

**SUPPORTING STATEMENT**

**Small Minority Producer Grant Program  
0570-0052**

A. Justification

Rural Business-Cooperative Service (RBS) is requesting a clearance of the reporting and record keeping requirements associated with Public Law 109-97. The program has been named the Small Minority Producer Grant Program.

1. Explain the circumstances that make the collection of information necessary.

The Small Minority Producer Grant (SMPG) program was authorized by section 2744 of the Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act of 2006, Public Law 109-97. Regulations to implement the program have not been developed or published.

The Act provides for the Secretary of Agriculture to make grants to cooperatives or associations of cooperative whose primary focus is to provide assistance to small, minority producers and whose governing board and/or membership is comprised of at least 75 percent minority.

2. Indicate how, by whom, and for what purpose the information is to be used. Except for a new collection, indicate the actual use the Agency has made of the information received from the current collection.

The information requirements contained within the Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) are requested from grant applicants and grant recipients. The information is vital for RBS to make prudent decisions regarding eligibility of applicants and selection priority among competing applicants, to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations, and to evaluate the program.

RBS, through its Cooperative Programs in Washington, D.C. and its staff located in 49 State Offices throughout the United States, will be the primary user of the information collected. Under the Freedom of Information Act, the general public can request the majority of the data requested of the grantees by RBS except data that is confidential. RBS will release only limited data during the processing of an application up to the period of time the grant is closed. This is a new program. Therefore, we are providing assumptions of the number of applications and awardees.

Specifically, the burden associated with NOFA to be cleared with this docket is as follows:

**REPORTING REQUIREMENTS - NO FORMS**

Executive Summary

This information is for the applicant to briefly describe the project, task to be completed and other relevant information that provides a general overview of the project.

### Budget/Work Plan

This part of the application is needed to document what the grant funds are to be used for and what is to be accomplished. This is important for evaluating the application and also for monitoring to ensure that funds are used for the purpose for which they were intended. This also provides the need for the project, the service area, the applicant's ability to accomplish the planned activities, who will be assisted, what impact is expected, and how the work will be performed. The information is needed to properly evaluate each application and select the most deserving applications for funding.

### Eligibility Discussion

The information in this section is essential to determine if the applicant is eligible to apply. It also provides a detailed discussion on the use of funds for project activities eligibility, rural area eligibility, and that the project will be completed within the appropriate timeframe.

### Evaluation Criterion

This grant program is a competitive program. These criteria are necessary to score the application to ensure the highest ranking applications receive the funds meeting the purpose of the Public Law 109-97.

### Intergovernmental Review comments

These comments, obtained by the applicant through contact with the State Single Point of Contact, are required to comply with Executive Order 12372 and to ensure that the proposed activity is not in conflict with strategic plans of State and local governments.

### Project Performance Report

This report is needed to help the Agency ensure that projects in process are progressing satisfactorily and that completed projects have, in fact been completed and paid for in full. If cost overruns, deviations from the approved scope, or other problems do develop, this will help ensure that the Agency is made aware in time to help find a solution.

### Grant Agreement

This document is a legal agreement between the grantee and the Agency. It provides terms and conditions the grantee must adhere to as a result of receiving the award.

## **FORMS APPROVED UNDER THIS DOCKET**

Letter of Intent to Meet Conditions (Form RD 1942-46)

The grantee's signature is needed on this form to document that the grantee has received the Letter of Conditions from the Agency and agrees to its terms and conditions. There are no changes to the form or the instructions.

Assurance Agreement (Form RD 400-4)

Used to confirm that recipients of loan and grant assistance have been reminded of their obligations to comply with all the provisions of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and regulations of the Agency.

**REPORTING REQUIREMENTS APPROVED UNDER OTHER NUMBERS**

Application for Federal Assistance (SF-424)

This form provides general information and signature documenting application.

Budget Information-Non-Construction Program (SF-424A)

The applicant is required to submit this budget form to provide a budget breakdown on the total project cost of a non-construction project.

Assurance—Non-Construction Programs (SF-424B)

The applicant signs this form to certify they will comply with all applicable requirements of all other Federal Laws, executive orders, regulations and policies governing this program.

Request for Advance or Reimbursement (SF-270)

This form is needed to document the grantee's request to draw grant funds.

Financial Status Report (SF-269)

This form is needed to provide a periodic summary of project costs incurred.

Financial Status Report (SF-269A)

This form may be used in lieu of the SF-269. This form is needed to provide a periodic summary of project costs incurred.

**RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS**

Financial Management systems

It is necessary for the grantee to keep complete and accurate accounting records as evidence that the grant funds were used properly.

3. Describe whether, and to what extent, the collection of information involves the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g. permitting electronic submission of responses, and the basis for the decision for adopting this means of collection.

The applicants may apply online through the Grants.gov Website. We received twenty-one percent of the applications for fiscal year 2006 through Grants.gov. However, all the applications received electronically were ineligible applicants and did not provide a complete application in accordance with the Notice of Funds Availability.

4. Describe efforts to identify duplication. Show specifically why any similar information already available cannot be used or modified for use for the purposes described in Item 2 above.

RBS tries to use existing material whenever possible. The forms required in connection with this program are all Standard Forms also used by other agencies and for other programs. Where Standard Forms do not exist, respondents are asked to provide the information in written form. Therefore, respondents can submit documents they already have or prepare new material in the format most convenient to them. We are requesting as part of the work plan that the applicant provides a budget for each task they are proposing to accomplish. This would duplicate the SF-424A and, therefore we are not requiring the applicants to submit the form SF-424A.

5. If the collection of information impacts small businesses or other small entities (item 5 of OMB Form 83-I), describe any methods used to minimize burden.

The information is to be collected from minority cooperatives and minority association of cooperatives; therefore, there will be little probability that small businesses will provide information as the result of this program.

6. Describe the consequences to Federal program or policy activities if the collection is not conducted or conducted less frequently, as well as any technical or legal obstacles to reducing burden.

The information collected under this program is considered to be the minimum necessary to allow prudent program decisions, ensure that the intent of the statute is achieved, and comply with requirements already established by law or Executive Order, such intergovernmental consultation and OMB circular A-102, A-133 and 2 CFR 215.

7. Explain any special circumstances that would cause an information collection to be conducted in a manner:

- a. Requiring respondents to report information more than quarterly. There are no information collection requirements that require specific reporting on more than a quarterly basis.
- b. Requiring written responses in less than 30 days. All incomplete applications that have 4 items or less missing has 12 days from the time a formal letter is issued to submit the items to meet the completeness requirement of the NOFA. There are no specific information collection requirements that require less than 30 days response. However, in many cases it is to the applicant's or borrower's benefit to provide RBS with information as soon as possible because RBS cannot provide requested program benefits until supporting documentation is received.
- c. Requiring more than an original. There are no specific information collection requirements that require more than an original.
- d. Requiring respondents to retain records for more than 3 years. There are no requirements for respondents to retain records for more than three years, except when there are unresolved audit findings.
- e. Not utilizing statistical sampling. There are no requirements in connection with a statistical survey.
- f. Requiring use of statistical sampling which has not been reviewed and approved by OMB. There are no requirements for the use of a statistical data classification that has not been reviewed and approved by OMB.
- g. Requiring a pledge of confidentiality. There is no information collection requirement that includes a pledge of confidentiality not supported by statute or regulation, not supported by consistent disclosure and data security policies, or which unnecessarily impedes sharing of data with other agencies.
- h. Requiring submission of proprietary trade secrets. There is no requirement for submission of proprietary trade secrets or other confidential information.

8. If applicable, identify the date and page number of publication in the Federal Register of the agency's notice soliciting comments on the information collection. Summarize public comments received and describe actions taken by the agency in response to these comments. Describe efforts to consult with persons outside the Agency to obtain their views on the availability of data, frequency of collection, the clarity of instructions and recordkeeping, disclosure, reporting format (if any), and on data elements to be recorded, disclosed, or reported.

The 60-day notice for comments on the information of collection was embedded in the Notice of solicitation of applications and published on June 13, 2006, [71 FR 34053]. No comments were received. The following companies were consulted regarding the collection of information:

South Dakota Association of Cooperatives

Karen Kuhns, Office Manager  
351 Wisconsin S.  
P.O. Box 102  
Huron, South Dakota 57350  
Phone: 605-352-9492

Mississippi Association of Cooperatives  
Benn Burkett, State Coordinator  
P.O. Box 2786  
Jackson, MS 39225  
Phone: (601) 354-2750

Federation of Southern Cooperatives  
Ralph Paige, Executive Director  
2769 Church Street  
East Point, GA 30344  
Phone: (404) 765-0991

9. Explain any decision to provide any payment or gift to respondents, other than reenumeration of contractors or grantees.

No gifts or payments of any kind will be made to respondents.

10. Describe any assurance of confidentiality provided to respondents and the basis for the assurance in statute, regulation, or Agency policy.

The information collected under the provisions of the program is not considered to be of a confidential nature. The data is collected from organizations that ordinarily are required to make their activities available for public scrutiny, such as nonprofit entities.

11. Provide additional justification for any question of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior or attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private.

The information collected does not contain any sensitive information such as sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs or other matters commonly considered private.

12. Provide estimates of the hour burden of the collection of information.

The estimated total hours of burden on the public are 231. See the attached spreadsheet for a breakdown. The estimates were determined by the number of respondents of the NOFA dated June 13, 2006. The total number of applications received was forty-three. The number of respondents that submitted a complete application was twenty-six. Thirteen of the applications submitted only form SF-424, SF-424A and SF-424B and four only submitted the budget/work plan, executive summary, eligibility discussion and evaluation criterion.

13. Provide an estimate of the total annual cost burden to respondents or recordkeepers resulting from the collection of information.

There are no capital/start-up or ongoing operation/maintenance costs associated with this information collection.

14. Provide estimates of annualized cost to the Federal Government.

RBS estimates the cost to the Federal Government to administer the activities of this program broken down as follows:

The review and eligibility determination will be completed by National Office GS-13 Specialists.

The processing and servicing will be completed by GS-12 specialist and GS-13 program directors. The GS-5/7 state technician typically does the data entry in the computer system.

Activity	Number	No. of hours	Rate	Total
Review application materials/eligibility determination	43	16	50	\$34,400
Approve grant and obligate funds	7	16	50	\$ 5,600
Servicing/grant closing	7	16	50	\$ 5,600
<b>TOTAL</b>				<b>\$45,600</b>

Travel: \$ 9,000  
Other Administrative: \$ 6,455

15. Explain the reasons for any program changes or adjustments reported in items 13 or 14 of the OMB Form 83-I.

There has been a decrease of 187 hours from the previous submission. In the previous submission, the burden hours for the Standard Forms were included inadvertently. These hours are covered under other OMB numbers. Therefore, the number of respondents is 43 with 185 responses. The burden hours being requested is 231 as opposed to the current inventory of 418.

16. For collection of information whose results will be published, outline plans for tabulation and publication.

This collection of information will not be published for statistical purposes.

17. If seeking approval to not display the expiration date for OMB approval of the information collection, explain the reasons that display would be inappropriate.

It is not cost effective for the Agency to display the expiration date on forms due to the large number of field offices and the significant difference in the volume of forms used by these offices.

18. Explain each exception to the certification statement identified in item 19 on OMB 83-I.

The agency is able to certify compliance with all provisions under Item 19 of OMB 83-I.

19. How is this information collection related to the Service Center Initiative (SCI)? Will the information collection be part of the one stop shopping concept?

The collection of data will be done through Grants.gov and has no relationship to the Service Center Initiative.