

**Evaluation of NWS Twin Cities, MN Products and Services  
During the 2010 Spring Flood**

Responses to this survey are completely voluntary.

**1. What is your affiliation?**

- Federal Government       State Government       County Government  
 City Government       Other \_\_\_\_\_

**2. How important is hydrology and weather information in determining your daily activities?**

- Very Important  
 Important  
 Somewhat Important

**3. How important was hydrology and weather information to your responsibilities prior to and during in the flood.**

- Very Important  
 Important  
 Somewhat Important

**4. Did you attend a NWS flood outlook briefing or use the Probabilistic Flood Outlook products, prior to the flood.**

- Yes     No

**5. If yes, were you able to make decisions and plans based on the Flood Outlooks? If so, what decisions were you able to make and what were the impact of those decisions?**

---

---

---

---

**6. What technologies do you use to access weather and hydrology information from the National Weather Service? (Check all that apply)**

- Emergency Managers Weather Information Network (EMWIN)  
 Interactive Weather Information Network (IWIN)  
 NWS Twin Cities Main Website (<http://water.weather.gov/ahps2/index.php?wfo=mpx>)  
 NWS MN Flood Briefing Webpage (<http://www.crh.noaa.gov/mpx/?n=springflooding>)  
 NWSChat  
 AHPSMobile/iNWS website (<http://ahpsmobile.wrh.noaa.gov/> or <http://inws.wrh.noaa.gov/>)  
 NWS Emails and Briefings  
 RSS Feeds  
 NOAA Weather Radio (NWR)  
 NOAAPORT  
 Commercial Weather Information Vendor

**7. Rank your preferred method of accessing hydrology and weather information both prior to and during this flood event. (1 being the most preferred followed by 2, etc...)**

Method	Prior To The Flood	During the Flood
WFO Twin Cities Website		
AHPSMobile/iNWS website		
Webinars (as needed)		
Emails briefings/notifications		
Call the WFO NWS Twin Cities Office		
NWSChat		
NOAA Weather Radio		
Weather Information System (EMWIN, IWIN, NOAAPORT, Comm. Vendor)		
Other Media Sources (T.V. / Radio, etc...)		
Other Methods		

**If you used “other methods” to accessing hydrology and weather information, could you elaborate?**

---



---



---

**8. If you used the MN Flood Briefing webpage (<http://www.crh.noaa.gov/mpx/?n=springflooding>), did it provide you the supplemental information, in addition to the NWS AHPS pages (<http://water.weather.gov/ahps2/index.php?wfo=mpx>), you needed to make flood related decisions? If not, what additional information would have been of value to include in this webpage.**

---



---



---

**9. A blend of Webinars and Email briefings were used provide information during the flood. Did you attend a NWS Flood Webinar Briefing and/or use the Flood Briefing Emails, during to the flood?**

Yes  No

**10. If yes, was this approach effective?**

- Prefer the blend of webinars and Email briefings  
 Prefer Email briefings only

Prefer Webinars only

Please elaborate if you had any specific comments regarding your response.

---

---

**11. Regarding the Webinars and Email briefings, what decisions did you make or reconsider with the information you gained from these briefings? Were the impacts of these decisions of significance?**

---

---

---

**12. Was there additional information that you needed for key decisions that was not provided via the traditional weather and hydrology products or via the webinars and briefings? If so, what was that additional information?**

---

---

---

**13. How reliable was the hydrology and weather information provided by WFO Twin Cities?**

- Very Reliable
- Reliable
- Somewhat Reliable

**14. How timely was the hydrology and weather information provided by WFO Twin Cities?**

- Very Timely
- Timely
- Somewhat Timely

15. How satisfied were you with WFO Twin Cities products during the flood in following areas:

<b>Product</b>	<b>Very Satisfied</b>	<b>Satisfied</b>	<b>Neutral</b>	<b>Dissatisfied</b>	<b>Very Dissatisfied</b>	<b>Not Applicable (N/A)</b>
<b>River Flood Warnings</b>						
<b>Areal Flood Warnings for Ice Jams</b>						
<b>Areal Flood Warnings for Non Forecast Point River Flooding</b>						
<b>Flash Flood Warnings for Ice Jams</b>						
<b>Flash Flood Warnings (other situations)</b>						
<b>River or Areal Flood Watches</b>						
<b>Spring Flood Outlooks</b>						
<b>Hazardous Weather Outlook (either Text or Graphical)</b>						
<b>General Weather Forecasts</b>						

**16. NWS Twin Cities used a broader areal flood warning, in addition to the River Point Flood Warnings, to convey the full extent of flooding along the larger river systems (specifically the Minnesota, Mississippi and Crow Rivers). Information such as road closures was included in the product. Was this strategy of issuing a broader areal flood warning helpful?**  
\_\_Yes \_\_No

**If the broader warning was helpful, was the road closure information in the warning appropriate or would referencing MNDOT's 511 website as well as a generic statement of "Please refer to your local County Website for possible road closures." be a better approach? Also, do you have suggestions for other information to include in a broader areal flood warning?**

---

---

---

---

**17. Do you have suggestions as to how NWS Twin Cities can improve its hydrology related products or services (respond to those that apply)**

Webinar Briefings

---

---

Email briefings

---

---

River Outlook Information

---

---

River Forecast Information

---

---

Flood Warnings due to Ice Jams

---

---

River Flood Warnings for rivers do not receive River level forecasts (hydrographs) or are not near a River Forecast Point.

---

---

NWSCHAT (either NCRFC-Agencies Chat or MPXChat)

---

---

One-on-one conversation with NWS staff for information

---

---

**18. Sharing of information not only from the NWS to Decision Makers but also from Decision Makers to the NWS in real-time was a key component to this event. What are some of the best/easiest methods for you to provide this information to the NWS?**

---

---

---

**19. What is your overall satisfaction with WFO Twin Cities' products and services?**

- Very satisfied
- Satisfied
- Neutral
- Dissatisfied
- Very Dissatisfied

**If you are dissatisfied, why?**

---

---

#### **PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT STATEMENT**

**Paperwork Reduction Act Information:** In accordance with Executive Order 12862, the National Performance Review, and good management practices, NOAA offices seek to determine whether their customers are satisfied with the services and/or products they are receiving and whether they have suggestions as to how the services/products may be improved or made more useful. The information will be used to improve NOAA's products and services. Responses to this survey are completely voluntary. No confidentiality can be provided for responses, but you need not supply your name or address. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 30 minutes per response. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Sarah Brabson, CIO-PPA1, Station 9826, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910. Notwithstanding any other provision of the law, no person is required to respond to, nor shall any person be subject to a penalty for failure to comply with, a collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act, unless that collection of information displays a currently valid OMB Control Number.