# SUPPORTING STATEMENT U.S. Department of Commerce U.S. Census Bureau 2010 Dress Rehearsal of the Re-engineered Survey of Income & Program Participation

### A. Justification

## 1. Necessity of Information Collection

The U.S. Census Bureau requests authorization from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to conduct the 2010 dress rehearsal for the Re-engineered Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP).

The Census Bureau's SIPP CAPI interview will use an event history calendar (EHC) interviewing method and a 12-month, calendar-year reference period in place of the current SIPP questionnaire approach with a sliding 4-month reference period. The Census Bureau is re-engineering the SIPP to accomplish several goals including improving the collection instrument and processing system, development of the EHC, use of the administrative records data, and increased stakeholder interaction. See Attachment A for interview questions.

The SIPP represents a source of information for a wide variety of separate topics to be integrated to form a single and unified database in order to examine the interaction between tax, transfer, and other government and private policies. Government domestic policy formulators depend heavily upon the SIPP information to determine the effect of tax and transfer programs on the distribution of income received directly as money or indirectly as in-kind benefits. They also need improved and expanded data on the income and general economic and financial situation of the U.S. population. The SIPP has provided these kinds of data on a continuing basis since 1983, by measuring levels of economic well-being and changes in these levels over time.

The main objective of the SIPP has been to provide accurate and comprehensive information about the income and program participation of individuals and households in the United States. The survey's mission is to provide a nationally representative sample for evaluating: 1) annual and sub-annual income dynamics, 2) movements into and out of government transfer programs, 3) family and social context of individuals and households, and 4) interactions among these items. The re-engineering of SIPP pursues these objectives in the context of several goals - cost reduction and improved accuracy, relevance, timeliness, reduced burden on respondents, and accessibility. The Re-engineered SIPP will collect detailed information on cash and non-cash income (including participation in government transfer programs) one time per year. A major use of the SIPP has been to evaluate the use of and eligibility for government programs and to analyze the impacts of options for modifying them.

A key component of the re-engineering process involves the proposed shift from the every-four-month data collection schedule of traditional SIPP to an annual data collection schedule for the re-engineered survey. To accomplish this shift with minimal impact on data quality, the Census Bureau proposes employing the use of an event history calendar (EHC) to gather SIPP data. The Re-engineered SIPP will interview respondents in one year intervals, collecting data for the previous calendar year as the reference period. The content of the Re-engineered SIPP will combine the content of the 2008 Panel SIPP core as well as selected topical module questions. The Re-engineered SIPP will not contain free-standing topical modules. The EHC will allow recording dates of events and spells of coverage and should provide monthly transitions of program receipt and coverage, labor force transitions, health insurance transitions, and others. The 2010 Re-engineered SIPP dress rehearsal will also involve recording a small number of the field interviews for research purposes. Recorded verbal consent will be obtained during the interview prior to recording.

The 2010 Re-engineered SIPP dress rehearsal will contain an additional block of interview questions will focus on "field support" materials (Attachment D), specifically the advance letter, brochure, and calendar aid. These additional questions will allow us to improve the survey in a few ways. We hope to find out whether the field support materials were a positive influence on the respondent prior to and during the interview. This should allow us to refine the survey, which is the overall goal of the dress rehearsal. Consequently, we will be able to determine whether additional refinements of the survey support materials are needed. The additional burden for the field support questions is 325 hours.

The second piece of respondent debriefing is a short, semi-structured conversation with the respondent. The conversation will take place after the interview proper is completed and will be focused specifically on the landmark events procedures in the EHC interview. This conversation will only take place when a Census Headquarters observer is present, and will likely be led by the observer. A lengthy description of guidelines of this component of the respondent debriefing can be found in Attachment E to this amendment. This portion of the respondent debriefing should help us to judge the effectiveness and efficiency of the EHC, with the goal being to improve the EHC and questionnaire. The additional burden for the post-interview debriefing is 10 hours.

In addition, a new calendar aid (Attachment F) will make it easier for the respondent to remember when events happened by comparing them to the timing of other events. The purpose of the calendar aid is to provide the respondent with a visual record of some of the events he or she reports in the EHC portion of the SIPP interview. The calendar aid gives the respondent a visual aid that is comparable to what the interviewer sees as he or she completes the EHC on his or her laptop.

The Calendar Aid will make it easier for the respondent to see and consider the full range of other events that might have been going on around the same time as the event he or she is attempting to remember. The calendar aid will require no additional burden hours.

The 2010 Re-engineered SIPP dress rehearsal will be conducted from January 2010 to March 2010. Approximately 8,000 households are selected for the 2010 Re-engineered SIPP dress rehearsal, of which, 5,120 households are expected to be interviewed. We estimate that each household contains 2.1 people aged 15 and above, yielding approximately 10,752 person-level interviews in the dress rehearsal. Interviews take 30 minutes on average. The total annual burden for the 2010 Re-engineered SIPP dress rehearsal interviews will be 5,376 hours in FY 2010.

The SIPP is authorized by Title 13, United States Code, Section 182.

#### 2. Needs and Uses

Information quality, as described by the Census Bureau's Information Quality Guidelines, is an integral part of the pre-dissemination review of information disseminated by the Census Bureau. Information quality is integral to information collections conducted by the Census Bureau and is incorporated into the clearance process required by the Paperwork Reduction Act.

The EHC methodology is intended to help respondents recall information in a more natural "autobiographical" manner by using life events as triggers to other economic events. For example, a residence can change and in many cases occurs contemporaneously with a change in employment. The entire process of compiling the calendar focuses, by its nature, on consistency and sequential order of events, and attempts to correct for otherwise missing data. For example, if the respondents are unemployed, they may then look for a job, and then become employed. The 2010 dress rehearsal instrument will be evaluated in several domains including field implementation issues and data comparability vis-à-vis SIPP 2008 and administrative records. Distributional characteristics such as the percent of persons with TANF, Food Stamps, Medicare, who are working, who are enrolled in school, or who have health insurance coverage from the EHC will be compared to the same distributions from 2008 SIPP Panel. The primary focus will be to demonstrate to data users that the new instrument yields data for low-income programs that are of sufficient quality. The field test sample is focused in low income areas in order to increase the "hit rate" of households likely to participate in government programs. In general, there are two ways we will evaluate data quality:

- (1) We will compare monthly estimates from the field test to estimates from parallel sample areas in the 2008 SIPP panel for characteristics such as participation in Food Stamps, TANF, SSI, WIC, and Medicaid. To the extent those estimates are reasonably aligned with each other, we can assume that data quality is reasonably comparable. Misalignment of the estimates, and especially misalignment in the direction of the EHC estimates being consistently lower than the SIPP estimates, would be worrisome, because it would be suggestive of (not definitive evidence of) reduced data quality in the EHC.
- (2) For a small subset of characteristics, and for a subset of sample areas, we will have access to administrative record data. These data will permit a more objective data

quality assessment.

Results from both the 2010 dress rehearsal and the 2008 SIPP Panel will be used to inform final decisions regarding the design, content, and implementation of the reengineered SIPP for production beginning in 2013.

# 3. <u>Use of Information Technology</u>

The survey is administered using computer-assisted personal interviewing (CAPI) methodologies. The Census Bureau field representatives (FR) collect the data from respondents using laptop computers and the data are transmitted to the Census Bureau Headquarters via high-speed modems. Automation significantly enhances our efforts to collect high quality data with skip instructions programmed into the instrument and with information obtained in earlier interview segments fed back to the respondent. Response burden can be minimized by incorporating design features that make it easier to collect and record respondent information. Appropriate screening and lead-in questions, which serve to skip respondents out of sections of the questionnaire that are not relevant or applicable, are built into the automated instrument.

Preliminary analysis from an Internet field test conducted by the SIPP Methods Panel in August and September 2000 indicated that using the Internet as a mode of collection for a complex demographic survey such as SIPP is not feasible. The conclusions of the test indicated that Internet survey technology is not currently sophisticated enough to handle the complexity of a typical survey conducted by the Census Bureau's Demographic Surveys Division and the complicated skip patterns and rostering that they entail. Low response rate combined with technological challenges and limitations indicate that the costs of converting a complex questionnaire to an online survey far outweigh the benefits even in a multimode environment. The final report is available upon request.

## 4. Efforts to Identify Duplication

To ascertain whether duplication exists between the SIPP and ongoing or previously approved Census Bureau information collections, we examined the following surveys:

- Supplements to the Current Population Survey (CPS)
- The American Housing Survey
- The National Crime Victimization Survey
- The Consumer Expenditure Survey
- The National Health Interview Survey
- The American Community Survey

A review of information collections conducted outside the Census Bureau indicated that no past or current national survey duplicates the SIPP with respect to its longitudinal component or its scope and coverage.

The Census Bureau tries to avoid unnecessary duplication in all of its surveys and will

continue to do so. Our views on the duplication problem were stated in a letter from William P. Butz to James B. MacRae, Jr., OMB, on July 29, 1988. In that letter, we proposed three conditions under which duplication is warranted as follows:

- a. When the duplication supplies necessary classifying variables for data analysis.
- b. When the duplication prevents more extensive duplication.
- c. When the users' analyses require the duplicate questions on a particular survey.

Outside these areas of justified duplication, we think that duplication is unwarranted. The Census Bureau has always attempted to avoid such situations in its own surveys and will continue to do so. We are continuing to examine the content of the SIPP topical modules and recurring CPS supplements to determine whether these contain inappropriate duplication and we will take steps to eliminate any that we find from future collection efforts. To the best of our ability we also try to make sponsors of other surveys aware of existing sources of data on subjects about which they propose to collect information. However, if upon such notification of potential duplication the sponsor wishes to proceed with collection, we will go forward with a new or existing data collection effort. This clearance request points out the duplication and the need for it from our perspective as well as that of the Interagency Advisory Committee.

#### 5. <u>Minimizing Burden</u>

The Census Bureau uses appropriate technology to keep respondent burden to a minimum. Examples of technology used to minimize respondent burden include: use of appropriate screening and lead in questions that serve to skip respondents out of sections of the CAPI instrument that are not relevant or applicable to them; use of flash cards to aid respondents with multiple response categories; and the arrangement of questions and sections of the CAPI instrument that facilitate the flow of administration from one topic area to another. The Re-engineered SIPP should likely lower respondent burden due to one interview per year rather than three in the previous SIPP instrument.

#### 6. Less Frequent Collection

The Re-engineered SIPP will interview respondents in one year intervals, using the previous calendar year as the reference period. As a consequence of the one year reference period in the Re-engineered SIPP, the possibility of increased memory decay by respondents exists. However, the test of the EHC should help to curb this possible problem by linking respondents memories with significant events. See earlier explanation above.

### 7. Special Circumstances

There are no special circumstances associated with this clearance request.

## 8. Consultations Outside the Agency

The OMB established an Interagency Advisory Committee to provide guidance for the content and procedures for the SIPP. That committee along with the subcommittee on the topical modules has worked actively with the Census Bureau to assure that the SIPP content and procedures collect the appropriate data and that duplications between surveys are minimized to the extent possible. For the 2010 Dress Rehearsal, the Census Bureau held five subject area meetings (health, general income and government programs, assets and wealth, labor force, and demographics and other items) with SIPP stakeholders. The responding data users indicated a broad need for most of the SIPP core content. Select areas of content were added based on stakeholders input for lost topical module content.

We published a notice in the *Federal Register* on April 23, 2009, Vol. 74, No. 77, page 18,548, inviting public comment on our plans to submit this request. We received one comment generally opposing collection of the data.

# 9. Paying Respondents

The Census Bureau does not plan to pay respondents during the 2010 Re-engineered SIPP Dress Rehearsal.

## 10. Assurance of Confidentiality

We are conducting this survey under the authority of Title 13, United States Code, Section 182. Section 9 of this law requires us to keep all information strictly confidential. The respondents will be informed of the confidentiality of their responses and that this is a voluntary survey by a letter from the Director of the Census Bureau that will be sent to all participants in the survey (Attachment B).

# 11. <u>Justification for Sensitive Questions</u>

The sources of income and assets are among the kinds of data collected and may be considered to be of a sensitive nature. The Census Bureau takes the position that the collection of these types of data is necessary for the analysis of important policy and program issues and has structured the questions to lessen their sensitivity.

## 12. Estimate of Respondent Burden

Based on our experience with the 1996, 2001, and 2004 SIPP panels and in-house testing, the burden estimates for FY 2010 are as follows:

# 2010 RE-ENGINEERED SIPP DRESS REHEARSAL FY 2010 BURDEN HOUR SUMMARY

	Respondents	Responses	Hours Per Response	Total Hours
Interview	10,752	10,752	.50	5,376
Totals	10,752	10,752	.50	5,376

We will obtain interviews from approximately 5,120 households, yielding approximately 10,752 individual interviews (2.1 individuals 15 years old or over per household).

The additional burden for the field support questions mentioned above is 325 hours based on the following calculation: 6,500 household interviews multiplied by 3 minutes of respondent debriefing interview questions = 19,500 minutes = 325 hours approximately. The additional burden for the post-interview debriefing is 10 hours based on the following calculation: 100 observed interviews multiplied by 5 minutes of respondent debriefing post-interview conversation = 10 hours approximately.

Therefore, the total number of burden hours requested for 2010 Re-engineered SIPP dress rehearsal interviews is 5,711.

#### 13. Estimate of Cost Burden

There are no direct costs to respondents participating in the survey other than the time involved in answering the survey questions.

## 14. Cost to Federal Government

The production costs of all parts of this dress rehearsal are approximately \$4,826,242 in FY 2010. That amount is included in the estimate of total costs to the federal government of the Census Bureau's current programs supplied to the OMB.

# 15. Reason for Change in Burden

The 2010 Re-engineered SIPP Dress Rehearsal is submitted as new.

## 16. Project Schedule

The 2010 Re-engineered SIPP Dress Rehearsal advance letters will be mailed between December 1, 2010 and December 15, 2010. The dress rehearsal interviews will be conducted from January 2010 to March 2010. No public use data product will be released, however, the research and evaluation of the data will occur from June 1, 2010 to May 31, 2011. A field activity status report will be available in May 2010.

# 17. Request Not to Display Expiration Date

We request not to display the expiration date to avoid unnecessary respondent confusion arising from the fact that the OMB approval lasts for three years and respondent participation in the SIPP often lasts for longer periods.

## 18. Exceptions to the Certification

There are no exceptions to the certification.