APPENDIX B (STATE-LEVEL)

SURVEY INSTRUMENT

STATE DIRECTORS OF CAREER AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION AT THE SECONDARY LEVEL

OMB # xxxx-xxxx

EXPIRES: INSERT DATE

Survey of State Directors of Secondary Career-Technical Education

Your name:			
Title:		State:	
Phone:	FAX:	E-mail:	

Uses of the Data

This survey is part of an overall evaluation of career and technical education in the United States mandated by Congress in the Carl D. Perkins Career and Technical Education Act of 2006 (Perkins IV) and is sponsored by the U.S. Department of Education. The information from this survey is to assess the status of career and technical education and the implementation of Perkins IV. Reports based on the survey will be available to Congress, state and local educators and to the general public. The survey will provide data on a range of important issues in career and technical education, including the extent to which states and local educational agencies have developed, implemented, or improved career and technical education programs; the educational and employment outcomes of students participating in career and technical education programs, and the effect of state and local accountability requirements in improving the provision of program services.

Data Collection

As a matter of policy, the U.S. Department of Education is concerned with protecting the privacy of participants in voluntary surveys. We want to let you know that:

- Your responses will be merged with those of other respondents and will not be identified as the agency you represent, except as required by law.
- You may skip questions you do not wish to answer; however, we encourage you to answer as
 many questions as possible, because incomplete data will reduce the value of the information
 provided to Congress.

The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 90 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate, or any other aspect of this collection of information, to: U.S. Department of Education Information Management and Compliance Division, Washington, D.C. 20202 and to the Office of Management and Budget Paperwork Reduction Project 1850-0664, Washington, D.C. 20505

If you have questions about this survey, please call:

For Technical Questions: James Isaac, RTI 919-541-6342 For Questions about the Study: Steven Klein, MPR 503-963-3757

Topic Area 1: Programs of Study

1.	Has your state passed legislation supporting the development and/or implementation of Study (POS) since its reauthorization of the Carl D. Perkins Career and Technical Edu Improvement Act of 2006 Act (Perkins)?	
	Yes, for reasons other than the 2006 Perkins reauthorization	1* 2* 3 4
		5
	* Please attach a copy of the legislation or provide web link.	
2.	Has your state modified or expanded its policies supporting POS development and/or since the reauthorization of the 2006 Perkins Act?	implementation
	Yes, for reasons other than the 2006 Perkins reauthorization No, already have supporting policies in place No Don't know	1* 2* 3 4 5
	* Please attach a copy of the policies or provide web link.	
3.	Is <u>state approval</u> required for all POS offered in <u>Local Educational Agencies</u> (LEAs)?	
	No, state approval is not required	1 2 3 4
4.	Does state policy require that all career and technical education (CTE) programs in you eventually become POS?	our state
	No	1 2 3 4

5.	either at the state agency or local school district level? <i>information</i>)			
		Enter <u>Numb</u> e	Mark if <u>Estimate</u>	Don't <u>Know</u>
	State developed POS			
	State-approved, locally developed POS, using state template or guidance			
	State-approved, locally developed POS			
	TOTAL	······		
6.	What proportion of secondary students participating in a state-approved POS in the 2008-09 program year		vas enrolled in cou	rsework
	None Less than 25% Between 26 to 50% Between 51 to 75% Between 76 to 99% All Don't know			
7.	In the following table, please list the five (5) POS with 2008-09 program year and the number of students enruthen please list them all. Please do not include any PC	olling in each. If yo	u have less than fiv	
	Name of state-approved POS	Name to a first of		
	NOTE: Please crosswalk your POS to the appropriate cluster using the pull-down menu provided	coursework in	lents enrolled in the POS in the rogram year	
	1		□ Don't Know	
	2		□ Don't Know	
	3		□ Don't Know	
	4		□ Don't Know	

[Use 16 career clusters specified by the States' Career Clusters Initiative]

5

 \square Don't Know

8. Which of the following elements of a POS does your state require LEAs to adopt as part of a state-approved POS? (Note: These elements are not specifically defined within the Perkins legislation, but may be required by states as part of a locally developed POS) (Mark all that apply and "Don't Know" if you do not have this information)

Program(s) of Study Characteristics	Required	Not Required	Don't Know
STANDARDS			
Align with state postsecondary standards or requirements for			
program completion			
Align with technical standards that were			
a. state-developed			
b. industry-developed			
c. national based on 16 career clusters			
d. locally developed			
e. other (specify)			
Align with state college-ready standard			
Align with state work-ready standard			
CURRICULUM			
Uses a curriculum that is			
a. state-developed			
b. industry-developed			
c. third-party (e.g., vendor) developed			
d. locally developed			
e. other (specify)			
Uses a curriculum that spans the secondary and postsecondary levels			
Uses a curriculum that is non-duplicative across secondary			
and postsecondary levels			
Is part of an articulation agreement with a postsecondary			
institution			
Is covered by a state-wide articulation agreement			
Offers secondary CTE courses that earn academic credit			
toward HS diploma			
Offers secondary CTE courses that provide postsecondary			
credit through dual or concurrent enrollment		1	

Question 8: Continued

Program(s) of Study Characteristics	Required	Not Required	Don't Know
ASSESSMENTS			
Assesses technical skill attainment through			
a. state-developed exams that are			
(i) aligned with state technical standards			
(ii) aligned with national 16 career cluster standards			
(iii) aligned with industry standards			
(iv) other (specify)			
b. industry-developed exams			
c. national licensing or credentialing exams			
d. state licensing or credentialing exams			
e. locally developed exams that are			
(i) aligned with state standards			
(ii) aligned with national 16 career cluster standards			
(iii) aligned with industry standards			
(iv) other (specify)			
f. G.P.A. instead of exam			
g. course or program completion			
h. other (specify)			
CREDENTIALS			
Leads to a credential			
a. with special recognition on high school diploma or transcript			
OTHER FEATURES			
Respond to local high skill, high demand, high pay occupational area			
Career guidance must be, or is available			
All secondary CTE students select a POS			

9. What action has your state taken to ensure that POS development within LEAs aligns with the core elements identified in the Perkins legislation? (*Mark all that apply*)

Requiring state approval to offer	1
Adopting/adopted state legislation defining POS components	2
Developing/developed or issuing/issued education rules	
or regulations (e.g., State Board policies)	3
Creating POS models or templates	4
Developing curriculum guides and other materials	5
Designing guides for aligning CTE content with academic standards	6
Designing guides for aligning CTE content with technical standards	7
Requiring school districts to provide evidence of alignment in their local plans.	8
Securing secondary/postsecondary agreement on specific POS elements	9
Consulting the career clusters developed by	
the States' Career Clusters Initiative	10
Providing training and professional development to school district staff	11
Creating/created state "college ready" or "work ready" standards for graduation	
Other (specify)	13

10. To what extent did the following stakeholders participate in state agency efforts to develop state-approved POS or were required to participate in LEA efforts to develop those POS? (Mark each row for state agency involvement and LEA effort)

Involvement in POS	I	Level of Part	State Agicipation	LEA Effo	Participation in orts to Develop POS	
	Not at	Some	A lot	Don't	Required	Optional
	all			Know		
Secondary academic teachers	1	2	3	4	1	2
Secondary CTE teachers	1	2	3	4	1	2
Secondary guidance counselors	1	2	3	4	1	2
Secondary district administrators	1	2	3	4	1	2
National industry/union groups or professional associations	1	2	3	4	1	2
Postsecondary academic faculty	1	2	3	4	1	2
Postsecondary CTE faculty	1	2	3	4	1	2
Postsecondary administrators	1	2	3	4	1	2
Local business and/or unions	1	2	3	4	1	2
Local chamber of commerce	1	2	3	4	1	2

11.	On which of the following topics did your state introduce new technical assistance act	ivities to help
	LEA develop state-approved POS during the 2007-08 and/or 2008-09 program years?	(Mark all that
	apply)	

POS templates or guidelines	1
Career clusters	2
CTE content standards	3
CTE curriculum development guidelines	4
Academic and CTE curriculum integration	5
Secondary and postsecondary curriculum alignment	
Technical skill assessments	
Career guidance and counseling	8
Tech Prep	
Implementation of Perkins accountability measures	
Data systems for monitoring student progress	
Aligning standards and assessments	
Other (specify)	

12. What types of **professional development** does your state offer to the following groups involved in the development and implementation of state-approved POS during the 2007-08 and /or 2008/09 program years? (*Mark all that apply for each group*)

	Statewide or Regional Conferences	Local Workshops	Online Electronic Workshop/ Webinars	Individualized Assistance	Other Specify ———	None	Don't Know
Secondary							
administrators							
Secondary counselors							
Secondary teachers							
Postsecondary							
administrators							
Postsecondary							
Counselors							
Postsecondary faculty							

13. Indicate whether you agree or disagree with the following statements that describe your state agency's efforts to develop state-approved POS.

	Strongly Disagree		No Opinion		Strongly Agree	Does not Apply
A shortage of state staff has limited POS development	1	2	3	4	5	6
State staff have technical expertise in designing POS	1	2	3	4	5	6
There is adequate federal funding to support POS developme	nt 1	2	3	4	5	6
State staff have adequate time to support POS development	1	2	3	4	5	6
Lack of the following has hindered POS development:						
- Statewide technical content standards	1	2	3	4	5	6
- Statewide curricular materials	1	2	3	4	5	6
- Technical skill assessments	1	2	3	4	5	6
State staff have little influence at the local level	1	2	3	4	5	6
Secondary teachers have a good understanding of POS	1	2	3	4	5	6
Secondary CTE and academic instructors within LEA						
are cooperating to create POS	1	2	3	4	5	6
Secondary teachers and postsecondary instructors						
are cooperating to create POS	1	2	3	4	5	6
Secondary and postsecondary administrators are						
cooperating to create POS	1	2	3	4	5	6
Secondary and postsecondary state staff are cooperating						
to implement POS	1	2	3	4	5	6

14. How are CTE content standards developed for the state-approved POS offered in your state? (*Mark all that apply*)

State develops secondary CTE standards	Ĺ
State adopts industry-based standards	<u>)</u>
State adopts 16 career clusters standards	3
Local instructors develop content standards based on state criteria	1
Local instructors develop their own standards	5
Local instructors standards created by industry groups	ŝ
Local instructors consult with business or advisory council	
to develop or select standards	7
No standards exist	3
Don't know)

15. What proportion of the POS offered in your state used each of the following sources for CTE content standards in the 2008-09 program year?

					Don't
	<u>None</u>	Some	Many	All	Know
State-developed CTE standards	1	2	3	4	5
Locally developed CTE standards	1	2	3	4	5
Industry-developed standards	1	2	3	4	5
National standards for the 16 career clusters	1	2	3	4	5
Postsecondary developed CTE standards	1	2	3	4	5
No CTE standards are required or exist	1	2	3	4	5

16. What proportion of POS approved by your state incorporated each of the following levels of CTE content standards in the 2008-09 program year?

					Don't
	None	Some	Many	All	Know
Foundation-level skills (e.g., work readiness)	1	2	3	4	5
Cluster level skills (i.e., 16 national career clusters)	1	2	3	4	5
Pathway level skills (i.e., 79 national pathways)	1	2	3	4	5
Occupational level skills (e.g., job-specific)	1	2	3	4	5
No standards exist	1	2	3	4	5

17. How many of the state-approved POS offered by school districts in your state used the following tools to assess students' technical skill attainment in the 2008-09 program year (*Mark all that apply*)

					Don't
	None	Some	Many	All	Know
State developed secondary CTE skill exam	1	2	3	4	5
Locally-developed CTE skill exams	1	2	3	4	5
Industry-developed, employer validated exams	1	2	3	4	5
National licensing or credentialing exams	1	2	3	4	5
State licensing or credentialing exams	1	2	3	4	5
Commercially-developed exams (e.g., NOCTI)	1	2	3	4	5
Grade point average (GPA)	1	2	3	4	5
Course or program completion	1	2	3	4	5

18. For what proportion of state-approved POS are the following available at the secondary level?

					Don't
	None	Some	Many	All	Know
Academic credit for CTE coursework	1	2	3	4	5
A secondary CTE certificate or credential					
that is noted on a high school transcript or diplom	na 1	2	3	4	5
Postsecondary CTE course credit	1	2	3	4	5
Postsecondary academic course credit	1	2	3	4	
5					

19. How are LEAs monitored by the state to ensure they are offering state-approved POS and how are POS outcomes evaluated to assess whether they are accomplishing the goals for which they are intended (*Mark all that apply*)

	Monitoring for	Evaluating for
	Compliance	Performance
Not applicable/Not done		
State agency makes site visits		
District office makes site visits		
State reporting requirements for POS		
Other approach (specify)		

20. Who is responsible for monitoring and evaluating the implementation of state-approved POS? (*Mark all that apply*)

	Monitoring for Compliance	Evaluating for Performance
Not applicable/Not done		
State agency staff		
District CTE office		
Secondary teachers or administrators		
Postsecondary faculty or administrators		
Advisory committees		
Other agency or individual (specify)		

THE QUESTIONS IN THE REMAINDER OF THIS SURVEY ADDRESS <u>ALL</u> OF THE CTE PROGRAMS OFFERED IN YOUR STATE IRRESPECTIVE OF WHETHER OR NOT THEY QUALIFY AS A PROGRAM OF STUDY.

21. Overall, how important were the following issues in negotiating your state performance benchmarks and targets with the U.S. Department of Education?

	Not		Somewhat		Very	Don't
	<u>Important</u>		Important		Important	Know
Program performance goals on Perkins measures	1	2	3	4	5	6
Past state performance on Perkins measures	1	2	3	4	5	6
Anticipated changes in state conditions,						
excluding funding	1	2	3	4	5	6
Anticipated changes in CTE program offerings	1	2	3	4	5	6
Anticipated changes in student populations						
participating in CTE programs	1	2	3	4	5	6
Anticipated change in state and/or local funding	1	2	3	4	5	6
Other (specify)	1	2	3	4	5	6

22. How difficult was it to reach agreement when negotiating with the U.S. Department of Education to establish annual performance benchmarks and targets for your state?

	Not		Somewhat		Very	Don't
	Difficult		Difficult		Difficult	Know
Initial year performance benchmark	1	2	3	4	5	6
Annual performance targets	1	2	3	4	5	6

[If answered '3' or above for any response category go to Q23, else Q24]

23. When negotiating with the U.S. Department of Education to establish annual performance benchmarks and targets for your state, what were the reasons for encountering difficulty? (Mark all that apply)

Insufficient baseline data upon which to negotiate targets	1
Lack of clear guidance from the Department on what	
constitutes continuous improvement	2
Anticipated difficulty in gathering and submitting required data	3
Anticipated difficulty in negotiating similar performance targets with local grantees	4
Concern over the repercussions of the state failing to meet performance targets	5
Other (specify)	6

24. What percentage of LEAs in your state have negotiated performance improvement targets differing from your state-established targets on one or more performance measures in 2008-09?

	Enter	Mark if	Don't
	<u>Percentage</u>	Estimate	Know
Performance levels and improvement targets are			
individually negotiated for what percentage of LEA	%		

[If answered 0% go to Q26]

25. How important were the following factors when negotiating performance targets with LEAs?

	Not		Somewhat		Very	Don't
	<u>Important</u>		<u>Important</u>		Important	Know
Program performance goals on Perkins measures	1	2	3	4	5	6
Past performance on Perkins measures	1	2	3	4	5	6
Anticipated changes in local conditions	1	2	3	4	5	6
Anticipated changes in CTE program offerings	1	2	3	4	5	6
Anticipated changes in student populations						
participating in CTE programs	1	2	3	4	5	6
Anticipated changes in state and/or local funding	1	2	3	4	5	6
Other (<i>specify</i>)	1	2	3	4	5	6

26. OVAE issued non-regulatory guidance to assist states in developing their accountability systems for the 2006 Perkins Act. How did your state make use of the OVAE guidance in crafting each of the following?

	Did Not <u>Use</u>		Consulted		Used Verbatim	Do Not Know
Identifying Populations						
CTE participants	1	2	3	4	5	6
CTE concentrators	1	2	3	4	5	6
Constructing Accountability Measures						
Academic attainment (1S1, 1S2)	1	2	3	4	5	6
Technical skill attainment (2S1)	1	2	3	4	5	6
Secondary school completion (3S1)	1	2	3	4	5	6
Graduation rates (4S1)	1	2	3	4	5	6
Placement into employment, advanced						
education, and the military (5S1)	1	2	3	4	5	6
Nontraditional participation (6S1)	1	2	3	4	5	6
Nontraditional completion (6S2)	1	2	3	4	5	6

27. Which of the following types of technical assistance did your state provide to assist LEAs in implementing accountability measures and reporting during the 2008-2009 program year? (*Mark all that apply*)

Issued guidance on data collection	1
Statewide training on data collection and reporting	2
Local site visits	3
Help line (telephone or email)	4
Provided individualized technical assistance	
Other (specify)	6
Did not provide any technical assistance	

28. Does the database you use for Perkins reporting purposes enable you to:

			Don't
	Yes	No	Know
Monitor individual students through grade 12?	1	2	3
Access information contained in students' academic records	1	2	3
Link students to teachers or instructors	1	2	3
Link high school records to administrative records for			
students enrolling in in-state community colleges?	1	2	3
Link high school records to administrative records for students			
enrolling in in-state four-year colleges or universities	1	2	3
Link high schools records to administrative records for student	S		
enrolling in out-of-state community colleges, four-year			
colleges, or universities	1	2	3
Examine student results on NCLB assessments	1	2	3

29. What types of quality control measures has your state adopted to ensure the validity and reliability of locally reported data? (*Mark all that apply*)

No quality control measures have been adopted	1
Conduct desk audit of LEA data to identify inaccurate information	2
Use electronic error checking strategies to identify inaccurate data	3
Provide technical assistance and/or guidelines to LEAs on data collection	
and editing procedures	4
State compares totals to prior year reports	5
Other (specify)	6

30. How confident are you that the data you are collecting from LEAs for each of the following Perkins core indicators of performance accurately reflect local performance?

	Not		Somewhat	Somewhat		Don't	Not	
	Confident		Confident		Confident	Know	Applicable	
Core Indicator 1 Academic attainment 7	1	2	3	4	5	6		
Core Indicator 2 Technical skill attainment 7	1	2	3	4	5	6		
Core Indicator 3 Secondary school diploma 7	1	2	3	4	5	6		

	GED 7	1	2	3	4	5	6
	Other state-recognized equivalent	1	2	3	4	5	6
	Proficiency credential, certificate, or degree 7	1	2	3	4	5	6
Co	re Indicator 4 Student NCLB graduation rate 7	1	2	3	4	5	6
Co	re Indicator 5						
	Placement in postsecondary education or advanced training	1	2	3	4	5	6
	Placement in the military 7	1	2	3	4	5	6
	Placement in employment 7	1	2	3	4	5	6
Co	re Indicator 6						
	Non-traditional participation 7	1	2	3	4	5	6
	Non-traditional completion 7	1	2	3	4	5	6

31. How confident are you that LEAs are able to collect complete and accurate data for the following special populations, as defined by NCLB?

	Not		Somewhat	Very		Don't
	<u>Confide</u>	nt	Confident		Confident	Know
Individuals with disabilities	1	2	3	4	5	6
Individuals from economically disadvantaged						
families, including foster children	1	2	3	4	5	6
Individuals preparing for nontraditional fields	1	2	3	4	5	6
Single parents, including single pregnant women	1	2	3	4	5	6
Displaced homemakers	1	2	3	4	5	6
Individuals with limited English proficiency	1	2	3	4	5	6
Migrant students	1	2	3	4	5	6

32. What proportion of LEAs in your state was unable to achieve their negotiated performance target for each of the following Perkins accountability measures during the 2008-09 program year?

						Don't
	None	1-10%	11-20%	21-30%	>30%	Know
Academic attainment (1S1, 1S2)	1	2	3	4	5	6
Technical skill attainment (2S1)	1	2	3	4	5	6
Secondary school completion (3S1)	1	2	3	4	5	6
Student graduation rates (4S1)	1	2	3	4	5	6
Placement in employment, higher education	ι,					
or the military (5S1)	1	2	3	4	5	6
Non-traditional participation (6S1)	1	2	3	4	5	6
Non-traditional completion (6S2)	1	2	3	4	5	6

33. How much difficulty will your state have in achieving 100 percent of your performance level in the 10-to-15 year timeline your state negotiated with OVAE?

	No		Some	Great		Don't
	<u>Difficulty</u>		<u>Difficulty</u>		<u>Difficulty</u>	Know
Academic attainment (1S1, 1S2)	1	2	3	4	5	6
Technical skill attainment (2S1)	1	2	3	4	5	6
Secondary school completion (3S1)	1	2	3	4	5	6
Student graduation rates (4S1)	1	2	3	4	5	6
Placement in employment, higher education,						
or the military (5S1)	1	2	3	4	5	6
Non-traditional participation (6S1)	1	2	3	4	5	6
Non-traditional completion (6S2)	1	2	3	4	5	6

34. How many LEAs in your state are required to develop a Perkins program improvement plan for 2009-10 on the basis of performance data that were generated in the 2008-09 program years? (*Enter Number, mark "Don't Know" if you do not have this information.*)

	Enter	Don't
	<u>Number</u>	Know
LEAs in need of improvement		

35. In what ways and to what extent does your state currently use Perkins secondary core indicator data? (*In the first column, please mark a number for 1 to 5 for each use.*) For any items to which you respond "Not at all," are there plans to use the data for any of these purposes in the next 2 years? (*In the second column, please mark the code for "Yes" or "No" for each use.*)

	Used to a	Used	Used	Used not	Used	expect these j	If "Not at all," do you expect to use data for these purposes in the next two years?	
	great	quite	some-	very	not at		J	Don't
State use of performance data	extent	a bit	what	much	all	Yes	No	know
a. To reward LEAs that are performing								3
well	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	
b. To sanction low-performing LEAs	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3
c. To identify programs in need of								
improvement	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	
d. To provide targeted technical								3
assistance	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	
e. To provide additional resources for								3
program improvement	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	
f. To identify unusually effective								3
programs	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	
g. To identify special populations not								3
being adequately served	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	

olicies impose on L targets (Mark all th			eet one c	or more
nposed nentsdingof Perkins funds			2 3 4 5 6 7	
chnical skills asses	ssments? (Mark all	that apr	olv)
nent(s) <u>covering a s</u> t(s) <u>covering all</u> C't(s) <u>covering a sub</u> or local usetechnical skills ass	subset of (TE progra set of CTI sessment s	CTE programsE program	rams	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
ollowing tools to as	ssess stude	ents' techi	nical ski	11
D.T.		3.6	A 11	Don't
<u>None</u>	Some	Most	All	Know
1	2	3	4	Know 5
1 1	2 2	3 3		<u>Know</u> 5 5
1	2 2 2	3 3 3	4	<u>Know</u> 5 5 5
1 1	2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3	4	Know 5 5 5 5
1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3	4 4 4	Know 5 5 5 5 5
1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3	4 4 4 4	Know 5 5 5 5
1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3	4 4 4 4	Know 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3	4 4 4 4 4	Know 5 5 5 5 5 5
	nposed ments of Perkins funds echnical skills assement(s) covering allowering a subfor local use technical skills assement(s) covering a subfor local use	nposed	ments	mposed

h. Other (specify)_

Don't know	7
------------	---

40. For each of the following data sources, indicate whether your state uses this source to collect placement data and, if not in use, whether state legal restrictions prevent your state in using this approach? (*Mark all that apply*)

			Not in Use,
		Legal	No Legal
	In Use	Restrictions	Restrictions
	By State	Prohibit Use	Prohibit Use
National Student Clearinghouse	1	2	3
State Unemployment Insurance wage records	1	2	3
Federal Employment Data Exchange System (FEDES)	1	2	3
Wage Record Information System (WRIS)	1	2	3

41. How does each of the following impact your state's capacity to report placement data?

	No		Some		Great	Don't
Im	pact		Impact		Impact	Know
Access to, or use of, Social Security numbers						
for students	1	2	3	4	5	6
Restrictions on use of Social Security numbers	1	2	3	4	5	6
Cost of conducting follow-up studies of students						
who left high school	1	2	3	4	5	6
Getting an adequate response rate	1	2	3	4	5	6
Collecting data on self-employed individuals	1	2	3	4	5	6
Collecting data on those employed out-of-state	1	2	3	4	5	6
Collecting data on those attending an in-state 2-year						
public postsecondary institution	1	2	3	4	5	6
Collecting data on those attending an in-state 4-year						
public postsecondary institution	1	2	3	4	5	6
Collecting data on those attending an in-state private						
postsecondary institution	1	2	3	4	5	6
Collecting data on those attending an out-of-state						
public or private postsecondary institution	1	2	3	4	5	6

42.	Does your state agency provide LEA with feedback on their performance on the Perkins
	accountability measures to permit them to assess their performance with others in the state, and if so,
	what type of information is shared? (Mark all that apply)?

No data on LEA performance is shared	1
Statewide performance outcomes averaged across all LEA are shared	2
Performance outcomes are shared controlling for LEA with characteristics	
similar to one another	3
Performance outcomes are shared for all individual LEA	1
Performance outcomes are shared for all individual LEA	4
Performance outcomes that are shared are posted on the internet for viewing	5

43. How much of your Perkins funds were allocated to the secondary and postsecondary sectors in yo state in each of the following fiscal years? [Note: if your state consolidated its 2008-09 Title II Teo Prep funds into its Title I Basic Grant, report all funds in the Title I category]						
	Secondary Postsecondary	Title I Bas 2006-07 (Perkins III) \$ \$	ic Grant 2008-09 (<u>Perkins IV)</u> \$ \$	Title II 2006-07 (Perkins III) \$ \$	Tech Prep 2008-09 (Perkins IV) \$ \$	
	Secondary Postsecondary	State Lea 2006-07 (Perkins III) \$ \$	2008-09			
44.	If your state changed postsecondary educatio (Mark all that apply)				ands to secondary and ught about this action?	
Not applicable, state has not changed its funding split						
	Increased focus on a Increased focus in p Change in state fina Other (specify) Don't Know	roviding CTE in ncing of CTE us	struction in one se ing non-federal fu	ctor over the other	5 6 7	
45.	Is your state planning to postsecondary sectors for			of funding allocated	d to secondary and	
	Yes, increase secon Yes, increase postse No Don't Know	econdary sector s	hare of funding		2 3	
46.	How many local applic agency receive, and how Know" if you do not have	w many of these	were approved or		funding did your state r number, mark "Don't	
	Total number receiv Number approved a Number approved a Number disapprove	s originally subn fter revision and		Enter <u>Number</u> ———	Don't <u>Know</u> □ □ □ □ □ □ (If 0, go to Q48)	

47. What were the <u>principal reasons for disapproval</u> ? (<i>Mark all that ap</i>	ply.)	
No plan submitted or inadequate plan for local allocation of function No plan submitted or inadequate plan for collection of accountable to the plan in the plan i	oility data	
Failed to provide accountability data in the past		
Poor past performance		
Failed to agree on negotiated performance target in the past		
Program determined to lack sufficient quality		
Other (specify)		7
48. What amount of your state's 2008-2009 Perkins IV, Title I second following types of programs? (Enter dollars, mark "Don't Finformation) [Note: if your state consolidated its Title II Tech Prepareport all funds in the Title I category])	Know" if you c	do not have this
	<u>Dollars</u>	Don't Know
Grades 9-12 in comprehensive high schools	\$	
Grades 9-12 in specialized facilities (such as area career centers, ROP, or BOCES)		
Grades 7 and/or 8	\$	П
Secondary CTE in Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) funded secondary	·····	
schools	\$	
Other (specify)	\$	
Other (specify)	Ψ	
centers or technical high schools serving one or more school distrischools or agencies in your state that offered secondary CTE inst comprehensive high school in the 2008-09 academic year.	ruction in a setti	ing other than the
	Enter De	on't
	<u>Number Kr</u>	<u>10W</u>
Technical high schools serving one or more districts		
(excluding charter schools)		
Regional or area centers serving one or more districts		
Public charter schools		
Other		
50. For the 2008-09 program year, did your state waive the <i>minim</i> substate grantee) for any grantees? [<i>Reference: Section 131 (c) (1)</i>		rule (\$15,000 per
Voc		1
Yes		
No	•••••	2 (G0 to Q52)
51. How many waivers were granted for each of the following reason.	ons? (Enter num	ber, mark "Don't
Know" if you do not have this information.)	F4	24
		on't
	<u>Number</u> <u>Kr</u>	<u>now</u>
LEA is in a rural, sparsely populated area		
LEA is a public charter school		

	LEA is unable to enter into a consortium Other (specify)			
52.	For the 2008-09 program year, did your state use the resources to LEAs through a means other than the stat		reserve fund	to allocate
	Yes		1	
	No			o to Q55)
			`	• /
53.	How much money was distributed to secondary LEA dollar amount, mark "Don't Know" if you do not have	_	the reserve f	und ? (Enter
		Enter	Don't	
		Amount	Know	
	Reserve fund	\$		
54.	What amount of your reserve funds were awarded to dollar amount, mark "Don't Know" if you do not have		following area	as? (Enter
		Enter	Don't	
		<u>Amount</u>	Know	
	Rural areas	\$		
	Areas with high percentages of CTE students	\$		
	Areas with high numbers of CTE students	\$		
55.	How many tribally-controlled or Bureau of Indian A grants in 2008-09 as noted? (Enter number, mark "Don		ot have this inf Don'	formation.) t
	An individual agency			
	A member of a consortia			
56.	Of the funds used at the secondary level, on average, Leadership Funds were allocated for the following r Perkins Act? [(Enter percentage or mark "Don't Know"	required uses refere	nced in Section	n 124 of the
	[NOTE: Percentages need not total to 100%]		Enter	Don't
	A COUNTY		<u>Percentage</u>	<u>Know</u>
	Assessing CTE programs			
	Expanding the use of technology in CTE programs			
	Providing professional development		%	
	Strengthening the integration of academic and CTE in			
	Preparing individuals for nontraditional employment		%	Ш
	Supporting partnerships among secondary, postsecond	-	0/	
	adult education, and other local institutions	····· –	%	Ц
	Serving individuals in state institutions, such as state	onto	0/	
	correctional and institutions serving disabled stud		%	Ц
	Supporting programs that prepare special education st		0/	
	for entry into high skill, high wage, high demand	occupations	%	
	Survey of State Directors of CTE at the Second	ary Level		23

	Technical assistance for eligible recipients		%	
57.	How much of the 10 percent State Leadership funding was services that prepare individuals for nontraditional fields more than \$150,000 earmarked for the purpose? (Enter a have this information.)	(i.e., of the not	less than \$60,	000 and not
	The time injermationity	Enter	Don't	
		<u>Amount</u>	<u>Know</u>	
	Nontraditional funding	\$		
58.	What percentage of your State Leadership funding for se support POS development or implementation? (Enter perhave this information.)			
		Enter	Don't	
		<u>Percentage</u>	<u>Know</u>	
	POS funding	%		
59.	Indicate whether your state has a gender equity and/secondary level and the job status of their position. (Mark		lations coordi	nator at the
		<u>None</u>	Full-time	Part-time
	Gender equity coordinator			
	Special populations coordinator			
	[If none for both options skip to Q61]			
60.	From what funding source(s) were the position(s) funded i	n 2008-09? (<i>Ma</i>	rk all that app	ly.)
	Perkins State Leadership funds Perkins State Administration funds		2	
	State funds Other (specify)			
SE YO	TE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS PERTAIN TO YOU CONDARY CTE. DO NOT INCLUDE PERKINS FOUR STATE PERKINS MATCH IN THIS SECTION. Did your state provide categorical funding for CTE service 09 program year?	FUNDS OR RE	ESOURCES U	JSED FOR
	Yes No			Go to Q64)
	*Please attach state CTE fur	nding formula		

62.	What percentage of the categorical statewide support f 2008-09 would you estimate came from the following so mark "Don't Know" if you do not have this information)					
				Don't		
		<u>Percentage</u>		Know		
	Federal sources (excluding Perkins)		_%			
	State sources		_%			
	Local sources		_%			
	Other (specify)		_%			
63.	Does your state use performance-based allocations (secondary CTE funds?	(e.g., rewards	and/or	sanctions)	for	state
	Yes		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1*		
	No					
	*Please attach description of state performance-b	pased funding	allocati	on formula		

Topic Area 4: Tech Prep

64. Did your state choose to merge its Tech Prep funds into its Title I basic grant during or prior to the 2009-010 program year?						
YesNo						
65. What are the reasons that your state opted to merge its Tech Prep funding with (<i>Mark all that apply</i> .)	n its basic grant funds?					
Desire to incorporate Tech Prep into all CTE programs	2 3 4					
(End Survey)						
66. Is your state planning to merge its Tech Prep funds into its Title I basic grant Perkins IV Act, and if so, when?	prior to the end of the					
State has no plans to consolidate funding	2 3					
67. How did your state allocate grants to local Tech Prep Consortia in 2008-09 from	n funds not merged?*					
Through a competitive application and award process	2 3					
* Please attach the guidelines or criteria used.						
68. How many local applications for 2008-09 program year Perkins IV, Title II your state agency receive, and how many of these were approved or disapprove Total number received: Number approved as originally submitted: Number approved after revision and re-submission: Number disapproved:	d? (Enter number)					
Number disapproved:	(If 0, go to Q7					

69.	What were the <u>principal reasons for disapproval</u> ? (Mark all that apply.) \$143,850		
	No plan submitted or inadequate plan for local allocation of funds	1	
	No plan submitted or inadequate plan for collection of accountability data	2	
	Poor past performance	3	
	Program determined to lack sufficient quality	4	
	Other (<i>specify</i>)	5	
	Which, if any, of the following obstacles does your state face in tracking Tech Prep stransition between the secondary and postsecondary education sectors? (Mark all that		J
		11 07	
	Secondary and postsecondary sectors do not share a common student identifier		
	Secondary and postsecondary sectors do not have a means of sharing data	2	
	Secondary Tech Prep students do not self-identify (or know they are Tech Prep		
	students) when matriculating at a postsecondary institution	3	
	students) when matriculating at a postsecondary institution	3	

71. The 2006 Perkins introduced a new set of accountability measures for Tech Prep students. Indicate your level of agreement or disagreement with each of the following statements:

 Other (specify)
 5

 Other (specify)
 6

	Strongly <u>Disagree</u>		No Opinion		Strongly Agree	Don't Know
New measures impose a substantial data burden			•		J	
on the state	1	2	3	4	5	6
The new measures will support program improvemen	ıt					
efforts undertaken at the state level	1	2	3	4	5	6
The new measures will support program improvemen	ıt					
efforts undertaken at the <u>local</u> level	1	2	3	4	5	6
The existence of the new measures will likely cause						
my state to merge its Title I and Title II funds	1	2	3	4	5	6
My state is able to collect accurate data on all measur	es 1	2	3	4	5	6
My state would like OVAE to issue nonregulatory						
guidance to support my state in developing						
Tech Prep measures	1	2	3	4	5	6
Other (<i>specify</i>)	1	2	3	4	5	6