Measures of Sun Exposure and Sun Protection **Practices for Behavioral and Epidemiologic Re**

effects.

Karen Glanz, PhD, MPH; Amy L. Yaroch, PhD; Monica Dancel, MPH; Mona Saraiya, MD, MPH; Lori A. Crane, PhD; David B. Buller, PhD; Sharon Manne, PhD; David L. O'Riordan, PhD; Carolyn J. Heckman, PhD; Jennifer Hay, PhD; June K. Robinson, MD

Objective: To develop, in a collaborative project, coresults: No unusual response patterns were detected measures of sun exposure and sun protection habits asign of the respondent groups or for any specific skin cancer prevention research.

the lack of standard outcome measures hampers constion. Some revisions to the survey items resulted parison of population surveys and interventions used in the need for clarification or emphasis of frames of reference such as adding or underlining key phrases in

Design: A work group of investigators evaluated available questionnaire and available and availabl able questionnaire measures of sun exposure and proscriptive data were prepared.

Setting: Nine locations across the United States.

Participants: The study population comprised 81 individuals.

tection. Their deliberations led to a proposed set of containing the combination of expert review folquestionnaire items for adults, adolescents aged 10 wed by cognitive interviewing yielded standardized years, and children 10 years or younger. These commences with good clarity and applicability were used in cognitive testing by the investigators of mess suring sun exposure and sun protection besite summaries of methods, response samples, and adeors across a broad range por pulations. They are appropriate for studies tracking morbidity and/ or mortality and evaluating prevention program

Arch Dermatol. 2008;144(2):217-222

mon cancer in the United ing sun protection habits (eg, wearing sun-Statesis diagnosed in abouscreen, hats, shirts, and sunglasses) are the

million Americans each main public health recommendations for

Author Affiliations: Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia (Dr Glanz and Ms Dancel); National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, Maryland (Dr Yaroch); Centers for Disease Control, and Prevention, Atlanta (Dr Saraiya); University of Sciences Center, Denver

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (Drs Manne and Heckman); University of Queensland, Herston, Australia

(Dr O'Riordan); Memorial Illinois (Dr Robinson).

year. The incidence of skin prevention. cancer has increased dramatically worldwide in the last dedadetablishing the 3 main types of skin cancer—malignar of SUN PROTECTION BEHAVIORS melanomasquamous cellancerand basal cell cancer—as significant public health concerns squamous cell cancer

KIN CANCER, THE MOST COM

risk increases with high levels of cum@iven the importance of increasing sun pro-Colorado at Denver and Healthative sun exposure, and malignant megion behaviors and reducing sun exponoma and basal cell cancer risk facto ម៉ាតែ the measurement of these behavioral at an early age.

See also page 225

PREVIOUS SURVEYS AND SUN EXPOSURE

and reducing UVR exposure and adopt-

(Dr Crane); Klein Buendel Incclude a history of severe sunburn andactors is a priority in national surveys and Golden, Colorado (Dr Buller); intense intermittent exposure to the survey valuating intervention efforts. In the Fox Chase Cancer Center, at an early age. sured sun protection and sun exposure; however, because of space and/or budget restrictions there is usually 1 key measure of sun exposure (sunburn) and an array of sun-

Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, Although the incidence of skin can protective behavior items. In 2000, the Na-New York, New York (Dr Hay), is increasing, it is considered one of the nall Health Interview Survey, a houseand Northwestern University most preventable types of cancer. UVhoded survey of the noninstitutionalized, School of Medicine, Chicago, diation (UVR) exposure is the major emdult civilian population, asked questions vironmental risk factor for skin canceaspout sunburn, and data showed that approximately 36% of US adults experienced at leastbalsumports, these measures are the most practical for both burn during the past ThearBehavioral Risk Factor Sumpopulation surveillance and intervention research. A cenveillance System asked about sunburns in 1999, 200Bcandern in monitoring progress and summarizing the 2004. Data from 2003 showed that approximately 2004 for effective prevention strategies with broad the US population reported a sunburn in the past yapption bility is the comparability of assessments across higher rates in Midwestern and northern states. population-based surveys and outcome measures used

The National Health Interview Survey has included intervention research. The present article describes the tions about sun sensitivity and sun protection sincer 1000 and results of a collaborative national effort to Despite slight variations in question wording over the recommended set of core items for surveys and survey indicates that sun-protective behaviors havinta invention research in skin cancer prevention.

erate to low prevalence and that sun exposure is high. Data from 2003 showed that 61% of adults were very likely to

METHODS

practice at least 1 sun protective behavior, but when it came to individual behaviors, the prevalence was low: 33% were very likely to use sunscreen, 33% were very likely to weak NVESTIGATOR WORKSHOP AND protective clothing, and 31% were very likely to use shade WORK GROUP ON SUN EXPOSURE The overall prevalence of any one of these sun protection.

behaviors has hovered around 30% since the early 1990s. This was confirmed by the Health Information National Pecember 2005, the National Cancer Institute and the Emory This was confirmed by the Health Information National Pecember 2005, the National Cancer Institute and the Emory Trends Survey 2005, a random-digit dial telephone of the Pecember 2005, a random-digit dial telephone of the Pecember 2005, a random-digit dial telephone of the Pecember 2005, the National Cancer Institute and the Emory Trends Survey 2005, a random-digit dial telephone of the Pecember 2005, the National Cancer Institute and the Emory Trends Survey 2005, a random-digit dial telephone of the Pecember 2005, the National Cancer Institute and the Emory Trends Survey 2005, a random-digit dial telephone of the Pecember 2005, the National Cancer Institute and the Emory Trends Survey 2005, a random-digit dial telephone of the Pecember 2005, the National Cancer Institute and the Emory Trends Survey 2005, a random-digit dial telephone of the Pecember 2005, the National Cancer Institute and the Emory Trends Survey 2005, a random-digit dial telephone of the Pecember 2005, the National Cancer Institute and the Emory Trends Survey 2005, the National Cancer Institute and the Emory Trends Survey 2005, the National Cancer Institute and the Emory Trends Survey 2005, the National Cancer Institute and the Emory Trends Survey 2005, the National Cancer Institute and the Emory Trends Survey 2005, the National Cancer Institute and the Emory Trends Survey 2005, the National Cancer Institute and the Emory Trends Survey 2005, the National Cancer Institute and the Emory Trends Survey 2005, the National Cancer Institute and the Emory Trends Survey 2005, the National Cancer Institute and the Emory Trends Survey 2005, the National Cancer Institute and the Emory Trends Survey 2005, the National Cancer Institute and the Emory Trends Survey 2005, the National Cancer Institute and the Emory Trends Survey 2005, the National Cancer Institute and the Emory Trends Survey 20

Since 1999, the Youth Risk Behavioral Survey, adsersed indoor tanning behaviors. This article is limited to the based survey of students in the 9th through 12th methods used by work group A (see "Additional Information" has contained the same question on sunscreen uset that article for list of members). From 2003 showed that even the most common sun Prior to the workshop, participants were asked to submit protective behavior among adolescents, sunscreen uset that they were currently using, protective behavior among adolescents, sunscreen used that they were currently using, with scoring algorithms and available psychometric data. has remained low, with approximately 17% of white approximates from 13 investigators were received and comlescents reporting they use it most of the time or approximately from the published literature were also included. This prevalence has not changed markedly over that from the published literature were also included.

Work group members used an "expert evaluation" process to

There are few national sun protection surveys of which the available questionnaire measures. The group considdren younger than 11 years. In 1998, the Centers for the following factors in evaluating the measures: (1) What ease Control and Prevention conducted a survey with the most important skin cancer prevention-related behavents of white children aged 6 months to 11 years. Protect measure that should be recommended for assessment in found that children spent a median of 20 hours a week in cancer prevention research efforts? (2) For each behavoutdoors during the summer. Sunscreen and shade we graphic regions, climate conditions, and populations? (3) What he most frequently used protection methods (62 fresh wording would be most adaptable across survey modalities 26.5%, respectively) They also found that approxiand formats (ie, self-administered, telephone, or personal intermately 43% of white children experienced 1 or move win(4) What response options will be most understandable across populations, be useful in discriminating between levels of

Sunscreen is the most frequent method of sun preferzior, and capture an appropriate range of behaviors? (5) What tion used across all age groups in Australia and internationate most appropriate time frame for answering behavally. The other forms of recommended sun protectional (Alestions (eg., behavior in a typical week; behavior during and clothes) were more likely too ccuramong the very of particular time of day; behavior on weekends vs weekdays)? older adults, and men. Differences across countries existing measures, the group reached consensus on 7 core for sun exposure and sun protection for adults, addiestionnaire items for adults, 8 core items for adolescents aged cents, and children the United States, most of the surfour 17 years, and 7 core items for parents reporting for chilveys report low prevalence of protection and high prevalo years or younger. Consensus was also reached for seclence of sunburns. The prevalence of these behaviors are younger for children 10 years or younger). in content and questions, making comparisons within and

between countries and age groups problematic.

MEASUREMENT NEEDS IN SKIN CANCER PREVENTION

SYSTEMATIC ERROR OF SELECTED ITEMS

COGNITIVE INTERVIEWING TO REDUCE

Rationale for Cognitive Interviewing

The majority ofstudies use verbateports or selfreports to measure habitual sun exposure and solatapions skin cancer prevention surveillance and behavioral retection behaviousespite well-known limitations of verarch, the proposed core items were cognitively tested among

the intended participants (ie, adults, adolescents, and programtand speaking to themselves, which would include talkreporting for children). Cognitive interviewing is a common byut their thoughts about a particular question. After the parused technique to aid in the improvement of questionnatic detacts completed all of the survey items, they were queried velopment Typically, one-on-one interviews are conducted out each individual survey item (eg, how they came up with with participants after they complete survey items to hether and whether the items were difficult to answer). Specover cognitive processes that are used when answering tibe pricedures were used to help prompt them to discuss their (eg, how well a person understands and interprets survely counterprecesses in deriving their answers. For instance, one tions, issues of memory retrieval, and how a particular stubie asked, "On average, how many hours are you outside beresponds to a question) short, cognitive interviewing canween 10 m and 4 m in the summer on weekdays?" One main be particularly useful in helping to identify and decreas productors this item was, "how did you come up with this numof systematic error in self-report measures before the modern field of those follow-up was needed, participants were asked ing of the survey, thereby potentially increasing the vallations in your head or did you ball park reliability of the measures commonly used to pretest suthis?" This was completed for all of the individual survey items, vey items going into national surveys, such as the National beatlittipants sponses were written down by researchers Interview Survey and Health Information National Trends reduced interview Survey and Health Information National Trends reduced in the survey and Health Information National Trends reduced in the survey and Health Information National Trends reduced in the survey and Health Information National Trends reduced in the survey and Health Information National Trends reduced in the survey and Health Information National Trends reduced in the survey and Health Information National Trends reduced in the survey and Health Information National Trends reduced in the survey and Health Information National Trends reduced in the survey and Health Information National Trends reduced in the survey and Health Information National Trends reduced in the survey and the survey and

vey. 18,19 This method was used recently to help develop core self-report measures of colorectal cancer sereening.

Cognitive Interviewing Protocol

Four of the coauthors (L.A.C., J.K.R., K.G., and A.L.Y.) devenuaire notations and listened to the audiotapes. Data anaoped a cognitive interviewing moderator's protocol. Theysts-prepared a site report summarizing their recruitment site investigators estions about procedures.

DATA COLLECTION PROCEDURES

tocol was slightly modified to be specific for each of theps quadures, response rates, and the results of cognitive interlations in which it would be tested (ie, adults, adolescentis wand not sent documentation to the coordinating center at parents reporting for children). The protocol was used as raottablniversity. Cross-site summaries were then prepared. dardized quide to help elicit feedback from the subjects or Qualitative analysis of the cognitive testing results was comcognitive processes for answering the questions, including technical lowing the methods outlined by A Wolde book prehension of the questions. Before cognitive testing, a won developed to synthesize cross-site issues related to the proference call was conducted to review procedures and disposed questions, including categories such as clarity, knowledge memory, response categories, instructions, and sensitive wording. Two research team members coded the response summaries for each question and each site. Coding discrepancies were discussed in meetings, and a final decision about how to code dis-

Preliminary data analysis was completed at each data collection site by a researcher who reviewed written notes and ques-

DATA ANALYSIS

crepant comments was made in consultation with the lead au-To obtain a wide variety of respondents from different geor (K.G.). Coded comments were then compiled into a summar graphical settings, 9 study sites were available to conductor guestion and by site, and problem areas were identified nitive interviewing. The institutional review boards of Emorne coordinating center reviewed the results of the cogni-University (Atlanta, Georgia), Fox Chase Cancer Center (Reilesting and used them to recommend revisions to the inidelphia Pennsylvania Klein Buendel Inc (Golde Colotial set of core measures. All participating investigators were rado), Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center (New Yoakketo provide comments by e-mail, and a conference call York), Northwestern University (Chicago, Illinois), Universas convened to discuss the results and make final recommensity of Colorado Health Sciences Center (Denver), Universitions for the core questionnaire items. of Hawaii (Honolulu), University of South Florida (Tampa), and Virginia Commonwealth University (Richmond) ap-**RESULTS**

proved the research protocol. Specific recruitment methods varied according to the site's location and targeted study popula-

tion. Five university sites used on-campus recruitment strategies SAMPLE CHARACTERISTICS

such as e-mail announcements, fliers, visiting classes, and ap-

proaching individuals. One site recruited adolescents age total of 81 respondents completed the cognitive interto 17 years through acquaintances. Three sites that targeted paracross 9 study sites. Response rates ranged from tients with a history of skin cancer or their first-degree relatives of 66% for patients with skin cancer and 70% to 100% tives recruited people who had participated in previous study in person during visits for dermatologic examination. The adult survey 10 of whom were parents of children

Participants were screened for eligibility according to be additional survey, 19 of whom were parents of children inclusion criteria. People who worked in the tanning industrial to 10 years who also completed the child-specific lived with people employed in the tanning industry and questions Nine adolescents (aged 11-17 years) commarketing research, advertising, or public relations werplieded cognitive interviewing. Overall, 72% of the sample gible. All written surveys were completed on-site, and courie female and 72% were white, with an age range from interviews were conducted in person and audiotaped. 11 to 74 years and a median age of 31 years. Fifty-five The protocol began by having interviewers thank participants for participating and informing them of the purpose of

the study and that the interviews would be audiotaped. Before completing survey items, participants were told by interview-

SURVEY ITEM REVISIONS

ers that they would be asked to "think aloud" about how they answered particular questions. Thinking aloud was defined and distributions circles to the responses restating everything that participants were thinking from Mealand distributions similar to those found in the investhey read each question until they wrote down the answig storage revious research and in national surveys. Beparticipants were instructed to act as if they were aloneciause there were no unusual response patterns detected

A Final Core Items - ADULTS	4 hoursQ
For each question listed, please select the one answer that is the	best response to the
question.	6 hours
Section 1 -Sun Habits 1. In the summer, on average, how many hours are you outside panels of the property of the panels of the	per day bar ween less or less
and 4mon WEEKDAYS (Monday-Friday) ? 30 minutes or less	31 minutes to 1 hour
31 minutes to 1 hour	2 hours
2 hours	4 hoursQ
4 hours	5 hours
5 hours	6 hours
6 hoursQ	that lasted a day or more?
2. In the summer, on average, how many hours are you outside p and 4mon WEEKEND DAYS (Saturday & Sunday) ?	per day between 10 1 2 3 4 5 ORMORE
30 minutes or less	
31 minutes to 1 hour	For the following questions, think about what this chi <u>ld does</u> when outside during th summer on a warm sunny day.
2 hours	6. How often does this child wear NEVER RARELYSOMETIMES OFTEN ALWAYS
4 hours	SUNSCREEN?
5 hours	7. How often does this child wear a SHIRT
6 hours	WITH SLEEVES that cover the sho@der?Q O O O
3. In the past 12 months, how many times did you have a red OR lasted a day or more?	
0 1 2 3 4 5ORMORE	9. How often does this child stay in the SHADE or UNDER AN UMBRELLA?
	10. How often does this child wear
For the following questions, think about what you do <u>when y</u> ou are	
summer on a warm sunny day. NEVER RARELY SOMETIMES OFTEN ALWAYS	11. How often does this child spend time in the sun in order to get a tan?**
4. How often do you wear SUNSCREEN O O O	NEVER RARELY SOMETIMES OFTEN ALWAYS
5. How often do you wear a SHIRT WITH	
SLEEVES that cover your shoulders?O O O	12. What is the color of this child's untanned skin?**
6. How often do you wear a HAT?	Very fair
7. How often do you stay in the SHADE or UNDER AN UMBRELLA?	Olive
8. How often do you wear SUNGLASSES?** O O	Light brown
•	Dark brown
9. How often do you spend time in the sun in order to get a tan?*	
NEVER RARELY SOMETIMES OFTEN ALWAYS O O O O	C Final Core Items - ADOLESCENTS
	For each question listed, please select the one answer that is the best response to t
10. What is the color of your untanned skin?**	
	question.
Very Fair	question. 1. In the summer, on average, how many hour <u>s are</u> you outs id e per day between 10
Very Fair Q Fair Q Olive Q	question. 1. In the summer, on average, how many hour <u>s are</u> you outside per day between 10 and 4mon WEEKDAYS (Monday-Friday)? 30 minutes or less
Very Fair	question. 1. In the summer, on average, how many hours are you outside per day between 10 and 4m.on WEEKDAYS (Monday-Friday)? 30 minutes or less
Very Fair Q Fair Q Olive Q	question. 1. In the summer, on average, how many hours are you outside per day between 10 and 4mon WEEKDAYS (Monday-Friday)? 30 minutes or less
Very Fair	question. 1. In the summer, on average, how many hours are you outside per day between 10 and 4mon WEEKDAYS (Monday-Friday)? 30 minutes or less
Very Fair	question. 1. In the summer, on average, how many hours are you outside per day between 10 and 4mon WEEKDAYS (Monday-Friday)? 30 minutes or less
Very Fair	question. 1. In the summer, on average, how many hours are you outside per day between 10 and 4m.on WEEKDAYS (Monday-Friday)? 30 minutes or less
Very Fair	question. 1. In the summer, on average, how many hours are you outside per day between 10 and 44on WEEKDAYS (Monday-Friday)? 30 minutes or less
Very Fair	question. 1. In the summer, on average, how many hours are you outside per day between 10 and 4m.on WEEKDAYS (Monday-Friday)? 30 minutes or less
Very Fair	question. 1. In the summer, on average, how many hours are you outside per day between 10 and 44on WEEKDAYS (Monday-Friday)? 30 minutes or less
Very Fair	question. 1. In the summer, on average, how many hours are you outside per day between 10 and 4mon WEEKDAYS (Monday-Friday)? 30 minutes or less
Very Fair	question. 1. In the summer, on average, how many hours are you outside per day between 10 and 4mon WEEKDAYS (Monday-Friday)? 30 minutes or less
Fair	question. 1. In the summer, on average, how many hours are you outside per day between 10 and 4Mon WEEKDAYS (Monday-Friday)? 30 minutes or less
Very Fair	question. 1. In the summer, on average, how many hours are you outside per day between 10 and 4mon WEEKDAYS (Monday-Friday)? 30 minutes or less
Very Fair	question. 1. In the summer, on average, how many hours are you outside per day between 16 and 4Mon WEEKDAYS (Monday-Friday)? 30 minutes or less
Very Fair	question. 1. In the summer, on average, how many hours are you outside per day between 10 and 4Mon WEEKDAYS (Monday-Friday)? 30 minutes or less
Very Fair	question. 1. In the summer, on average, how many hours are you outside per day between 16 and 4Mon WEEKDAYS (Monday-Friday)? 30 minutes or less
Very Fair	question. 1. In the summer, on average, how many hours are you outside per day between 10 and 4 on WEEKDAYS (Monday-Friday)? 30 minutes or less
Very Fair	question. 1. In the summer, on average, how many hours are you outside per day between 16 and 44on WEEKDAYS (Monday-Friday)? 30 minutes or less
Very Fair	question. 1. In the summer, on average, how many hours are you outside per day between 16 and 44on WEEKDAYS (Monday-Friday)? 30 minutes or less
Very Fair	question. 1. In the summer, on average, how many hours are you outside per day between 16 and 4Mon WEEKDAYS (Monday-Friday)? 30 minutes or less
Very Fair	question. 1. In the summer, on average, how many hours are you outside per day between 16 and 4 on WEEKDAYS (Monday-Friday)? 30 minutes or less
Very Fair	question. 1. In the summer, on average, how many hours are you outside per day between 16 and 4 on WEEKDAYS (Monday-Friday)? 30 minutes or less
Very Fair	question. 1. In the summer, on average, how many hours are you outside per day between 16 and 4Mon WEEKDAYS (Monday-Friday)? 30 minutes or less
Very Fair	question. 1. In the summer, on average, how many hours are you outside per day between 16 and 4Mon WEEKDAYS (Monday-Friday)? 30 minutes or less
Very Fair	question. 1. In the summer, on average, how many hours are you outside per day between 16 and 4Mon WEEKDAYS (Monday-Friday)? 30 minutes or less
Very Fair	question. 1. In the summer, on average, how many hours are you outside per day between 16 and 4Mon WEEKDAYS (Monday-Friday)? 30 minutes or less
Very Fair	question. 1. In the summer, on average, how many hours are you outside pand 4Mon WEEKDAYS (Monday-Friday)? 30 minutes to 1 hour
Very Fair	question. 1. In the summer, on average, how many hours are you outside par day between 16 and 4Mon WEEKDAYS (Monday-Friday)? 30 minutes to 1 hour
Very Fair	question. 1. In the summer, on average, how many hours are you outside per day between 16 and 4mon WEEKDAYS (Monday-Friday)? 30 minutes or less
Very Fair	question. 1. In the summer, on average, how many hours are you outside per day between 16 and 44on WEEKDAYS (Monday-Friday)? 30 minutes or less
Very Fair	question. 1. In the summer, on average, how many hours are you outside per day between 16 and 4m.on WEEKDAYS (Monday-Friday)? 30 minutes or less
Very Fair	question. 1. In the summer, on average, how many hours are you outside per day between 16 and 4m.on WEEKDAYS (Monday-Friday)? 30 minutes to 1 hour. 2 hours 3 hours 4 hours 2. In the summer, on average, how many hours are you outside per day between 16 and 4m.on WEEKEND DAYS (Saturday & Sunday)? 30 minutes to 1 hour. 2 hours 31 minutes to 1 hour. 2 hours 31 minutes to 1 hour. 2 hours 31 minutes to 1 hour. 32 hours 4 hours 5 hours 5 hours 6 hours 3. In the past 12 months, how many times did you have a red OR painful sunburn to lasted a day or more? 0 1 2 3 4 5 ORMORE to 10 FootshelfoHowing questions, think about what you do when you are summer on a warm sunny day. NEVER RARELY SOMETIMES OFTEN ALWAYS his survey How often do you wear a SHIRT WITH SLEEVES that cover your shoulders? 7. How often do you stay in the SHADE or UNDER AN UMBRELLA? 8. How often do you spend time in the sun in order to get a tan? NEVER RARELY SOMETIMES OFTEN ALWAYS 10. What is the color of your untanned skin?** de per day between Fair Olive Color was a ship of the shi
Very Fair	question. 1. In the summer, on average, how many hours are you outside per day between 16 and 4m.on WEEKDAYS (Monday-Friday)? 30 minutes or less

Figure. Core skin cancer prevention items for adults (A), adults reporting for children 10 years or younger (B), and adolescents aged 11 to 17

in any of the respondent groups or for any specific **qpes** has been recommended as the most feasible meation items, the cognitive interviewing results were used for large population surveys and intervention studto guide further revisions to the core items. ies, the paucity of data on psychometric properties of

The main revisions to survey items resulted from the vioral measures of skin cancer prevention is an imneed for clarification or emphasis of frames of referentiant limitation for research in this area. Self-report measuch as adding or underlining key phrases in the question the frequency of common habits, and social dewar a shirt with sleeves?" the phrase "that coversity builtity. Still, self-reports will likely remain the most comshoulders" was added to the end of the question because assessment method, as they are in many othe several respondents mentioned that they were unsuad to be behavior arenas (eg, diet, physical activity, and towhat length of sleeve to consider in their answer. Oactrouse). Thus, ongoing examination of feasibility, reset of items for which adults were asked to report babblity; and validity of self-report measures is an imporchild, several respondents who had more than 1 chaldtipriority. Cognitive interviewing helped to improve our dicated the need for greater clarity about which chitches and responses in terms of clarity, accuracy, specireport on; thus, the instructions were revised to clairify thanks breadth, improving feasibility and establishing parents were to answer the survey while consideriface the babble to the consideriface the consideriface the babble to the consideriface the considerif

oldest child aged between 1 and 10 years. This study is an important first step in the develop-Response options for questionnaire items were alternant, cognitive testing, and recommendation of a set of revised to reflect answers most commonly used are derested by doing further quantitative evaluation to evaluate of your untanned skin?" the response options of "lagtetinternal consistency, test-retest reliability, and conbrown" and "dark brown" were added, while "dark unret and criterion validity (by, comparing the "black"—options that had been found confusing—current items to objective measures such as observawere dropped. Response options were kept uniform resists reflectance, personal dosimetry, skin swaball 3 survey types.

Respondents noted inherent limitations to self-repotested across differing administration modalities such that could not be solved by altering the questions. As open ample, some parents expressed concerns that the putier notes introduced by mixed-model interviewing. cause they were often separated from the child. One strength of this study involved the inclusion of a

RECOMMENDED MEASURES

be easily adapted to other cohorts or specific time frames. The recommended core items are listed igute. A limitation of the present study is that the sample contract mainly of female and white individuals. We also based surveillance and both descriptive and experience in the sample contract behavioral research. The work group suggests that these core items cannot serve all study purtial behavioral research. The work group suggests that these core items cannot serve all study purtial behavioral research. The work group suggests that these core items cannot serve all study purtial behavioral research. The work group suggests that these core items cannot serve all study purtial behavioral research. They may need to be adapted to stude on their study aims, relevant geographic or season also population, and geographic locale.

siderations, and unique population characteristics such conclusion, this project brought together many of as race/ethnicity and skin cancer history. In additione leading skin cancer prevention researchers to create searchers are encouraged to evaluate the reliability energy set of self-report items and test them on a diverse validity of these measures in various research confedence of participants. There remains an important need for further measure development work to increase re-

COMMENT

for further measure development work to increase reproducibility and decrease redundancy across many studies and cohorts. Efforts such as this will improve our ability to track health risk behaviors with increased accuracy

wide age range of participants. The questions can be used in a variety of cohorts and easily compared, and they may

It is well recognized that the measures used to assess the billity and provide the opportunity for more inexposure and sun protection practices vary, making recoed and tailored recommendations regarding UVR and parisons between populations problem between sun protection practices.

opment and adoption of standardized core survey items will advance the science in a number of ways. First, st**Addestibed for Publicati**

advance the science in a number of ways. First, stadicepted for Publication: December 19, 2007. track morbidity and/or mortality and evaluate the Sourcespondence: Karen Glanz, PhD, MPH, Rollins School of intervention programs would be more feasible autophilities. Health, Emory University, 1518 Clifton Rd NE, cise. Second, the field could achieve greater comparabilities Atlanta, GA 30322 (kglanz@sph.emory between populations. A strength of the present eff. autophilities.)

it was conducted in a much larger sample of partice Contributions: Dr Glanz had full access to all of than is typical of cognitive interviewing studies. the data in the study and takes responsibility for the in-

This study reflects a growing trend in behaviorategrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. ences to highlight and address the quality of self-requiry concept and designaz, Yaroch, Dancel, Sameasures through multiple strategies, including but involved to cognitive interviewing hile use of self-and Robinson. Acquisition of data: Glanz, Dancel, Crane,

Buller, Manne, O'Riordan, Heckman, Hay, and Robiñ-JemalA, Devesa SS, Hartge P, Tucker MA. Recent trends in cutaneous melason. Analysis and interpretation of data: Glanz and Dagna incidence among whites in the United Statesce Namest. 2001; cel. Drafting of the manuscript: Glanz, Yaroch, Dancel and Cancer Institute. SEER Cancer Statistics Review, 1975-2002. Bethesda, raiva, Crane, Buller, Manne, O'Riordan, Heckman, and D: National Cancer Institute; 2005. Hay. Critical revision of the manuscript for important Aimstrong BK, Kricker A. The epidemiology of UV induced skin cancer. J Photellectual content: Robinson. Statistical analysis: Glafvehedobiol B. 2001;63(1-3):8-18. ministrative, technical, and material support: Glanz almostrong Blow sun exposure causes skin cancerpidemiological perspective. In: Hill D, Elwood J, English D, eds. Prevention of Skin Cancer. Dor-Yaroch. Study supervision: Glanz and Yaroch. drecht, the Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers; 2004:89-116. Financial Disclosure: None reported. 6. US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy People 2010. 2nd ed. Funding/Support: Support for the workshop and collashington, DC: US Government Printing Office; 2000. nitive interviewing was provided by the National Can Hall HI, Saraiya M, Thompson T, Hartman A, Glanz K, Rimer B. Correlates of suncer Institute (NCI), the Georgia Cancer Coalition, and view Survey. Public Health Rep. 2003;118(6):540-549. participating investigators. 8. Saraiya M, Hall, Uhler RJ. Sunburn prevalence among adults in the United Role of the Sponsors: The NCI assisted with study stees, 1999. Am J Prev Med. 2002;23(2):91-97. sign and conduct of the study, and both the Center's Notional ancer Institute. Cancer trends progress report—2005 update. http: Disease Control and Prevention and NCI reviewed an progress report. cancer.gov/doc_detail.asp?pid=1&did=2005&chid=21&coid=211&mid=#cancer. Accessed January 6, 2007. approved the manuscript. 10. Național Cancer Institute. Health information national trends survey: primary car Disclaimer: The findings and conclusions in this reportrisk behaviors. http://hints.cancer.gov. Accessed March 15, 2007.

are those of the authors and do not necessarily represses, Saraiya M. Sunscreen use among US high school students, 1999-2003. sent the views of the Centers for Disease Control and Phelealth. 2006;76(4):150-153. vention or NCI. Dr Robinson is the editor of *Archives* Mail HI, McDavid K, Jorgensen CM, Kraft JM. Factors associated with sunburn Dermatology. She was not involved in the editorial evaluation or desirion to associate with sunburn ation or desirion to associate with sunburn permatology.

AdditionaContributionsordon Willis, Iris Alcantara, Mary Klein Buller, Katharine Fisher, Jennifer 2001;116(4):353-361.

Ford, Seft Hunter, Ilima Kane, Kara Kilian, Rebecca Moore areview of sun protection in Australia and internationally. Health Promot Eric Nehl, Nancy Rohowyj, Jennifer Stillman, and Le- Int. 2004;19(3):369-378. slie Welsh assisted in the protocol development and Darbanson S, HDII Patterns and causes of sun exposing and sun protecting collection for this study.

Glanz, PhD, MPH, Jennifer Hay, PhD, Carolyn J. Hecky. Willis GB. Cognitive Interviewing: for Tomproving Questionnaire Design. man, PhD, Sharon Manne, PhD, David L. O'Riordan, PhD, sand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications; 2005. June Robinson, MD, Richard Roetzheim, MD, MPH, Morillis G, Schechter S. Evaluation of cognitive interviewing techniques: do the re-Saraiya, MD, MPH, Alana D. Steffen, PhD, and Amy 19: Nelson DE, Kreps GL, Hesse BW, et al. The Health Information National Trends Yaroch, PhD.

REFERENCES

1. American Cancer Society. Cancer Facts and Figures 2007. Atlanta, G&1 Aprilarian D. Mail and Internet Surveys: The Tailored Design Method. New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons; 2000. can Cancer Society; 2007.

ation or decision to accept this article for publication HallII, Jorgensen CM, McDavid K, Kraft JM, Breslow R. Protection from sun exposure in US white children ages 6 months to 11 years. Public Health Rep.

behavior. In: Hill D, Elwood J, English D, eds. Prevention of Skin Cancer. Dor-Additional Information: Members of work group A diecht, the Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers; 2004:211-240.

Cluded David B. Buller, PhD, Lori A. Crane, PhD, Karener methodology and measurement. Am J Prev Med. 2005;29(2):131-142.

Survey (HINTS): development, design, and dissemination. J Health Commun. 2004;9(5):443-460.

20. Vernon SW, Meissner H, Klabunde C, et al. Measures for ascertaining use of colorectal cancer screening in behavioral, health services, and epidemiologic resea Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2004;13(6):898-905.