2 Section 178.2010 is amended in the table in paragraph (b) for the entry "2.2"-Methylenebis (4-methyl-6-tertbulylphenol)monoacrylate" by erically adding a new entry "3." under the heading "Limitations" to read as follows: ....

§ 179.2016 Antioxidents and/or st

CAS Ros. 81167-64-6.

in fact to ed I percent by STATES OF THE \$ 175.125 of \$44

Deind: October 6, 2002. Douglas L. Archer,

Acting Director, Center for Food Safety and Applied Natrition

(FR Doc. 93-20173 Filed 10-28-82: 8-45 and BALLING COOK enter-en-er-é

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY Internal Revenue Service

24 CFR Parts 1 and 802

(TA. 8444) .

1545-ANS

Applicable Corrections Under the Accelerated Cost Recovery System

MAIDIUT: Beternal Revenue Service. Tressury.

ACTION Plan! regulations.

MARY: This document contains the final regulations relating to the applicable conventions under the accelerated open recovery system. Changes to the applicable tax law were made by the Technical and Miscellineous Revenue Act of 1988 and he Tex Reform Act of 1988. The regulations provide the public with guidence relating to the mid-quarter and alf-year conventions under section a(4).

PATER These regulations are effective January 31, 1991, and apply to property placed in service in taxable years ending after January 30, 1991. FOR FURTHER REPORTATION CONTACT: Mark Pitzer of the Office of Chief Counsel, Internal Revenue Service, 1111 Constitution Avenue, NW., Weshington, DC 20224, 202-622-3110 (not a tol) free

# SUPPLEMENTARY IMPORMATION

## Penerwork Reduction Act

Rumber).

The collection of information requirement contained in these final regulations has been reviewed and approved by the Office of Managem and Budget in accordance with the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. 3504(b)) under control number 1545-1146. The estimated annual burden per respondent varies from .05 to .15 hour, depending on individual circumstances, with an estimated average of .10 hour.

These estimates are an approximation of the average time expected to be necessary to collect required information. They are based on the information that is available to the Internal Revenue Service. Individual respondents may require greater or less time depending on their particular circumstances.

Comments concerning the accuracy of this burden estimate and suggestions for reducing this burden should be sent to the Internal Revenue Service, Attn: IRS Reports Clearance Officer T:FP. Washington, DC 20224, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for the Department of the Treasury, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Washington, DC 20503.

# Background

Proposed amendments to the Income Tax Regulations (28 CFR part 1) under section 166(d) of the Internal Revenue Code (the Code) were published in the Federal Register on December 31, 1990 (55 FR 53571). These amendments were proposed to reflect amendments made by section 1002(a) of the Technical and Miscellanous Revenue Act of 1986 and section 201 of the Tax Reform Act of 1986. The amendments were leaved under the authority contained in sections 168(d)(3) and 7805 of the Code.

Three written comments were received in response to the proposed regulations. No request for a public bearing was received and therefore. none was held. All written comments have been considered. This document adopts the proposed regulations as final regulations with slight modifications.

# Explanation of Provision

### In Coneral

Section 188(d) of the Code prescribe the applicable conventions to be used in determining when depreciable property is placed in service or disposed of. Sections 188(d)(1) and 166(d)(4)(A) provide that, unless otherwise provided, the applicable convention is the halfyear convention, which treats all property (other than certain real property) placed in service (or dispose of) during a taxable year as placed in service (or disposed of) on the mid-point of the taxable year.

Section 184(d)(3) of the Code provides that a mid-quarter convention applies, insized of the half-year convention, to depreciable property placed in service during a taxable year if the aggregate basis of property placed in service during the last three months of the taxable year exceeds 40 percent of the aggregate basis of property (with certain exceptions) placed in service during the taxable year ("the 40-percent test"). Section 168(d)(4)(C) defines a midquarter convention as one that treats all property placed in service (or disposed of) during say quarter of a texable year as placed in service (or disposed of) on the mid-point of the quarter. Section 168(d) applies to both the general depreciation system under section 168(a) and the alternative depreciation system under section 168(s).

## Changes to the Proposed Regulations

Section 1.166(d)-1(b)(3)(ii) of the proposed regulations provided a rule for applying the applicable convention to property placed in service and disposed of in the same taxable year. It provided that the applicable convention determined for the taxable year also is applied to property placed in service and disposed of in the same taxable year. Under this approach so depreciation deduction was allowed for property subject to the haif-year convention because the property was deemed to have been acquired and disposed of on the same date. However, if the mid-quarter convention was applicable, a depreciation deductio was allowed for property placed in service and disposed of in different marters.

One written comment questioned the practicality of requiring texpayers to compute depreciation on amets placed in service and disposed of in the sea taxable year. It was suggested that while the net tax impact of this approach would be a direct offset of income with expense, a significant administrative burden would have to be

borne by tempeyers complying with the provision. These additional depreciation computations would have to be maintained for regular tax purposes. alternative minimum tax purposes, and adjusted current sarnings purposes. The final regulations provide that no depreciation is allowed in the case of property placed in service and disposed of in the same texable year. This modification is consistent with the exclusion of property pleand in service and disposed of in the same taxable year from the determination of whether the 40-percent test is satisfied and is also consistent with the policy of regulatory simplification and taxpayer burden reduction.

One commentator objected to f 1.106(d)-1(b)(5) of the proposed regulations, which provided that all embers of a consolidated group are treated as one taxpayer in applying the 40-percent test and the mid-quarter convention. This provision was not modified in the final regulations because the Service believes that the provision discourages menipulation of the 40percent test.

One commentator recommended that the scope of the proposed regulations be expanded to include the effect of the applicable conventions on property placed in service and disposed of for purposes of the investment tax credit recepture rules. The Service believes it would be inappropriate to expend the acope of these equiations to cover investment tax credit issues.

## Special Analyses

It as been determined that these rules are not major rules as defined in Executive Order 12291. Therefore, a Regulatory Impact Analysis is not required. It has also been determined that section 553(b) of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. chapter 5) and the Regulatory Plexibility Act (5 U.S.C. chapter 6) do not apply to these regulations, and, therefore, a final Plexibility Analysis is not required. Furstiant to section 7805(f) of the Code, a copy of these regulations was submitted to the Small Business Administration for comment on their impact on small besiness.

# Drofting Information

The principal author of these regulations is Richard Blumezewich of the Office of Assistant Chief Counsel [Passthroughs and Special Industries]. Internal Revenue Service. However, presented from other offices of the internal Revenue Service and Treasury Department participated in developing the regulations, both on matters of substance and style.

### List of Subjects

28 CFR 1.181-1 through 1.194-4

income taxes, Reporting and recordiceping requirements.

26 CFR Pert 802

Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Adoption of Amend Rogalopone

Accordingly, 26 CFR parts 1 and 602 are emended as follows:

## PART !-- (AMENDED)

Paragraph 1. The authority citation for part 1 is amended by adding the following citation:

Authority: 26 U.S.C. 7805 \* 🖖 \* Section 1.100(d)-f also issued under 25 U.S.C.

Par. 2. Sections 1.100(d)-0 and 1.106(d)-1 are added to read as follows:

§ 1.168(d)-0 Table of core cable convention rule

This section lists the major peragraphs in § 1.168(d)-1.

§ 1.168(d)-1 Applicable conventions-year and mid-quarter conventions.

(a) in general. (b) Additional rules for determining whether the mid-quarter convention applies and for applying the applicable convention (1) Property described in section 188(f). (2) Listed property.

- (3) Property placed in service and dispo of in the same taxable year.
- (4) Aggregate basis of property. (5) Special rules for allillated group
- (8) Special rule for partnerships and S oca liana
- (?) Certain monrecognition transactions. (c) Disposition of property subject to the year or mid-quarter cor

(1) in peneral.

- (2) Exemple.
- (d) Effective date.

# § 1.760(d)-1 Applicable convert waar and mid-quarter convention

(a) In general Under section 188(d). the half-year convention applies to depreciable property (other than certain real property described in section 168(d)(2)) placed to service during a taxable year, unless the mid-quarter convention applies to the property. Under section 166(d)(3)(A), the midguarter convention applies to depreciable property (other than certain real property described in section 100(d)(2)) placed in service during a taxable year if the aggregate beats of property placed in service during the last three months of the taxable year exceeds 40 percent of the aggregate basis of property placed in service during the taxable year ("the 40-percent-

tes!"). Thus, if the depreciable property is placed in service during a taxable year that consists of three months or less, the mid-quarter convention applies to the property. Under section 268(d)(3)(b)(i), the depreciable basis of monresidential real property, residential real property, and any relicoed grading or turnel bore is disregarded in applying the 40-percent test. For rule regarding property that is placed in service and disposed of in the same taxable year, see paragraph (b)(3) of this section. For the definition of "aggregate basis of property," see paragraph (b)(4) if this section.

(b) Additional rules for determining whither the mid-quarter convention applies and for applying the applicable convention—(1) Property described in section 100(/). In determining whether the 40-percent test is testified for a taxable year, the depreciable basis of property described in section 186() property to which section 168 does not apply) is not taken into account.

(2) Listed property. The depreciable basis of listed property (as defined in section 200F(d)(4) and the regulations thereunder) placed in service during a taxable year is taken into eccount (unless otherwise excluded) in applying

the 40-percent test.

(3) Property placed in service and disposed of in the same taxable year—
(i) Under section 188(d)(3)(B)(ii), the depreciable basis of property placed in service and disposed of in the same taxable year is not taken into account in determining whether the 40-percent test is satisfied. However, the depreciable basis of property placed in service. disposed of, subsequently reacquired. and again placed in service in the same taxable year must be taken into account is applying the 40-percent test, but the besis of the property is only taken into eccount on the leter of the dates that the property is placed in service during the laxable year.

(ii) The applicable convention, as determined under this section, applies to all depreciable property (except nonresidential real property, resid rentel property, and any milroad grading or tunnel bors) placed in service during the texable year, excluding property placed in service and disposed of in the same taxable year. No depreciation deduction is allowproperty placed in service and disp of during the same taxable year.

(iii) The provisions of this paragraph (b)(3) are illustrated by the following examples.

Example 1. During 1900, A. a cales tempoyer, purchases a light general purpose truck costing \$6.000, an office deak costing

8000, e sale costing R.000, and a computer easing \$1,000. The treek is placed in service in January, the deak and sale in August, and ter in Nevember. These are the the computer is Nevember. These are the duly itsue placed in service during 1980. In September, A selfe the track and the dusk. Thus, the track and the dusk were placed in That, the truck and the deal, were preced in service and disposed of in the same langible year. Therefore, the dependable banks of the truck and the deak are not taken into account in determining whether the mid-criarter Survention applies to depreciable property whosed in service during 1990. Sections the placed in service during 1993. Because the computer was placed to service during the last three months of the texable year and i seis (\$3.600) exceeds 40 percent e groupen books of depreciable pro a of the aggregate base or impression property placed in service during the taxable year (asfe and computer with an aggregale basis of \$4.000), the mid-quarter convention applies to the sefe and the computer. No depreciation to ellowed with respect to the truck and deak because they were placed to nervice and deposed of in the same texable, we

to 2. The facts are the same wie 1, except that is December A recognition the truck for \$7,000. These, truck is considered placed in service in Decreptor and its basis is included in letermining whether the mid-quarter convention applies. The mid-quarter rvantion is applicable, beca computer (\$3,000) and the track (\$7,000) are placed in service during the last three mouth of the texable year and their aggregate basis (\$10,000) exceeds 40 percent of the aggregate esis of property placed in service during the Sausbis year (sais, computer, and truck with as approprie basis of \$11.000).

(4) Aggregate basis of property. For purposes of the 40 percent test, the term "aggregate basis of property" means the sum of the depreciable bases of all items of depreciable property that are taken into account in applying the 40-percent test. "Depreciable basis" means the basis of depreciable property for purposes of determining gain und sections 1011 through 1024. The suspensiable basis for the taxable y the property is placed in service reflects the reduction in basis for-

(i) Any portion of the basis the texpayer properly elects to treat as an expense under section 179;

(ii) Any adjustment to heale und tection 48(q); and

(iti) The percentage of the tempeyer's use of the property for the texable year other than in the texpeyer's trade or resiness (or for the production of income), but is determined before any reduction for depreciation under section 187(a) for that texable year.

.(5) Special rules for affiliated groups—(i) in the case of a consolidated group (as defined to \$ 1.1802-1(h)), all embers of the group that are included on the consolidated return are treated as one taxpayer for purposes of applying the 40-percent test. Thus, the depreciable besses of all property placed

in service by members of a consolidated group during a consolidated return year are taken into account (unless otherwise excluded) in applying the 40-percent test to determine whether the mid-quarter convention applies to property placed in service by the members during the consolidated return year. The 60-percent test is applied separately to the depreciable bases of property placed in service by any member of an affiliated group that is not included in a consolidated return of the taxable year in which the property is placed in

(ii) in the case of a corporation formed by a member or members of a consolidated group and that is itself a member of the consolidated group ("newly-formed subsidiary"), the depreciable bases of property placed in service by the newly-formed subsidiary in the consolidated return year in which if is formed is included with the depreciable bases of property placed in service during the consolidated return year by the other members of the consolidated group in applying the 40-percent test. If depreciable property is placed in service by a newly-formed subsidiary during the consolidated return year in which it was formed, the newly-formed subsidiary is considered as being in existence for the entire consolidated return year for purposes of applying the applicable convention to determine when the recovery period

(iii) The provisions of paragraph (b)(5)(ii) of this section are illustrated by

the following example.

la. Assume a s acceptideted group that files its return on calendar-year basis forms's subsidiary on August 1. The subsidiary places depreciable rangers I. In superdiary places depreciable property in service on August 5. If the mid-quarter reservantion applies to property placed in service by the members of the consolidated group (including the newly-formed subsidiary), the property places in service by the subsidiary on August 5 in decembed places. deemed placed in service on the mid-point of the third quarter of the consolidated return year (i.e., August 15). If the suid-quarter onvention does not apply, the property is remed placed in service on the mid-point deemed placed in service on the mid-pot the consolidated return year (i.e. July 1).

(iv) in the case of a corporation that joins or leaves a consolidated group, the depreciable bases of property placed in service by the corporation joining or leaving the group during the portion of the consolidated return year that the corporation is a member of the consolidated group is included with the depreciable bases of property placed in service during the consolidated return year by the other members in applying the 40-percent test. The depreciable

bases of property placed in service by the joining or leaving member in the taxable year before it joins or after it leaves the consolidated group is not taken into account by the consolidated group in applying the 40-percent test for the consolidated return year. If a corporation leaves a composition leaves a composite led group and loins another consolidated group. each consolidated group takes into account, in applying the 60-percent test, the depreciable bases of property placed. in service by the corporation while a member of the group.

(v) The provisions of paragraph (b)(5)(iv) of this section are illustrated by the following example.

Example. Assume Corporations A and I Dis a consolidated return as a calendar-ye basis, Corporation C, also a calendar-year inxpayor, uniors the consolidated group or July I and is included on the nonsolidated ours for that taxable year. The depreciab bases of property placed in mervior by C during the period of July 1 to Documber 31 included with the depreciable bases of included with the depreciable bases of property placed in service by Thand III during the untire cosmolidated setyth year in applying the 40-percent tell. The depreciable bases of property placed in service by C from Jamesry 1 to June 30 is not taken into account by the consolidated group in applying the 40-percent test. If C was a member of another consolidated property to account for the consolidated property to account for the consolidated property to account for the consolidated property to account form. consolidated group during the period from January 1 to June 30, that compolidated group would include the depreciabili bases of property placed in service by C during that

(vi) A corporation that joins or leaves a consolidated group during a consolidated year is considered as being a member of the consolidated group for the entire consolidated return year for purposes of applying the applicable convention to determine when the recovery period begins for depreciable property pleased in service by the corporation during the portion of the consolidated return year that the corporation is a member of the group.

(vii) If depreciable property is placed in service by a corporation in the texable year ending immediately before it joins a consolidated group or beginning immediately after it leave consolidated group, the applicable convention is applied to the property under either the full taxable year rules or the short taxable year rules, as applicable.

(viii) The provisions of paragraphs (d(\$)(vi) and (vii) of this section are librateried by the following example.

e that on July 1. C. a galundar-return corporation. Joins a colondal-review corporations, power a consolidated group that files a return on a colondal-year basis. The short taxable year rules apply to C for the period of Jacobry 1 to as 30. However, in applying the applicable

di jali kanaming j<del>ug</del>e

envention to determine when the recovery period begins for depreciable property placed in pervice for the period of July 1 to December 31. C is considered as being a mar clidated group for the tetire consolidated return year. Thus, if the helf-year convention applies to depreciable rty placed in service by the emaplidated group (taking into account the depreciable bases of property placed in service by C after June 30), the property is desmed placed in service on the mid-point of the consolidated return year (i.e., July 1, if the group did not have a short texable year).

(ix) in the case of a transfer of depreciable property between members of a consolidated group, the following special rules apply for purposes of applying the 40-percent test. Property that is placed in service by one member of a cousolidated group and transferred to another member of the same group is considered as placed in service on the date that it is placed in service by the transferor member, and the date it is placed in service by the transferes member is disregarded. In the case of multiple transfers of property between members of a consolidated group, the property is considered as placed in service on the date that the first member places the property in service, and the dates it is placed in service by other members are disaggarded. The depreciable basis of the transferred property that is taken into account in applying the 40-percent lest is the depreciable basis of the property in the hands of the transferor member (as determined under paragraph (b)(#) of determines most put the case of multiple transfers of property between members, the depreciable basis in the hands of the first member that placed the property in-

(x) The provisions of paragraph (b)(5)(ix) of this section are illustrated by the following example.

Resuple. Assume the ABC consolidate roup files its return on a calender-year unis. A. a member of the consolidated gr parchases depreciable property costing \$50,000 and places the property is service an jamenty 5, 1971. On December 1, 1991, the roperty is insusferred for \$76,000 to it. omber of the consolidated group. emother exember of the connectating group, in applying the 40-percent test to the members of the consolidated group for 1881, the property is considered an placed in envice on interest. S. the date that A placed the property in service, and the depreciable basis of the property that is taken into account is \$50,000.

(6) Special rule for partnerships and S corporations. In the case of property placed in service by a partnership or an B corporation, the 40-percent test is generally applied at the partnership or corporate level. However, if a partnership or an S corporation is formed or availed of for the principal

purpose of either avoiding the spolication of the mid-quarter convention or having the mid-quarter convention apply where it otherwise would not, the 40-percent test is applied at the partner, shareholder, or other

oppropriate level. (7) Cartain nonrecognition transaction—(i) Except as provided in paragraph (b)(0) of this section, if depreciable property is transferred in a transaction described in section 166(i)(7)(B)(i) (other than in a transaction between members of a consolidated group) in the same taxable year that the property is placed in service by the transferor, the 40-percent test is applied by treating the transferred property as placed in service by the transferoe on the date of transfer. us. If the aggregate basis of property (including the transferred property) placed in service by the transferee during the last three months of its taxable year exceeds 40 percent of the aggregate beats of property (including the transferred property) placed in service by the transferse during the taxable year, the mid-quarter convention applies to the transferse's depreciable property. Including the transferred property. The depreciable basis of the transferred property is not taken into account by the transferor in opplying the 40-percent test for the

texable for that the trensferor placed the property in service. (ii) in applying the applicable convention to determine when the recovery period for the transferred property begins, the date on which the transferor pieced the property in service must be used. Thus, for example, if the mid-quarter convention applies, the recovery period for the transferred property begins on the mid-point of the quarter of the taxable year that the transferor placed the property in service If the transferor placed the transferred property in service in a short taxable year, then for purposes of applying the applicable convention and allocating the depreciation deduction between the transferor and the transferor, the transferor is treated as having a full 12month taxable year commencing on the first day of the short taxable year. The depreciation deduction for the transferor's texable year in which the roperty was placed in service le allocated between the transferor and the transferee based on the number of months in the transferor's taxable year that each party held the property is service. For purposes of allocating the depreciation deduction, the transfe takes into account the month in which the property was placed in service but

does not take into account the month in

which the property was transferred. The transferee is allocated the remaining portion of the depreciation deduction for the transferor's taxable year in which the property was transferred. For the remainder of the transferee's current texable year (if the transferge has a different texable year than the transferor) and for subsequent taxable years, the depreciation deduction for the transferes is calculated by allocating to the transferse's taxable year the depreciation attributable to each recovery year, or portion thereof, that falls within the transferer's texable

(iii) If the applicable convention for the transferred property has not been determined by the time the transferor files its income tax return for the year of transfer because the transferer's taxable year has not ended, the transferor may use either the mid-quarter or the helfyear convention in determining the depreciation deduction for the property. However, the transferor must specify on the depreciation form filed for the taxable year that the applicable convention has not been determined for the property. If the transferos determines that a different convention applies to the transferred property, the transferor should redetermine the depreciation deduction on the property. and, within the period of limitation. should file an amended income tax return for the taxable year and pay any additional tax due plue interest.

(iv) The provisions of the paragraph (b)(7) are illustrated by the following

Example. [1] During 1881, C. a calendaryear taxpayer, purchases satellite equipme og \$100,000, and computer equ peting \$10,000. The antillite equipment faced in service in January, and the computer equipment in February. On October 1. C transfers the computer equipment to Z Fartnership in a transaction described in section 721. During 1981, Z. a calendar-year partnership, purchases 30 office dealts for a total of \$15,000. The deals are placed in service in June. These are the only (tems of depreciable property placed to service by C

nd Z during 1991. (ii) in applying the 48-parcent test, bucass C transferred the computer equipment is a action described in secti in the same taxable year that C placed it in service, the computer equipment is treated so placed in service by the transferse, Z. on the date of transfer, October 1. The 40-percent test is entisfied with respect to Z. b empeter equipment is placed in service ging the last three months of 2's taxable year and its basis (\$15,600) exceeds 40 percent of the aggregate basis of proper deced in service by Z during the texab year (desks and computer a tegragate basis of \$30,000).

(iii) in applying the mid-quarter convention to determine when the competer equipment is deemed to be pieced in service, the date on which C placed the property in service is used. Accordingly, because C placed the competer equipment is service during the first quarter of its temble year, the competer equipment is deemed placed in service on February 15, 1621, the mid-point of the first quarter of C's insuble year. The depostanter deduction allowable for C's 1891 tauable year. \$5.250 (\$13,000 × 60 percent × 10. We), in allocated between C and Z besed on the number of meeths in C's tauable year that C and Z held the property to service for 11 months during C's 1891 tauable year and C held it for 8 of these 11 months. C is allocated.

\$2,818 (%1 X \$2.20). Z is allocated \$1,422, the

a for C's 1901 taxable year. For 1907.

ent in \$3,000, the sum of the remaining

along % and the \$5,250 depreciation

Z's depreciation deduction for the computer

2.5 months of depreciation deduction for the

overy year ((\$16,000×40 percent × 1.%1) +2

first recovery year and 10.5 months of depreciation deduction for the second

(2) Disposition of property subject to the helf-year or mid-quarter convention—(1) In general. If depreciable property is subject to the helf-year (or mid-quarter) convention in the taxable year to which it is placed in service, it also is subject to the helf-year (or mid-quarter) convention in the

taxable year in which it is disposed of.

(2) Example. The provisions of persgraph (c)(1) of this section are illustrated by the following example.

Example. In October 1897, B, a culerolarywer inxpeyer, purchases and places in service a light general purpose truck couling \$10,000. B does not elect to expense any part of the cast of the truck, and this is the only item of depreciable property placed in service by B during 1891. The 40-percent last is settled and the mid-quarter conventions applies, because the truck is placed in service during the last three months of the laxable year and no other assets are placed in service in their year. In April 1993 (prior to the end of the truck is recovery period), B sells the truck. The mid-quarter convention applies in determining the depreciation deduction for the truck in 1993, the year of disposition.

(d) Effective date. This section applies to depreciable property placed in service in taxable years ending after january 30, 1991. For depreciable property placed in service after December 31, 1908, in taxable years ending on or before January 30, 1991, a taxpayer may use a method other than the method provided in this section in applying the 40-percent test and the applicable convention, provided the method is reasonable and is consistently applied to the taxpayer's property.

## PART 102—CHB CONTROL NUMBERS UNDER THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT

Per. 3. The authority citation for part 602 continues to read as follows: Authority in U.S.C. 7805.

### (OOL10 [Amended])

Far. 4. Section 802.101(c) is emended by adding the following entry in the mble to read as follows: "1.166(d)-1 ". 1545-1146".

Shirley D. Potesson. Commissioner of Internal Revenue.

Approved: September 2, 1992.

Pred T. Geldberg, je.,
Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.

[FR Dac, 82-25039 Filed 10-22-62; 8:45 app]

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

### 30 CFR Part 950

## Wyoming Permanent Regulatory Program

AGENCY: Office of Surface Mining ( Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM), Interior.

Acrese Final rule; approval of amendment.

SUMMARY: OSM is announcing approval. bus applied bus applied. amendments, of a proposed amendment to the Wyoming permanent regulatory program (bereinefter, the "Wyoming program") under the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA). The emendment, submitted June 24, 1991, pertains to the definition of public building, elimination of the two acre exemption, definition of joint agency approved, fish and wildlife resource information outside the permit area, solid wasts permitting for mines. bus elementables requirements and confidentiality—bistoric and archeeological resources, stability analysis waiver, cultural resources management plan, revegetation monitoring, livestock grazing, topsoil substitutes, conditions for removal of diversions, information on historic and archaeological resources for coal exploration permits, definition of selfbond, required finding on historic resources for permit approval, wild and scenic river study confiders, coal mine rmit renewel processing, time frame for permit revisions, and area of water rights reporting for in-site spines. The amendment reviews the Wyoming

program to be consistent with the corresponding Federal standards and to incorporate the additional flexibility afforded by the revised Federal rules.

EVERYPOR DATE: October 28, 1992.

POR PARTHER REPORMATION CONTACT: Guy V. Padgell, Telephone: (307) 301-5776.

## BUPPLEMENTARY REPORTATIONS

# 2. Hockground on the Wyoming Program

On November 25, 1980, the Secretary of the interior conditionally approved the Wyoming program. General background information on the Wyoming program, including the Secretary's findings, the disposition of comments, and conditions of approval of the Wyoming program can be found in the November 20, 1980, Faderal Register [45 FR 78637]. Subsequent actions concerning Wyoming's program and program amendments can be found at 30 CFR 950.11, 950.12, 950.15 and 950.16.

# II. Submission of Amendment

On June 24, 1991, Wyoming submitted a proposed amendment to its program pursuant to SMCRA (Administrative Record No. WY-18-1). Wyoming submitted the proposed amendment in response to the December 23, 1986; june 9, 1987; and November 7, 1988, notifications that OSM sent in accordance with the Pederal regulations at 30 CFR 732.17(d) (Administrative Record Nos. WY-12-15, WY-12-16, and WY-16-10). Wyoming proposes to amend the following Department of Environmental Quality—Land Quality Division (DEQ/LQD) rules and regulations relating to coal exploration and coal mining and reclamation operations: Chapter I-Definition of Public Building, Elimination of the Two Acre Exemption, Definition of Joint Agency Approval Chapter D-Fish a Wildlife Resource Information Outside the Permit Area, Solid Waste Permittins for Mines, Information Requirements and Confidentiality—Historic and Archaeological Resources, Stability Analysis Waiver, Cultural Resources Management Plan, Ravogetation Monitoring, Livestock Grazing: Chapter [V-Solid Weste Permitting for Mines. Topical Substitutes, Revegetation Monitoring, Conditions for Removal of Diversions: Chapter XI—Information on Historic and Archaeological Resources for Coal Exploration Permits; Chapter XII—Definition of Self-Bond; Chapter XIII--Required Floding on Historic Resources for Permit Approval, Wild and Scenic River Study Corridors, Coal Mine Permit Renewel Processing Chapter XIV...Time Frame for Permit