For EPA	Use Only ID#	
SECTOR		



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

for Post Harvest Use in 2011 and Beyond in the United States

WHY IS THIS INFORMATION NEEDED?

Under the Clean Air Act and the international treaty to protect the ozone layer (the Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer), the production and import of methyl bromide was phased out in the United States on January 1, 2005. This application seeks information to support a U.S. request to produce and import methyl bromide for certain critical uses and circumstances beyond this 2005 phaseout date.

The information in this application will be used to review whether your use of methyl bromide is "critical" because no technically and economically feasible alternatives are available. In order to estimate the loss as a result of not having methyl bromide available, EPA needs to compare data (commodity prices, revenues, and costs) for your use of methyl bromide with uses of alternative pest control regimens.

The information contained in this application is critical to process and assess the need for methyl bromide. Filling out this application in its entirety will bolster the U.S. government's ability to strengthen the nomination package for the international review boards.

Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 39 hours per response and assumes a large portion of applications will be submitted by consortia on behalf of many individual users of methyl bromide. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a current OMB control number.

INSTRUCTIONS

The information provided by you in this application will be used to evaluate the requested methyl bromide use. The U.S. and other countries that are parties to the Montreal Protocol On Substances That Deplete The Ozone Layer decided that: "a use of methyl bromide should qualify as "critical" only if the nominating Party determines that:

- (i) The specific use is critical because the lack of availability of methyl bromide for that use would result in a significant market disruption; and
- (ii) There are no technically and economically feasible alternatives available to the user that are acceptable from the standpoint of environment and health and are suitable to the crops and circumstances of the nomination ..."

WHO APPLIES?

If you anticipate that you will need methyl bromide in 2011 and beyond because you believe there are no technically and economically feasible alternatives, then you should apply for the critical use exemption. This application may be submitted either by a consortium representing multiple users or by individual users. We encourage users with similar circumstances of use to submit a single application (for example, any number of post harvest users with similar commodity, pest, and structural conditions can submit a single application.)

If a consortium is applying for multiple methyl bromide users, the economic data should be for a representative or typical user within the consortium unless otherwise noted. If economic or technical factors (such as types of commodities) affecting the ability of this "representative user" to use alternatives are significantly different than other users in the consortium, more than one application should be submitted to reflect these differences.

Please contact your local, state, regional, or national commodity association and/or state representative agency to find out if they plan on submitting an application on behalf of your commodity group.

WHAT INFORMATION IS REQUIRED?

If a user group submitted a complete application to EPA in 2008, the user is only required to complete selected Worksheets, though the entire application must be submitted to EPA. These required Worksheets include 1, 2B, 2C, 2D, 4, and 5. If these Worksheets are not submitted, EPA will not include the application in the U.S. nomination submitted for international consideration. Additional information on Re-Application Information is available at www.epa.gov/ozone/mbr. The remaining worksheets must only be completed if any information has changed since 2008. If a user has previously submitted a critical use exemption application to EPA but did not submit an application in 2008 (seventh round) then all the worksheets in the application must be submitted again in their entirety.

HOW DO I APPLY?

You may either complete an electronic (Microsoft Word or Excel) or a printed version of the application. Please fill out each section in the application as completely as possible. If you are completing the printed version and need extra space you may attach additional sheets as needed. Additional information may be available from your local state department of agriculture or at the sites listed below or by calling 1-800-296-1996.

IS MY INFORMATION CONFIDENTIAL?

The applicant may assert a business confidentiality claim covering part or all of the information in the application by placing on (or attaching to) the information, at the time it is submitted to EPA, a cover sheet, stamped or typed legend, or other suitable form of notice employing language such as trade secret, proprietary, or company confidential. Allegedly confidential portions of otherwise non-confidential documents should be clearly identified by the applicant, and may be submitted separately to facilitate identification and handling by EPA. If the applicant desires confidential treatment only until a certain date or until the occurrence of a certain event, the notice should so state. Information covered by a claim of confidentiality will be disclosed by EPA only to the extent, and by means of the procedures set forth under 40 CFR Part 2 Subpart B; 41 FR 36902, 43 FR 400000. 50 FR 51661. If no claim of confidentiality accompanies the information when it is received by EPA, it may be made available to the public by EPA without further notice to the applicant.

Applicants submitting their application via e-mail assume responsibility for the confidentiality of the electronic message transmission.

WHEN IS THE INFORMATION NEEDED?

This application must be postmarked to the EPA address below no later than [Insert Date].

	Electronic Address for applications:					
WHERE DO I SUBMIT THE	(When submitting an application electroni it, and submit it by mail) Mailing Address for applications being submitted by mail directly to the EPA:	cally, you should also print a hard copy, sign Address for applications being sent by courier or non-U.S. Postal overnight express delivery to the EPA:				
APPLICATION?	US Environmental Protection Agency Methyl Bromide Critical Use Exemption Office of Air and Radiation Stratospheric Protection Division (6205 J) 1200 Pennsylvania Ave, NW Washington, DC 20460	US Environmental Protection Agency Methyl Bromide Critical Use Exemption Office of Air and Radiation Stratospheric Protection Division 1310 L Street, NW Suite 1047E Washington, DC 20005				
HOW CAN I RECEIVE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION?	If you have general questions about this application call: Stratospheric Ozone Hotline 1-800-296-1996					

WORKSHEET 1: CONTACT AND METHYL BROMIDE REQUEST INFORMATION FOR 2011 AND BEYOND

The following information will be used to determine the amount of methyl bromide requested and the contact person for this request. It is important that we know whom to contact in case we need additional information during the review of the application.

Is this information Confidential Business Information:	Yes	No
If yes, the applicant assumes responsibility for the secure tra	nsmission of el	ectronic submissions.
Applicant Name:		
Primary Contact:		
Contact Name:		
Address:		
Daytime Phone:		
Cell:		
Fax:		
Email Address		
Specialty: (check one) Agronomic Economic		
Alternate Contact:		
Contact Name:		
Address:		
Daytime Phone:		
Cell:		
Fax:		
Email Address:		
Specialty: (check one) Agronomic Eco	onomic	
I certify that all information contained in this document is fact	ual to the best o	of my knowledge.
Signature:	Da	ite:
Print Name:	Tit	le:
Information in this application may be aggregated with inform the United States government to justify claims in the national methyl bromide be considered "critical" and authorized for an signing below , you agree now to assert any claim of confide EPA of aggregate information based in part on information co	nomination pace exemption bey entiality that wo	ckage that a particular use o rond the 2005 phaseout. By uld affect the disclosure by
Signature:	Da	te:
Print Name:	Tit	le:

Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 39 hours per response and assumes a large portion of applications will be submitted by consortia on behalf of many individual users of methyl bromide. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a current OMB control number.

WORKSHEET 1: CONTACT AND METHYL BROMIDE REQUEST INFORMATION FOR 2011 AND BEYOND (continued)

1. Location of Facility(ies): Enter the name and physical address of the facility(ies) where the proposed critical use of methyl bromide will take place. Provide more details about the location if relevant to the feasibility of alternatives to methyl bromide.

iull	Commodity igation cyc		o an oom	modities t	nat benen	ic iroin tir	ic upp	modulon o	i mearyr o		· u
	ange of stru d	cture/facili	ty size by p	processors	included in	n this appl	icatior	n: Insert num	nber or perce	entage of u	sers in each
		00 (1,000	cu ft)		10,	000 to 5	0,000	(1,000 cı	u ft)		
	000 to 5,00				50,0			(1,000 cı			
5,0	00 to 10,00	00 (1,000	cu ft) _			over 10	0,000	(1,000 cı	u ft)		
by r at h plea app	reviewing that ttp://www.uase indicate ly.	he U.S. ousna.usd e the esti	climate zo la.gov/Ha imated pe	one map lo irdzone/us ercentage	ocated at shzmap.h of conso	the end tml. If a rtium use	of this conso ers in	s workboo ortium is s each clim	k or it can ubmitting ate zone.	be revie this appl Please o	heck all that
	2a 8a								6a	6b	7a
QP:	Vhat is the		t of meth	nyl bromio	de being	request	ed by		lication: (-	include
						іѕ аррііс	auoi	i, tile data	a Siloulu t	oe the to	otal for the
	-						011	i, the data	2012	be the to	2013
Α.	Total Po Methyl E		ctive Ingr	edient (a				i, the data		be the to	
	Methyl E	Bromide		edient (a	.i.) of			i, tile data		be the to	
A.	Methyl E Total Ac	Bromide tual Volu tion (Ra	ume (100		.i.) of			i, the data		be the to	
A. B.	Methyl E Total Ac Formula be Used	Bromide tual Volution (Ra for the (ume (100	00 cu. ft.) B/Pic Mixt	.i.) of			i, the data		be the to	
A. B. C. D. 8. F	Methyl E Total Ac Formula be Used Use Rate	etual Volution (Rate of the Control	ume (100 tio of MB CUE ./1000 cu / there m lly if the i	00 cu. ft.) B/Pic Mixt I. ft.) ay be var request is bromide	Treated ture) to	n the po this year requeste	unds thar	or volum	2012 ne (1,000 d ous years	cu ft) tre	

EPA Form # 5900-137

12. Have you adjusted the reques	st for th	e following i	ssues:		
Regulatory Issues:	Yes	No	Pest Pressure:	Yes	No
3					
Adoption of Alternatives:	Vec	No	Other (Please Explain):	Vec	No
Adoption of Alternatives.	103	_110	Other (Fieuse Explain)	103	_110

WORKSHEET 2: METHYL BROMIDE

Purpose of Data: To establish a baseline estimate of commodity treated, gross profits, and costs using methyl bromide.

Instructions specific to each worksheet are located at the top of each sheet.

Worksheet	Title					
2-A	Methyl Bromide - Pest and Commodity Information					
	If a consortium is submitting this application, the data for this table should reflect the representative user for the consortium.					
	The purpose of this worksheet is to determine pest infestation and commodity information where methyl bromide is used. This forms the baseline for evaluating the impacts of using an alternative to replace methyl bromide.					
2-B	Methyl Bromide - Historical Use 2004 - 2008					
	If a consortium is submitting this application, all data should reflect the actual data for the consortium.					
	This worksheet provides data in actual usage for 2004 - 2008.					
2-C	Methyl Bromide - Commodity Treated and Gross Profits for 2004 - 2008					
	If a consortium is submitting this application, the data for this table should reflect the representative user for the consortium.					
	This worksheet provides commodity treated and gross profits for 2004 through 2008. The purpose of this worksheet is to determine past gross profits when methyl bromide is used. This forms the baseline for evaluating the revenue impacts of using an alternative to replace methyl bromide.					
2-D	Baseline - Operating Costs for 2008					
	If a consortium is submitting this application, the data for this table should reflect the representative user for the consortium.					
	This data is needed to estimate a baseline for operating costs in order to estimate changes in costs and the impact on operating profit and short-run economic viability as a result of not using methyl bromide. The purpose of this worksheet is to determine operating expenses when methyl bromide is used. This forms the baseline for evaluating the cost impacts of using an alternative to replace methyl bromide. The data requested are designed to help you identify how your operation would change if methyl bromide were unavailable, which will be shown in Worksheet 3-B.					

WORKSHEET 2-A: METHYL BROMIDE – PEST & PROCESSING INFORMATION

1. Commodity or Consortium:

2. What month does your fumigation cycle start: Please check only one.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec

3. Fumigation Timeline: Indicate when fumigation, major commodity and pest management practices typically occur. If the fumigation cycle is longer than one year, change the months to an appropriate interval.

Beginning Fumigation Cycle	Time Interval (e.g. WEEKS/MONTH/YEAR/				AR/SI	EASO	N)		
(please define time periods)									
Facility Preparation									
Sealing									
Cleaning									
Fumigation Timeline									
Reception of Raw Materials									
Processing									
Storage									
Raw Materials									
Finished Product									
Packing									
Shipping									
Retail Market Window									
Other Pest Treatments									
Other									

4. Please provide a simplified schematic diagram which illustrates the basic steps of the commodity moving through the process from raw material to finished product:

4a. Provide a narrative of market channel for each commodity, where it is fumigated, and how the fumigation effects market availability and commodity sale:

5. Target Pest(s) or Pest Problem(s): Please identify the key target pests or pest problems for which methyl bromide is requested. Provide at least common name and genus and species if possible. Additional pests or pest problems can be provided as an attachment. Please also explain the specific reasons why methyl bromide is being requested for each pest [e.g., effective herbicide is available, but not registered for this crop; mandatory requirement to meet certification for disease tolerance].

	Common Name	Genus	Specific Reasons why Methyl Bromide is Needed
Pest 1			
Pest 2			
Pest 3			
Pest 4			
Pest 5			

6.	Pest Economic Threshold: Please provide the economic threshold information for each	pest.
\square	escribe year and source of information such as survey or expert estimate	

	Threshold	Units (e.g. pests/sq ft)	Year	Source
Pest 1				
Pest 2				
Pest 3				
Pest 4				
Pest 5				

7. Target Pest Infestation: Please estimate the percentage of this user's total structural/facility volume with a moderate to severe problem with these pests. Describe source of information such as a survey or expert estimate.

	Percentage of Total Structure/Facility	Source
Pest 1	%	
Pest 2	%	
Pest 3	%	

Pest 2	%	
Pest 3	%	
a. Numbe	e User: Please provide descriptive er of Facilities: phtness Estimate (if available):*	e factors appropriate for your operation.
loss time of pressure (difference greater that	difference greater than 1 minute; medium	ollowing scale: good - less than 25% gas loss within 24 hours or half - 25-50% gas loss within 24 hours or half loss time of pressure in 24 hours or half loss time of pressure difference 1-10 second; re half loss time of less than 1 second.
check all that app Structure Fumigati	ly and indicate exposure time. e / Facility: on Chamber: lity:	the methyl bromide fumigation take place: Please

Prior to Shipping:

All:

10. For what percentage of the operation have alternative(s) replaced methyl bromide in processing this commodity and if so, during what phase of the process:							
Alternative	% Replaced	Phase of Process	Details				
Phosphine (Alone)							
Heat Treatment							
Phosphine in Combination							
Other							

11. Please provide a brief description of any equipment fumigated in this operation:

Other: _____

WORKSHEET 2-B: METHYL BROMIDE – HISTORICAL USE 2004 - 2008

Row A:	Total Actual Pounds a.i. of Methyl Bromide Applied Enter the total actual pounds active ingredient (a.i.) of methyl bromide applied. Note: This number should be the total pounds a.i. applied by the individual user or the entire consortium, for the year indicated. Include only the pounds active ingredient of methyl bromide.
Row B:	Total Actual Volume (1,000 cu ft) Treated
	Enter the total actual volume (1,000 cu ft) treated. Note: This number should be the total actual volume (1,000 cu ft) treated by the individual user or total actual volume (1,000 cu ft) treated for the entire consortium, for the year indicated.
Row C:	Formulation (Ratio of MB/Pic Mixture) to be Used for the CUE
	Enter the formulation of methyl bromide used (e.g. MB 98:2; MB/Pic 70:30).
Row D:	Use Rate (lbs a.i./1000 cu. ft.)
	Enter the use rate in pounds a.i. of methyl bromide per area.

	For the years shown specify:	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
A.	Total Actual Pounds a.i. of Methyl Bromide Applied					
В.	Total Actual Volume (1,000 cu ft) Treated					
C.	Formulation (Ratio of MB/Pic Mixture) to be Used for the CUE					
D.	Use Rate (lbs a.i./1000 cu. ft.)					

What is the frequency of methyl bromide applied per volume (1,000 cu ft): (1x / year, 2x / year, 1x / 3 years, etc.)
times per
If there is a variation (greater than 10%) in the quantity a.i., the acres treated or average application rate from year to year, please explain the reasons for the variation:
Comments:

WORKSHEET 2-C: BASELINE – METHYL BROMIDE – COMMODITY TREATED & GROSS PROFIT FOR 2004 - 2008

Colu	mn A:	<u>Year</u>						
		Be sure to enter the year. Use as many rows as needed for each year for all the commodities in the fumigation cycles from 2004 to 2008. If a fumigation cycle overlaps more than one calendar year, then the year of the fumigation cycle is the year methyl bromide was applied.						
Colu	mn B:	Comr	<u>nodity</u>					
							tion cycle (intervanted) of the fumigatio	
		cycle	and you do no	t have the quan		he commodity tre	nethyl bromide in eated in the same	
Colu	mn C:	Marke	et Categories					
		or tim	eliness (holida	ıy market seasoı	n, early season,	late season). Ite	ole, grade (qualit emize or aggrega ice in each categ	te these factors
Colu	mn D:	Enter comm	nents section th	asurement for e	ght of the measur		f not by weight, s national review bo	
Colu	ımn E:	l	Commodity 1					
				of commodity tr	eated with methy	yl bromide and p	rocessed/sold pe	r area
	ımn F: ımn G:	Price Enter average prices received by the users for that commodity and category. For the total line, you do not have to enter a price. Average price over all categories can be calculated separately, if needed. If a commodity treated is never owned by the facility, indicate the fees charged for all services. Cost of Goods Sold Enter the total cost of goods sold (raw materials purchased) during the period. If this expense is not						
					eration, please sk			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Colu	mn H:	Gross Profit Gross profit may be calculated using the data you entered as the Total Commodity Treated times Price minus the Cost of Goods Sold. If gross profit is not equal to total commodity sold times price subtracted by cost of goods sold ((Column E * Column F) - Column G), you may override the formula and enter a different revenue amount. Please explain why this gross profit amount is different in the comment section below.					ld times price ride the	
Α	В	}	С	D	E	F	G	Н
Yea Commod			Market Category (grade, time, end use)	Unit of Commodit y (e.g., lbs, tons)	Total Commodit y Treated (per unit of commodity	Price (per unit of commodity	Cost of Goods Sold (per unit of commodity	Gross Profit (per unit of commodity
			4.00,)))
			4.55))))
)))
)))
)))

Comments:

WORKSHEET 2-D: METHYL BROMIDE – OPERATING COSTS FOR 2008

The purpose of this section is to determine operating expenses when methyl bromide is used. This forms the baseline for evaluating the cost impacts of using an alternative to replace methyl bromide. The data requested are designed to help you identify how your operation would change if methyl bromide were unavailable, which will be shown in Worksheet 3-B. Please fill in the unshaded areas. The shaded areas can be used if the information is known.

Column A:	Operating Expense Items						
	Identify the operations to which the costs apply. You may add or delete lines as necessary. The operating expense items listed here are not meant to be exhaustive or be representative of your specific operating system. Other operating expenses include, but are not limited to, wage/salary, advertising and selling, utilities, rent and lease, insurance, and supplies. Be as precise as necessary to explain how lack of methyl bromide would affect your operation, otherwise you may aggregate operating expenses. These are meant to provide suggestions and to help you identify how your operation would change if methyl bromide were unavailable.						
Column B:	Quantity Used per Volume	(1,000 cu ft) or Weigh	t (tons (shoi	<u>t))</u>			
	This field is required only for inputs or operations if you busing an alternative fumigar	elieve it helps to docume					
Column C:	Units (lbs. hours, etc.)						
	For all inputs and operations	s detailed in Column B, p	olease specif	y the units	s of measurement.		
Column D:	Unit Cost (\$)						
	For all inputs and operations costs of applying methyl bro separate costs are unavailal	mide, including any mat	erial costs (e	.g. tarps).	If custom applied and		
Column E:	Cost (\$) per Volume (1,000	cu ft) or Cost (\$) per \	Neight (tons	(short))			
	Enter all appropriate costs of operations per volume (1,000 cu ft) or weight (tons (short)). You may add or delete lines as necessary.						
	If operation is defined in either cost per volume or cost per weight, please keep the continuity of units.						
	Α	В	С	D	E		
Operati	ng Expense Items	Quantity Used per Volume (1,000 cu. ft.) or Weight (tons (short))	Units (lbs., hours, etc.)	Unit Cost (\$)	Cost (\$) per Volume (1,000 cu. ft.) or Cost (\$) per Weight (tons (short))		
1. Pest Mana	gement Costs (a+b+c+d)						
a) Sanitati	on						
b) Pest Co							
c) Methyl I							
	Bromide Fumigation						
(c1+c2)							
(c1+c2)	uct						
(c1+c2) c1) Prod c2) Appl	uct						
c1) Prod c2) Appl d) Other P	uct						
c1) Prod c2) Appl d) Other P	uct ication est Management Costs						
c1) Prod c2) Appl d) Other P 2. Repairs / I Replacement 3. Interest	uct ication est Management Costs						
c1) Prod c2) Appl d) Other P 2. Repairs / I Replacement 3. Interest 4. Depreciati	uct ication est Management Costs Maintenance /		DPERATING				

WORKSHEET 3: ALTERNATIVES – FEASIBILITY OF ALTERNATIVE PEST CONTROL REGIMENS

Purpose of Data: To estimate the loss as a result of not having methyl bromide available. EPA needs to compare data (commodity prices, gross profit, operating expenses, etc.) on the use of methyl bromide and alternative pest control regimens.

Complete Worksheet 3-A for each alternative pest control regimen. Please indicate the name of the specific alternative pest control regimen addressed and add additional pages as required.

Enter all alternative pesticides and pest control methods (and associated cost and yield data) that would replace one treatment of methyl bromide throughout the fumigation cycle. See the Definitions page for a comprehensive definition on fumigation cycles.

Worksheet	Title
3-A	Alternatives - Technical Feasibility of Alternatives to Methyl Bromide
	You must complete one worksheet for each alternative. Please insert the name of the alternative in the area on top of the page. If you prefer, you may provide the information requested in this worksheet in a narrative review. However, you must fill in the information in Question #1 or we will assume no production or quality loss.
3-B	Alternatives - Changes in Operating Costs
	If a consortium is submitting this application, the data for this table should reflect the representative user for the consortium.
	This data is needed to estimate a baseline for operating costs in order to estimate changes in costs and the impact on operating profit and short-run economic viability as a result of not using methyl bromide and to provide required information to the international review board.
	Please fill out this worksheet for each alternative for which the economic evaluation would bolster the case that methyl bromide is needed.
	The purpose of this worksheet is to determine operating expenses when alternatives are used for evaluating the cost impacts of using an alternative to replace methyl bromide. The data requested are designed to help you identify how your operation would change if methyl bromide were unavailable.
3-C	Alternatives - Economic Feasibility of Alternatives to Methyl Bromide
	If a consortium is submitting this application, the data for this table should reflect the representative user for the consortium.
	Please include in this worksheet data for each alternative included in worksheets 3-A and 3-B.

WORKSHEET 3-A: ALTERNATIVES – FEASIBILITY OF ALTERNATIVE PEST CONTROL REGIMENS

Name of Alternative:

1. Pest Control When Comparing This Alternative to Methyl Bromide: Provide numerical estimates where possible.

Study #	Pest Being Tested	Relative % Pest Control	Scale of Study (e.g. pilot, plot)	Resulting Damages (please specify)
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				

2. Study Information: For the cited studies above, please list: study name, authors, publication, date, and indicate with a checkmark if a copy is attached and if it is on the EPA website.

Study #	Copy?	EPA?	Month/Year project started and finished (e.g. Nov '99 - Oct '04)	Details
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				

3. Are there any production delays (downtime) associated with this alternative?	Yes	No
If yes, please continue with 3a, 3b, 3c.		
3a. Please specify the number of days per year of downtime:	days/year	
3b. What is the cost of production delays or downtime per year? \$	per year	
3c. Please explain the details of going into downtime and why it is neces	ssary with this all	ernative:

4. What is the estimated probability of the commodity not meeting consumer quality standards with and without methyl bromide or alternative treatments: Please explain.

EPA Form # 5900-137 Post-harvest

5. Restrictions/Limitations on Alternative Use: This information will be used to determine the amount of methyl bromide needed.

	% of Structure/Facility/Volum e	Details
Regulatory Restriction		
- Label Restriction		
Climate Restriction		
Pest Resistant To Alternative		
Structural Limitations		
Facility Limitations		
Other Restrictions/Limitations (Describe)		

6. Why is this alternative not suitable to replace 100% of methyl bromide use in processing this commodity:

7. Use Rate of Chemical Alternative:

Active Ingredient (a.i.)	Name of Product and Formulation	Quantity per Volume (1,000 cu ft)	Units (gals, lbs, etc.)	Volume (1,000 cu ft) Treated	# of Applications per Year

- 8. Non-Chemical Pest Control: Please describe.
- **9. Fumigation Timeline:** Indicate when fumigation, major commodity and pest management practices typically occur. If the fumigation cycle is longer than one year, change the months to an appropriate interval.

Fumigation Cycle	Time Interval (e.g. WEEKS/MONTH/YEAR)											
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Facility Preparation												
Sealing												
Cleaning												
Fumigation Timeline												
Reception of Raw Materials												
Processing												
Storage												
Raw Materials												
Finished Product												
Packing												
Shipping												
Retail Market Window												
Other Pest Treatments												
Other												

Comments:

WORKSHEET 3-B: ALTERNATIVE – CHANGES IN OPERATING EXPENSES

Name of Alternative:

Column A:	Operating Expense Items Identify the operations to which the costs apply. You may add or delete lines as necessary. The operating expense items listed here are not meant to be exhaustive or be representative of your specific operating system. These are meant to provide suggestions and to help you identify how your operation would change if methyl bromide were unavailable.								
Column B:	Quantity Used per Volume (1,000 cu ft) or Weight (tons (short))								
		This field is required only for alternatives. However you may include specific amounts of other inputs or operations if you believe it helps to document the additional costs you would incur by using an alternative fumigant.							
Column C:	Units (lbs. hours, etc.)	Units (lbs. hours, etc.)							
	For all inputs and operations	detailed in Column B,	please specify	the units of m	easurement.				
Column D:	Unit Cost (\$)								
	For all inputs and operations detailed in Column B, please specify the unit cost. Also, indicate all costs of applying alternatives, including any material costs (e.g. tarps). If custom applied and separate costs are unavailable, write 'custom' and enter total cost in Column E.								
Column E:	Cost (\$) per Volume (1,000	cu ft) or Cost (\$) per	Weight (tons (short))					
	Enter all appropriate costs of operations per volume (1,000 cu ft) or weight (tons (short)). You may add or delete lines as necessary.								
	If operation is defined in either cost per volume or cost per weight, please keep the continuity of units.								
	Α	B Quantity Used	С	D	E				
Opera	Operating Expense Items		Units (lbs., hours, etc.)	Unit Cost (\$)	Cost (\$) per Volume (1,000 cu. ft.) or Cost (\$) per Weight (tons (short))				
1. Pest Ma	nagement Costs (a+b+c+d)								
a) Sanita	ation								
b) Pest (Control								
c) Fumiç	gation (c1+c2)								
c1) P	roduct								
c2) A	pplication								
d) Other Pest Management Costs									
2. Repairs / Maintenance / Replacement									
3. Interest									
4. Deprecia	4. Depreciation for Plant Assets								
5. Other Operating Expenses									
TOTAL OPERATING COST									

4. What are the additional new investments (structures, facilities, equipment, fumigation chambers, etc.) needed to utilize this alternative: Establish necessary capital expenditures required for the uses of alternatives. For example, the incremental costs to convert to heat treatment might include installing a steam heating system, purchasing generators, installing necessary ductwork, and retrofitting other components to make them amenable to heat treatment.

Type of Investment	Total Investment (\$)	Life of Investment (# of years)	Salvage Value (\$)	Interest Rate (%)

Comments:

WORKSHEET 4: EMISSION CONTROL

1. How do you currently minimize use and/or emissions of methyl bromide, and how do you plan to further reduce use and/or emissions in the future: For all use/emissions reduction technique that you use, please fill out the text, where provided, or state the adoption rate and/or describe changes.

you use, pieuse iii	What u	selemission reduction presently adopted ase state the emission amounts for each lister	methods are l? reduction	What fur will be ta critical u	ther use/emission reduction steps aken for the methyl bromide used for uses? Please project the reduction of for each listed year.
Methyl Bromide	1999	•		2009	lbs/acre
Dosage Reduction	2008	lbs/a	lbs/acre 2		lbs/acre
Less Frequent	1999	times pe	er	2009	times per
Application	2008	times pe	er	2013	times per
Formulation	1999	% methyl bromide,	% chloropicrin	2009	% methyl bromide,% chloropicrin
Changes (please specify)	2008	% methyl bromide,	% chloropicrin	2013	% methyl bromide,% chloropicrin
Reclamation	1999			2009	
Reciamation	2008			2013	
Sealing	1999			2009	
Buildings	2008			2013	
Integrated Pest	1999			2009	
Management (IPM)	2008			2013	
Cultural Practices	1999			2009	
(please specify)	2008			2013	
Other Pesticides	1999			2009	
(please specify)	2008			2013	
Non-Chemical Methods	1999			2009	
(please specify)	2008			2013	
Other Measures	1999			2009	
(please specify)	2008			2013	

^{2.} If methyl bromide emission reduction techniques are not being used, or are not planned for the future, state reasons:

WORKSHEET 5: FUTURE RESEARCH PLANS

1. Identify the top 3 to 5 target pests for your research:

	1.		
	2.		
	3.		
	4. -		
;	5.		
	de a list of alternative chemicals or cultural pr 1.	actices that h	ave been tested:
	2.		
	z. 3.		
	4.		
	5.		
:	tize the alternative chemicals or cultural pract 1. 2. 3.	ices to be tes	ted:
	5. 4.		
	+. 5.		
`	.		
4. What	would be the best currently available alternati	ive if methyl b	romide were not available:
	nere any other potential alternatives under dev methyl bromide:	velopment whi	ich are being considered to
bromide	nere technologies being used to produce the or Please explain whether such technologies promide use:		
7. Pleas	e provide an overview/timeline of the plan to t	ransition awa	y from using methyl bromide:
8. Will y	ou include incidence reports where a commo	dity fails:	
methyl l bromide	e describe the management strategies that are promide for the nominated critical use, e.g., m e consumption, measure to encourage the use tion of newly deployed alternatives and altern	easures to ave of alternative	oid any increase in methyles, information on the market
to fund	t is the cumulative amount spent and the type research to develop alternatives to methyl bro esearch funding, etc.: Please add additional rov	mide since 19	992, e.g. consortium dues,
Years	Name of Organization / Research Institution	Amount (\$)]
10013	Tame of Organization / Nescaron institution	Amount (Φ)	-
			†
11. Othe	er total investments, if any, made to reduce yo	ur reliance on	methyl bromide: \$

Describe each investment and its associated costs (e.g. specialized machinery, etc.). Please add additional rows if necessary.

Investment	Cost

13. Grant requests made to USDA, EPA, state, or other funding group:

For EPA Use Only ID # _____

		SEC	<u> </u>	
WORKSHEET 6: SUMMAR	RY			
This section will be posted on the w beyond the 2005 phaseout for meth				
1. Consortium Name:				
2. Location:				
3. Crop:				
4. Pounds of Methyl Bromide Reque	ested: 2011	_ lbs.	2012	_ lbs.
5. Volume Treated with Methyl Brom	nide: 2011	_ (1,000 cu. ft.)	2012	_ (1,000 cu. ft.)
6. If methyl bromide is requested for 2011 lbs. 2012 lbs. 2013 lbs.	Volume Treated Volume Treated	(1,000) cu. ft.)) cu. ft.)	
7. Summary of Alternatives Not Fear Feasible" and/or "Not Economically Fe why the potential alternative is not feas	easible" where appro	priate. Use thé '	'Reasons" colur	

Potential Alternatives Peasible Peasible Reasons

Not Technically Feasible

Reasons

Reasons

Definitions:

Fumigation cycle:	The period of time between methyl bromide fumigations.
Year:	If a fumigation cycle overlaps more than one calendar year, "year" refers to the calendar year when methyl bromide is applied (or the beginning of the cycle).
Comparable data:	In order to compare revenues and costs with and without methyl bromide, data on alternatives for pest control, yields, revenues, and costs must be for the same time interval as the methyl bromide fumigation cycle. If, however, quantitative data, is not available for the entire fumigation cycle, then to be comparable, the quantitative data for the alternatives should cover the same portion of the fumigation cycle as the quantitative data for methyl bromide, and the rest of the cycle should be discussed in the comments sections.
2-year example:	If a methyl bromide fumigation is made every 2 years, then the 2003 fumigation cycle began in 2003 and would end in 2005. The data should cover the methyl bromide costs and usage for the methyl bromide fumigation made in 2003, and all yields and revenues received and other costs incurred during the 2 year period. To be comparable, the data on alternatives should cover a similar 2 year period beginning in 2011 beginning at the same time of year when a methyl bromide fumigation would be made. The data should cover all methyl bromide alternatives used, and all yields and revenues received during that 2-year interval. Other pest control and other costs would only need to be provided for that interval if they would change from what they were with methyl bromide.
Other beneficiary example	If someone other than the applicant benefits from a methyl bromide fumigation, you should comment on these benefits if you do not have quantitative data for the entire fumigation cycle. For example, if a rotational crop in the second year benefits from a methyl bromide fumigation a year earlier, but there is quantitative data only on the first crop, then the data on the alternatives should cover only the first crop, and the benefits of methyl bromide and the additional pesticides that would have to be used on the rotational crop should be discussed in the comments sections.
Crop cycle change example:	If in a one year interval, methyl bromide is applied, tomatoes are grown and harvested followed by peppers, then the fumigation cycle would be one year including the tomatoes and peppers. If, however, without methyl bromide, it is not possible to follow tomatoes with peppers in the same one year interval, then the alternative data on pesticides, costs, yields, and revenues should just cover tomatoes. The loss of profit from not being able to grow peppers with the alternatives would be part of the loss from not having methyl bromide.
Crop Grouping	The applicant can group similar crops together if: (i) Crops would experience similar yield and quality losses in the absence of methyl bromide; and (ii) Crops are grown on the same fumigation and cultivation cycle with similar operating costs. For example, nursery crops including various flower or tree species can be aggregated, with average yields per acre and prices. However, if crops are distinctly different in revenues and operating costs, or the cycles, the applicant may want to present yield, price and operating costs for each crop separately and also indicate the proportion of land area allocated to each crop.

