# Supporting Statement for the Rule Implementing the Privacy Provisions of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act 16 C.F.R. Part 313 (OMB Control No. 3084-0121)

### (1) & (2) <u>Necessity for and Use of the Information Collection</u>

The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act ("GLBA" or the "Act"), Pub. L. No.106-102, 113 Stat. 1338 (November 12, 1999), permits banks to affiliate with firms engaged in insurance, securities, and other financial activities. Title V, Subtitle A of the GLBA ("Subtitle A") provides certain privacy protections to consumers. The Federal Trade Commission ("Commission") is charged with prescribing rules as necessary to implement the provisions of Subtitle A as to those entities over which the Commission has enforcement jurisdiction.<sup>1</sup> Accordingly, the Commission promulgated the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Financial Privacy Rule ("GLB Privacy Rule" or "Rule").

As mandated by the GLBA, the Rule implements consumer disclosure requirements. These requirements are subject to the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35 ("PRA"). The required disclosures are: (1) initial notice of the financial institution's privacy policy when establishing a customer relationship with a consumer and/or before sharing a consumer's non-public personal information with certain nonaffiliated third parties; (2) notice of the consumer's right to opt out of information sharing with such parties; (3) annual notice of the institution's privacy policy to any continuing customer; and (4) notice of changes in the institution's practices on information sharing. The Rule does not include recordkeeping requirements.

The Rule's requirements are designed to ensure that customers and consumers, subject to certain exceptions, will have access to the privacy policies of the financial institutions with which they conduct business. The privacy policies must state: (a) the categories of nonpublic personal information the financial institution collects; (b) the categories of nonpublic personal information the financial institution discloses; (c) the categories of affiliates and nonaffiliated third parties to whom the financial institution discloses such information; and (d) the financial institution's policies and practices with respect to protecting the confidentiality, security, and integrity of the information. In certain situations, consumers will also be informed of the means by which they can opt-out of financial institution sharing of their nonpublic personal information with nonaffiliated third parties.

### (3) Information Technology

The Rule gives explicit examples of electronic options that financial institutions may use to transmit the privacy and opt-out notices required by the Rule. <u>See, e.g.</u>, 16 C.F.R. § 313.9(b), (c), (e). These electronic options help minimize the burden and cost of the Rule's information collection requirements for financial institutions subject to the Rule, and are consistent with the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. §§ 6804, 6805. Several other agencies were also required to issue rules with respect to those entities over which they have enforcement jurisdiction.

objectives of the Government Paperwork Elimination Act. <u>See</u> Pub. L. 105-277, Title XVII, 112 Stat. 2681, 2681-749, <u>reprinted in</u> 44 U.S.C. § 3504 note.

### (4) <u>Efforts to Identify Duplication</u>

Any inconsistent state notice requirement would be preempted by federal law unless it provided greater protection. 15 U.S.C. § 6807. Further, the Rule provides, as required under 15 U.S.C. § 6803(c)(4), that the financial institution's initial and annual notices include any disclosures required under Section 603(d)(2)(A)(iii) of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d)(2)(A)(iii), thereby incorporating, but not duplicating, a pre-existing disclosure obligation to consumers.

### (5) <u>Efforts to Minimize Small Organization Burden</u>

The Commission has drafted the Rule to minimize the compliance burden as much as possible. As noted above, the notice requirements are expressly mandated by the GLBA. The Rule implements these requirements by providing guidance on the contents of such notices while affording small businesses (and all other regulated businesses) some flexibility in choosing the means to disseminate such notices. For example, the required notices may, depending upon the circumstances, be disclosed by hand-delivery, conventional or electronic mail. 16 C.F.R. § 313.9(b)(1).

The GLBA Rule also gives regulated parties clear guidance on the contents of the required notices. This guidance, staff believes, will help eliminate much of the administrative and legal costs that might be incurred by businesses seeking to determine what must be included in a notice in order to comply with the Rule.

### (6) <u>Consequences of Conducting Collection Less Frequently</u>

A less frequent "collection" would violate both the express statutory language and intent of the GLBA. <u>See</u> Sections 502(a) - (b), 503(a) of the GLBA.

#### (7) <u>Circumstances Requiring Collection Inconsistent With Guidelines</u>

The collection of information in the Rule is consistent with all applicable guidelines contained in 5 C.F.R. § 1320.5(d)(2).

#### (8) <u>Public Comments/Consultation Outside the Agency</u>

The Commission initially sought public comment on the various aspects of the Rule, including its PRA implications, in its notice of proposed rulemaking. See 65 Fed. Reg. 11,174, 11,188 (March 1, 2000). It addressed the comments received when it published the final version of the Rule. 65 Fed. Reg. 33,646, 33,677 (May 24, 2000). As noted in the latter publication, the Commission did not receive any comments that necessitated modifying the burden estimates presented with the proposed rule. Moreover, as required by the GLBA, staff had consulted with the other affected federal agencies on drafting the proposed rule, seeking to achieve clarity,

consistency, and comparability among their respective rules implementing the GLBA. See section 504(a)(2) of the GLBA.

The FTC sought public comment on PRA aspects of the Rule, as required by 5 C.F.R. 1320.8(d). <u>See</u> 73 Fed. Reg. 17,980 (Apr. 2, 2008). No comments were received. The FTC is providing a second opportunity for public comment while seeking OMB approval to extend the existing PRA clearance for the Rule.

#### (9) <u>Payments or Gifts to Respondents</u>

Not applicable.

### (10) & (11) Assurances of Confidentiality/Matters of a Sensitive Nature

The requirements for which the Commission seeks renewed OMB clearance do not involve disclosure of confidential respondent or customer information but, rather, the disclosure of financial institutions' practices regarding collection and sharing of consumer and customer nonpublic personal information. This is done with a view toward safeguarding consumer privacy and/or enhancing their understanding of what nonpublic personal information respondents may share with other institutions.

#### (12) Estimated Annual Hours Burden

As noted in the original burden estimate for the GLB Privacy Rule, determining the paperwork burden of the Rule's disclosure requirements is very difficult because of the highly diverse group of affected entities, consisting of financial institutions not regulated by a federal financial regulatory agency. <u>See</u> 15 U.S.C. 6805 (committing to the Commission's jurisdiction entities that are not specifically subject to another agency's jurisdiction).

The burden estimates represent the FTC staff's best assessment, based on its knowledge and expertise relating to the financial institutions subject to the Commission's jurisdiction under this law. To derive these estimates, staff considered the wide variations in covered entities. In some instances, covered entities may make the required disclosures in the ordinary course of business, apart from the GLB Privacy Rule. In addition, some entities may use highly automated means to provide the required disclosures, while others may rely on methods requiring more manual effort. The burden estimates shown below include the time that may be necessary to train staff to comply with the regulations. These figures are averages based on staff's best estimate of the burden incurred over the broad spectrum of covered entities.

Staff retains its prior estimate of the number of entities each year that will address the GLB Privacy Rule for the first time (5,000) and its estimate of established entities already familiar with the Rule (100,000). While the number of established entities familiar with the Rule would theoretically increase each year with the addition of new entrants, staff retains its previous estimate of established entities given that a number of the established entities will close in any given year, and also given the difficulty of establishing a more precise estimate. Staff's burden estimates for new entrants and established entities are detailed in the charts below.

Start-up hours and labor costs for new entrants
---

Event	Hourly wage and labor category*	Hours per Respondent	Approx. Number of Respondents	Approx. Total Annual Hrs.	Approx. Total Labor Costs
Reviewing internal policies and developing GLBA- implementing instructions <sup>**</sup>	\$31.66 managerial/ professional	20	5,000	100,000	\$3,166,000
Creating disclosure document or electronic disclosure (including initial, annual, and opt out disclosures)	\$14.71 clerical	5	5,000	25,000	\$367,750
	\$32.82 professional /technical	10		50,000	\$1,641,000
Disseminating initial disclosure (including opt out notices)	\$14.71 clerical	15	5,000	75,000	\$1,103,250
	\$32.82 professional /technical	10		50,000	\$1,641,000
Total				300,000	\$7,919,000
* Staff calculated labor of based on mean wages fo professional/technical tin clerical time (e.g., reprod National Compensation 3 (Management, profession Wages 2006, Table 2, ava services - business and fi assumes that the time rec electronically) would be * Reviewing instruction extent the respondent is determine the appropriat	r managerial/profes ne (e.g., designing duction tasks, filing, Survey, June 2006, ' nal, and related; offi ailable at <u>http://www</u> inancial operations). quired of consumers minimal. ns includes all effort covered by an agence	sional time (e.g., co and producing notic and, where applical Table 1, available at ce and administrativ v.bls.gov/news.relea Labor cost totals r to respond affirmat s performed by or for cy collection of infor	mpliance evaluation res, reviewing and u ble to the given ever <u>http://www.bls.gov</u> ve support) and BLS <u>use/pdf/ocwage.pdf</u> eflect solely that of ively to respondents or the respondent to rmation, understand	and/or planning), pdating informatic at, typing or mailir /ncs/ocs/sp/ncbl00 Occupational Em (professional, scienthe commercial en contention of the program determine wheth the nature of the p	on systems), and ng). See BLS <u>910.pdf</u> ployment and ntific, and technical tities affected. Staff s (be it manually or wer and to what request, and

# Burden hours and costs for established entities:

Burden for established entities already familiar with the Rule predictably would be less than for start-up entities because start-up costs, such as crafting a privacy policy, are generally one-time costs and have already been incurred. Staff's best estimate of the average burden for these entities is as follows:

Event	Hourly wage and labor category*	Hours per Respondent	Approx. Number of Respondents <sup>**</sup>	Approx. Total Annual Hours	Approx. Total Labor Costs
Reviewing GLBA- implementing policies and practices	\$31.66 managerial/ professional	4	70,000	280,000	\$8,864,800
Disseminating annual disclosure	\$14.71 clerical	15	70,000	1,050,000	\$15,445,500
	\$32.82 professional /technical	5		350,000	\$11,487,000
Changes to privacy policies and related disclosures	\$14.71 clerical	15	1,000	15,000	\$220,650
	\$32.82 professional /technical	5		5,000	\$164,100
Total				1,700,000	\$36,182,050

Staff calculated labor costs by applying appropriate hourly cost figures to burden hours; labor cost totals reflect solely that of the commercial entities affected. The hourly rates used were based on mean wages for managerial/professional time (e.g., compliance evaluation and/or planning), professional/technical time (e.g., designing and producing notices, reviewing and updating information systems), and clerical time (e.g., reproduction tasks, filing, and, where applicable to the given event, typing or mailing). See BLS National Compensation Survey, June 2006, Table 1, available at <u>http://www.bls.gov/ncs/ocs/sp/ncbl0910.pdf</u> (Management, professional, and related; office and administrative support) and BLS Occupational Employment and Wages 2006, Table 2, available at <u>http://www.bls.gov/news.release/pdf/ocwage.pdf</u> (professional, scientific, and technical services - business and financial operations). Consumers have a continuing right to opt-out, as well as a right to revoke their opt-out at any time. When a respondent changes its information sharing practices, consumers are again given the opportunity to opt-out. Again, staff assumes that the time required of consumers to respond affirmatively to respondents' opt-out programs (be it manally or electronically) would be minimal.

The estimate of respondents is based on the following assumptions: (1) 100,000 respondents, approximately 70% of whom maintain customer relationships exceeding one year, (2) no more than 1% (1,000) of whom make additional changes to privacy policies at any time other than the occasion of the annual notice; and (3) such changes will occur no more often than once per year.

As calculated above, the total annual PRA burden hours and labor costs for all affected entities in a given year would be 2,000,000 hours and \$44,101,000, respectively.

#### (13) Estimated Capital/Other Non-Labor Costs Burden

Staff estimates that the capital or other non-labor costs associated with the document requests are minimal. Covered entities will already be equipped to provide written notices (e.g., computers with word processing programs, typewriters, copying machines, mailing capabilities). Most likely, only entities that already have on-line capabilities will offer consumers the choice to receive notices via electronic format. As such, these entities will already be equipped with the computer equipment and software necessary to disseminate the required disclosures via electronic means.

# (14) Estimate of Cost to Federal Government

Over the course of the 3-year clearance period sought, enforcing and administering Subtitle A of the GLBA will require the cumulative expenditure per year of approximately five attorney/investigator work years (approximately \$72,000 per employee) for a total of \$360,000 in labor costs. In addition, staff estimates that associated travel costs, clerical, and other support services will total approximately \$20,000 per year. Thus, the annualized approximate cost to the Commission relating to enforcing and administering Subtitle A and the implementing Rule is \$380,000.

### (15) Program Changes or Adjustments

Staff has adjusted its various labor cost estimates regarding respondents based on more recent data from the Bureau of Labor Statistics. Apart from labor costs, staff's burden estimates remain unchanged.

# (16) <u>Statistical Use of Information</u>

There are no plans to publish information associated with the Rule's requirements for statistical use.

# (17) <u>Display of Expiration Date for OMB Approval</u>

Not applicable.

### (18) Exceptions to Certification

Not applicable.