ATTACHMENT 2

CHANGES to the SED 2010-11 QUESTIONNAIRE from SED 2009:

COVER PAGE

Cover: Today's date included in the information asked for on the front cover.

Rationale: The date of completion was asked until 2007, when it was removed for lack of space. However, this item is used for administrative purposes, particularly when a respondent inadvertently completes two surveys. In those cases, the later survey is accepted and the earlier one filed.

The dates which the questionnaire will cover were updated to July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2011.

PART A – EDUCATION

| SED09 | SED10 |
|-------|--------|
| Ques# | Ques # |

A7. A7. *Postsecondary education debt.* Two new categories, "70,001 to 80,000" and "80,001 to 90,000", were added and the highest range was increased to "\$90,001 or more. Please specify." from "70,001 or more. Please specify."

Rationale. A review of the responses to this question found that there was currently no discernable ceiling effect at the "\$70,001 or more" category. However, it is expected that the amount of debt will rise and the current high category may not be sufficient until 2011 (the last year of the next cycle). Increasing the highest range should keep pace with growing debt levels over the next four years.

A9. A9. Additional postsecondary degrees. The descriptors "First Additional Degree" through "Fourth Additional Degree" were added above the response area.

Rationale. These descriptors should help decrease cognitive burden by clearly marking the box where each additional degree should be captured.

A11. A11. *Month/year first entering graduate school*. The questions stem will now read "In what month and year did you first enter <u>any</u> graduate school in <u>any</u> program or capacity?

Rationale. A review of A11 against A8 revealed that many respondents are entering a chronologically later date in A11 than their MA entry date in A8. Adding the word "any" earlier in the question should emphasize that their response should be based on the first graduate school they attended, not necessarily the date they entered their doctoral program.

A15. A15. *Medical or dental degree*. This question was reformatted to ask first if the respondent has earned an MD or DDS, and then if the respondent is currently earning either of these two degrees.

Rationale. A review of A15 against A9 revealed that there were many instances of respondents reporting earning or planning on earning an MD or DDS in A15, but not including that degree A9 (which asks for any postsecondary degrees beyond the first BA, most recent MA, and PhD). The intent of question A15 is to identify respondents who have already earned or are in the process of earning an MD or DDS at the time of their doctorate. This new question wording should eliminate any affirmative responses from a respondent who may be planning an MD or DDS sometime in the future, but is not actively pursuing one at the time of PhD completion.

PART B – POSTGRADUATION PLANS

B4. B4. Postgraduation plan types. This question was split into multiple parts, first to ask if the respondent's plan was for a "postdoc or further training" or "employment." If the respondent chooses "Postdoc", they will be branched into B4a, where they will be asked to describe the nature of their postdoc. If they choose "Employment", they will be branched into B4b, where they will be asked to describe the nature of their postdoc.

Rationale. Data editors of the questionnaire have noted a high frequency of cases where the respondent chose an option under both "Postdoc or Further Training" and "Employment". In these cases, the editors must review the rest of the responses in section B to determine if the job in question was actually a postdoc or regular employment. While there may be cases where the respondent has two unique sets of plans, it is likely that many respondents are confused by the format and think they must chose one from each option. To make the distinction clearer and cut down on the need for editing, respondents will be first asked if their plans are for a "Postdoc…" or "Employment," and will be skipped to the question that asks for information for those specific types of plans.

PART C – BACKGROUND INFORMATION

C16. C16. *Respondent contact information*. The information requested in this item was expanded to include physical mailing address (which is currently asked in C17) in addition to a phone number and e-mail address for the respondent.

Rationale. Physical mailing address (for the respondent only) was moved from C17 to C16 to create two distinct questions. The first - C16 – now asks for physical address, phone number, and e-mail for the respondent. Question C17 now asks for address, phone number, and e-mail address for the contact person

only. Keeping respondent contact information separate from contact person information should help decrease the cognitive burden for these questions.

C17. C17. Contact Person information. The contact person information requested was expanded to include phone number and e-mail address. Additionally, the respondent contact information asked for in this question was moved to C16.

Rationale. This information had been asked in the SED beginning with 1998 (phone number) and 2000 (e-mail address), but was inadvertently left off during the 2007-2009 rounds. These items are used for administrative purposes, particularly with survey follow-up on critical items. Additionally, the Survey of Doctorate Recipients uses this information to help locate new sample members.

FIELD OF STUDY LIST

As part of the three year review process described in Section B.4, the verbatim field of study responses in questions A2, A8 and A9 were reviewed to identify emerging fields not included in the current FOS list. To be considered for inclusion, the emerging field must have been written in by at least ten respondents from at least three different institutions for three straight years (10-3-3 rule). Additionally, frequencies were also reviewed to determine if any fields in the current list did not meet the 10-3-3 criteria, and were eligible for removal.

Additionally, one field (Environmental Toxicology) was classified as a Health Sciences field according to the SED code frame. However, this conflicted with the classification of this field in NCES's CIP system, as well as the SDR. Therefore, starting with 2010 this field will be moved to the Biological Sciences to remain consistent with the other major post-secondary field classifications.

| Field of study | Old Code | New code | Action |
|--|----------|----------|--------------------|
| Astronomy, Other | - | 509 | Add field |
| Computational Biology | - | 104 | Add field |
| Educational Policy Analysis | - | 808 | Add field |
| Environmental Toxicology | 211 | 167 | Change major field |
| Gerontology (Health Sci.) | - | 227 | Add field |
| Gerontology (Social Sci.) | - | 684 | Add field |
| Hospitality, Food Service and Tourism Management | - | 912 | Add field |
| International Education | - | 833 | Add field |
| Medical Physics/Radiological Science | - | 577 | Add field |
| Oral Biology/Oral Pathology | - | 207 | Add field |
| Robotics | - | 415 | Add field |
| Structural Biology | - | 155 | Add field |
| Structural Engineering | - | 316 | Add field |
| Urban Education and Leadership | - | 806 | Add field |

The following chart summarizes the fields changes that were made based on this review in 2009:

| Field of study | Old Code | New code | Action |
|--------------------------------|----------|----------|------------|
| Virology | - | 168 | Add field |
| Comparative Psychology | 606 | - | Drop field |
| Slavic (other than Russian) | 755 | - | Drop field |
| Business Education | 862 | - | Drop field |
| Trade and Industrial Education | 888 | - | Drop field |