the SQSP narrative.

The focus of this Handbook is to provide specific guidance regarding the SQSP, which is the implementing document for the performance management system described above. The State Plan is an integral part of UI Performs. It is, therefore, critical to understand the broader context in which the State Plan is developed.

1. The Continuous Improvement Cycle. UI Performs embraces the continuous improvement cycle advocated by quality practitioners which is commonly known as the “Plan-Do-Check-Act” cycle. It also is referred to as a “closed loop” continuous improvement cycle. It incorporates a strategic planning process of identifying priorities; ongoing collection and monitoring of valid data to measure performance; identification of areas of potential improvement; and development of specific action steps to improve performance, followed by use of available data to determine whether the action steps are successful. The cycle continues indefinitely with the opportunity at any point to reassess priorities, performance, and action that can improve performance.

2. The Performance Measurement System. The system includes both criterioned (Core Measures) and non criterioned (Management Information) measures. The Core Measures are indicators of how well State Workforce Agencies (SWAs) perform critical activities. Core Measures include Tax, Benefits, Appeals, and Reemployment measures. Management Information Measures provide additional insight into UI program operations.

3. The Planning Process. UI Performs emphasizes joint responsibility between states and the Employment and Training Administration (ETA) for setting priorities and responding to performance information both annually and on an ongoing basis. The relationship between the states and ETA will include the following shared responsibilities:

- continued tracking and analysis of performance data;
- identification of Federal and state priorities;
- development of planning directions;
- negotiation to determine improvement levels; and
- development and implementation of strategies to maintain acceptable performance.

ET HANDBOOK NO. 336 INTRODUCTION

Accomplishing these ongoing responsibilities requires an interactive and consultative process between states and ETA.

4. The State Quality Service Plan. The State Plan is intended to be a dynamic document that states can use as a management tool - much like a business plan - not only to ensure strong program performance, but also to guide key management decisions, such as where to focus resources. It should focus the states' efforts to ensure well-balanced performance across the range of UI activities. The State Plan also is designed to be flexible to accommodate, among other things, multi-year planning and significant changes in circumstances during the planning cycle. Although it will be developed in cooperation with the Federal partner, the State Plan is state-focused. The Federal role in the process is designed to be constructive and supportive.

Operationally, the State Plan also serves as the programmatic plan portion of the grant document through which states receive Federal UI administrative funding. To serve this purpose, the state is required to submit budget worksheets and various assurances required in the Federal grant agreement.

The annual State Plan is designed to provide the structure for recording the following kinds of information:

- responses to federally identified priorities;
- performance assessment information;
- short and long term strategies for achieving performance targets;
- corrective action plans (CAPs) for failure to meet Core performance criteria; and
- state strategies for evaluating customer satisfaction and gaining customer input to promote performance excellence.

States are required to submit the SQSP electronically and should contact the RO SQSP Coordinator prior to submittal to coordinate specific details.

B. Relationship/Coordination with Other Plans

The UI program does not stand alone. It is the wage replacement component of an overarching effort to return a worker to suitable work. As such, the SQSP should be developed in concert with other plans which also address the same customer (such as the Wagner-Peyser and Workforce Investment Act plans) to insure a coordinated effort and minimal obstacles for the client in moving from program to program. This coordination will most likely be apparent in the State Plan Narrative portion of the SQSP.

ET HANDBOOK NO. 336

INTRODUCTION

C. Partnership Principles

The three following principles form the basis for carrying out Federal and state responsibilities under UI Performs and the SQSP planning process:

- Basing the federal-state relationship on mutual trust and respect will improve the UI system and its service to the American public;
- Working as equal partners with complementary roles will improve the UI system's quality of service and its integrity; and
- By setting high standards and goals and working together as a team, the system will be strengthened and the entire nation will benefit.

The following are examples of the actions and attitudes which are consistent with these principles:

- Fostering a win-win relationship; advocating for and supporting one another;
- Sharing credit, celebrating successes;
- Being willing to acknowledge the existence of problems, and focus on fixing them instead of placing blame;
- Mutually accepting responsibility for resolving problems and overcoming deficiencies;
- Where there are differences between partners—
 - Trying to resolve disputes equitably and fairly, being willing to compromise to achieve consensus; and
 - Seeking early, informal resolution;
- Fostering open, personal communication;
- Clearly defining partner roles, rights and responsibilities;
- Engaging in joint planning and influencing one another's priorities;
- Promoting innovation and creativity;
- Jointly seeking input from customers;
- Sharing information and resources;
- Recognizing the role and importance of other players at the state and national levels;
- Asserting positive and friendly influence on partners to improve performance; and
- Periodically reviewing the principles and roles.

ET HANDBOOK NO. 336
INTRODUCTION

D. Planning Considerations

This section provides information for states to use in developing their SQSPs.

1. State Agency Resource Planning Targets for UI.

a. Financial Guidelines. States will prepare SQSPs according to financial guidelines transmitted with target funding levels provided by the ROs.

b. Final Allocations. Final allocations may contain increases or decreases from the target funding level, which may require some revisions to submitted or approved State Plans.

2. State Flexibility. States have the flexibility to use the total dollars approved by ETA among the various UI program categories as they deem appropriate. However, for purposes of determining certification of above-base funding for workload above the base, the base staff year levels for claims activities as allocated by ETA will be used. Note that this flexibility does not include special allocations which are identified on a case-by-case basis.

3. State Financial Reporting System. ETA does not prescribe the use of any specific accounting and reporting system by the states. States are free to use any accounting system that meets the standards for state grantee financial management systems prescribed by Federal Regulations at 29 CFR 97.20. However, states must be able to report UI financial information in the form and detail described in Chapter II of this Handbook.

E. OMB Approval

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has approved ET Handbook No. 336 for use through June 30, 2008, in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, under OMB No.1205-0132.

Persons are not required to respond to this collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Respondents' obligation to reply to these reporting requirements is mandatory (20 CFR 97.42). Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to the U.S. Department of Labor, Office of Workforce Security, Room S4231, Washington, D.C. 20210 (Paperwork Reduction Project 1205-0132.)

ET HANDBOOK NO. 336

18th Edition

CHAPTER I - PLANNING

**ET HANDBOOK NO. 336
CHAPTER I - PLANNING**

I. INTRODUCTION

Chapter I of the SQSP Handbook provides guidelines for the completion and submittal of the state SQSP for the UI program and instructions for the SBR process for extraordinary funding.

II. OVERVIEW OF PROCESS

While the SQSP process is ongoing throughout the year, the formal plan submittal occurs once each year in conjunction with the funding cycle and utilizes the following process:

A. Schedule. The significant activities and dates relating to the submittal and subsequent approval of the annual SQSP are estimated to be:

<u>Activity</u>	<u>Approximate Date</u>
National Office (NO) issues Annual Call Memo	Late May
ROs send financial guidelines and planning targets to states	Late June
States submit SF 424, 424A (as necessary), 424B	At RO request or with SQSP at the latest
States electronically submit the SQSP to ROs.	August/September per RO requirement
ROs notify states of SQSP approval	Late September
ROs notify NO of approved SQSPs	No later than September 25
NO Grant Officer transmits UI Annual Funding Agreement to states for signature	Mid September
Execution of UI Annual Funding Agreement with first funding increment	Early October
States submit UI-1 (UI Staff Hours)	October 1

B. Annual Call Memorandum. Each year, formal SQSP submittal will be initiated with a UIPL (Call Memo). States should carefully review the annual Call Memo. This memo will specify the dates relevant to the SQSP process for the approaching fiscal year; summarize Federal Program Emphasis for the year; and identify any special planning requirements in effect for the fiscal year. It also will explain opportunities for increased, targeted funding made available on an annual basis in the President's budget if such opportunities exist.

ET HANDBOOK NO. 336
CHAPTER I - PLANNING

1. **Federal Program Emphasis.** The Federal Program Emphasis summarizes the primary areas in which the Federal partner will focus attention and resources for the planning year. The six-year DOL Strategic Plan and the annual DOL Performance Budget form the basis for the Federal Program Emphasis. Required by Congress under the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA), the Federal plans are an integral part of the Federal budget process. They establish program performance goals and outcomes and identify strategies and performance objectives to attain them. Accordingly, states will want to review the current versions of these planning documents before developing their annual SQSPs. These documents may be found under the “Budget, Performance & Planning” section of the DOL webpage, <http://www.dol.gov/dol/aboutdol/main.htm>.

2. **Special Planning Requirements.** Any special planning considerations or requirements for the planning year will be identified in the Call Memo.

C. **Financial Guidelines and Planning Targets.** Each year the ROs provide preliminary allocations, any special financial instructions for the year, and the deadline for plan submission.

D. **Performance Measures.** UI Performs incorporates two types of performance measures (Core and Management Information). States are encouraged to routinely monitor performance data on both Core and Management Information Measures and to achieve continuous improvement in overall unemployment compensation performance by establishing improvement targets for as many measures as possible.

1. **Core (Criterioned) Measures.** Core Measures are those measures that are considered to be critical indicators of the overall performance of the program. If acceptable levels of performance (ALPs) for them are not met, it signals fundamental impairment in program operations, and triggers corrective action planning. Core Measures are comparable among SWAs and have ALP criteria assigned to them. SWAs are expected to submit corrective action plans (CAPs) if performance falls below the ALPs. See Appendix III, Performance Measures, for a list of the Core Measures and associated criteria.

2. **Management Information (Non-Criterioned) Measures.** Management Information Measures, like Core Measures, are routinely reported by the state using Federal definitions found in ETA Handbook 401, but, with the exception of the Secretary’s Standards¹, have no nationally established Federal criteria for determining the adequacy of the state’s performance. Some Management Information Measures are subsets or components of data included in Core Measures, such as timeliness of Unemployment Compensation for ex-Service Members (UCX) benefit payments, those claiming benefits on an interstate basis, or the individual Tax Performance System (TPS) components of the tax quality measure.

¹ The criteria for measures of Secretary’s Standards are currently in regulation and will remain in effect until the regulation is replaced.

ET HANDBOOK NO. 336
CHAPTER I - PLANNING

These data alert state and Federal managers to performance issues that could result in lower performance on Core Measures and are useful for performance analysis. However, as provided in Federal UI law, the Secretary of Labor retains full authority to address cases of conspicuously poor performance in a state.

E. Performance Assessment

1. **Continuous Assessment.** In the SQSP process, both the Federal partner and the state will routinely access performance data to monitor program performance and initiate corrective action when warranted. CAPs are plans developed in response to data showing state performance below the ALPs established for Core Measures. Also, if a state's performance in one or more Management Information Measures is so conspicuously poor that a state's compliance with Federal law requirements is in question, DOL would require corrective action. Although performance may be viewed at specific points in time (e.g. monthly, quarterly, annually, etc.), each assessment reviews performance over time and focuses not only on performance for the period in question, but also on the trend of performance over the period reviewed (e.g., was performance declining or improving, sustained or erratic).

2. **Annual Assessment.** An annual assessment will augment the ongoing continuous improvement process, and will form the basis for corrective action planning for the SQSP. This annual assessment will utilize the most recent 12 months of performance data. For data reported monthly or quarterly, the assessment will include the 12 months ending March 31 of each year. For data reported annually, the assessment will be based on data reported for the most recent complete calendar year (or other full 12-month period, per reporting requirements).

ETA will make all relevant data available to the states for SQSP purposes, but states have continuous direct access to the data resident on the state SUN computer system, or through the Office of Workforce Security website at <http://www.ows.doleta.gov>. Subsequent performance data that become available during the plan development period (e.g., April, May, June data) should be utilized to refine plans before final submission and approval.

3. **State/Regional Negotiations.** Before the annual SQSP is signed, states and regional administrators must agree on the specific areas for which the state will submit CAPs in the SQSP. These negotiations encompass performance below the established ALPs for Core Measures. CAPs are expected to be submitted if performance is unsatisfactory and an effective plan is not already in place for Core Measures or for improper administration of BAM or BPC activities.

F. State Plan Preparation. States must prepare and transmit an annual SQSP in accordance with the instructions in this Handbook and in the annual SQSP Call Memo. The SQSP, with its

ET HANDBOOK NO. 336
CHAPTER I - PLANNING

CAPs and Narratives, is the state's formal plan and schedule for improving performance. An acceptable SQSP must have state management approval and must authorize the resources necessary to conduct the actions planned.

G. SQSP Review and Approval. ROs shall review SQSPs for completeness, and to make sure that they are in accordance with the instructions and that they reflect negotiated agreements. This review may result in the RO initiating additional discussion or obtaining clarification. A plan that the regional administrator deems unsatisfactory, i.e., failing to meet the requirements identified in this Handbook, shall be returned to the state for revision without approval.

III. CONTENT AND SUBMITTAL OF SQSP

A. Content of the SQSP. The Annual SQSP must contain a transmittal letter, State Plan Narrative, Corrective Action Plans, Budget Worksheets, Organizational Chart, and Signature Page. Each element/document is described below.

1. **Transmittal Letter.** State administrators must prepare and send a cover letter to the appropriate RO transmitting all the required SQSP documents.

2. **State Plan Narrative.** The State Plan Narrative is a vital element of the SQSP that provides a vehicle for sharing with the Federal partner state-specific efforts that affect the administration of the UI Program. The State Plan Narrative allows the state to designate elements on which it intends to focus in the coming year, and describe how those elements are incorporated into a cohesive and comprehensive plan for administration of the UI Program.

Section IV, State Plan Narrative, provides a detailed description and instructions for the format and content of the Narrative. An outline of the Narrative is contained in Appendix I.

3. **Corrective Action Plans.** States are expected to complete and submit CAPs for the following:

- a. Performance that did not meet ALPs for Core Measures for the annual measurement period and remains uncorrected prior to the preparation of the SQSP; and
- b. Failure to properly administer Benefits Accuracy Measurement (BAM) or Benefit Payment Control (BPC) activities resulting in an overpayment detection rate above 95%.

The CAP format is found in Appendix I.

ET HANDBOOK NO. 336
CHAPTER 1 - PLANNING

4. Budget Worksheets. States must complete required budget forms and plan for administration based on projected allocations received from the Federal partner.

All states must complete Worksheet UI-1 and SF 424, and SF 424B. States must complete the SF 424A only if they vary the quarterly distribution of base claims activity staff years.

States must submit the Worksheets UI-1 by October 1 of each year separately from the SQSP submittal. States must include SF 424, SF 424A (if necessary), and SF 424B in the SQSP submittal, if not submitted previously in August at the RO's request.

Completion instructions and facsimiles of these forms are located in Appendix I.

5. Organizational Chart. The state must submit a new organizational chart if its organizational structure has changed in the last year. This organization chart must conform to the requirement for delivery of service through public employment offices, or such other designated providers as the Secretary may authorize; show the state's configuration from the Governor of the state down to the point of Employment Service and UI customer service delivery; and provide sufficient detail to show each organizational unit involved and the title of the unit manager.

6. Signature Page. State administrators must sign and date the Signature Page located in Appendix I. By signing the Signature Page, the state administrator certifies that the state will comply with all the assurances contained in the SQSP guidelines. Therefore, it is not necessary for states to include written assurances with their SQSP submittals.

B. Submittal of the SQSP. States must submit the SQSP to their RO by the date the Region has specified. The SQSP Content Checklist located at the end of this chapter shows all the documents that comprise the entire SQSP. Each state must include a completed Checklist to insure that those documents appropriate to its plan are submitted, and to minimize the potential for a delay in the approval and funding process. Electronic transmittal of the SQSP is required in a format specified by the RO. States must provide their RO with an original SQSP signature page; however, states may submit the signature page electronically, if state law permits.

IV. STATE PLAN NARRATIVE

Of necessity, states engage in an annual planning process and set priorities for the coming year. The State Plan Narrative provides a vehicle for sharing the results of that process with the Federal partner. In addition, it provides an opportunity to report on the integration and coordination with other internal and external plans which serve the same client.

A. Description. The State Plan Narrative consists of a description of major planning elements the state plans to focus on during the fiscal year. The Narrative should be concise, as a more

ET HANDBOOK NO. 336
CHAPTER 1 - PLANNING

detailed discussion with RO staff already may have occurred, or may occur as a follow-up. However, in order to develop RO and NO support for its objectives, the state needs to provide a minimum amount of information relative to the categories defined in a format that allows for follow-up and tracking.

Below are the components to be included in the State Plan Narrative. These components should be addressed in a manner that best describes the state's direction and plans:

- The strategic direction the state has adopted to ensure continuous program improvement, including the basis for the state's choice of areas to emphasize in the planning year, and the actions planned to support performance improvement during the year;
- Assessment of program performance in prior program years;
- Responses to the Secretary of Labor's areas of program emphasis;
- State performance in comparison to the GPRA goals for the U.S. Department of Labor;
- Actions planned to correct the following types of deficiencies regarding program reviews and reporting requirements including:
 - **Program Review Deficiencies.** Uncorrected deficiencies identified in program reviews conducted by the state, or ETA. Examples of such program reviews include Federal programs (Unemployment Insurance for Federal Employees (UCFE), UCX, etc.), BPC, Internal Security, UI Automation Support Account (UIASA) monitoring, and State Audits.
 - **Reporting Deficiencies.** Consistent failure to timely or accurately submit any federally-required reports.
 - **BAM Requirement Deficiencies.** Failure to meet Federal requirements identified in BAM which remain uncorrected. The RO will notify the states when, based on the annual BAM administrative determination, states must describe in the narrative the steps to be taken for correcting the problems in question and provide projected dates for the completion of each step. The BAM requirements are contained at 20 CFR Part 602 and in the Benefits Accuracy Measurement State Operations Handbook (ET Handbook No. 395).
 - **TPS Requirement Deficiencies.** Failure to fully complete all parts of TPS as required in ET Handbook No. 407, Revenue Quality Control, must be addressed in the narrative.

ET HANDBOOK NO. 336
CHAPTER 1 - PLANNING

- **Data Validation Deficiencies.** Failure to fully complete all parts of Data Validation must be addressed in the narrative. In addition, states must address Data Validation population failures.
- Information on the state strategy for evaluating customer satisfaction and including customer input to promote continuous improvement; (optional)
- State's specific requests for technical assistance from the Federal partner; and
- Information on the state's approach to maintaining solvency of the state's unemployment fund.

B. Format and Instructions. The State Plan Narrative outline is contained in Appendix I. The format is intended to provide states flexibility in conveying their overall direction and emphasis while providing for electronic transmittal. States are requested to address each area of the outline, including entering N/A (Not Applicable) where appropriate.

V. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS PLANS

A. Description. These plans consist of a narrative section and milestone summary completed and submitted in the format in Appendix I. Each CAP must be titled as listed in Appendix III.

States are expected to complete and submit CAPs for the following:

1. Performance Deficiencies. Performance that did not meet ALPs established for Core Measures for the annual measurement period and remains uncorrected prior to the preparation of the SQSP is considered deficient.

In many instances, performance deficiencies will have been identified prior to the annual assessment with a CAP already in existence to remedy the problem. Accordingly, the SQSP will not, in many instances, result in the development of a new CAP, unless progress on an existing plan is not on target or does not adequately address milestones for the plan year. Such CAPs (i.e., adequate, existing CAPs) will be incorporated into the SQSP submission along with revised CAPs and CAPs addressing newly identified deficiencies.

2. Improper Administration of BAM or BPC Activities. If improper administration of BAM or BPC activities results in an overpayment detection rate above 95%, the state must submit a CAP designed to produce valid data for the Overpayment Detection Measure. A CAP is required because the administration of BAM and BPC has a direct bearing on this Core Measure.

ET HANDBOOK NO. 336
CHAPTER 1 - PLANNING

3. Conspicuously Poor Performance. If a state's performance in one or more Management Information Measures is so conspicuously poor that a state's compliance with Federal law requirements is in question, DOL would require corrective action.

B. CAP Format Completion. When developing a CAP, states should complete all data elements in the prescribed format. A sample format is contained in Appendix I.

1. **Summary.** This section must:

- a. Explain the reason(s) for the deficiency;
- b. Provide a brief description of the actions/activities which will be undertaken to improve performance.
- c. If a plan was in place the previous fiscal year and performance has not improved as specified in the plan, provide an explanation of why the actions contained in that plan were not successful in improving performance, and an explanation of why the actions now specified are expected to be more successful.
- d. Provide a brief description of plans for monitoring and assessing accomplishment of planned actions and for controlling quality after achieving performance goals.

If the desired improvement will not be accomplished by the end of the fiscal year for which the plan is submitted, the state should provide a multi-year plan which must include: (1) an estimate of where performance will be at the end of the fiscal year; (2) major actions remaining to be taken in subsequent fiscal years; and (3) a projection as to when the performance goal will be achieved.

2. **Milestones.** The state must list both specific milestones (key corrective action or improvement activities) and the completion date for each milestone in the space provided. Milestones must be established for each element of the state's corrective action plan and be of sufficient number and frequency to facilitate state and regional plan oversight and assessment during the fiscal year. It is anticipated that one or more milestones for each quarter would permit such progress tracking and assessment during the fiscal year through state and regional follow-up schedules.

NOTE. Milestones should be concise and should specify key actions to be accomplished throughout the planning year to implement the state's proposals for achieving its corrective action goals. States also may wish to identify performance milestones that reflect the performance level they anticipate will result from completion of planned activities.

ET HANDBOOK NO. 336
CHAPTER 1 - PLANNING

3. **Assembly.** CAPs must use the identical labels and be arrayed in the same order in which they appear in the lists of Measures (see Appendix III).

VI. BUDGET WORKSHEETS AND INSTRUCTIONS

This section contains instructions states will need to follow to prepare resource requests for administering the UI program during the Fiscal Year. Budget worksheets are in Appendix I. Only two UI program operation worksheets (UI-1 and SF 424) are required. State agencies must prepare and submit the UI-1 (via Unemployment Insurance Required Reports (UIRR)) for staff hour estimates, and the SF 424 for base level planning and supplemental grant requests.

A. Worksheet UI-1, UI Staff Hours. A facsimile of Worksheet UI-1 and associated form completion instructions are found in Appendix I. These data are required for the development of annual base planning targets. The UI-1 worksheet is due by submission via the UIRR to the National Office (Attn.: Office of Workforce Security, Division of Fiscal and Actuarial Services) by October 1 of each year.

B. SF 424, Application for Federal Assistance. The regulation at 29 CFR 97.10 requires the use of the OMB Standard Form (SF) 424, Application for Federal Assistance, or other forms approved by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, for an application for grant funds by state grantees. ETA requires that states use the SF 424 for submitting applications for UI base grants and SBRs. The SF 424 must be filled out according to its instructions.

1. Procedures for Submission. States must submit a separate SF 424 and SF 424B for each request for base funding and each SBR. A separate SF 424A also may be required as described in sub-paragraph 2.b. below. In addition, states which submit SBRs must provide supporting justification and documentation. SF 424s are due as requested, or with the SQSP at the latest, for base grants and throughout the year as necessary for SBRs.

2. Form Completion Instructions. States must follow the standard instructions in completing SFs 424, 424A and 424B; however, states are not required to complete all items on the SF 424 and 424A. A facsimile of these forms and completion instructions are found in Appendix I. The following are specific guidelines for completing SFs 424 and 424A.

- a. **SF 424.** States are not required to complete Items 3, 4, 9, 12, and 14 for base grants and SBRs. States must complete the remaining items. In Item 8, all SBRs are considered to be revisions. In Item 12, the title of the project must refer to either the base grant or SBR title and number. SBRs must be numbered sequentially within the fiscal year, e.g., 00-1, 00-2, etc.
- b. **SF 424A.** States must complete Items 1, 6, and 16 for SBRs. States are not required to complete this form for base grants, unless they vary the number of base

ET HANDBOOK NO. 336
CHAPTER 1 - PLANNING

claims activity staff years paid by quarter; states that do so must show the quarterly distribution in Item 23 (Remarks).

C. Supplemental Budget Requests (SBRs). ETA may on occasion award supplemental funds for specific items not funded in the base allocations.

1. Allowable/Unallowable Costs

- a. **Allowable Costs.** States may submit SBRs only for one-time costs that are not a part of base or above base. SBR funds may be used only for the purposes identified in the SBR and/or any modifications to the original agreement approved by ETA.
- b. **Unallowable Costs.** SBR funds may not be used for ongoing costs, such as maintenance of software and hardware, or ongoing communications costs. In addition, SBRs may not be used to pay for salary increases, even when these increases are caused by a law change.

2. Guidelines for Preparing SBR Supporting Documentation. ETA will evaluate and approve all SBRs on the basis of supporting documentation and the justification provided. Insufficient justification may delay processing and result in partial or total disapproval of the SBR.

- a. **Supporting Documentation.** SBRs may address a variety of projects whose scope cannot be fully anticipated. At a minimum, the SBR supporting documentation must contain the following five elements; however, these guidelines will not perfectly fit every SBR. States should use them as a starting point.
 - 1) **Summary.** The SBR should contain a summary that explains what the funds will accomplish. It should identify major capital expenditures, including hardware, software, and telecommunications equipment; staff in excess of base staff; contract staff; and other purchases. It should also state what the final product or results will be when the funds have been expended.
 - 2) **Commitment to Complete Project.** ETA cannot assure the availability of future Federal supplemental funds. Applicants must agree to continue efforts to complete the SBR project, and to supply any additional funds necessary to complete the project in a timely manner. This assurance is necessary to ensure that projects begun with Federal funds are not abandoned due to a lack of additional Federal funding.
 - 3) **Schedule.** If the project activities have not been completed, the SBR must include a projected schedule. The schedule should provide the projected dates for significant activities from start to completion.

ET HANDBOOK NO. 336
CHAPTER 1 - PLANNING

- 4) **Amount of Funding Requested.** The total dollar amount of the SBR must be included. The costs of specific program modules or tasks must also be listed.
- 5) **Description of the Proposed Fund Usage.** The SBR must contain a full description of how the funds are to be used and why the proposed expenditures represent the best use of funds for the state. For each specific program module or task, the SBR must include costs for:
- (a) **Staff.** The request must identify both one-time state staff needs (in excess of base staff) and contract staff needs. Staff needs must include the type of position (e.g., program analysts), the expected number of staff hours, and the projected hourly cost per position.
- (1) **State Staff.** Any staff costs are allowable only for additional staff, not staff previously funded by the state's base grant. Costs incurred by regular state staff assigned to the project on a temporary basis may not be funded by the SBR unless those positions are "back-filled." The request may include costs for staff that conduct training; however, personal services (PS) and personal benefit (PB) costs for staff attending training are not allowable unless those positions are back-filled. Unless otherwise justified, regular, Administrative Staff and Technical Services (AS&T), and above base staff year costs must be based on the state PS and PB rate approved for the current year's UI grant. If not itemized in the SBR, standard add-on costs for support and AS&T staff must be based on the rates approved for the current year's base allocation.
- (2) **Contractor Staff.** For contract staff, the state must supply documentation including the estimated positions and hours, and the anticipated costs. States electing to negotiate with the Information Technology Support Center (ITSC) or other available sources for technical assistance must supply the same information normally requested for all contract staff, including the type of position, the expected staff hours, and the costs.
- (b) **Non-Personal Services (NPS).** States may identify itemized one-time state NPS needs or may calculate staff-related NPS costs by formula. If not itemized in the SBR, staff-related NPS costs (excluding data processing and other needs) must be based on the rates approved for the current year's base allocation.
- (1) **Hardware, Software, and Telecommunications Equipment.** This section must include any hardware, software, and/or telecommunications

ET HANDBOOK NO. 336
CHAPTER 1 - PLANNING

equipment purchases that are a part of the request. Descriptions must show that the sizing and capabilities of the proposed purchases are appropriate for the state. States that receive SBR funds for specific items, and subsequently determine that other items are more suitable, may substitute those items if they submit an amendment to the SBR documenting the appropriateness of the purchase, and ETA approves the substitution. Substitutions must be in line with the overall goals of the project.

SBRs sometimes include requests for items covered under the definition of automation acquisition in Chapter II. The obligation and expenditure periods for these funds are longer than the periods for regular UI base and above base funds. States must clearly identify automation acquisition items in the SBR.

(2) **Travel.** The request may include NPS travel costs; however, PS and PB costs for staff while on travel are not allowable.

(3) **Other.** The request may include one-time costs for other activities, not identified above, anticipated to be obtained from vendors, such as telephone companies, Internet service providers, and telecommunications providers.

b. Additional Required Items for Law Change SBRs. SBRs for law changes must contain the following information:

- 1) The specific bill number of enactment, and effective date of law change.
- 2) Relevant provisions as an attachment.
- 3) Costs per legislative provision and a narrative explaining why costs were or will be incurred for each provision, e.g., implementing tax rate changes; increasing the maximum benefit amount; or creating an alternative base period.
- 4) If a legislative provision benefits both UI and non-UI activities, the SBR must contain a statement certifying that the request is consistent with the state's approved cost allocation plan and is only for costs which, under Federal law, may be funded from UI grants.

c. Supplementary Items. Some SBRs are for large-scale, complex projects that may be accomplished over a period of years. The following items are not required, but would be helpful in the SBR evaluation process:

- 1) **Use of Technology.** If applicable, the request should describe how the state will use technology in this project, including the technical appropriateness of the

ET HANDBOOK NO. 336
CHAPTER 1 - PLANNING

hardware, software, and/or telecommunications equipment for integration with the state's current operating systems.

- 2) **Strategic Design**. The SBR should include a description of the strategic design of the project as evidence of a well-thought-out analysis of operations.
- 3) **Measurable Improvements Expected in UI Operations**. The request should identify the areas in which services could be improved through implementation of the proposed project. Measurable improvements may include accomplishing necessary work using fewer steps, doing work more quickly, incorporating work steps which are not currently accomplished, or reducing the amount of error which presently occurs in the work product.
- 4) **Supporting Materials**. States may attach any additional materials which they believe will enhance the content of the SBR.

VII. ASSURANCES

The State administrator, by signing the SQSP Signature Page, certifies that the state will comply with the following assurances, and that the state will institute plans or measures to comply with the following requirements. A facsimile of the Signature Page appears in Appendix I. Since the Signature Page incorporates the assurances by reference into the SQSP, states should not include written assurances into their SQSP submittal. The assurances are identified and explained in Paragraphs A. through K. below.

A. Assurance of Equal Opportunity (EO). As a condition to the award of financial assistance from ETA, the state must assure that the operation of its program, and all agreements or arrangements to carry out the programs for which assistance is awarded, will comply with the following laws:

- Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended;
- Sections 504 and 508(f) of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended;
- Age Discrimination Act (ADA) of 1975, as amended,
- Section 188 of the Workforce Investment Act; and
- Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, as amended

Further, the state must assure that it will establish and adhere to Methods of Administration that give a reasonable guarantee of compliance with the above equal opportunity and nondiscrimination laws and regulations regarding the program services it provides and in its employment practices. These Methods of Administration must, at a minimum, include the following:

ET HANDBOOK NO. 336
CHAPTER 1 - PLANNING

1. **Designation of an Equal Opportunity Officer.** The state must designate a senior-level individual to coordinate its EO responsibilities. The person designated must report to the top official on equal opportunity and nondiscrimination matters and be assigned sufficient staff and resources to ensure the capability to fulfill the agency's equal opportunity and nondiscrimination obligations.

2. **Equal Opportunity Notice and Communication.** The state must take affirmative steps to prominently display the *Equal Opportunity is the Law* poster in all of its facilities and inform applicants for programs, participants, applicants for employment, and employees:

- a. that the state does not discriminate in admission, access, treatment, or employment; and
- b. of their right to file a complaint and how to do so.

Other than the *Equal Opportunity is the Law* poster, methods of notification of this information may include placement of notices in offices and publication of notices in newsletters, newspapers, or magazines.

3. **Assurances.** The state must develop and implement procedures for transferring nondiscrimination and EO obligations in sub-contracts and sub-agreements.

4. **Universal Access.** The state must take appropriate steps to ensure that they are providing universal access to their programs. These steps should include reasonable efforts to include members of both sexes, various racial and ethnic groups, individuals with disabilities and individuals in differing age groups.

5. **Compliance with Section 504.** The state must take the necessary measures to ensure access to its programs and facilities for persons with disabilities, as well as make certain communication with persons with disabilities is as effective as that with others.

6. **Data Collection and Recordkeeping.** The state must collect such data and maintain such records in accordance with procedures prescribed by the Director of the U.S. Department of Labor's Civil Rights Center. These characteristics data (e.g., race, sex, national origin, age, disability) are utilized to determine whether the state and its local office are in compliance with Federal nondiscrimination and equal opportunity statutes and regulations.

7. **Monitoring.** The state must establish a system for periodically monitoring the delivery of program services for compliance.

ET HANDBOOK NO. 336
CHAPTER 1 - PLANNING

8. Discrimination Complaint Procedures. The state must develop and follow procedures for handling complaints of discrimination covering all of the regulations applicable to it as a recipient of Federal financial assistance.

9. Corrective Actions and Sanctions. The state must establish procedures for taking prompt corrective action regarding any noncompliance finding relating to the administration, management, and operation of its programs and activities.

B. Assurance of Administrative Requirements and Allowable Cost Standards. The State must comply with administrative requirements and cost principles applicable to grants and cooperative agreements as specified in 20 CFR Part 601 (Administrative Procedure), 29 CFR Part 93 (Lobbying Prohibitions), 29 CFR Part 96 and Part 99 (Audit Requirements), 29 CFR Part 97 (Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Cooperative Agreements to State and Local Governments), and OMB Circular A-87 (Revised), 2 CFR 225 (Cost Principles for State, Local, and Indian Tribal Governments), and with administrative requirements for debarment and suspension applicable to sub-grants or contracts as specified in 29 CFR Part 98 (Debarment and Suspension). The state assures that state staff will attend mandatory meetings and training sessions, or return unused funds.

States that have subawards to organizations covered by audit requirements of 29 CFR Part 99 (Audit of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations) must (1) ensure that such subrecipients meet the requirements of that circular, as applicable, and (2) resolve audit findings, if any, resulting from such audits, relating to the UI program.

The state also assures that it will comply with the following specific administrative requirements:

1. Administrative Requirements

a. Program Income. Program income is defined in 29 CFR 97.25 as gross income received by a grantee or subgrantee directly generated by a grant supported activity, or earned only as a result of the grant agreement during the grant period. States may deduct costs incidental to the generation of UI program income from gross income to determine net UI program income. UI program income shall be added to the funds committed to the grant by ETA. The program income must be used only as necessary for the proper and efficient administration of the UI program. Any rental income or user fees obtained from real property or equipment acquired with grant funds from prior awards shall be treated as program income under this grant.

b. Budget Changes. Except as specified by terms of the specific grant award, ETA, in accordance with regulations, waives the requirements in 29 CFR 97.30(c)(1)(ii) that states obtain prior written approval for certain types of budget changes.

ET HANDBOOK NO. 336
CHAPTER 1 - PLANNING

c. **Real Property Acquired with Reed Act Funds.** The requirements for real property acquired with Reed Act or other non-Federal funds and amortized with UI grants are in UIPL 39-97, dated September 12, 1997; 29 CFR 97.31, to the extent amortized with UI grants; and in TEGL 7-04, Issues Related to Real Property Used for ETA Program Purposes.

d. **Equipment Acquired with Reed Act Funds.** The requirements for equipment acquired with Reed Act or other non-Federal funds and amortized with UI grants are in UIPL 39-97, and UIPL 39-97 Changes 1 and 2, and in 29 CFR 97.31, to the extent amortized with UI grants.

e. **Real Property, Equipment, and Supplies**

1) Real property, equipment, and supplies acquired under prior awards are transferred to this award and are subject to the relevant regulations at 29 CFR Part 97.

2) For computer systems and all associated components which were installed in states for the purpose of Regular Reports, BAM, and other UI Activities, the requirements of 29 CFR Part 97 apply. The National Office reserves the right to transfer title and issue disposition instructions in accordance with paragraph (g) of Federal regulations at 29 CFR 97.32. States also will certify an inventory list of system components which will be distributed annually by ETA.

2. **Exceptions and Expansions to Cost Principles.** The following exceptions or expansions to the cost principles of OMB Circular No. A-87 (Revised) are applicable to states:

a. **Employee Fringe Benefits.** As an exception to OMB Circular A-87 (Revised) with respect to personnel benefit costs incurred on behalf of state employees who are members of fringe benefit plans which do not meet the requirements of OMB Circular No. A-87 (Revised), Attachment B, item 11, the costs of employer contributions or expenses incurred for state fringe benefit plans are allowable, provided that:

1) For retirement plans, all covered employees joined the plan before October 1, 1983; the plan is authorized by state law; the plan was previously approved by the Secretary; the plan is insured by a private insurance carrier which is licensed to operate this type of plan in the applicable state; and any dividends or similar credits because of participation in the plan are credited against the next premium falling due under the contract.

2) For all state fringe benefit plans other than retirement plans, if the Secretary granted a time extension after October 1, 1983, to the existing approval of such a

ET HANDBOOK NO. 336
CHAPTER 1 - PLANNING

plan, costs of the plan are allowable until such time as the plan is comparable in cost and benefits to fringe benefit plans available to other similarly employed state employees. At such time as the cost and benefits of an approved fringe benefit plan are equivalent to the cost and benefits of plans available to other similarly employed state employees, the time extension will cease and the cited requirements of OMB Circular A-87 (Revised) will apply.

3) For retirement plans and all other fringe benefit plans covered in (1) and (2) of this paragraph, any additional costs resulting from improvements to the plans made after October 1, 1983, are not chargeable to UI grant funds.

b. UI Claimant's Court Appeals Costs. To the extent authorized by state law, funds may be expended for reasonable counsel fees and necessary court costs, as fixed by the court, incurred by the claimant on appeals to the courts in the following cases:

- 1) Any court appeal from an administrative or judicial decision favorable in whole or in part for the claimant;
- 2) Any court appeal by a claimant from a decision which reverses a prior decision in his/her favor;
- 3) Any court appeal by a claimant from a decision denying or reducing benefits awarded under a prior administrative or judicial decision;
- 4) Any court appeal as a result of which the claimant is awarded benefits;
- 5) Any court appeal by a claimant from a decision by a tribunal, board of review, or court which was not unanimous;
- 6) Any court appeal by a claimant where the court finds that a reasonable basis exists for the appeal.

c. Reed Act. Payment from the state's UI grant allocations, made into a state's account in the Unemployment Trust Fund for the purpose of reducing charges against Reed Act funds (Section 903(c)(2) of the Social Security Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 1103(c)(2)), are permitted provided that the charges against the grant are allowable costs under OMB Circular A-87 and provided that

- 1) The charges against Reed Act funds were for amounts appropriated, obligated, and expended for the acquisition of automated data processing installations or for the acquisition or major renovation of state-owned buildings, but not land; and

ET HANDBOOK NO. 336
CHAPTER 1 - PLANNING

2) With respect to each acquisition or improvement of property, the payments are accounted for as credit against equivalent amounts of Reed Act funds previously withdrawn under the respective appropriation.

d. Prior Approval of Equipment Purchases. As provided for in OMB Circular No. A-87 (Revised), Attachment B, item 19, the requirement that grant recipients obtain prior approval from the Federal grantor agency for all purchases of equipment (as defined in 29 CFR 97.31) is waived and approval authority is delegated to the state administrator.

e. Federal Cash Transaction Report. The state is exempt from submission of the SF 272, Federal Transactions Report, and the SF 272A, Continuation Sheet, per 29 CFR 97.41 (c) discretion.

C. Assurance of Management Systems, Reporting, and Record Keeping. The state assures that:

1. Financial systems provide fiscal control and accounting procedures sufficient to permit timely preparation of required reports, and the tracing of funds to a level of expenditure adequate to establish that funds have not been expended improperly (29 CFR 97.20).
2. The financial management system and the program information system provide federally-required reports and records that are uniform in definition, accessible to authorized Federal and state staff, and verifiable for monitoring, reporting, audit, and evaluation purposes.
3. It will submit reports to ETA as required in instructions issued by ETA and in the format ETA prescribes.
4. It will retain all financial and programmatic records, supporting documents, and other required records at least three years as specified in 29 CFR 97.42(b).
5. The financial management system provides for methods to insure compliance with the requirements applicable to procurement and grants as specified in 29 CFR Part 98 (Debarment and Suspension), and for obtaining the required certifications under 29 CFR 98.510(b) regarding debarment, suspension, ineligibility, and voluntary exclusions for lower tier covered transactions.

D. Assurance of Program Quality. The state assures that it will administer the UI program in a manner that ensures proper and efficient administration. "Proper and efficient administration" includes performance measured by ETA through Core measures, Management Information Measures, program reviews, and the administration of the UI BAM, Benefits Timeliness and Quality (BTQ) measures, Data Validation (DV), and TPS program requirements.

ET HANDBOOK NO. 336
CHAPTER 1 - PLANNING

E. Assurance on Use of Unobligated Funds. The state assures that non-automation funds will be obligated by December 31 of the following fiscal year, and liquidated within 90 days thereafter. ETA may extend the liquidation date upon written request. Automation funds must be obligated by end of the 3rd fiscal year, and liquidated within 90 days thereafter. ETA may extend the liquidation date upon written request. Failure to comply with this assurance may result in disallowed costs from audits or review findings.

Note. Travel costs for state agency personnel are considered obligated when the travel is actually performed.

F. Assurance of Prohibition of Lobbying Costs. The state assures and certifies that, in accordance with the DOL Appropriations Act, no UI grant funds will be used to pay salaries or expenses related to any activity designed to influence legislation or appropriations pending before the Congress of the United States. (29 CFR Part 93)

G. Drug-Free Workplace. The state assures and certifies that it will comply with the requirements at this part. (29 CFR Part 98)

H. Assurance of Disaster Recovery Capability. The state assures that it will maintain a Disaster Recovery Plan.

I. Assurance of Conformity and Compliance. The state assures that the state law will conform to, and its administrative practice will substantially comply with, all Federal UI law requirements, and that it will adhere to DOL directives.

J. Assurance of Automated Information Systems Security. The state assures that its automated information systems have security protections commensurate with the risk and magnitude of harm.

K. Assurance of Confidentiality. The state will keep confidential any business information, as defined at 29 CFR 90.33 and any successor provision(s), it obtains or receives in the course of administering the Trade Adjustment Assistance or Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance programs under this Agreement. The state shall not disclose such information to any person, organization, or other entity except as authorized by applicable state and Federal laws.

VIII. SQSP CONTENT CHECKLIST

The SQSP Content Checklist shows all the documents which comprise the entire SQSP listed by submittal and in order of assembly. Each state must insure that those documents appropriate to its plan are submitted to minimize the potential for a delay in the approval and funding process.

ET HANDBOOK NO. 336
CHAPTER 1 - PLANNING

A. SQSP Submittal

AUGUST SUBMITTAL (Main)

1. Transmittal Letter
2. CAPs
 - Deficient Core Performance
 - Improper Administration of BAM or BPC Activities
3. State Plan Narrative
 - A. Overview
 - B. Federal Emphasis (GPRA goals)
 - C. Program Review Deficiencies
 - (a) Federal Program Reviews (UCFE, UCX, etc.)
 - (b) BPC Reviews
 - (c) Internal Security Reviews
 - (d) Data Validation
 - (e) Automation Grants
 - (f) BAM Requirement Deficiencies
 - 1) Organization
 - 2) Authority
 - 3) Written Procedures
 - 4) Format
 - 5) Sample Selection and Investigation
 - 6) Case Completion Timeliness
 - (g) TPS Requirement Deficiencies
 - (h) Other
 - D. Program Deficiencies
 - E. Reporting Requirements
 - F. Customer Service Surveys (optional)
 - G. Other
4. Budget Worksheets/Forms
 - SF 424, SF 424 (A) & (B) - Application for Federal Assistance (as necessary)
5. Organization Chart
6. Signature Page

OCTOBER SUBMITTAL

UI-1 - UI Staff Hours

B. SBR SUBMITTAL (As Appropriate)

1. Transmittal Letter
2. Budget Worksheets/Forms
 - SF 424, SF 424 (A) & (B) - Application For Federal Assistance

ET HANDBOOK NO. 336
CHAPTER 1 - PLANNING

3. Supporting Documentation
 - Summary
 - Commitment to Complete Project
 - Schedule
 - Description of Proposed Fund Usage
 - Amount of Funding Requested
 - Expenditures
4. Additional SBR Documentation (Law Change SBRs only)
 - Bill Number and Effective Date
 - Relevant Provisions
 - Costs & Narrative by Legislative Provision
 - UI only Statement
5. Optional Supplementary Items (Large-scale, Complex Projects)
 - Technical Approach
 - Strategic Design
 - Measurable Improvements Expected
 - Supporting Materials