

September 2008

SUPPORTING STATEMENT

A. Justification:

1. Section 18.213 requires a third party disclosure requirement for manufacturers of RF lighting devices to provide an advisory statement either on the product packaging or with other user documentation, similar to the following:

This product may cause interference to radio equipment and should not be installed near maritime safety communications equipment or other critical navigation or communication equipment operating between 0.45-30 MHz.

The Commission is revising this information collection to remove section 18.307 (which was previously approved by the OMB) because it does not contain reporting, recordkeeping or third party disclosure requirements subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act. Section 18.307 contains conduction limits and frequencies of emissions. Therefore, there are no PRA requirements for section 18.307 and the costs reported previously are being removed from this IC.

This information collection does not affect individuals or households. The Commission rules apply to equipment imported and marketed in the U.S. Individuals do not market equipment. Therefore, the Commission is not required to do a Privacy Impact Assessment.

This collection of information is authorized under Sections 4(i), 301, 302, 303(e), 303(f), 303(r), 304 and 307 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. Sections 154(i), 301, 302, 3030(e), 303(f), 303(r), 304 and 307.

2. The information may be used by the Commission to determine if RF lighting devices:

- (a) are in compliance with the applicable Commission rules, 47 CFR § 18.213,
- (b) are capable of producing conducted emissions in the 0.45-30 MHz band, and
- (c) have a simple warning label with a short advisory statement.

3. Manufacturers are required to label all RF lighting device packages with a warning label.¹ Variations of the language required in section 18.213 are permitted provided all the points of the statement are addressed and may be presented in any legible font or text style. Otherwise the Commission does not require the use of any information technology techniques to perform the labeling requirement.

4. No other agency is believed to require this labeling requirement. No similar information is available.

¹ Pursuant to 47 CFR § 2.939, the Commission has the authority to revoke or withdraw the equipment authorization of any manufacturer found not to be in compliance with Section 18.213 of the Commission's rules.

September 2008

5. The collection of information will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The requirements are the manufacturer's responsibility.
6. The information disclosed is necessary to ensure an understanding by the public that the subject equipment must comply with Commission regulations prior to sale.
7. There are no special circumstances required for this collection.
8. The views of the industry and the public were solicited by 73 FR 41078, July 17, 2008. The Commission received no comments in response to the publication of the Notice in the *Federal Register*. A reference to the notice is included in this submission to the OMB.
9. Respondents will not receive any payment.
10. There is no need for confidentiality.
11. No sensitive information is required for this collection.
12. The Commission requires companies that manufacture RF lighting devices are the respondents for this information collection

We estimate that there are 30 manufacturers (**respondents**).

Total Number of Respondents: 30 manufacturers

Each manufacturer must prepare an advisory statement-during the "life" of the equipment.

However, any changes to the RF equipment would require the manufacturer to update the advisory statement to address the modification(s).

(a) The Commission estimates that the time to prepare the statement is estimated to be 1 hour per respondent.

(b) The Commission also estimates that each year approximately 10% or 3 RF manufacturers will make technical adjustments/improvements, etc., that will require them to revise the advisory statement on the RF equipment.

30 respondents x 0.10 (10% subject to the technical modifications) = 3 respondents.

3 respondents x 1 advisory statement = 3 responses.

Total Number of Responses Annually = 3 responses.

September 2008

3 respondents x 1 hour/advisory statement = 3 hours

Total Burden Hours: 3 hours.

13. There are no capital and start-up costs.

Total annual costs (O&M):

The Commission estimates that the time to produce a label and to label the equipment is 60 minutes or 1 hour on average at an annual cost of \$75 per label.-

3 respondents x \$75.00/for producing and labeling instruction manual/packaging or other user documentation x 1 hour to label the equipment =equipment = \$225.00.

The annual cost is estimated: \$225.00.

14. There is no cost to the Federal government. This is a third party labeling requirement for manufacturing companies.

15. The Commission has removed the estimated cost that was associated with section 18.307. Therefore, the Commission is reporting a -\$775 program change reduction due to the removal of section 18.307 which has no PRA requirements subject to OMB review and approval.

16. The information is used to determine compliance with applicable Commission technical standards and rules. The data are not compiled, published or otherwise reported to the public.

17. The Commission is requesting to not display the OMB expiration because this is a third party disclosure requirement. Note: The Commission publishes a list of all OMB-approved information collections (including this one) in 47 CFR 0.408 of the Commission's rules.

18. There are no exceptions to the certification statement identified in item 19 on the OMB 83i.

B. Collection of Information Employing Statistical Methods.

This collection of information does not employ statistical methods.