## Attachment 8 - Summary Table of Noteworthy Changes to Instructions PHS 398, 2590, 2271, 3734, and HHS 568

PHS 398 Grant Application	Rationale
Part I, 1.7.1 Collection of Personal Demographic Data	Include text that previously appeared on the Personal
	Data Form Page (form page eliminated).
Part I, 2.6 Format Specifications.	Peer Review Initiative Priority 2 - Improve the
Revised Table of Page and Content Limits.	quality of review and reduce burden on peer
Page allowance shortened from 25 to 12 pages for most	reviewers by shortening the application.
applications; from 12 to 6 pages for other applications.	
Part I, 2.7 Resubmission Applications.	Peer Review Initiative Priority 3 - Ensure balanced
Reflect new NIH Policy on Resubmission Applications	and fair reviews across scientific fields and career
reducing number of amended applications permitted from 2	stages. Reduce administrative burden and fund
to 1.	meritorious science earlier by allowing only one
	amended application.
Part I, 2.13 Submission of Supplementary or Corrective	Update instructions to reflect recently published
Information.	clarification of existing policy.
Reflect clarified NIH policy on submission of additional	
grant application materials.	
Part I, 4.6 Biographical Sketch	
Require personal statement, and limit publications to 15.	Peer Review Initiative Priority 2 - Shorten and realign application with review criteria.
Request month/year of terminal degree and residency	Necessary to evaluate new investigator policies and
training, and field of study.	impact on workforce.
Part I, 4.7 Resources.	
Modified instructions to address scientific environment and	Early Stage Investigator initiative
the institutional investment in Early Stage Investigators.	_
Part I, 4.9 All Personnel Report.	
Formerly the Senior/Key Personnel Report. Expand to all	NIH Workforce Initiative and P.L. 109-482.
personnel participating in project for at least one month or	

more, requires Commons ID and last four digits of SS#.	
Part I, 5.5 Research Plan Instructions for Research Strategy (includes Significance, Innovation and Approach) provided. Research Strategy replaces Background and Significance, Research Design and Methods, and Preliminary Studies/Progress Reports sections of Research Plan.	Peer Review Initiative Priority 2 - Improve the quality and transparency of review by aligning application with review criteria.
Part I, 6. The Peer Review Process Reflect enhanced peer review criteria.	Peer Review Initiative Priority 2 - Improve the quality and transparency of review by enhancing the rating criteria to emphasize significance, innovation, strategy and feasibility, environment and investigator potential or track-record.
Part I, 7. Institutional Research Training Awards Including NRSA 7.9.3.d. Training Program Evaluation 7.9.5 Responsible Conduct of Research Plan 7.9.6. Progress Report (Renewal) 7.12. Peer Review Criteria	Clarification to align with program requirements Clarification to align with program requirements Clarification to align with program requirements Provide description of review criteria for institutional training awards pursuant to improving the transparency of review.
Part III. Policies, Assurances, Definitions 1.3 NIH Policy on Resubmission Applications 1.5.4 Policy for Human Specimen and/or Data Research Resource Repositories 1.14 Multiple PD/PI Policy 1.15 New, Including Early Stage, Investigators	Reflect revised policy (NIH NOT-OD-09-003) Reflect resource sharing policy currently under development. Reflect existing policy (NIH NOT-OD-07-017) Reflect new policies (NIH NOT-OD-08-121 and 09-013)
4.3 Government Use of Information Under Privacy Act	Add language that previously appeared on Personal

	Data Page
	Data Page
2. Assurances and Certifications	Reflect Section 203C of the NIH Reform Act of 2006
2.16 Institutions Receiving Awards for Training of	
Graduate Students for Doctoral Degrees	
3. Definitions	Ease of use and reference
Add definitions for activity code, new investigator,	
postdoctoral scholar, early stage investigator, and	
mechanism.	
PHS 398 Components	
Changes corresponding to the PHS 398 Instructions are	Consistency between electronic and paper
made in the 398 components of the SF424(R&R).	instructions.
Section 7, Career Development Award (CDA) Instructions	Peer Review Initiative Priority 2 - Shorten and realign
7.5 Page limit reduced from 25 pages to 12 pages for	application with review criteria.
Candidate Information and Research Strategy combined.	
Instructions for Research Strategy (includes Significance, Innovation and Approach) provided. Research Strategy	
replaces Background and Significance, Research Design	
and Methods, and Preliminary Studies/Progress Reports	
sections of Research Plan.	
Sections of Research Figure	
7.6 CDA Peer Review Process section added	Provide description of review criteria for CDAs
	pursuant to improving the transparency of review.
PHS 2590 Progress Report	
2.2.6 Progress Report Summary B&C	
Applicant to update any change in innovation of project.	Peer Review Initiative Priority 2 - Improve the
	quality and transparency of review by aligning
	application with review criteria.
2.2.8 All Personnel Report	
Formerly the Senior/Key Personnel Report. Expand to all	NIH Workforce Initiative and P.L. 109-482.
personnel participating in project for at least one month or	

more, requires Commons ID and last four digits of SS#.	
PHS 2271 Statement of Appointment	
No change	
HHS 568 Final Invention Statement	
No change	
HHS 3734 Relinquishing Statement	
No change	