OMB No: 0925-0474 Expiration Date: 9/30/2011

Enhancing Peer Review Initiative

Survey of Applicants





Sponsored by:

National Institutes of Health

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number of this information collection is 0925-0474. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 15 minutes per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, please write to: NIH, Project Clearance Branch, 6705 Rockledge Drive, MSC 7974, Bethesda, MD 20892-7974 Attn: PRA (0925-0474). If you have comments or concerns regarding the status of your individual submission of this form, write directly to: RTI International, 3040 Cornwallis Road, PO Box 12194, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2194. 1-800-334-8561 Attn: RTI Project 0212255)

Introduction

This survey of NIH grant applicants is to help examine **NIH's Enhancing Peer Review Initiative** (http://enhancing-peer-review.nih.gov/). The objectives of the initiative are to engage the best reviewers, improve the quality and transparency of peer review, and ensure balanced and fair reviews. This is the first annual "point in time" survey to gather applicants' opinions about the peer review process. This information will be useful in assessing the changes introduced by the Enhancing Peer Review Initiative and may be used to further improve the peer review process.

You have been **randomly selected** to participate in this survey from a pool of individuals who submitted at least one NIH research grant application from January 2008 through May 2009. We are interested in your opinions, regardless of whether or not the application(s) you submitted during this time period was funded. Even if you have limited experience submitting grant applications, **your opinions are very important to us.**

The survey should take approximately 15 minutes to complete. You can stop at any point and continue at another time. There are no right or wrong answers, so please give the answer that best describes your opinion. While we would like you to answer all the questions in this survey, you may skip any questions that you do not wish to answer.

Your participation is entirely voluntary. If you choose to complete the survey, your responses will remain **anonymous**. Your responses will **not** be linked to your name and will **not** be made known to NIH staff or peer reviewers. They will not be used to assess the performance of individual NIH Institutes, Centers, or Scientific Review Groups. Aggregate responses will be used to guide NIH management in refining enhancements to the peer review process.

Your participation is greatly appreciated.

Screening Questions

Please think of the **most recent application** you submitted to NIH (for either a single-PI or multiple-PI grant) that was:

- reviewed and
- for which a funding decision (either not funded or funded) was reached.

Please <u>do not include</u> applications for administrative supplements, as these undergo administrative review instead of peer review.

S1.	When did you	submit this	research	grant ap	plicati	on?

- O January 2008 December 2008
- O January 2009 May 2009

IF JAN08-DEC08 THEN DISPLAY ALTERNATE VERSION OF SECTIONS A AND B WITH NO PEER REVIEW CHANGES, ELSE CONTINUE (ALTERNATE VERSION OF SECTIONS A AND B ARE PROVIDED AT THE END OF THIS QUESTIONNAIRE)

- S2. Was this application submitted in response to any of the NIH funding opportunity announcements related to the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) of 2009, such as the Challenge grants program and Grand Opportunities "GO" grants program?
- O Yes O No

SECTION A: Your Most Recent Experience As an Applicant

Please think of the **most recent application** you submitted to NIH (for either a single-PI or multiple-PI grant) that was:

- reviewed and
- for which a funding decision (either not funded or funded) was reached

Please <u>do not include</u> applications for administrative supplements, as these undergo administrative review instead of peer review.

A1. What was the activity code for this NIH research grant application?

An activity code refers to the 3-character code used by NIH to identify a specific category of extramural research activity, applied to various funding mechanisms (e.g., R01, P01).

Enter activity code here \rightarrow		

PROGRAMMING NOTE: THIS WILL BE A DROP-DOWN LIST. WE WILL NEED A COMPLETE LIST OF 3-LETTER CODES TO INCLUDE IN DROP-DOWN. RESPONDENT WOULD TYPE IN FIRST LETTER AND THEN THE LIST WILL SHOW UP FOR THAT LETTER GROUP.

A2. Was this the first NIH research grant application for which you were the Principal Investigator (PI)?

THE QUESTION WORDING "PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR" WILL INCLUDE A HYPERLINK AND IF CLICKED THE FOLLOWING DEFINITION WILL APPEAR ON SCREEN:

NIH Definition of a Principal Investigator: The individual(s) judged by the applicant organization to have the appropriate level of authority and responsibility to direct the project or program supported by the grant. The applicant organization may designate multiple individuals as PDs/PIs [Program Directors/Principal Investigators] who share the authority and responsibility for leading and directing the project, intellectually and logistically. Each PD/PI is responsible and accountable to the applicant organization, or, as appropriate, to a collaborating organization, for the proper conduct of the project or program including the submission of all required reports. The presence of more than one identified PD/PI on an application or award diminishes neither the responsibility nor the accountability of any individual PD/PI.

O Yes

O No

IF S2=2 CONTINUE ELSE GO TO A6

this application?
Note: NIH defines a <u>New Investigator</u> as an applicant who has not yet competed successfully for a significant NIH independent research award, such as an R01. An <u>Early Stage Investigator</u> is defined as a New Investigator who is within 10 years of completing his/her terminal research degree or is within 10 years of completing medical residency (or the equivalent).
O Yes O No
A4. Was this an application to conduct clinical research, defined by NIH as research involving human subjects? O Yes O No
A5. Was this a resubmission (amended) application (A1 or A2)?
O No, original, unamended application - O Yes, A1 (First re-submission) O Yes, A2 (Second re-submission)

A3. Did your eRA Commons account indicate that you were identified as a New or Early Stage Investigator on

A6. Approximately how many <u>days</u> did <u>you</u> spend on the following aspects of the application process?

IF A5="NO" DO NOT DISPLAY COLUMNS A1 OR A2 IF A5="YES- A1" DO NOT DISPLAY COLUMNS ORIGINAL APPLICATION OR A2 IF A5="YES- A2" DO NOT DISPLAY COLUMNS A1 OR ORIGINAL APPLICATION

	Number of Days			
Task	Original Application	A1	A 2	
A6a. Writing and editing the application (including locating, downloading, and completing the various required forms)				
A6b. Compiling and preparing supporting documents for an Appendix				
A6c. IF A5=YES A1 OR YES A2: Responding to reviewer critiques (including conducting additional laboratory experiments or analyses)?				

A6a. Writing and editing the application (including locating,		
downloading, and completing the various required forms)		
A6b. Compiling and preparing supporting documents for an		
Appendix		
A6c. IF A5=YES A1 OR YES A2: Responding to reviewer		
critiques (including conducting additional laboratory		
experiments or analyses)?		

A7.	Wa	as you	r app	lication	assigne	l a numer	ical	overall	impact/	priorit	y score?
-----	----	--------	-------	----------	---------	-----------	------	---------	---------	---------	----------

O	Yes
O	No

IF A7=YES CONTINUE ELSE GO TO A10

A8. Have you received a Notice of Award letter indicating that your application has been funded?

O Yes O No					
A9. How helpful was each of the follow application?	ing in unders	tanding the ove	rall impact/pri	ority score ass	igned to your
	Very helpful	Moderately helpful	Somewhat helpful	Not at all helpful	Not applicable
a. Resume and Summary of Discussion	O	O	O	O	O
b. Reviewer written critiques	O	O	O	O	O
c. Individual criterion scores	O	O	O	O	O
d. Discussion with my NIH Program Officer	O	O	O	O	O
e. General information on NIH's Web sites	O	O	O	O	O
your application, please select "Not application, please select" Not application, please selec			l my proposed	approach (res	earch plan).
A10b. Please indicate if you agree or di your application, please select "N			tement. If a sto	atement does r	not apply to
Based on the written critiques in the Sun appropriate expertise.	nmary Staten	nent, my applica	ation was evalı	iated by revie	wers with the
O Strongly agree O Agree O Neither agree nor disagree O Disagree O Strongly disagree					
O Not applicable					

IF A7=YES CONTINUE ELSE GO TO A10e

A10c. Please indicate if you agree or disagree with the following statement. If a statement does not apply to your application, please select "Not applicable."
The Summary Statement was helpful in understanding the overall impact/priority score.
O Strongly agree O Agree O Neither agree nor disagree O Disagree O Strongly disagree
O Not applicable
A10d. Please indicate if you agree or disagree with the following statement. If a statement does not apply to your application, please select "Not applicable."
The overall impact/priority score assigned to my application appeared consistent with the comments in the Resume and Summary Discussion section.
O Strongly agree O Agree O Neither agree nor disagree O Disagree O Strongly disagree
O Not applicable
A10e. Please indicate if you agree or disagree with the following statement. If a statement does not apply to your application, please select "Not applicable."
The bulleted comments for the individual review criteria aligned with the corresponding criterion scores.
O Strongly agree O Agree O Neither agree nor disagree O Disagree O Strongly disagree
O Not applicable
A10f. Please indicate if you agree or disagree with the following statement. If a statement does not apply to your application, please select "Not applicable."
The individual criterion scores were helpful in understanding the strengths and weaknesses of the application.
O Strongly agree O Agree O Neither agree nor disagree O Disagree O Strongly disagree
O Not applicable

IF A7=YES CONTINUE ELSE GO TO A10h

A10g. Please indicate if you agree or disagree with the following statement. If a statement does not apply to your application, please select "Not applicable."
The criterion scores helped me understand the overall impact/priority score.
O Strongly agree O Agree O Neither agree nor disagree O Disagree O Strongly disagree
O Not applicable
IF A7=NO CONTINUE ELSE GO TO A10i1
A10h. Please indicate if you agree or disagree with the following statement. If a statement does not apply to your application, please select "Not applicable."
The Summary Statement helped me understand why the scientific review group did not discuss my application.
 O Strongly agree O Agree O Neither agree nor disagree O Disagree O Strongly disagree O Not applicable
O Not applicable
A10i1. Please indicate if you agree or disagree with the following statement. If a statement does not apply to your application, please select "Not applicable."
The Summary Statement helped me know whether or not to resubmit the application.
 O Strongly agree O Agree O Neither agree nor disagree O Disagree O Strongly disagree
O Not applicable

your application, please select "Not applicable."
The Summary Statement helped me focus on problem areas in the application that could be corrected.
O Strongly agree O Agree O Neither agree nor disagree O Disagree O Strongly disagree
O Not applicable
A10j1. Please indicate if you agree or disagree with the following statement. If a statement does not apply to your application, please select "Not applicable."
The individual criterion scores helped me know whether or not to resubmit the application.
 O Strongly agree O Agree O Neither agree nor disagree O Disagree O Strongly disagree
O Not applicable
A10j2. Please indicate if you agree or disagree with the following statement. If a statement does not apply to your application, please select "Not applicable."
The individual criterion scores helped me focus on problem areas in the application that could be corrected.
 O Strongly agree O Agree O Neither agree nor disagree O Disagree O Strongly disagree O Not applicable

A10i2. Please indicate if you agree or disagree with the following statement. If a statement does not apply to

SECTION B: Your Opinions about the NIH Peer Review Process Since 2009

When answering the questions in this section, please think of the **peer review process at NIH after enhancements were made in 2009**, the one under which your most recent NIH grant application was reviewed.

B1. How well do you understand the peer review process at NIH after enhancements were made in 2009 ?
O Very well O Moderately well O Somewhat well O Not well at all
B2. How fair is the peer review process at NIH after enhancements were made in 2009 ?
O Very fair O Somewhat fair O Neither fair nor unfair O Somewhat unfair O Very unfair
B3. How satisfied are you with the peer review process at NIH after enhancements were made in 2009 ?
O Very satisfied O Somewhat satisfied O Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied O Somewhat dissatisfied O Very dissatisfied

SECTION C: Background

IF

As a reminder, the information provided in this survey will remain anonymous. No individual respondents will be identified, and all responses will be summarized and reported in aggregate form.

C1. What type of organization do you work for?
Select all that apply
University Research Foundation Private Sector/For-profit Organization Hospital/Medical Center Federal, State, or Local Government Agency Other Non-profit Organization Other (specify):
C2. What is your job title or position?
O Professor O Associate Professor O Assistant Professor O Adjunct Professor O Senior Scientist O Other (specify):
C3. Have you ever served as a peer reviewer for NIH?
O Yes O No
C3=YES CONTINUE ELSE GO TO C5
C4. Have you reviewed any grant applications related to the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) of 2009, such as the Challenge grants program and Grand Opportunities "GO" grants program
O Yes O No
C5. When did you submit your first research grant application to NIH as a Principal Investigator (PI) for a single-PI or multiple-PI grant?
O 2008-2009 O 1996-1998 O 2005-2007 O 1993-1995 O 2002-2004 O 1990-1992 O 1999-2001 O Prior to 1990

THE QUESTION WORDING "PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR" WILL INCLUDE A HYPERLINK AND IF CLICKED THE NIH DEFINITION OF A PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR WILL APPEAR ON SCREEN

	multiple-PI grants.)		RO1, RO3, or R21 grant from NIH? (Please include single-P1 grants and
	O Yes O No		
IF	C6=Yes CONTINUE	ELSE GO TO C8	
		nany years of NIH f PI grants and multi	funding have you received as a PI on R01, R03, and R21 grants? (Please ple-PI grants.)
	Enter total number	of years here \rightarrow	
		following fiscal yes s and multiple-PI g	ears did you receive any type of NIH funding as a PI? (Please include rants.)
		ment awards (K ser	research grants (R series), program project/center grants (P series), ries), research training and fellowships (T and F series), and SBIR/STTR
	Select all that apply	,	
	O FY 2009 (Octob O FY 2008 (Octob O FY 2007 (Octob	oer 2007 – Septemb	per 2008)
	O Did not receive	NIH funding for th	ne fiscal years listed
	C9. Please indicate	the degree(s) you	have.
	Select all that apply	,	
	Ph.D. or other r M.D. D.D.S. D.V.M. or V.M Other (specify):		_
	C10. What is your a	nge?	
	O Under 35 O 35-40 O 41-45	O 46-50 O 51-55 O 56-60	O 61-65 O 66-70 O Over 70
	C11. What is your	gender?	
	O Female O Male		

C12. What is your ethnicity?
O Hispanic or Latino O Not Hispanic or Latino
C13. What is your race?
Select all that apply
O American Indian or Alaska Native O Asian O Black or African American
O Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific IslanderO White

Thank you very much for completing the survey!

For more information about the peer review changes that have been implemented at NIH, please visit http://enhancing-peer-review.nih.gov/faqs.html.

If you have any ideas for improving the peer review process at NIH, please enter your suggestions at [insert URL].

ALTERNATE VERSION OF SECTIONS A AND B FOR RESONDENTS WHO HAVE NOT EXPERIENCED ENHANCED PEER REVIEW

SECTION A: Your Application Experience

Please think of the **most recent application** you submitted to NIH (for either a single-PI or multiple-PI grant) that was:

reviewed and

O Yes, A2 (Second re-submission)

• for which a funding decision (either not funded or funded) was reached.

Please <u>do not include</u> applications for administrative supplements, as these undergo administrative review instead of peer review.

A1. What was the activity code for this NIH research grant application?

An activity code refers to the 3-character code used by NIH to identify a specific category of extramural research activity, applied to various funding mechanisms (e.g., R01, P01).
Enter activity code here →
PROGRAMMING NOTE: THIS WILL BE A DROP-DOWN LIST. WE WILL NEED A COMPLETE LIST OF 3-LETTER CODES TO INCLUDE IN DROP-DOWN. RESPONDENT WOULD TYPE IN FRIST LETTER AND A LIST WILL COME UP FOR THAT LETTER SECTION.
A2. Was this the first NIH research grant application for which you were the Principal Investigator (PI)?
O Yes O No
A3. Was this a resubmission (amended) application (A1 or A2)?
O No, original, unamended application O Yes. A1 (First re-submission)

A4. Approximately how many days did you spend on the following aspects of the application process?

IF A3="NO" DO NOT DISPLAY COLUMNS A1 OR A2
IF A3="YES, A1" DO NOT DISPLAY COLUMNS ORIGINAL APPLICATION OR A2
IF A3="YES, A2" DO NOT DISPLAY COLUMNS A1 OR ORIGINAL APPLICATION

	Number of Days			
Task	Original Application	A1	A 2	
A4a. Writing and editing the application (including locating, downloading, and completing the various required forms)				
A4b. Compiling and preparing supporting documents for an Appendix				
A4c. IF A3=YES- A1 OR YES-A2: Responding to reviewer critiques (including conducting additional laboratory experiments or analyses)?				

A5.	Was your application	assigned a numerical	priority score?

O	Yes
O	No

IF A5=No GO TO A8 ELSE CONTINUE

A C	TT . 1	NT . CA	1.1 1	1	11 .1 1	1 (1 10
Αь.	Have you received	a Notice of Aw	ard letter indicating	g that your ap	plication has	been funded?

O	Yes
O	No

A7. How helpful was each of the following in understanding the priority score assigned to your application?

	Very helpful	Moderately helpful	Somewhat helpful	Not at all helpful	Not applicable
a. Resume and Summary of Discussion	O	O	O	O	O
b. Reviewer written critiques	O	O	O	O	O
c. Discussion with my NIH Program Officer	O	O	O	O	O
d. General information on NIH's Web sites	O	O	O	O	O

A8a. Please indicate if you agree or disagree with the following statement. If a statement does not apply to your application, please select "Not applicable."	
The Summary Statement demonstrated that the reviewers understood my proposed approach (research plan)	
O Strongly agree O Agree O Neither agree nor disagree O Disagree O Strongly disagree	
O Not applicable	
A8b. Please indicate if you agree or disagree with the following statement. If a statement does not apply to your application, please select "Not applicable."	
Based on the written critiques in the Summary Statement, my application was evaluated by reviewers with the appropriate expertise.	1e
 O Strongly agree O Agree O Neither agree nor disagree O Disagree O Strongly disagree 	
O Not applicable	
IF A5=Yes CONTINUE ELSE GO TO A8e	
A8c. Please indicate if you agree or disagree with the following statement. If a statement does not apply to y application, please check the box for "Not applicable."	our'
The Summary Statement was helpful in understanding the priority score.	
 O Strongly agree O Agree O Neither agree nor disagree O Disagree O Strongly disagree 	
O Not applicable	
A8d. Please indicate if you agree or disagree with the following statement. If a statement does not apply to y	our/

application, please select "Not applicable."

The priority score assigned to my application appeared consistent with comments in the Resume and Summary of Discussion section.

 O Strongly agree O Agree O Neither agree nor disagree O Disagree O Strongly disagree O Not applicable
A8e1. Please indicate if you agree or disagree with the following statement. If a statement does not apply to your application, please select "Not applicable."
The Summary Statement helped me know whether or not to resubmit the application.
 O Strongly agree O Agree O Neither agree nor disagree O Disagree O Strongly disagree
O Not applicable
A8e2. Please indicate if you agree or disagree with the following statement. If a statement does not apply to your application, please select "Not applicable."
The Summary Statement helped me focus on problem areas in the application that could be corrected.
 O Strongly agree O Agree O Neither agree nor disagree O Disagree O Strongly disagree O Not applicable

SECTION B: Your Opinions about the NIH Peer Review Process Prior to 2009

When answering the questions in this section, please think back to the **peer review process at NIH prior to 2009**, the one under which your most recent NIH grant application was reviewed.

B1. How well did you understand the peer review process at NIH prior to 2009 ?
O Very well O Moderately well O Somewhat well O Not well at all
B2. How fair was the peer review process at NIH prior to 2009 ?
O Very fair O Somewhat fair O Neither fair nor unfair O Somewhat unfair O Very unfair
B3. How satisfied were you with the peer review process at NIH prior to 2009 ?
 Very satisfied Somewhat satisfied Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied Somewhat dissatisfied Very dissatisfied