PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT

Personnel and Readir and Public Law 108-4 Language Service Co	C. 301, Departmental Regulations; 10 U.S.C. 131, Office of the Secretary of Defense; DoD Directive 5124.2, Under Secret ness; 50 U.S.C. 403-1b, War and National Defense; Public Law 109-364, Sec. 944, Administration of Pilot Project on Civilia 187, Sec. 613, Pilot Project on Civilian Linguist Reserve Corps. The OSD System of Records Notice name and number for pros (NLSC) Pilot, DHRA 05.	an Linguist Reserve Corps; this collection is National
Assessments as an ir The information collect preliminary backgrour Background checks a ROUTINE USE(S): T objectives. The DoD	SE(S): To allow U.S. citizens aged 18 years and older with language and special skills to self-identify these skills by compl nitial indicator of language proficiency. Those selected for activation and deployment will be officially tested to validate NLS cted will be used to identify and contact NLSC Charter Members and prospective Charter Members in times of need. The on the checks prior to any final appointment in the NLSC of only those individuals the NLSC expects to employ temporarily duri- re not expected for the remaining Charter Members. To Federal Government agencies requesting language support to facilitate U.S. efforts on the war on terrorism or in furthera "Blanket Routine Uses" set forth at the beginning of OSD's compilation of systems of records notices apply to this system. ntary. However, failure to provide information may result in non-enrollment in the NLSC Pilot, and refusal to grant access t et portal.	SC Self Assessment skills. collection will allow ing the Pilot program. ance of national security
1. Self-rating of li	istening proficiency in	
	(Name of NLSC target language)	
	INSTRUCTIONS	
Afterwards, decid	ler the following five descriptions of listening proficiency (numbered 1 through 5, including 1+ through which description most accurately represents your overall listening proficiency in the language officiency is substantially better than one level but not consistently as good as the next higher level.	e named above. If
	When I engage in simple face-to-face conversations on familiar topics, I often	(X as appropriate)
Elementary Proficiency	misunderstand people, but I am able to ask them to slow down and repeat what they say. Within those limitations, I can understand them when they talk about basic survival needs such as getting a meal, obtaining a place to stay, getting directions and other travel-related information so long as the person is speaking more clearly than normal at a rate slower than normal, with frequent repetitions or rewording.	1
		1+
Limited Working Proficiency	I can understand face-to-face speech in standard pronunciation spoken at a normal pace, with some repetition and rewording even when spoken by a native speaker who is not used to speaking to people with limited proficiency in this language. I can understand speech dealing with everyday topics, common personal and family news, well-known current events, and routine work matters. I can understand descriptions of different places and discussions about past, current, and future events. I can follow the essential points of the average discussion on work-related topics in my field. I can understand the facts, such as reported in news broadcasts, but I do not grasp deeper/hidden meanings or implications expressed through more complex language.	2
		2+
General Professional Proficiency	I can understand the essentials of all speech in standard pronunciation, including discussions within my field of interest or specialization. I can follow accurately the essentials of conversations between educated native speakers of the language, reasonably clear telephone calls, radio broadcasts, news stories, oral reports, some oral technical reports, and public presentations on non-technical subjects. I can infer meanings that are not directly stated. I have trouble understanding native speakers if they speak very quickly or use dialect or slang.	3
	•	3+
Advanced Professional Proficiency	I can understand all forms and styles of speech pertinent to my social and professional needs. This includes speech involving extensive and precise vocabulary, subtleties and nuances in standard dialects of the language, and technical discussion on professional topics within the range of my knowledge. I can understand language tailored to different audiences and purposes, including persuasion, representation, counseling, and negotiating. I can readily infer meanings and implications. I can easily understand all social conversations, radio broadcasts, and phone calls. I may experience some difficulty understanding speech heard under unfavorable conditions, such as through a poor quality loudspeaker or radio or in a noisy room.	4
		4+
Educated Native Proficiency	I can fully understand educated and academic speech, abstract and professional discussions, regional dialects, highly colloquial speech, jokes and puns, and speech in noisy places or heard under unfavorable acoustic conditions. My comprehension of the language is fully equivalent to that of a well-educated native speaker.	5
		Page 1 of 4 Pages

2. Self-rating of writing proficiency in (Name of NLSC target language) INSTRUCTIONS Read and consider the following five descriptions of writing proficiency (numbered 1 through 5, including 1+ through 4+). Afterwards, decide which description most accurately represents your overall writing proficiency in the language named above. If your language proficiency is substantially better than one level but not consistently as good as the next higher level, select the appropriate "plus" level. (X as appropriate) I can handle limited everyday needs by writing simple statements and questions on very familiar topics. For example, I can write down simple phone messages, excuses, notes to Elementary service people and simple notes to friends. My vocabulary is very limited, and I make a lot Proficiency 1 of grammatical errors, but someone who is used to dealing with a nonnative writer can understand most of my simple ideas. 1+ I can handle routine social correspondence and write basic documentation on matters that address most limited work requirements. I can produce simple written texts about a very Limited Working limited number of current events or daily situations. I am able to control most common 2 Proficiency formats and punctuation conventions, and people usually understand what I write even if they are not accustomed to nonnative writing. 2+ I can produce effective writing in most formal and informal written exchanges on practical, social and professional topics. The types of writing I can produce competently include General reports, summaries, and short library research papers on current events, on particular areas Professional 3 of interest or on special fields. I can use complex sentences appropriately, and I control Proficiency most common grammatical structures. As a result, I am also able to connect different ideas in clear ways. 3+ I can produce written language precisely and accurately in a variety of prose styles pertinent to professional and educational needs. I am comfortable enough with grammar and writing conventions to be able to tailor what I write to suit particular audiences and to express Advanced Professional subtleties and nuances. I can produce clear and well-organized prose using a variety of 4 Proficiency organizational patterns and cohesive devices such as ellipses, parallelisms, and subordination. I can write on all topics normally pertinent to professional and educational needs and on social issues of a general nature. 4+ My writing proficiency is equal to that of a well educated native. I can write and edit informal Educated and formal correspondence, official reports and documents, and professional/educational Native 5 articles. I can produce or edit different genres of writing including legal, technical, Proficiency educational and literary writing. I can write expressively and imaginatively without any pattern of errors.

3. Self-rating of re	eading proficiency in	
	INSTRUCTIONS	
decide which des	er the following five descriptions of reading proficiency (numbered 1 through 5, including 1+ thro scription most accurately represents your overall reading proficiency in the language named abor stantially better than one level but not consistently as good as the next higher level, select the a	ve. If your language
Elementary Proficiency	I am able to read very simple text that is printed clearly with normal-style letters. For example, I can read and understand descriptions of persons, places or things. I can also understand written explanations of geography and government such as those simplified for tourists, though I sometimes misinterpret what I read. When looking at more complex texts, I can only get some main ideas and locate prominent information or subject matter.	(X as appropriate) 1
		1+
Limited Working Proficiency	I can read simple written material in print on subjects I am familiar with. I am able to read straightforward, familiar and factual material with only some misunderstandings. I can locate the main ideas and details in material written for the general public. I can read uncomplicated prose on familiar subjects. I can generally comprehend most news articles involving description or narration of current events, travel, food, and music. I can also comprehend simple biographies, social notices, personal correspondence, routine business letters, and simple technical material written for the general public.	2
	NEEDS DD 67	2+
General Professional Proficiency	I can read a variety of materials on unfamiliar subjects with normal speed and almost complete comprehension. I can fully understand news stories or international news items in magazines, routine correspondence, editorials, and general reports. I can understand technical reports and other materials in my field of specialization even when they include hypothesis, argumentation, and supported opinions. I can read and comprehend literature, including short stories, novels, and plays. I can usually read between the lines, if necessary. I rarely have to reread general vocabulary; however, I may have some difficulty with unusually complex structures or low frequency idioms.	3
		3+
Advanced Professional Proficiency	I can read nearly all styles and forms of the language pertinent to professional needs fluently and accurately. I can readily follow unpredictable turns of thought and author intent in such materials as sophisticated editorials, specialized journal articles, professional documents, and complex literary texts, as well as any subject directed toward the nonspecialized reader. I can also read legal documents and legal texts written for the general reader and documents from earlier periods containing archaic forms of language.	4
		4+
Educated Native Proficiency	I can fully understand extremely difficult and abstract prose, including general legal and technical material, as well as contemporary and classical literature. I can read classical or archaic forms of writing as well as a well-educated native. I can read a wide variety of handwritten documents. My speed and comprehension are equal to that of a well-educated native reader.	5

4. Self-rating of speaking proficiency in (Name of NLSC target language) INSTRUCTIONS Read and consider the following five descriptions of speaking proficiency (numbered 1 through 5, including 1+ through 4+). Afterwards, decide which description most accurately represents your overall speaking proficiency in the language named above. If your language proficiency is substantially better than one level but not consistently as good as the next higher level, select the appropriate "plus" level. (X as appropriate) I can engage in simple face-to-face conversations on familiar topics. People often misunderstand me, but I am able to make myself understood to people used to dealing with Elementary nonnative speakers by repeating myself. I have a very simple vocabulary and make a lot of Proficiency 1 grammatical errors, but I am able to take care of a lot of survival needs such as greeting people, introducing people, telling people about myself, and asking for basic information about hours of operation, how much things cost, simple directions to destinations, etc. 1+ I can handle informal conversations successfully. I can begin, continue, and bring to completion a variety of communication tasks. I can handle most everyday social Limited conversation with some confidence, but not with ease, including casual conversations about Working 2 current events, work, family, and autobiographical information. I can describe events Proficiency occurring in the past, present, and future. I have control of everyday vocabulary sufficient to discuss general topics of a concrete nature. 2+ I can participate effectively and with ease in both formal and informal conversations on abstract and professional topics as well as practical and social topics. I can discuss my General particular interests and fields of competence with ease. In conversation, I can answer Professional 3 objections, clarify points, justify decisions, state and defend policy, or give a briefing. I show Proficiency some breadth of vocabulary. I make occasional errors with low frequency and complex language, but these almost never impede communication. 3+ I can speak the language fluently and accurately and with a high degree of effectiveness, reliability, and precision for all purposes within the range of my personal and professional Advanced experience and scope of responsibilities, even when communicating in sophisticated and Professional 4 demanding conversations. I can communicate effectively with varied audiences, purposes, Proficiency tasks and settings. If a nonnative speaker, I may exhibit a slight foreign accent, although this never disturbs the native listener. 4+ I speak the language as well as a highly articulate, well-educated, native speaker. I can use the language with complete flexibility and intuition, so that my speech on all levels is fully Educated accepted by, and equivalent to, a well-educated native speaker in all of its features, Native 5 including breadth of vocabulary, colloquialisms, and pertinent cultural references. My Proficiency pronunciation is consistent with that of an educated native speaker of a standard dialect of the language.