Questions for Phone Interviews with BMWED General Chairmen (5)

This interview concerns the track inspection process. The Federal Railroad Administration will use this information in preparing a Report to Congress as required by the Rail Safety Improvement Act of 2008. Your answers and comments will inform possible future FRA policy and regulatory actions and improve overall railroad operational safety.

Your participation in this study is completely voluntary and you may choose to end your participation at any time. This data collection is authorized by law. Your identity will be kept private and known only to myself (the interviewer) and the study manager.

Public reporting burden for this information collection is less than 1 hour, including time for explaining the interview process, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. I am required by law to give you the OMB control number which is OMB No. 2130-XXXX and the expiration date is YYYY.

- 1. How long have you been a BMWED General Chairman?
- 2. How long have you worked in track inspection?
- 3. What types of inspection related training does your membership receive from the railroad?

		Every other yearEvery yearMore frequentlyImage: Severy				
	Never	year	Every year	frequently		
on-the-job training						
FRA track standards training						
FRA safety standards training						
other track inspection related training (please specify)						

What type of additional training, if any, do you think they should have? Initially? On a continuing basis?

- 4. What factors are present that hinder your members in performing quality inspections (e.g., staffing, equipment, lack of automated inspections)?
- 5. What equipment would aid the track inspector in safely performing inspections or doing repairs?
- 6. How could the track inspection process be changed to make your people more effective inspectors?
- 7. What factors influence the speed at which the hi-railer operates during inspections?

- 8. What types of automated inspections do your members find useful? In what way are they useful?
 - a. Ultrasonic rail flaw detection
 - b. Gage restraint measurements (GRMS or PTLF)
 - c. Track geometry measurements
 - d. Vehicle track interaction (impact loads and vehicle dynamics)
 - e. Anything else?
- 9. With regard to the table that you completed prior to this conversation, could you suggest a means to improve detection of those conditions that you indicated as "not readily detectable"?
- 10. What track inspection issues do your members bring to your attention? (probe on how territory size affects speed of inspection)
- 11. Do you feel that the railroad has an adequate number of inspectors to comply with current FRA requirements? On what basis do you make that determination?
- 12. What changes, if any, would you recommend in current FRA track inspection requirements?
- 13. Are there any other aspects of the inspection process that you would like to comment on for FRA consideration in preparing its Report to Congress?

Please complete the table on the following page and return it to your interviewer prior to your phone conversation.

Track Condition		How do your members commonly detect each condition? (Check all that apply.)				
		ual	Results of	Not readily detectable	Not	
		hi- rail	Automated Inspection		applicabl e on my railroad	
Geometry						
Gage dimension less than/greater than allowable						
Alinement deviation exceeds allowable						
Maximum crosslevel exceeds allowable						
Runoff at end of raise exceeds allowable						
Deviation from uniform profile on either rail exceeds allowable						
Difference in crosslevel (warp) exceeds allowable						
Reverse elevation on curve exceeds allowable						
Ballast						
Insufficient ballast						
Fouled ballast						
Ties						
Ineffective/defective ties						
Rail seat abrasion						
Track constructed without crossties does not effectively support track structure						
Rail/joints						
Broken rail						
Worn rail						
Rail-end mismatch						
Cracked or broken joint bar						
Insufficient number of joint bolts						
Loose/worn joint bars						
Torch-cut or burned bolt hole in rail						
Switches						

Track Condition		How do your members commonly detect each condition? (Check all that apply.)				
		sual	Results of Automated Inspection	Not readily detectable	Not applicabl e on my railroad	
		hi- rail				
Stock rail/ switch point not seated or functioning as intended						
Loose, worn, or missing switch components						
Fasteners/anchors						
Insufficient/ineffective fasteners						
Insufficient anchors to restrain rail movement at turnouts or CWR						
Frogs						
Insufficient flangeway depth/width						
Worn or defective frog/frog components						
Misc.						
Heat kinks						
Right-of-way obstructions						
Object between base of rail and the bearing surface of the tie plate causing concentrated load						
Insufficient/defective tie plates						
Missing or damaged signage						
Track washouts						
Poor drainage/pumping ties						
Excessive vegetation						
Defective derail conditions(s)						