## United States Department of Education Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools Partnerships in Character Education Program Character Education and Civic Engagement Technical Assistance Center

## **Needs Assessment Survey for Grantees**

- **Purpose:** Responses to this Needs Assessment Survey will provide guidance to the Partnerships in Character Education Program (PCEP) and the Character Education and Civic Engagement Technical Assistance Center (CETAC) in the development of future technical assistance services, program meetings and products to address the important needs of the character education projects funded under this grant program. In addition, responses to this survey will help in the improvement of current character education products and activities to provide better service in meeting program needs of the PCEP grantees.
- **I. Instructions:** Please select three general areas for which you have the greatest project need. Rank the three areas as follows: indicate 3 (highest), 2, or 1(lowest) priority.

Rank	General Areas	Rank	General Areas
	Grant Administration		School Climate
	Project Implementation		Involvement and Support of Others
	Project Evaluation		Professional Development
	Project Reports		Sustainability of Project

**II. Instructions:** Please prioritize your precise area of need with a mark in the following categories.

AREAS OF PRECISE PROJECT NEEDS		INDICATE LEVEL OF PRIORITY FOR EACH AREA				
Grant Administration		Mediu m	Low	Not Priority	N/A	
		(2)	(1)	(0)		
Key EDGAR Policies and OMB Circulars						
Allowable and Unallowable Costs						
Carryover requests						
No cost extensions						
Personnel changes: e.g., key staff, contracted staff						
Other, please specify:						
Project Implementation		Mediu m	Low	Not Priority	N/A	
		(2)	(1)	(0)	,, .	
Integrating character education into the curriculum						
Fully implementing the CE program						
Documenting activities for evaluation purposes						
Using evaluation results to improve program implementation						
Involving students with disabilities						
Other, please specify:						

Project Evaluation	High	Mediu m	Low	Not Priority	N/A
	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)	
Maintaining a rigorous evaluation design					
Addressing the GPRA indicator to demonstrate predicted student effects through valid, rigorous evaluation					
Addressing outcomes for teachers					
Getting approval of an Institutional Review Board (IRB) for human subjects protection, e.g., parental informed consent					
Recruiting a sample (schools, teachers, students)					
Identifying appropriate data collection instruments					
Ensuring reliability and validity of instruments					
Analyzing evaluation data					
Other, please specify:					
Project Reports	High	Mediu m	Low	Not Priority	N/A
	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)	,,,
Writing the Performance Report					
Writing the Evaluation Report					
Reporting "lessons learned"					
Reporting student outcomes, e.g., behaviors, academics					
Other, please specify:					
School Climate	High	Mediu m	Low	Not Priority	N/A
	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)	
Gaining support of school building administrators					
Gaining unified staff support					
Increasing staff modeling of CE principles					
Reducing discipline problems in school					
Instituting effective discipline strategies					
Improving teacher morale					
Other, please specify:					
Other, please specify:	High	Mediu	Low	Not	
	High (3)		Low (1)	Not Priority (0)	N/A
Other, please specify: Involvement and Support of Others Improving parental involvement		m	-	Priority	N/A
Other, please specify: Involvement and Support of Others Improving parental involvement Gaining administrative buy-In		m	-	Priority	N/A
Other, please specify: Involvement and Support of Others Improving parental involvement		m	-	Priority	N/A
Other, please specify: Involvement and Support of Others Improving parental involvement Gaining administrative buy-In		m	-	Priority	N/A
Other, please specify: Involvement and Support of Others Improving parental involvement Gaining administrative buy-In Increasing community and business Involvement Other, please specify:		m (2)	-	Priority	N/A
Other, please specify: Involvement and Support of Others Improving parental involvement Gaining administrative buy-In Increasing community and business Involvement	(3)	m (2)	(1)	Priority (0)	N/A

Identifying effective training methods, e.g., face-to-face, train-the-trainer, web-based						
Other, please specify:						
Sustainability of Project	High (3)	Mediu m (2)	Low (1)	Not Priority (0)	N/A	
Maintaining support from teachers						
Maintaining support from school and district administrators						
Maintaining support from community						
Maintaining support from parents and students						
Coordinating efforts within and across schools in the district						
Effective communication about program to stakeholders						
Use of local media						
Other, please specify:						

**III. Instructions:** Please respond to all of the questions below to let us know how PCEP products and activities can improve to meet the project needs.

PCEP PRODUCTS AND ACTIVITIES							
1. CETAC Web site <u>www.cetac.org</u>							
Have you used the CETAC Web site during this past year?			Yes	No			
If no, why not?	<u> </u>	- ,					
If yes, how often?	U Weekly	Monthl	y 🗌 Quarterly	Less than quarterly			
Did you find the information ye	ou were looking for?		Yes	No			
Did you find the site easy to n	avigate?		Yes	No			
Did you find the information o	n the site helpful?		🗌 Yes	No			
If yes, how was the informatio	n used?						
If no, why not?							
Suggestions for improvement of the Web site:							
Other comments on the Webs	ite:						
2. CETAC E-Newsletters							
Have you read a CETAC E-New	/sletter?	Yes	No				
If yes, how would you rate the newsletter?	Excellent	Good	🗌 Fair 🗌 Poo	r			
If no, why not?							
Did you find the information in helpful?	the E-Newsletter		Yes				
If yes, how was the informatio	No						
If no, why not?							
Suggestions for improvement	of the E-Newsletter:						
Other comments on the E-New	vsletter:						
3. PCEP Annual Grantee M	eeting						

Have you attended a PCEP Annual Grantee Meeting?	– 🗌 Yes 🗌 No						
If no, why not?							
If yes, how would you rate the PCEP Annual Grantee	Eair Poor						
Did you find the information presented at the annual grantee meeting(s) you attended helpful?	Yes No						
If yes, how was the information used?							
If no, why not?							
Suggestions for improvement of PCEP Annual Grantee Meeting:							
Other comments on the PCEP Annual Grantee Meeting:							
4. Technical Assistance Workshops							
Have you attended a Technical Assistance Workshop? (i.e., evaluation symposium, evaluation workshop CA or GA) If no, why not?	🗌 No						
If yes, how would you rate the TA Workshop (s)?	🗌 Fair 🗌 Poor						
Did you find the information and resources presented at the TA workshop (s) helpful?	Yes No						
If yes, how was the information and resources used?							
If no, why not?							
Suggestions for improvement of TA Workshops:							
Other comments on TA workshops:							

## Thank you for your input!

Paperwork Burden Statement

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency is not allowed to collect information unless it displays a valid OMB control number and no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is xxxx-xxxx. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 30 minutes per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, please write to: U.S. Department of Education, Washington, D.C. 20202-4651. If you have comments or concerns regarding the status of your individual submission of this form, write directly to: Office of Safe and Drug-Free Schools, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Independence Avenue, S.W., LBJ/Room 3E247, Washington, D.C. 20202.

## SUBMIT BUTTON