

Attachment D2 - Policy/Payer Survey

This survey is intended for organizations that provide healthcare and/or develop policy about use of and/or coverage/reimbursement for genetic testing and related interventions. These include decision makers at health plans/HMOs, insurers (e.g., Aetna, BCBS), and their umbrella organizations (e.g., America's Health Insurance Plans, Blue Cross Blue Shield Association).

Note: Skip patterns will be programmed into the online form, making a streamlined survey for respondents.

Objectives - Types of information to be collected include:

1. Identify general descriptive characteristics of respondents (e.g., position in organization, role).
2. Understand respondents' awareness of EGAPP and EGAPP products (e.g., evidence reports, EGAPP Working Group recommendations).
3. Determine if the respondent has read any products (e.g., published or web-posted evidence reports, published recommendations).
4. Get feedback on whether specific products may have impact on coverage or policy decisions.
5. Determine if the products meet the organizations' general standards for making coverage or policy decisions.

Evaluation of Genomic Applications in Practice and Prevention (EGAPP) Survey

Introduction to the EGAPP Survey

Evaluation of Genomic Applications in Practice and Prevention (EGAPP) is an initiative launched in 2004 by the National Office of Public Health Genomics (NOPHG) at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). The efforts of EGAPP are focused around an independent, non-federal, multidisciplinary EGAPP Working Group. The goal of EGAPP is to establish a systematic, evidence-based process to assess the effectiveness of selected genetic tests that are in transition from research to clinical and public health practice.

Products of the EGAPP project include evidence reports on selected genetic tests and published EGAPP Working Group recommendations on the appropriate use of the tests based on the evidence collected. Some evidence reports sponsored by the EGAPP project are conducted and released by Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) Evidence-based Practice Centers.

To evaluate the value and impact of the EGAPP products, an independent consultant has been contracted to survey key stakeholder groups, including healthcare providers, healthcare payers and purchasers, certain policy organizations, targeted consumer groups, and website visitors. Response to these surveys is very important to inform the EGAPP Working Group and CDC about the best methods and approaches for future review of the effectiveness of emerging genetic tests, and about the potential impact of accurate and timely information on genetic tests on current healthcare practices.

Your feedback will provide important information about the relevance of EGAPP products to your practice. The questions relate only to EGAPP-supported evidence reports and EGAPP Working Group Recommendations. Thank you for your time and assistance.

Public reporting burden of this collection of information is estimated to range between 5 and 10 minutes with an average of 8 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to CDC/ATSDR Reports Clearance Officer; 1600 Clifton Road NE, MS D-74, Atlanta, Georgia 30333; ATTN: PRA (0920-0751).

Please note: While taking the survey, please do not use your browser's back and/or forward buttons; please only use the next and previous buttons within the survey. Thank you.

1. Which best describes your organization? (please check only one)

- Health plan
- Health insurer
- HMO
- Organization of health insurance plans

(Checking any of the alternatives below redirects to Policy Survey):

- Member of medical professional organization and involved in policy decisions/guideline development
- Other policy organization
- Advisory panel to the government
- Public health program
- Accreditation organization

(Checking one of the alternatives in the group below redirects Purchaser Survey)

- Small business that purchases healthcare for its employees
- Large company or corporation that purchases healthcare for its employees
- Federal purchaser of healthcare
- Group purchasing organization
- I am not affiliated with any group listed. → Exit survey. **SUBMIT**

2. Within your organization, in which of the following activities are you involved? (Please check all that apply.)

- Analyzing data/information that will be used to inform policy and coverage decisions
- Making coverage/reimbursement decisions
- Developing policy on use of tests and interventions
- Developing technology reports, practice guidelines or recommendations
- Other (please describe) _____

3. What is your background?

- Physician
- Other health care provider
- Administrator
- Other (please specify) _____

4. Prior to this survey, had you read or heard about EGAPP?

- yes
- no → Skip to question 6a (first survey distribution) or 7a (second survey distribution)
- unsure → Skip to question 6a (first survey distribution) or 7a (second survey distribution)

5. Where have you read or heard about EGAPP activities? (Please check all that apply)

- I read about EGAPP on the CDC *or* www.egappreviews.org website.
- I heard about EGAPP through a professional journal/newsletter.
- A colleague told me about EGAPP.
- I learned about EGAPP at a meeting.
- Other (please describe) _____

If you checked the "I heard about EGAPP through a professional journal/newsletter" response above, please specify that journal/newsletter title here:

If you checked the "I learned about EGAPP at a meeting" response above, please specify that meeting here:

If this is the second survey distribution, respondents will be skipped to question 7a.

Following is a set of questions about a genetic test for which EGAPP has completed an evidence review and a recommendation. The test is described briefly before the questions.

Cytochrome P450 (CYP450) genotyping is a genetic test proposed for use in patients treated for depression with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) to help in selection of drug and dosage.

6a. Are you aware of the CYP450 genetic test?

- yes
- no → Skip to question 9
- unsure → Skip to question 9

6b. From what source(s) have you heard about the CYP450 genetic test? (check all that apply)

- An EGAPP-sponsored evidence report or published summary
- An EGAPP Working Group recommendation
- Primary research/review article
- Professional organization
- Colleague
- Meeting/conference
- News media
- Other (please specify)

The following questions refer specifically to the evidence report/published summary and EGAPP Working Group recommendation on CYP450 testing

6c. Have you read the EGAPP-sponsored evidence report on CYP450 testing, or a published summary of the evidence report?

- yes no unsure
- If no or unsure, respondent skips to item 6f**

6d. How understandable did you find the evidence report/published summary to be?

- very understandable somewhat understandable not understandable

6e. Will the evidence on CYP450 testing influence decisions your organization makes about use or coverage of CYP450 testing in patients with depression treated with SSRIs?

- yes no unsure

If yes, please explain: _____

6f. Have you read the EGAPP Working Group recommendation about the use of CYP450 testing in patients with depression treated with SSRIs?

- yes no unsure
- If no or unsure, respondent skips to item 6j**

6g. How understandable did you find the EGAPP recommendation to be?

- very understandable somewhat understandable not understandable

6h. Will the EGAPP recommendation on the use of CYP450 testing influence decisions your organization makes about use or coverage of CYP450 testing?

yes no unsure

If yes, please explain: _____

6i. Which will be more useful to your organization? (Please check one.)

evidence report or published summary of evidence recommendations Not applicable

6j Is your organization currently covering CYP450 testing for patients with depression treated with SSRIs?

yes no unsure

6k. Please provide any comments about the evidence report/published summary or EGAPP recommendation on the use of CYP450 testing in patients with depression treated with SSRIs that you feel would improve the information for payers and policy makers.

Comment box here

If this is the first survey distribution, respondents will be skipped to question 9.

Testing for Hereditary Non-Polyposis Colorectal Cancer (HNPCC or Lynch Syndrome) in newly diagnosed colorectal cancer patients and their families may be offered to a selected subset of high risk patients to detect a heritable form of colorectal cancer.

7a. Are you aware of genetic testing for HNPCC (Lynch Syndrome) in newly diagnosed patients with colorectal cancer?

yes
 no → Skip to question 8a
 unsure → Skip to question 8a

7b. From what source(s) have you heard about genetic testing for HNPCC (Lynch Syndrome)? (Please check all that apply)

An EGAPP-sponsored evidence report or published summary
 An EGAPP Working Group recommendation
 Primary research/review article
 Professional organization
 Colleague
 Meeting/conference
 News media
 Other (please specify)

The following questions refer specifically to the evidence report/published summary and EGAPP recommendation on HNPCC (Lynch Syndrome) testing

7c. Have you read the EGAPP-sponsored evidence report on genetic testing for HNPCC (Lynch Syndrome), or a published summary of the evidence report?

yes no unsure
If no or unsure, respondent skips to item 7f

7d. How understandable did you find the evidence report/published summary to be?

very understandable somewhat understandable not understandable

7e. Will this information on genetic testing for HNPCC (Lynch Syndrome) influence your organization's decisions on the use or coverage of genetic testing for HNPCC (Lynch Syndrome) in patients with newly diagnosed colorectal cancer?

yes no unsure

If yes, please explain: _____

7f. Have you read the EGAPP Working Group recommendation about the use of testing for HNPCC (Lynch Syndrome) in patients with newly diagnosed colorectal cancer?

yes no unsure

If no or unsure, respondent skips to item 7i

7g. How understandable did you find the EGAPP recommendation to be?

very understandable somewhat understandable not understandable

7h. Will this recommendation influence your organization's decision about the use or coverage of genetic testing for HNPCC (Lynch Syndrome) in patients with newly diagnosed colorectal cancer?

yes no unsure

If yes, please explain: _____

7i. Which is more useful to your organization? (Please check one.)

evidence report or published summary of evidence recommendations Not applicable

7j. Is your organization currently covering HNPCC (Lynch Syndrome) testing in patients with newly diagnosed colorectal cancer?

yes in some cases no unsure

7k. Please provide any comments about the EGAPP evidence report/published summary or EGAPP recommendation on the use of HNPCC (Lynch Syndrome) testing in patients with newly diagnosed colorectal cancer that you feel would improve the information for payers/policy makers.

Comment box here

UGT1A1 testing is a pharmacogenetic test for colorectal cancer that may be offered to patients treated with irinotecan.

8a. Are you aware of genetic testing for UGT1A1 in colorectal cancer patients treated with irinotecan?

yes
 no → Skip to question 9
 unsure → Skip to question 9

8b. From what source(s) have you heard about genetic testing for UGT1A1? (Please check all that apply)

An EGAPP-sponsored evidence report or published summary
 An EGAPP Working Group recommendation
 Primary research/review article

- Professional organization
- Colleague
- Meeting/conference
- News media
- Other (please specify)

The following questions refer specifically to the evidence report/published summary and EGAPP recommendation on UGT1A1 testing

8c. Have you read the EGAPP-sponsored evidence report on genetic testing for UGT1A1, or a published summary of the evidence report?

yes no unsure

If no or unsure, respondent skips to item 8f

8d. How understandable did you find the evidence report/published summary to be?

very understandable somewhat understandable not understandable

8e. Will this information on genetic testing for UGT1A1 influence your organization's decisions on the use or coverage of genetic testing for UGT1A1 in colorectal cancer patients treated with irinotecan?

yes no unsure

If yes, please explain: _____

8f. Have you read the EGAPP Working Group recommendation about the use of genetic testing for UGT1A1 in colorectal cancer patients treated with irinotecan?

yes no unsure

If no or unsure, respondent skips to item 8j

8g. How understandable did you find the EGAPP recommendation to be?

very understandable somewhat understandable not understandable

8h. Will this recommendation influence your organization's decision about the use or coverage of genetic testing for UGT1A1 in colorectal cancer patients treated with irinotecan?

yes no unsure

If yes, please explain: _____

8i. Which is more useful to your organization? (Please check one.)

evidence report or published summary of evidence recommendations Not applicable

8j. Is your organization currently covering UGT1A1 testing in colorectal cancer patients treated with irinotecan yes in some cases no unsure

8k. Please provide any comments about the EGAPP evidence report/published summary or EGAPP recommendation on the use of UGT1A1 testing in colorectal cancer patients treated with irinotecan that you feel would improve the information for payers/policy makers.

Comment box here

9. Have you read any EGAPP sponsored evidence reports/published summaries or EGAPP recommendations other than the one mentioned in this survey?

___ yes ___ no ___ unsure

Respondent directed to 10 if **no** or **unsure** to:

6a and 9 (first survey distribution)

7a and 8a and 9 (second survey distribution)

Respondent directed to question 11 if **yes** to 9 (first and second survey distribution)

10. If an evidence-based report on a specific test and a recommendation from a credible expert panel were available, please indicate your response to the statements below based on the scale given:

Evidence-based information/guidelines on genetic tests are useful in my practice to:

Not Useful	Somewhat Useful	Useful	Very Useful
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

a) understand what uses of the test are supported by evidence.

<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------

b) identify the population or individuals for whom the test may be appropriate.

c) provide expectations for laboratory performance and the estimated clinical validity of the test.

d) know what actions or interventions may be suggested based on test results.

e) understand what is known about the balance of benefits and harms related to use of the test.

f) appreciate the ethical, legal, and social implications related to testing.

<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------

Respondents answering Question 10 skip to Question 15

Question 11 will only be asked of those respondents who have read at least one EGAPP-sponsored evidence review/summary or recommendations

11. Based on your experience with EGAPP sponsored evidence reports and/or Working Group recommendations, please indicate your response to the statements below based on the scale given:

Evidence-based information/guidelines on genetic tests are useful in my practice to:

- a) understand what uses of the test are supported by evidence.
- b) identify the population or individuals for whom the test may be appropriate.
- c) provide expectations for laboratory performance and the estimated clinical validity of the test.
- d) know what actions or interventions may be suggested based on test results.
- e) understand what is known about the balance of benefits and harms related to use of the test.
- f) appreciate the ethical, legal, and social implications related to testing.

Not Useful	Somewhat Useful	Useful	Very Useful
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

12. In general, how well does the EGAPP process for evidence review and development of recommendations by the independent EGAPP Working Group meet standards that your organization requires for setting policy or developing guidelines?

- Exceeds standards meets standards
 Does not meet/Falls below standards Unsure Not applicable

13. Have you visited the EGAPP website: www.egapproviews.org

- yes no **→ Skip to question 15**

14. How useful did you find the EGAPP website?

- Very useful
 Somewhat useful
 Not useful

15. If you have other comments you would like to make please do so in the box below.

COMMENT BOX HERE

This is the end of the survey, thank you for your feedback. Click the "Submit" button below to submit your responses.-----→ **SUBMIT**