Appendix K: Public Comments and Comments from Consultants Receive	mments from Consultants Received

### **Review of USDA OMB FFVP Evaluation Study materials**

### Goals of the project:

- There are currently 2 stated goals of the project: assess impact and implementation.
- Suggestion: add a 3<sup>rd</sup> goal: determine the reach or coverage of the FFVP.
  - o Rationale
    - The Background section implies that lower-income students are a priority for the program; therefore it is important to know how well this target population is actually being reached.
    - This information is especially relevant considering the large increases in funding that will occur by 2012 and that the number of students served by the FFVP will most likely increase as well.
    - Additionally, states will most likely be interested in this information.
  - Method
    - Using the State Child Nutrition Agency Survey, collect the following information: (some or all of this information is already asked)
      - Total number of eligible schools
      - Of the eligible schools how many apply
      - Of the eligible schools that apply, how many receive funding
    - Since the Implementation sample will be nationally representative, would these numbers be nationally representative as well?
    - The states will be interested in their own data and would find it useful to do state-by-state comparisons also. Thus, could this data also be collected from all 54 state agencies?

### Samples

- Will the implementation and impact data be representative at the state level for the 16 State Agencies and/or 54 State Agencies?
- It would be useful to be able to link this FFVP data collected to CDC's youth behavior data, such as YRBSS and School Health Profiles that are collected by the Division of Adolescent and School Health (DASH)

   (<a href="http://www.cdc.gov/healthyYouth/profiles/">http://www.cdc.gov/healthyYouth/profiles/</a>);
   (<a href="http://www.cdc.gov/HealthyYouth/yrbs/index.htm">http://www.cdc.gov/HealthyYouth/yrbs/index.htm</a>)
- For the Impact study: I understood that <u>eligible schools that participated in FFVP</u> will be compared will other eligible schools that did participate. Is this correct?
- "State Cutoff"
  - The definition of this phrase is not clear. On pg. 17 "The FFVP legislation and FNS regulations require States to give FFVP funding to the poorest schools, as measured by the percent of students eligible for free and reduced price school lunches. RD estimates the causal impact of the FFVP by comparing schools directly above and below the cut-off for funding."

- This implies that the "State Cutoff" is a demarcation for eligibility.
   Thus comparing schools on either side of the cutoff implies that one group is eligible, while the other is not.
- Does FNS have an eligibility cut-off? Does the State Cutoff refer to a specific criteria set by the state? I.e. by FNS standards the schools could be considered eligible, but by the state's standards they are not eligible? <u>OR</u> because there is a narrow free/reduced price window, comparing above and below the cutoff results in the comparison of very similar schools (even though technically one group is eligible and one is not)? This distinction should be made more clear.
- The definition of "State Cutoff" should be made clearer in the diagram on pg. 19.

### Impact data

- Nutritional status
  - On pg. 4, it states that the impact study will look at children's nutritional status. Is this information being collected?
- Willingness to try new fruits and vegetables
  - This is an important part of attitudes. There is one question about it (pg. 8, q 16 of the self-administered survey). Other questions could be added for more depth on this issue. (Alice Ammerman of UNC has done work on this topic.)
- Increased fruit and vegetable consumption
  - Pg. 8 states that the information will be used to determine whether "...the FFVP increased fruit and vegetable consumption..."
    - Is there a pre/post design?
    - If not, it is more appropriate to say that the data will be used to determine if students at FFVP schools have higher fruit and vegetable consumption than students at nonparticipating schools.

### Review of Evaluation Plan: Evaluation of the Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program Laura C. Leviton and Punam Ohri-Vachaspati The Robert Wood Johnson Foundation September 16, 2009

Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment on this evaluation proposal. This is an important program, very much in line with the needs of our own organization to enable the prevention of childhood obesity. We have some general comments on the aims and design, and then we would like to turn our attention to the measurement and data collection issues, where we have most of our suggestions for improvement.

### General Comments on the Aims and Design

The aims of the study are clear and the proposed design, the use of regression discontinuity, is excellent. The OMB Clearance Package is correct that this is a design that is as rigorous as a randomized experiment under the conditions described in the evaluation plan. A great many tests and comparisons of the two methods have been conducted, especially in the school environment. The use of schools as the unit of assignment is intelligent and appropriate, and the proposed hierarchical analysis is also highly appropriate. The proposed data collection of a larger group of schools, to assess implementation is also important and appropriate.

We have three major concerns and suggestions. Two of them concern measurement and data collection, and one is analytic. We address the specifics of measurement in the next section. In summary the two concerns are:

1. The need to assure comparability of measures with existing high quality surveys, specifically SNDA III and the Bridging the Gap surveys of school policies and implementation. Both these groups have studied food access, availability and consumption issues affecting school children. Also consult the NCI Measures of Food Environment website (<a href="https://riskfactor.cancer.gov/mfe">https://riskfactor.cancer.gov/mfe</a>) - a compilation of studies investigating community-level measures of the food environment, including school food environment. This will allow for use of tested measures that have been and are being used for tracking changes in perceptions, behaviors, physical environments, and policies in the school food setting.

Because the proposed surveys will be conducted only once, we desperately want and need a basis of comparison. This is in line with the stated aims of NIH and CDC to develop common measures of policy and environmental factors contributing to the epidemic of childhood obesity. In general, we will want a basis of comparison and a context to interpret the results obtained. It would be sheer folly not to have comparability where feasible. This does not duplicate efforts in any sense, if that needs to be explained to OMB; the purpose of the study remains the same and the data collection is indispensable to do what needs to be done.

The instruments for school administrators and food service clearly borrowed extensively from SNDA III; however, Abt Associates should take a fresh look at the content of SNDA III in any case and contact the developers at Mathematica.

We urge them to employ questions that are comparable to the Bridging the Gap survey. This is an annual survey of a representative sample of 500 to 700 school districts and schools (elementary, middle and secondary). The surveys can be found at <a href="http://www.monitoringthefuture.org/">http://www.monitoringthefuture.org/</a> and/or <a href="http://www.impacteen.org/aboutus.htm">http://www.impacteen.org/aboutus.htm</a> or by contacting the principal

Frank Chaloupka, fjc@uic.edu Department of Economics U. Illinois Chicago Room 558, M/C 275 1747 West Roosevelt Road Chicago IL 60608 Voice: 312-413-2287

Fax: 312-355-2801

investigators:

Lloyd Johnston, lloydj@isr.umich.edu Survey Research Center University of Michigan Institute for Social Research 426 Thompson Street, Room 2324 Ann Arbor MI 48106-1248

Voice: 734-763-5043 Fax: 734-936-0043

- 1. The problems of response rate, missing data, and age inappropriate questions. The finest design in the world cannot overcome problems that limit the accuracy of data collection. Impairing the accuracy of data will, in turn, guarantee a no effect conclusion. Error in measurement introduces noise in that which we want to analyze. Unfortunately, with the current instruments and data collection plan, the evaluation is certainly headed toward a no-effect conclusion. We realize Abt is not permitted to pilot test the instruments before OMB approval, but really, given the experience to date in surveying school administrators, this is worrisome.
  - a. The instruments for administrators are unnecessarily awkward to use, impose a large response burden where it is not necessary, and will therefore impair both response rate and accuracy. It is a fundamental principle of survey research that increased response burden will increase error and missing data. See details below.
  - b. Response rate for administrators will be a serious problem even if the surveys are made more user friendly. The incentives described will not be sufficient to guarantee the response rate that Abt is targeting, based on our recent experience using the web to collect data from school personnel. There was no description in the OMB package of how Abt proposes to ensure the response rate they need. In any revision, it will be important for USDA to know in detail, how Abt plans to follow up with administrators and food service personnel to get them to respond. There should be a specific and ample line item in their budget for labor to convert non-

respondents into respondents. The data collection component is the biggest budget item for any evaluation project such as this, and it is the one area that a low bid on the RFP will impair the most. It will be important to make sure that labor is assigned to the specific task of assuring the necessary response rate.

- c. The instruments for children are not age appropriate and there are better instruments available for both the family surveys and 24 hour recalls. Fourth and fifth graders will not be able to respond to some of these issues in the formats provided.
- 2. Greater analytic attention, and data collection where possible, to consumption of less nutritious foods. The entire rationale for the program rests on the assumption that increased fruit and vegetable consumption will lead to decreased consumption of less nutritious foods. The background section lists other causal relationships (e.g. cancer incidence) as purely secondary to the epidemic of childhood obesity. The rationale is in the legislation as described page 3. Yet the analytic plan has a fatal flaw. There is no direct evidence that increasing F&V consumption in children will cause decreased consumption of calorie dense foods of limited nutritional value. Yet increasingly there is evidence (e.g. Gortmaker and Wang, Sturm) that we will only prevent childhood obesity by decreasing the consumption of calorie dense food of limited nutritional value.

Yet the data collection and analytic plan do not pay sufficient attention to this issue. The 24 recalls will yield some of the information, but the self-administered student instrument should address this in depth—see Exhibit A-2, 7<sup>th</sup> page. Regardless of decisions about the self-administered instrument, analytic questions about this issue, as seen on 7<sup>th</sup> page of Exhibit A-2, should take higher priority! Unlike data collection, adding another analysis costs very little, and could tell us so much.

### Specific Suggestions on the Aims and Design

- 1. The statement of aims for the program is very clear on page 2 of the OMB Clearance Package. However, the introductory statement under Background, page 1, is not. The program is about so much more than teaching healthier eating habits, and in fact this statement is misleading. We would urge you to take another look at the expanded statement on page 2 to restate the first sentence under Background, page 1.
- 2. On page 3, first full paragraph, it is important to estimate the number of children served by the program, and if possible, the numbers at each stage of program expansion.
- 3. On page 6, in smaller districts the school food authorities may be the same individuals as the school food managers. How will this be addressed? Remember response burden!
  4. On page 7, if there is enough variation in nutrition education
- 4. On page 7, if there is enough variation in nutrition education then analysis examining the dose of nutrition education on outcome variables. This could be addressed on Exhibit A-2, second to last page.
- 5. In Exhibit A-2 Topic area 2, another question worth considering would be "how are the FV presented whole vs cut-up vs other creative ways? Does acceptability vary by what is offered, how, and where for different age, gender, and ethnic groups?"

6. On the last page of Exhibit A-2, what about examining changes in NSLP based on consumption of F&V after participation in FFVP? From dietary recalls, can be easily analyzed.

### General Comments on the Instruments:

- 1. Given the length of the school administrator surveys, all instruments should be reviewed with regard to their utility in addressing specific research questions. Some suggestions on simplifying and cutting back the survey are included under specific surveys.
- 2. The self administered survey and the food diary for  $4^{\text{\tiny th}}$   $6^{\text{\tiny th}}$ graders included in the package seem quite advanced and beyond the reading and comprehension levels of many 4th and 5th grade students. This will make data erroneous for large portions of the respondents. Suggestions for alternate measures are provided under specific surveys.
- 3. Given the current debate over the role of healthy fruit and vegetable consumption vs the role of energy dense food consumption to maintain energy balance, and the fact that the legislation in place specifically requires that the program be evaluated with regard to its effect on consumption of other foods, consider adding questions on energy dense foods in children's survey.
- 4. Respondents for SFA's and School Food Managers may be the same individual in many small to medium school districts.

### Specific Comments:

### State Child Nutrition Agency

- 1. Given that the elementary schools can be different combinations of grades (k-4, k-6, k-8 etc), it would be good to know the grade levels in schools selected in the different states. Schools may choose different implementation strategies based on the age of children. This info can be obtained here, from the principal or
- 2. Question 5, 7 need to define what does satisfactory school wellness policy mean - is it the presence of a policy? Level of implementation? Or some type of scoring?
- 3. Check options for Question 9 one date and month option for 09-10 but open date and month for 10-11?
- 4. In question 12 give examples for options like Implementation plans, nutrition education (may want to include things like number of hours and frequency, partnerships)

  5. Question 16 might consider adding promotional materials and
- education materials as options

### SFA Survey

2. In large school districts, SFA would have to consult with individual schools to answer some of these questions - it might be helpful to acknowledge that upfront. It also makes the time for administration longer than what is specified in the OMB package.

- 3. This is a large module and the response burden would be high. Also, for a number of questions, it is unlikely that the SFA would have the level of detail for individual schools that is being asked (see comments below). Might consider adding a don't know option.
- 4. F2 as it reads now, you will not know if any changes took place in the prior years especially for schools that have had the program for a few years?
- 5. For Q M1 please check if the schools are used to reporting average number of meals served per day or total number of meals per month - it would make it easier for them to report along the same lines for this survey. It is our experience that these individuals report average meals per day. If so, then the burden of calculating the total meals falls to the respondent-which is contrary to the principles behind paperwork reduction, and also will greatly increase the probability of an erroneous answer! For an on-line survey it should be exceedingly easy to ask the respondent how they usually report this information - then present a skip out to the format that they generally employdaily average or monthly total. Knowing the number of school days in the month, <u>let the computer calculate</u> the total, for those individuals that report a daily average. These and similar issues are so important to the accuracy and completeness of survey responses - it is very surprising that Abt did not address this given the size of the firm and their assumed experience. We realize they cannot pilot test the instruments, but really, given the experience to date in surveying school administrators, this is worrisome.
- 6. Questions M2, M2b, M2d, M2e If the SFA is reporting for all schools in the FFVP, the changes are likely to vary from school to school for example changes in 3-8grade schools may be quite different from changes in k-3 schools etc. Asking for each school may be quite cumbersome but you will not get useful information by lumping all the schools together. Again, a skip out pattern could be used—specify each of the schools in the sample, then query the SFA as to whether changes are similar for next school in the list. If so, they can skip out to the next named school—if not, they can fill in the necessary information. This reduces response burden in a way that is consistent with web survey, but minimizes useless error.
- 7. It would be good to cut down on the number of items asked in q M2e not sure how useful is the bread stick category, I would also consider consolidating all types of cookies and frozen desserts the low-fat options are still loaded with sugar.
- 8. Q M2e separate soda pop and fruit drinks as categories
- 9. M3 SFA may not know of all the USDA programs the school has participated in for example, Extension staff often make contact with the school principals to set up classes for SNAP ed or EFNEP and the SFA is often not aware of it. It may be better to limit to types of USDA activities that the SFA is promoting in these schools.
- 10. M5 if the school is getting the snack from the parents, food bank or a local store donation, the SFA may not be aware of it. Given the limited staffing in most SFA's, I would be surprised if they can accurately give you details on freq and timing for snacks that are not coming through them. It would be helpful if you split this question and ask the details only for those snacks that are provided through SFA and just ask about the

- SFA's awareness of other types of snacks that may be offered to children.
- S2 this is a time consuming question and I am not sure 11. about the value of asking this question, is it addressing a specific research question? Asking for so much information might jeopardize response rate or provide unreliable data. for implementation why not just ask - i. distribution method (by grade level), ii. times of day when offered, and iii. common FV offered.
- S4 not sure if Very Poor quality should be worded differently otherwise you may not get many people checking that category for the SFA survey.

### School Foodservice Manger

- 1. In some schools the person filling out the SFA form may be the same as the one filling this one. This is of concern for response burden.
- 2. Page 9 may want to replace the word serving with portion to avoid confusion with USDA servings.
- 3. P 11 consider adding "I think students eat less (or more) vegetables at lunch time since FVPP started " and "I think students eat less (or more) fruit since the FVPP started".

### School Principal

- 1. Principals may need to consult with other staff to answer these accurately - may be good to say that upfront so they are prepared. OR give don't know as an option.

  2. Do you want to know if the district / school wellness policy is
- in place and being implemented? Either here or in SFA or both?
- 3. Would they count PSA's and interactive displays as nutrition education activities?
- 4. For N1 have to say nutrition education or promotion activities occurred at SCHOOL during the week for at least some classes ...
- 5. Move the statement "If you do not have access to this information check here to before the table.
- 6. Ref period in N1a different from reference period in N4.
- 7. Questions like N2c, may need a "don't know" option. Other options of interest may be Choose healthy beverages, choose healthy snacks
- 8. Q N2e Add Students, Volunteers as options
- 9. Q N3a, N4a add options as for N2c
- Q N6 Change first column heading to Type of occasion / venue. Add another column in the table for "No food offered at this venue/occasion"
- Q C2 clarify the difference between school food service run and school run operations. Suggest following wording: Compared to the 2007-2008 school year, would you say your school now serves more, less, or about the same amount of the following types of foods in school-operated venues - those that are not run by school food service?
- Q C2 separate soda pop and fruit drink categories; for skim and 1% milk address if it includes flavored milk
- QC2 the distinction between the first and last column headings is not clear
- QC2 recommend consolidating all types of cookies, chips, and ice-creams - low fat versions can still be high in calories and sugar
- QF2 may consider adding Farm to school as a partnership?

16. O - consider adding "I think students eat less (or more) vegetables at lunch time since FVPP started" and "I think students eat less (or more) fruit since the FVPP started".

### Teacher survey

1. consider adding "I think students eat less (or more) vegetables at lunch time since FVPP started" and "I think students eat less (or more) fruit since the FVPP started".

### Food Record

- 1. This record would be helpful in obtaining 24 hour recall from young children, however, the format; the description guide; and the volumetric and size assessment visuals seem very advanced for 4th and 5th graders level of comprehension and reading abilities. Use of fractions and decimals will also be beyond many  $4^{th}$  and  $5^{th}$ graders.
- 2. The researchers may want to look at the methodology used for SNDA III studies http://www.fns.usda.gov/ora/MENU/Published/CNP/FILES/SNDAIII-Instruments.pdf

### Self Administered Student Questionnaire

- 1. Many of the questions in this instrument seem much more complex and advanced than the comprehension and reading level of many  $4^{\rm th}$ and 5<sup>th</sup> graders. These include Hispanic and Race questions, NCI Fruit and Vegetable screener; complex format for questions 12, 13d (skip patterns).
- 2. Will these questions ever be read to the children reading comprehension in some schools may be a challenge.
- 3. Recommend looking at the SPAN survey validated for 4<sup>th</sup> graders and also includes questions on energy dense foods http://www.sph.uth.tmc.edu/catch/catch\_em/4th%20SPAN%20Eng%20v8.p
- 4. Q 13 b would be interesting to add "I do not like the fruits and vegetables that are offered " and "I do not like how the school offers fruits and vegetables, for example, are they cut up, whole, or in a bag, etc"
- 5. A four point scale may be more than children can discern on a three point scale may be more appropriate.
- 6. This instrument needs questions that will assess dietary changes related to consumption and preferences for less nutritious, energy dense foods. See questions in SPAN survey above.

### School Food Environment Assessment

- 1. Section A, Q 1 Instructions need to include the possibility that the students may already in the classroom and FV may arrive
- 2. Q 13 need to define the three options for staff attire is it cleanliness or creativity or both
- 3. Q 15, 16, 18 for good inter-rater reliability define the categories clearly - what is meant by most, some, little?
  4. Section B - similar comments as in Section A.
- 5. For vegetables served at school lunch will fresh include salad, cut up, steamed, stir fried? etc.
- 6. Page 9 Q 17 typo replace fruit with vegetable.

- 7. Page 10 Q D1. For ease of data entry draw a line from column location to column number
- 8. Page 10 Q2 Juice (50%) should be listed as Juice Drink . Not sure of the Water or sparkling water with juice category isn't that same as 50% juice drink or is that something schools make and sell?
- 9. Consolidate low fat and regular cakes etc.

### Parent

- 1. Q 6 add option "did not apply"
- 2. Q8 will not allow making a distinction between USDA lunches and a-la-carte or other competitive source lunches.



Office of Research and Analysis 3101 Park Center Drive, 10<sup>TH</sup> Floor Alexandria, VA 22302 (703) 305-2017 (PHONE) (703) 305-2576 (FAX)

### **Food and Nutrition Service**

### Fax

Phone:	Date:	17/09
Re: FFVP CONKE		
from Lovelei,	sisogra	
Urgent 🗆 For Review	☐ Please Comment ☐ Ple	ase Reply

• Comments:

### STATE CHILD NUTRITION AGENCY SURVEY

### Selection of FFVP schools

The following questions are about the application and selection of schools to participate in the FFVP in the 2009-2010 school year.

- How did your agency solicit applications from school food authorities (SFAs) for their schools to participate in the FFVP for the 2009-2010 school year? Please check all that apply:
  - Announcement or requests for applications on website
  - O E-mail or electronic newsletter announcement to all SFAs
  - 0 Letter of invitation by mail to all SFAs
  - Application materials by mail to all SFAs
  - O Invitation or outreach by e-mail, telephone, or mail to SFAs identified by the State as likely to be selected for the FFVP
  - O Application materials provided only to SFAs identified by the State as likely to be selected for the FFVP
  - Meeting where SFAs could learn about FFVP and get application materials

	0	Other (specify)
2		On what date was the availability of applications for the ET/VP announced?
		Announcement date://
3		On what date were applications for the FFVP due?
		Application due date: / /

- 4 How did SFAs apply for their schools to participate in the FFVP? Please check all that apply:
  - Paper application
  - On-line application
- What were the minimum requirements for participating in the PFVP? Please check all 5 that apply and fill in blanks as appropriate.
  - Minimum percentage of students approved for free/reduced-price meals Specify minimum percentage: \_\_\_\_\_%
  - Minimum number of days per week/month for FFVP to be offered
    - Specify minimum number of days \_\_\_\_ per week/month (circle one)
  - Minimum number of hours of nutrition education per week/month for each grade Specify minimum number of hours of nutrition education \_\_\_\_ Per week/month (circle one)
  - Minimum number of partners Specify minimum number of partners:
    - Minimum percentage of FFVP budget provided by partners, in cash or in-kind
  - Specify minimum percentage of FFVP budget provided by partners: \_\_\_\_%

Add Questins

Please indicate whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disag strongly disagree with the following statements.

Strongly

agree

Agree

Neither

disagree

agree

4	J. Less schools
ee nor disag	appriled on the FFUP
Disagree !	Thun The SA Expected
	Do All eligible
	Availaborty of
	i Jahre SA Scotistied
	Applications Technic

### State FFVP guidance and oversight В

- In which of the following areas did your agency establish State-specific policies and 12. recommended practices for the FFVP, in addition to those established by FNS?
  - Implementation plans

applications for qualified schools to use the SY2010-2011 funds

a. The application process was easy

b. All SFAs were well-informed about the application process c. SFAs had sufficient time to

d. The State Agency (SA) had to relax the minimum requirements for FFVP schools in order to allocate all

c. The SA had to increase the minimum requirements for FFVP schools in order to limit the number of schools qualified for the FPVP f. More schools applied for the FFVP than the SA expected g. The SA expects enough

for SFAs to complete

prepare applications

available funds

allocation

- Partnerships
- Farm-to-cafeteria projects
- Purchasing cooperatives
- Promoting the IFVP to students and parents
- Selecting and purchasing fruits and vegetables
- Serving fruits and vegetables (distribution methods, time of day, portion sizes)
- O Role of teachers in FFVP
- 0 Food safety
- Nutrition education and promotion in connection with the FFVP
- Performance and expenditure reporting
- None of the above only use FNS policies and recommended practices [SKIP

g offenng FFIP. temus/wk.

Apt Associates, Inc.

State CN Agency Survey -- Dratt 8/14/09

12a. Please provide a copy of your State's policies or a link to the web page where they are available

- Hard copy submitted by mail (use reply envelope provided with your survey invitation)
- Electronic copy submitted by e-mail to [STUDY ADDRESS]
- URL for policies: http://www.medical.com
- 13. Did your State provide suggested nutrition education curricula or materials for use in conjunction with the FFVP?
  - Yes
  - 0 No [SKIP TO 14]

Which of the following topics were included in these nutrition education 13a. curricula or materials? (Check all that apply)

- Role of fresh fruits and vegetables in a complete diet
- Where fresh fruits and vegetables come from, links to local farms
- O Trying new foods, variety
- O Healthy and less healthy snacks
- 0 Cooking with fresh fruits and vegetables
- O Healthy weight and overweight
- Physical activity

What audiences were targeted by these nutrition education 13b. curricula or materials? (Check all that apply)

- Pre-school and kindergarten
- Grades 1-3
- Grades 4-7
- Older children
- O Parents

What monitoring and technical assistance activities for the FFVP have you conducted in the last 12 months? (Check all that apply)

- In person training or conference
- 3 Web/conference-call training
- Periodic web meetings/conference calls
- Scheduled site visits
- Unannounced site visits
- Help line/assistance on-call from State agency
- Help line/assistance on-call from partners
- Review of financial records supporting claims

Abt Associates, Inc.

State CN Agency Survey | Draft 8/14/09

feed back to sources to improve Implementation

Grace Setting torracn# of to sv9; recommended by

C. Non-Federal partnerships

15. The FFVP encourages development of partnerships with non-Federal entities. Does your State Agency have any partners for the FFVP?

- ) Yes
- ♦ No (SKIP TO 16)

15a. Please check all types of partners that work with your State Agency to carry out the FFVP.

Produce for Better Health

Healthcare providers, including hospitals and clinics; doctors, nurses, nutritionists, dieticians/dietetic interns, or other clinicians/practitioners

Community Health Agencies

City, County, State, or Tribal government agency (e.g. health departments, agriculture departments, etc.)

Cooperative Extension Service

: Grocers and stores, farmers' markets, or other feed distributors

Vocational clubs

Produce associations/commodity groups

Nutrition trade associations (e.g. American Dien Associations)

Health associations (e.g. State or National atfilial, or Heart Associations)

Universities, colleges, or other higher education Community action agency, food bank, or other c

Other (specify):

fundial full picture

Sind/oxfend. Sind/oxfend.

- and of the
- 18. Which of the following types of information does your Agency collect on a monthly basis from FFVP schools?
  - Number of days that FFVP foods were offered
  - Number of days that nutrition education was offered as part of FFVP
  - Number of students with access to FFVP
  - Operating cost broken down between food, labor, and supplies
  - 0 Breakdown of food cost by category (fruits, vegetables)
  - Food purchase cost detail by item or category (e.g., total spent on apple etc.)
  - Quantity purchased for each food item
  - O Unit size (as purchased) and price for each food item
  - Detail of operating cost for labor
  - Detail of operating cost for supplies
  - Administrative cost broken down between labor, equipment, and other
  - Detail of administrative cost for labor
  - Detail of administrative cost for equipment
  - Detail of other administrative cost
  - Narrative of nutrition education provided as part of FFVP
  - Narrative of FFVP promotion activities
  - Narrative of issues or challenges
  - Narrative of goals met or accomplishments
  - Plans or goals for next month(s).
    - 18a. Please provide a copy of your State's monthly claim form and ir to the web page where they are available
    - Hard copy submitted by mail (use reply envelope provided with y invitation)
    - Electronic copy submitted by e-mail to [STUDY ADDRESS]
    - URL for claim form and instructions; http://
- 19. What is the maximum amount of FFVP grant funds that your State could 1, 2009 and June 30, 2010 for State administration, based on the FNS rules?
  - \$\_\_\_\_\_ limit for July 1, 2009-June 30, 2010
  - 19a. What does this figure represent?
    - ♦ 5% of the grant
    - Salary alone for full-time coordinator (SKIP TO 19c)
    - Salary plus fringe benefits for full-time coordinator.



F4. Please enter the requested FFVP application statistics for period specified above.

Counts of schools	
a. Number of schools submitting	
applications	
b. Number of schools applying for FFVP	
and meeting minimum Federal and State	
requirements	
c. Number of schools approved for FFVP	
d. Number of schools approved for FFVP	
that withdrew before starting FFVP	
operations	
Enrollment data	
e Total enrollment in schools applying for	
FFVP	
f. Total enrollment in schools applying for	
FFVP and meeting minimum Federal and	
State requirements	
g. Total enrollment in schools approved	
for FFVP	
h. Smallest percentage of students	
approved for free/reduced-price in schools	
approved for FFVP	
Counts of SFAs	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
i Number of SFAs with at least one school	
submitting an application	
k. Number of SFAs with one school	1
approved for PFVP	ļ —
I. Number of SIAs with more than one	
school approved for FFVP	<u> </u>

F4 Des The apply
to corpsications to 7
School Officer 10-11

Abi Associates, Inc

15. Please indicate whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with the following statements regarding the FFVP application process for SY 2010-2011.

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	
a. The application process was easy			disagree		_	
for SFAs to complete		L				
b All SFAs were well-informed						
about the application process					Add	
c. SFAs had sufficient time to				ے _	. / (0-0)	
prepare applications			l		SINC	$(\sqrt{3})$
d. The State Agency (SA) had to					/ ( -	seted
relax the minimum requirements for				_		$+\epsilon d$
FFVP schools in order to allocate all				$- \omega$	(LOIGH)	sereo
available funds					1 1	-
e. The SA had to increase the					(	
minimum requirements for FFVP					leva	12
schools in order to limit the number				•	-	
of schools qualified for the FFVP						
f. More schools applied for the	1 1					
FIVP than the SA expected						
g. The SA expects enough						
applications for qualified schools to						
use the SY2010-2011 funds	1 1					
allocation						

### [THIS QUESTION ONLY FOR THE 16 STATES WITH SAMPLE SCI

F6. Please provide copies of the FFVP claims for July 2009 through J following schools:

[LIST ALL FFVP SCHOOLS IN SAMPLE]

We prefer to receive these data in electronic form, or in a computer printe claims include information that is not in the electronic system, such as na need copies of the actual claims. You can provide electronic or paper cop

Electronic data may be sent by c-mail to [STUDY EMAIL ADDRESS], data by secure file transfer, send a request to the study staff by c-mail.

Paper copies of reports or claim forms may be submitted in the prepaid FedEx envelope provided to you.

is of iest



School Food Environment Assessment

FFVP School Food Environment Draft 8/13/2009

Date:	Time:	Observer Initials:	School ID#:	

Date:	1 me:	_ Observer (initials	s: sen	001117#:
i Frey fr	Engravivip Visica	TABLE PROGRAM	(GFVP)	
1. Before the stude	nts arrive, photogr	aph: Diccan	VARY-DOR.	d to Clarita
Countri	3 not in Ca	july 10 put	in BINST	(including the eating area)
2. How is FFVP se	rved:	,	,	
[] Classroom	(describe method of d	elivery		)
[] Cafeteria	(describe method of s	crvice		
Other	(specify all that apply			
	[ Kiosk	☐ Vending machine	Hallway	Office
	School store	Food cart	Snack bar	Other:
3. Service lines:	Not applicable,	OR		
Line length (Apr	prox. number or student	s in longest lines)		
		Progressing steadily	Progressine slowly	☐ Not hardly moving
	••			
4. Number of point	s of service: [] No	t applicable, <i>OR</i>		
Onc 7	wo Three	Four	Five	
5. Overall serving	/eating environme	nt:		
[] Exceptional	Pleasant (clean, inviting)		otable (clean,	Unpleasant (dirty, dingy, or in need of maintenance)
6. Nutrition prom	otion materials/ed	ucation present? (check	all that apply)	700/115
[7] Nutrition poster	rs #: [	Taste testino		ff providing education
Nutrition displa		☐ Staff encouraging studer		ne of the above
	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Pachuk 5		ic of the above
7. Record any other	r observations abou	t the serving or eating e	nvironment(s).	
r		<u> </u>		
· Pa	112 1110	1/124 - 1	a los	1. Alony
7			Toll Min	C -/ 60 C 7 34)7
!Xp <del>ol</del>	VILINCES H	5Aude 13	·	/
,	$\mathcal{O}$			

mer each fruit/vegetable in the table below: (NOTE: juice cannot be served as part of FFVP; if fruit/re such as fruit kabobs, write item on one line and components on following lines)

List	t each fruit/vegetable	Whole/Sliced/Halved/Peeled/ Sectioned/Mashed/Pureed	Mode of Presentation/Packaging (i.e. plates/utensils, pre-packaged)	Appea +,0,-
		<u>.                                    </u>		
		-		
			7,	
î î î	WITH A "+" ANY OI	ETHE ABOVE ITEMS THAT A	ARE UNUSUALLY APPEALING, WITH A "	21 A NV ITI
. (	bruised, or over-ripe)	_	therwise in good condition? (not wilted, br	
	Most or all	Some	Almost none or none Not serv	/ed 
٥.	Do the fresh vege brown, bruised, or over	tables look fresh, crisp, ripe er-ripe)	and otherwise in good condition? (not wi	ilted,
	Most or all	Some	Almost none or none Not serv	ved
١.	Is any other type o	f food or condiment served	with the FFVP?	
	□ No	Dip (Describe:	Other (Describe:	
			)	
2.	Record any other of	observations about the <b>fruits</b>	or vegetables served.	
— - 3,	Describe the overs	all staff attire:		
	Exceptional	☐ Nothing notable (plain and clean)	Some areas of concern	

		nal (interact enough to j	eractive, encouraging) process their meal)	्राच्या १८०० च्या १८ इ.स.च्या १८०० च्या १
		patient or negative with	students	
<u> </u>	Unable to obs	· ——.		
		eating the fruit(s) that	they take?	
		Some	Little	□ None
l6. A	re the students e	ating the vegetable(s)	that they take?	
	Most —— —	Some	Little	None None
		ut equally popular		
	One or some m	ore frequently sclected		_, &
. Co.	One or some m  List:  mpared to how n  Most	ore frequently sclected	s available, how much	was taken/distributed?
. Co.	One or some m  List:  mpared to how n  Most	ore frequently scleeted  nuch fruit/vegetable wa  Some c current FFVP menu.	s available, how much v	was taken/distributed?
Picl	One or some m  List: mpared to how m  Most k up a copy of th substitutions. No difference	ore frequently scleeted  nuch fruit/vegetable wa  Some c current FFVP menu.	s available, how much with the Little  Note any differences with the local money.	was taken/distributed?  None ith actual foods served and
. Co. Piclany	One or some m  List: mpared to how m  Most k up a copy of th substitutions. No difference Different fruit of	ore frequently scleeted  nuch fruit/vegetable wa  Some c current FFVP menu.  Mekki (	s available, how much we be any differences we have	was taken/distributed?  None ith actual foods served and
Picl any	One or some m  List: mpared to how m  Most k up a copy of th substitutions. No difference Different fruit of	ore frequently scleeted  nuch fruit/vegetable wa  Some c current FFVP menu.  Mekki (	s available, how much we Little  Note any differences we consider the constitution:	was taken/distributed?  None ith actual foods served and



FFVP School Fdsvc Mgr Interview Draft 8/13/2009

### School Foodservice Manager Interview

D	ate:	Interviewer Initials:	School ID#:
to in of m	help us when we are describing terview should take about 15-, fine lunch, breakfast and if intended for yesterday/today/tomo	estions about the foods at your school. Ing the foods recorded by students on the 20 minutes to complete. Before we begindervention school, fresh fruit and vegeta rrow?	eir food diaries. This n, can I first get a copy
A.	About Interviewee		
			menu
1.	What is your current position	on? □₁ School foodservice manager □₂ District foodservice manager □₃ Other	minus on All months
2.	About how long have you be	een at this position at this school?	years

Fresh Fruit and Vegetable	le Program (Intervention	Schools Only)
Your school provides fresh fruits armeals. I would like to ask you some	nd vegetables to students as fr e questions specifically about to	Part 1/2   Le FF   Form school his program.
1. Reference FFVP day: (corresp	onding to student food diary)	☐₁ Today ☐₂ Yesterday
2. What is the typical length of e	each daily FFVP period? (ma	rk N/A if not offered other periods)
a minutes fo		ved:
b minutes for		ved:
c minutes fo		ved:
d minutes fo		/ed:
3. What is the maximum and mi	inimum number of servings (	of fruit a student can take a part of
	1 <del></del>	
a. Maximum # of serving. ☐₁ One ☐₂ Two ☐₃ Unlimited	□ <sub>1</sub> Z □ <sub>2</sub> C □ <sub>3</sub> T	# of servings: Lero in paper One bowls
4. What are the maximum and m as part of FFVP?		
a. Maximum # of servings 1 One	b. Minimum □₁ Z □₂ C □₃ T	# of servings: in packages ero in paper bowls wo
Mostryten de M Students Jo	livered to cla sicre up in l	usroom UAG to

will read a series of statements about your school's fresh fruit and vegetable program. For each ment, decide if you agree or disagree and then whether you strongly or somewhat agree or agree. There are no right or wrong answers.

		Agree Strongly	Agree Somewhat	Disagree Somewhat	Disagree Strongly
5.	Students like to eat the fresh fruit snacks.				
6.	Students like to eat the fresh vegetable snacks.	1		Пз	
7.	I wish <b>more students</b> took the fresh <b>fruit</b> snacks.	1	2	Пз	″ □ 4
8.	I wish <b>more students</b> took the fresh <b>vegetable</b> snacks.	1	2	Эз	<u> </u>
9.	Students eat most of the fresh fruit they take.			Зз	
10.	Students eat most of the fresh <b>vegetables</b> they take.			<u> </u>	□4
al 1. Yay		1	2	<u></u>	<b>□</b> 4
12.	I think that students eat fewer unhealthy snacks at school on days when fruits and vegetables are offered as a free snack at school.	_1	2	□3	4
13.	The fresh fruit and vegetable snacks should be offered more days during the week.	1		Пз	□4
14.	The fresh fruit and vegetable snacks should be offered more times each day.	<u></u> 1		<u></u> 3	□₄
15.	We sometimes <b>run out</b> of fresh fruit or vegetable snacks and have to turn kids away.	[]1		3.3	4
16.	The fruits in the program are good quality.			Пз	
17.	The <b>vegetables</b> in the program are good quality.	1		3	
18.	I am satisfied with the variety of different kinds of fruits were offered in the program.		□ <sub>2</sub>	Па	4
19.	I am satisfied with the variety of different kinds of vegetables were offered in the program.				
20.	I would like the fresh fruit and vegetable snack program to continue.	1	2	Пз	<u>4</u>
	Overall, my opinion of the fresh fruit and vegetable snack program is favorable.			Пз	
22.	If I could <b>change one thing</b> about the fruit and vegetable snack program it would be:	Write in			

Thenke The Students benefit

From The FFUP. 10 of 11

The Frust Frederits receive who FFUP inly Fre

Has The Cother questions/ CFUP refluenced your your Seive in Souther Har to to the second of the se

bw	I have just a few final questions to ask about you	ur echaalle fr	oob fruit one	1		
23.	Overall, how satisfied are you with the fresh		esn nun and	<u>vegetable</u>	program.	
	fruit and vegetable snack program?	Very	Moderate-	Not very		
	<u> </u>	- ast	12/ ly	' '		
24.	The second of the second secon	1 000-1-1-7		(E) .		
	fresh fruit and vegetable snack program, what	(2000)	Same a	10 W2	12	
	would it be?			70	Τ,	
25.	The same was the same and vegetable program	1		Па	7	
	started at your school, have you changed the	Yes	No	Don't	Ì	
	portion size of fresh fruits and vegetables			know		
<b> -</b>	offered in the program?					
	a) (If yes to above) How has portion size			$\square_3$	۵	
	changed? (check all that apply)	Smaller	Smaller	Larger	Larger	
	,	portions of fruits	portions of veggies	portions of fruits	portions of	1
	b) (If yes to above) Why did you change the		Veggies	3	veggies	┥ .
	portion size?	Student	Price	Other	Other	
		demand	changes in	funding	(Write in)	
26.	Which 3 fresh fruits do students like best in		produce	issues	ļ <u></u>	
	the program? (write in)					
27.	Which 3 fresh <b>fruits</b> students like <b>least</b> in the				1	
	program? (write in)					
28.	Which 3 fresh vegetables students like best			<del></del>	1	
	in the program? (write in)					
<b>2</b> 9.	Which 3 vegetables students like least in the			<del> </del>	-	
	program? (write in)					
30.	During this current school year, has the fresh	Tes 1	No.		_ 1	****
	fruit and vegetable program been promoted			u	hat ASI	ساسان
	by foodservice staff psing ?			+577	sound	ر
	a) posters or displays	1	2 1.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.	U Kel	hat Abr Sound als:	
	b) fliers sent home	<u> </u>	† — <u> </u>	office	als;	
	c) taste tests	·		DRING	ypal	
	d) nutrition education classes/instruction	<del></del>	" 2	7	v Lare	
	e) verbal encouragement when snacks are	<u> </u>	1 —	Lead	7	,
	distributed			NUS	se (	
	f) loudspeaker announcements			, -		
	g) other (write in)	1				
31.	For each strategy marked in 30-36 ask How	Rarely or	A few	Monthly	Weekly.or	
	often during this current school year has	never	times a		nore, if	
	foodservice staff promoted the fresh fruit and		year			
	vegetable program using?					
	a) posters or displays	2111, 21 - 7, -7, 2, 1, 1, 1, 2,		and House and American Tollands		
	b) fliers sent home					
	c) taste tests			3		"
	d) nutrition education classes/instruction	—··		3		-
	e) verbal encouragement when snacks are	·		3	4 4	
	distributed		<i>□x</i>	ن <u>ــ</u> ـان	Ļ4	}
	f) loudspeaker announcements					΄,
	g) other (write in)	<del></del>		<del></del> -	<del></del>	ļ

### SFA SURVEY FOR FFVP EVALUATION (8-14-2009 DRAFT)

doesn't make Sense

### F. General questions about the FFVP

district outside of normal school-provided meals. This part of the survey aske you to provide information and opinions about the general administration and implementation of the FFVR in your district. The Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program (FFVP) provides free fresh fruits and ∮egetables to students in participating schools in your

> Comment [O1]: This module to be administered to FFVP SFAs only.

FL In what school year did your district first participate in the FFVP? -to same

: Before SY2008-2009 : 2008-2009

2009-2010

[SKIP PA?TERN: 1F F1="2009-2010," SKIP F1a AND F2]

current 2009-2010 school year. For each school, please indicate the school year in which that school first participated in the Fia. Below is a list of sampled schools in your district that, according to our records, are participating in the FFVP during the

Schoof N	:	School 2	School	<del> </del> —	School Be
 	<u></u>		. ,	2008-2009	Before 2008-2009
١			::::	•	2009-2010

to Assess VAGLETY - ASK FO

NUM Ed promeeting The Sheet Ad FFAV, Track many of these SFA Stays are they involved in implementation of the ITIP handy/ yearly meals The FAUIP

. :\_

# - whather his mean? Not Clear to me

F2. For those schools in your district that participated in the FFVP prior to the current 2009-2010 school year, have there been any changes in program implementation in the current school year?

School	Fruit and	Number of	Nutrition	Involvement	Variety of	Lota
	vegetable	days FFVP	education	of outside	fruits and	quantity of
	distribution	is offered	and	partners	vegetables	fruits and
	methods		promotion		, offered	vegetables
			activities			served per
						month
School !	iAdd new	: More	: More	: More	:_More	]More
	:Drop	Less	! Less	: Less	Less	Less
	No	. No	1 %0	No	No	No.
	change	change	change	change	change	change
School 2	'Add new	More	i_More	More	More	More
	: Drop	Less	· Less	Less	F.Less	Less
	. <u>N</u> o	70	· No	No	- No	. Yo
	change	change	change	change	change	change
:	Add new	Моте	More	More	More	More
	Drop	Less	Less	Less	Less	Less
	No	No	No	Z,	No.	:_No
	change	change	change	change	change	change
School N	: Add new	∺Моге	More	More	UMore	€More :
	<sup>1</sup> . Drop	Less	Less	Less	□Less	LLess
	. No	:No	_No	No.	::No	L'Nº
	change	change	change	change	change	change

Comment [O2]: School list should be populated with only those schools with FFVP in operation before 2009-2010 as indicated in F1.

## F3. The FFVP encourages development of partnerships with non-Federal entities. Does your district maintain relationships with any This goestin seems to also Include state Soit

outside partners as part of the FFVP? Please include only district-wide partnerships for all FFVP schools, not relationships maintained by individual schools in your district. Mu felus

F3a. Please check all partnerships that apply for your district

- Produce for Better Health
- clinicians Fig. Healthcare providers, including hospitals and clinics; doctors, nurses, nutritionists, dieticians/dietetic interns, or other
- Community Health Agencies
- City, County, State, or Tribal government agency (e.g. health departments, agriculture departments, etc.)
- Cooperative Extension Service
- Local grocers and stores, farmers' markets, or other food distributors
- : Vocational clubs

Produce associations/commodity groups PPHALL Companies (See American Dietetic Association, School Nutrition Associations) Skowus/takners

Universities, colleges, or other higher education institutions Community action agency: food bank, or other community faith-based organization

Health associations (e.g. State or National affiliates of the American Cancer, Diabetes, or Heart Associations)

Other (specify):

Ų

PROVIDED Served

students in schools in your district through the FFYP and the fruits or vegetables you offer through the USDA National School F4a. In a typical week, which of the following statements best describes the relationship of the fresh fruits or vegetables you offer to

Lunch Program? (Please check one statement ogly.)

The specifickfults or vegetables promoted by the FFVP each week are also intentionally served in National School Lunch Program meals in the same week. Dodd of The specifickfults or vegetables promoted by the FFVP each week are intentionally avoided in National School Lunch

Program meals in the same week

through the National School Lunch Program. No attempt is made to coordinate offerings of specific fruits or vegetables promoted by the FFVP each week and offered

students in schools in your district through the FFVP and the fruits or vegetables you offer through the USDA School Breakfast Program? (Please check one statement only.) F4b. In a typical week, which of the following statements best describes the relationship of the fresh fruits or vegetables you offer to Program meals in the same week The specification or vegetables proposed by the FFVP each week are also intentionally served in School Breakfast

through the School Breakfast Program No attempt is made to coordinate offerings of specific fruits or vegetables promoted by the FFVP each week and offered

The specifigativits or vegetables proposted by the FFVP each week are intentionally avoided in School Breakfast Program

a winin the Next ten weeks 

(A

statements. Please indicate whether you strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree, or strongly disagree with the following

The SFA has other schools in The world we to pat in	a. The application process for the FFVP was easy to complete  b. The State Agency provided clear and sufficient information about the application process  c. Our SFA had sufficient time to prepare applications for interested schools  d. The State Agency approved the schools where our SFA wanted to offer the FFVP  e. The school selection process for the FFVP was fair	
wee wee		Strongly agree
tie		Agree
2 P. C. B. B. B. C. B. B. B. C. B. B. B. C. B. B. B. B. C. B. B. B. C. B. B. B. B. C. B.	disagree	Neither agree
332		Disagree
8.		Strongly Disagree

M2. We are interested in hearing about changes in the types of foods offered over the past several years in the following schools. [LIST FFVP SAMPLE SCHOOLS.]

M2a. Compared to the 2007-2008 school year, would you say you now serve more, less, or about the same amount of the following types of foods as part of the School Breakfast Program in the schools we just listed? (Check one response for each food.)

Canned	Dried	Frozen	Fresh	Fruits:	Canned	Did	Frozen	Fresh	Vegetables:	Mon simo
			 		L.	Ţ	C	ľ	,	More of this food since 2007-2008
í					U)	J	L	_i		About the same amount of this type of food
						_ 2		_		Less of this type of food since 2007-2008

for each food.) following types of foods as part of the National School Lunch Program in the schools we just listed? (Check one response M2b. Compared to the 2007-2008 school year, would you say you now serve more, less, or about the same amount of the

Cannec	Dried	Frozen	Fresh	Fruits:	Canned	Died	Frozen	Fresh	Vegerables:			
	-	ſ	ſ		m	<u></u>	Ţ	<u></u>			since 2007-2008	More of this food
	<del>-</del> :	Ð	0		<i></i>					type of food	amount of this	About the same
		_			: 1	,	(·	רי			food since 2007-2008	Less of this type of

M2c. Have you changed the overall variety of fruits and vegetables offered to students in the schools we just listed? In

Program since the 2007-2008 school year? M2c\_i. Have you changed the overall variety of fruits and vegetables served to students through the School Breakfast

□Yes, offer greater variety of fruits and vegetables since 2007-2008 □Yes, offer less variety of fruits and vegetables since 2007-2008 □No, no change in variety of fruits and vegetables since 2007-2008

M2c\_ii. Have you changed the overall variety of fruits and vegetables served to students through the National School Lunch Program since the 2007-2008 school year?

ENo, no change in variety of fruits and vegetables since 2007-2008 EYes, offer greater variety of fruits and vegetables since 2007-2008

following SFA-operated venues have increased, decreased, or stayed about the same? (Please check only one box in each M2d. Compared to the 2007-2008 school year, would you say that sales in the schools we just listed from each of the neach Suggest his

row. Do not include sales from sources not operated by the SFA.)

sales this e sino -2000	Outor Original Advantage	Other SFA - operated venues 3 5 5 2	A la carte foods	Vending machines	2008	now: 2007-	2008 or since   2007-2008	2007- venue   venue since : 2007-2008	venue in from this from this   venue since	from this sales same sales from this	No sales More About the Less sales
----------------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------	------------------	------	------------	---------------------------	---------------------------------------	--	--------------------------------------	------------------------------------

[IF NO SALES IN 2007-2008 OR NOW FROM ANY SOURCES LISTED IN M2d, SKIP TO M3.]

Please include sales from vending machines, a la carte foods, snack bars, and other SFA-operated venues. (Check one response following types of foods in SFA-operated venues outside of USDA school meals programs in the schools we just listed? M2e. Compared to the 2007-2008 school year, would you say you now serve more, less, or about the same amount of the for each food.)

M5. Please indicate which of the following schools regularly offer free snacks to students, other than snacks funded by the USDA Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program. If so, please indicate what time(s) of day, how many days per week these other free snacks are usually offered, what types of foods are usually offered, and what sources provide the funding.

	School 1	School 2	School 3	School 4	School 5	School 6
a. Are free	⊏ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes	□ Yes
snacks offered to	⊐ No (SKIP TO	□ No	□ No	- No	I No	0 % 6
students, other	S()					
Than snacks			_			
funded by the						•• \
USDA FFVP?						
b. To what grade	oPre-	□Pre-	□Pre-	⊐Pre-	: Pre-	Dre-
levels are free	Kindergarten	Kindergarten	Kindergarten	Kindergarten	Kindergarten	Kindergarten
snacks offered?	OKindergarten	⊏Kindergarten	⊏Kindergarten	oKindergarten	⊐Kindergarten	oKinderparten
•	olst grade	⊏lst grade	п í st grade	□1st grade	olst grade	□lst grade
	n2nd grade	⊒2nd grade	⊃2nd grade	□2nd grade	□2nd grade	D2nd grade
	=3rd grade	ವರ್ತಿ grade	, m3rd grade		□3rd grade	D3rd grade
	=411 grade	⊕4th grade	⊇4th gr∡de		04th grade	⊏4th grade
	⊏5ta grade	□5th grade	a5th grade		□5th grade	n5th grade
	⊆6ih grade	o6th grade	o6th grade	o6th grade	o6th grade	1161h grade
	⊡/th grade	□7th grade	07th grade	o7th grade	n7th grade	o7th grade
j.	⊒8th grade	□8th grade	⊔8th grade	□8th grade	ଘ8th grade	o8th grade
c. Time of day	Betore school	□ Before school	□ Before school	<ul> <li>Before school</li> </ul>	Before school	□ Before school
that non-FFVP	D After	□ After	□ After	o After	□ After	n After
free snacks are	breakfast, before	breakfast, before	breaklast, before	breakfast, before	breakfast, before	breakfast, before
offered (Check	lunch	lunch	lunch	lunch	lunch	lunch
all that apply)	a After lunch,	G After lunch,	<ul> <li>After lunch, /</li> </ul>	□ After lunch,	□ After lunch,	After lunch,
	before end of	before end of	before end of	before end of	before end of	before end of
	school	school	school	school	school	school
	□ After school as	□ After school as	□ After school as	☐ After school as	□ After school as	□ After school as
	part of USDA	part of USDA	part of USDA	part of USDA	part of USDA	part of USDA
	program	program	program	program	program	program

Comment [CWL7]: Names of all sample schools will be filled here.

## School-specific questions about the FFVP

[SCHOOL NAME] during the week of [REFERENCE WEEK]. If necessary, you may wish to consult with representatives from This part of the survey asks about distribution of free fresh fruits and vegetables as part of the Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program at [SCHOOL NAME] to help you answer these questions as accurately as possible.

Continent [08]: This module to be subministered to only FPVP SPAs, and will be filled out separately for each

запрів вспові.

part of the FFVP? Please check all distribution methods that apply. St. How were fresh fruits or vegetables distributed to students at [SCHOOL NAME] during the week of [REFERENCE WEEK] as

- ⁻! Kiosks
- ] Inside classrooms
- ☐ Free vending machines☐ School cafeteria
- T Hallway
- Office (nurse, other)□ Snack bar
- ☐ School store
- . · Cart/other mobile method
- Other method I (Please specify:
  Other method 2 (Please specify:

viewed to define Kiosk

[REFERENCE WEEK]. For each fruit or vegetable listed, please rate its overall quality. S4. The list below includes all fruits or vegetables that you reported were distributed in [SCHOOL NAME] during the week of huset land lover describes

Continent [011]: Populate fruit or vegetable list with all fruits & veggées reported in \$2 prid

"Yery high quality	
::Somewhat high quality	
_lAverage quality	
☐Somewhat poor quality	
.: Very poor quality	Vegetable l
Very high quality	
Somewhat high quality	
Average quality	
:Somewhat poor quality	
_iVery poor quality	Fruit N
<sup>1</sup> Very high quality	
_:Somewhat high quality	
<pre>!Average quality</pre>	
iSomewhat poor quality	
⇒ Very poor quality	:
: Very high quality	
Somewhat high quality	
Average quality	
Somewhat poor quality	
○Very poor quality	Fruit 2
Very high quality	
: Somewhat high quality	
TA verage quality	
□Somewhat poor quality	
∃Very poor quality	Fruit 1
Quality	Fruit or vegetable

19

Comment [O1.1]: Populete fruit or vegetable list with all fixits & veggies reported in \$2 grid.

Fruit or vegetable	Quality
Vegetable 2	(TVery poor quality
	☐Somewhat poor quality
	∴Average quality
	☐Somewhat high quality
	□Very high quality
•	□Very poor quality
	L3Somewhat poor quality
	: Average quality
	□Somewhat high quality
	OVery high quality
Vegetable N	©Very poor quality
	☐Somewhat poor quality
	CAverage quality
	☐ Somewhat high quality
	! Very high quality

See previous

[REFERENCE WEEK]. For each fruit or vegetable listed, please rate its popularity with students. S5. The list below includes all fruits or vegetables that you reported were distributed in [SCHOOL NAME] during the week of

Fruit or vegetable	Fruit or vegetable Popularity with students
: Fruit 1	∃Very unpopular
	∃Somewhat unpopular
	☐A verage popularity
	TSomewhat popular
	∃Very popular
Fruit 2	) Very unpopular
	Somewhat unpopular
	CVery popular

Comment [012]: Populare fruit or vegetable fist with all fruits & veggies reported in \$2 grid.

Vegetable N	Vegelable 2	Fruit N	;
Very unpopular     Somewhat unpopular     Average popularity     Somewhat popular     Very popular     TVery unpopular     Somewhat unpopular     Somewhat unpopular     Somewhat popular     Somewhat popular	- very unpopular - Somewhat unpopular  - Average popularity LiSomewhat popular - Very popular - Somewhat unpopular - Average popular - Somewhat popular - Somewhat popular - Somewhat popular	<ul> <li>Very unpopular</li> <li>Somewhat unpopular</li> <li>Average popularity</li> <li>Somewhat popular</li> <li>Very popular</li> </ul>	☐Very unpopular ☐Somewhat unpopular ☐A verage popularity ☐Somewhat popular ☐Very popular

to produce the street of the s

/ offered/Provided

[FOR ANY "COMMON FRUITS AND VEGETABLES" FROM OUR PREPOPULATED LIST, ASK]

S6. The list below includes some common fruits and vegetables that you did not report were distributed in [SCHOOL NAME] during the week of [REFERENCE WEEK]. For each item/listed, please indicate

whether this fruit or vegetable is ever distribu eted as part of the FFVP at [SCHOOL NAME], and;

		•	٠	
Fruit or vegetable		if so, why it was not di	<ul><li>if not, why not?</li></ul>	the state of the state of the
Ever distributed?	\	stributed during [REFE		Demois to A. S. Growing
Ever distributed? Why never distributed?	,	RENCE WEEK]?		to an part of the co
ed? Why not distributed during TREERENCE (Comparison of the Comparison of the Compar	1.10 ( 000000) / 0000 1000 1000 1	· if so, why it was not distributed during [REFERENCE WEEK]? — Kan Roman III. ALIPINALE!		the second second to the second secon
	7	)  -		

	Other reason. (Please specify:	Unpopular with students Other reason. (Please specify:		
	:: Un popular with students	obtain		
	Out of season or otherwise hard to obtain	○Out of season or otherwise hard to		
	Too much work to prepare	○Too much work to prepare		
	Too messy	□Too messy	.:No	
	Too expensive	f-Too expensive	Yes	:
	i lease specify.	□Other reason. (Please specify:		
	Other reason, (blanca marks	OUnpopular with students		
	Unpopular with enidents	obtain		
	- Out of season or otherwise hard to obtain	COut of season or otherwise hard to		
	Too much work to prepare	. Too much work to prepare		
	Too messy	Too messy	%	
	Too expensive	Too expensive	Yes	Fruit 2
			)	
		: Other reason. (Please specify:		
	Other reason. (Please specify:	. Unpopular with students		
ever distributed in tirst column = yes	Unpopular with students	obtain		
Comment [O16]: "Gray out" unless	Out of season or otherwise hard to obtain	_:Out of season or otherwise hard to		
ever distributed in first column = no.	:Too much work to prepare	<ul> <li>Too much work to prepare</li> </ul>		
Comment (015): "Grav ant" unless	:Too messy	Too messy	No DAMO	
grid.	Too expensive	Too expensive	Yes T	Fruit (
vegetable list with all fruits & veggies	WEEK 12		Charles	
Comment [O14]: Populate fruit or	Why not distributed during REFERENCE	Why never distrouted?	-	Fruit or vegetable

would you say this was a typical week for the program? S6. Looking at fresh fruit and vegetable distribution activities in [SCHOOL NAME] during the week of [REFERENCE WEEK],

S6a. If no, how did this week differ? (Check all that apply.) Other (Please specify. Greater amount of fresh fruits and vegetables offered than usual DLesser variety of fresh fruits and vegetables offered than usual □Fresh fruits and vegetables offered fewer days than usual Thesser amount of fresh fruits and vegetables offered than usual DGreater variety of fresh fruits and vegetables offered than usual □Fresh fruits and vegetables offered more days than usual Different school schedule due to holiday or other event

Do Some view FF+V offered his we

Ou usue la idealyred many don't know short eur explu wide VARREAY AVAILABLE Varie added

# Front Think This O should be last

O. Mally, we would like to ask you about your opinions of the Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program. For each statement, please tell us whether you agree or disagree.

					<i>2</i>					
10	ю	, co	7.	ACCES TO		д.	ŧπ	N)	_1	
If I could change one thing about the fruit and vegetable snack program it would be:	Overall, my opinion of the Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program is favorable.	I would like the Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program to continue to be offered in my school district.	I am satisfied with the variety of different kinds of fruit and vegetable snacks offered in my school district.	The fruit and vegetable snacks offered in my school district are good quality.	The fruit and vegetable snacks should be offered more often (for example, on more days or more times during the day).	I think that students eat fewer unhealthy snacks at school on days when fruits and vegetables are offered as a snack at school.	I think that students eat more fruits and vegetables at school on days when they are offered as a snack at school.	I wish more of the students in my school district are the fruit and vegetable snacks offered at school.	The students in my school district like to eat the fruit and vegetable snacks offered at school.	《《···································
[response here]	□. Agree Strongly	Agree Strongly	□, Agree Strongly	ال Agree Strongly	□; Agree Strongh	Agree Strongly	: □, · Agree Strongly ·	□, Agree Strongly	C). Agree Strongly	
	□; Agree Somewhat	Agree Semewhat	□, Agree Somewhat	□; Agree Somewhat	ि। Agroe Somewhat	ြုး Aမူးလ Somewhat	□₂ Aµœe Somewhai	□} Agree Somewhat	□; Agree Sontawhat	
	□! Disagree Somewhal	□, Disagree Somewhat	□, Disagnee Somewhat	□), Disagree SomerAhat	□; Disagree Somewied	□; Disagree Somewhat	Disagree Soinewhat	□₃ Disagnee Somewhat	□; Disagree Somewhat	
	□, Disagree Sirongly	. Disagree Strongly	Disagree Strongly	Disagree Strongly	□4 Disagree Strongiv	Oisagree Strongly	☐₃ Disagree Strongly	Disagree Strongly	Disagree Strongly	
	□ş ⊃om'l know	□, Dom't know	ال. Don't knaw	⊡₃ Don'1 kbaw	⊟ş Dor'ı knew	∐s Doit'i knaw	Dor't know	O₃ Don't know	□; Дъл't know	
	S	peed to clarry					ate a potras	point.	2	

8

This list weeks to be expanded to Rapture Other positive poeg opinions

MATERIALS FOR RETURNING COPIES TO BE SENT WITH LETTER INVITING SFA TO COMPLETE SURVEY] X1. CLAIM REQUEST. (THIS WILL BE ASKED IF STATE DOES NOT HAVE FOOD ITEM DETAIL FOR FFVP CLAIMS.

Please send copies of the FFVP reimbursement claims for [REFERENCE MONTH AND TWO PRIOR MONTHS] for the following schools: [LIST SAMPLE SCHOOLS]. Please include lists of specific foods purchased for the FFVP during these months.

Thank you for completing this survey!

Mu Josephel Guestins How in wheed are you in the turplementation legrent Bobo do Whey of the FFUP in sections in your dustrict?

# SCHOOL PRINCIPALS SURVEY FOR FFVP EVALUATION (8-14-2009 DRAFT)

[INSERT INTRODUCTION ON PURPOSE OF SURVEY, TO BE TAILORED FOR FFVP SCHOOLS, NON-FFVP SCHOOLS]

### Nutrition Education

such as classroom instruction, demonstrations, hands-on learning, special speakers, or showing videos. Do not count here any nutrition education displays, such as posters or banners, or distributing media such as newsletters, etc. occurred at [SCHOOL NAME] during the week of [REFERENCE WEEK]. Nutrition education or promotion activities are events eating choices. Please complete the chart below indicating the days and times when nutrition education or promotion activities N1. Nutrition education and promotion activities may encourage students to eat fresh fruits and vegetables, and to make other healthy

Nutrition

This lead of destail start r will kame Monday luesday Wecnesday Ш  $\Box$ Thursday Ц  $\Box$ Friday Ш u Tudini of Puraucus g. Abrut in buttauco Should whant Dix him S

Comment [CWL1]: Need to before releignesse week for supp. FFVP sample if Q is asked of them



duration for different grade levels, please report the average amount of time for each class. multiple grades checked in N2a\_i, add clarification:] If the nutrition education or promotion activity was of shorter or longer N2b ...about how long was the nutrition education or promotion activity for each class of students? [If "YES" in N2a or

N2c. What message(s) were conveyed by the nutrition education or promotion activity? Please check all that apply. DEat lower fat foods more often DEat more fruits and vegetables Eat a variety of foods

Be physically active

□Other messages. (Please specify:

average minutes per class

same week in any of the following programs? For example, dark green vegetables might be featured in nutrition education and in the lunch menu. Please check all that apply. N2d. Was the nutrition education or promotion activity focused on or intended to promote specific foods served during the

□USDA School Breakfast Program

=USDA National School Lunch Program

**USDA Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program** 

=USDA After-School Snack Program

COther program (specify)

N2e. What types of professionals or volunteers conducted or led the nutrition or promotion activity? Please check all that

nOther (Please specify:

ress than, or about the same as in the 2007-2008 school year? During the 2009-2010 school year, is the average time per week spent on nutrition education in [SCHOOL NAME] more than, OMore than in 2007-2008

□Same as in 2007-2008

uless than as in 2007-2008

Don't know

standards for nutrient content, such as limits on fat, salt, or added sweeteners. Please check a response for each row below. choices when foods are offered to students outside of school meals. Healthy food choices are foods that meet school district or State Please indicate what types of policies your school or school district has (if any) regarding the availability of healthy food

	S. C. S. S. Water and Sinderly W. Lewston
	Foods given to individual students
	provided by a Federal, State, or district
	hours (parties, etc), not including snacks
	Foods offered free to students during school
·. i	- ovus suid before/after school
	school (fund-raisers, festivals, etc.)
	Foods sold on special occasions during
	store, etc.)
	meals (snack bar, vending machines, school
. 1	roods sold on regular basis outside of school
at my school	
Not applicable	13 be of occasion

For limiting Me what stars music stars

offered in the school? Does your school have an advisory/policy group of parents or community members who provide input on the types of foods

O Yes

No (SKIP TO CI)

polling about Wellness policies

group have input on? Please check all that apply. Which of the following types of meals, snacks, and other food offerings does this parent/community advisory/policy

National School Lunch Program School Breakfast Program

Snacks for after-school program Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program

Comment [CWL2]: to be greyed out in non-FFVP schools

Sales of foods outside of the above Other snacks provided by school

Chaustine and Chaustin

Other foods offered to students during school

Other foods offered to students before/after school, on school grounds

### Competitive foods module

school have increased, decreased, or stayed about the same? (Please do not include sales for venues operated by your district School C1. Compared to the 2007-2008 school year, would you say that sales of foods from each of the following venues operated by your Food Authority.) Please check an answer in each row.

_	Vending machines  A la carte foods  Snack bar  Other school-operated venues  Total food sales from school-	No sates from this venue in 2007-2008 or now	More sales from this venue since 2007-2008	About the same sales from this venue since 2007-2008	Less sales from this venue sing 2007-2000	)% rce 's
venue in from this from this 2007- venue venue since 2008 or since 2007-2008 now 2007- 2008 :		from this	sales	same sales	from this	
2007- venue venue since 2008 or since 2007-2008 now 2007- 2008		venue in	from this	from this	venue since	'n
2008 or since now 2007- 2008 : [		2007-	уепце.	venue since	2007-2008	دبي
лож		2008 or	since	2007-2008		
		мол	2007-			
			2008			
			")		ij	
					-	
			٠,١		r,r	
	venues	<del></del> .				
	school-		-		ru.	

will that know

Levely debail

# ${}_{\!\scriptscriptstyle S}$ SALES IN 2007-2008 OR NOW FROM ANY SOURCES LISTED, SKIP TO NEXT MODULE.J

sone who oversees these venues to answer this question. (Check one response for each food.) be. Please include sales from vending machines, snack bars, and other school-operated venues. You may need to consult with impared to the 2007-2008 school year, would you say your school now serves more, less, or about the same amount of the ing types of foods in school-operated venues? School-operated venues exclude those that are operated by the school food

Vegetables with low-fat dip	Leffuce, vegetable, or bean salads	Low-fat or nonfat yogurt	Cookies, crackers, cakes, pastries, or other baked goods that are not low in fat	Low-fat cookies, crackers, cakes, pastries, or other low-fat baked goods	Bread sticks, rolls, bagels, pita bread, or other bread products	Fruit	1% or skim milk	Whole or 2% fat milk	Bottled water	Sports drinks, such as Gatorade®	Soda pop or fruit drinks that are not 100% juice	100% fruit juice or 100% vegetable juice				Model	Food category
¬		   		;- 			, , 	:	_ ,		ריו	יכ	won	2007- 2008 or	offered in	not	This food
 			,	:					5)		' 1	- 11		2007- 2008	since	this food	More of
	,		,						i.J	ה	, 1	ا!	, c	this type of	amount of	same	About the
-		٠, ٦							<u>.</u>					2008	since 2007-	type of food	Less of this
,				د- ا			.	1	: ا د:	:7		(L)	``	2007-2008	food after	offering this	Stopped

hubat About A la Coute Unas

Hist of Manyyears

FFVP raodule

with any outside partners as part of the FFVP? Do not include district-wide partnerships. F2. The FFVP encourages development of partnerships with non-Federal entities. Does your school on its own maintain relationships

Delay be de corporate particulary

F2a. Please check all partnerships that apply for your school.

i Produce for Better Health Health Health Health Healthcare providers, including hospitals and clinics; doctors, nurses, nutritionists, dieticians/dietetic interns, or other

City, County, State, or Tribal government agency (e.g. health departments, agriculture departments, etc.)

Local grocers and stores, farmers' markets, or other food distributors

Produce associations/commodity groups / publice Cimponics / Moules / American Dieletic Association, School Nutrition Associations) Health associations (e.g. State or National affiliates of the American Cancer, Diabetes, or Heart Associations)

Universities, colleges, or other higher education institutions Community action agency, food bank, or other community/faith-based organization

Samo additions

of the Fresh Fruit and Ven

O. Finally, we would like to ask you about your opinions of the Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program. For each statement, please tell us whether you agree or disagree.

10	Ą	В.	7	6	(5)	<b>)</b>	ယ္	2	, <b>.</b> '	
If I could change one thing about the fruit and vegetable snack program it would be:	Overall, my opinion of the Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program is favorable.	I would like the Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program to continue to be offered at my school.	I am satisfied with the variety of different kinds of fruit and vegetable snacks offered at my school	The fruit and vegetable snacks offered at my school are good quality.	The fruit and vegetable snacks should be offered more often (for example, on more days or more times during the day).	I think that students eat fewer unhealthy snacks at school on days when fruits and vegetables are offered as a snack at school.	I think that students eat more fruits and vegetables at school on days when they are offered as a snack at school.	I wish more of the students in my school ate the fruit and vegetable snacks offered at school.	The students in my school like to eat the fruit and vegetable snacks offered at school.	
[respo:	Agree S	Agree S	Agree Strongly	 Ayree Strongly	□i Agree Strongly	C)։ Դугое Strongly	□, Agree Strongly	□1 Agree Strongly	□। Agræ Strengly	
Set Sec	1101X	, 5 3	Tyrae Soraewist	□₂ Agree Somewhai	□₂ Agree Somewhat	□₂ Agree Somæwhat	□: Agree Semewhat	П; Адрее Somewkal	्रा Agree Somewhat	
		<i>`</i> ∫	□. Disagree Semencial	Disagree Somewhat	Disagree Somewhat	□) Disagree Somewhal	□; Disagree Somewhat	□, D;sagree Sortewhat	□ı Disagree Somewhat	
	} } }	· ''	ြု Disageree Strangly	□l Disagmee Strongly	□, Disagnec Strong/y	□1 Disagree Strongly	O <sub>4</sub> Disagree Strongly	Disagree Strongly	Disagree Strongly	
{	7. 16	_ .;;	C) Demitknew	յ ⊃ <u>ա</u> լ կոնա	□₃ Don'i knaw	Don't knan	🛈 չ Dun't know	Den'i know	Doi: a know	

offer should

Add questiis and benefly my students and benefly tourist teed bush spots the bush spots

### **NASS Comments**

OMB Docket for the Food and Nutrition Service: Evaluation of the Fresh Fruit and Vegetables Program

The OMB package for the Food and Nutrition Service's evaluation of the Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program (FFVP) was prepared by Abt Associates, who designed and will also administer the multiple surveys comprising the complex evaluation. The package is comprehensive, and generally well-presented, but there is a notable omission: no questionnaire was included for any of the component surveys; appendices C through I are blank. Although Appendix A contains a helpful overview of the planned data collection, outlining outcome measures and planned analyses (Exhibit A-2), we could not specifically review question sets for the Survey of State Child Nutrition Agencies (C), the Survey of School Food Authorities (D), the Survey of School Principals (E), the School Food Environment Assessment (F), the School Food Service Manager Interview (G), the Teacher Survey (H), or the Student Self-Administered Questionnaire (I). Within the text of the document, item A.8 understandably contains blanks (since the Federal Register's announcement of the impending evaluation had not appeared at the time the version of the docket sent to us was completed).

The surveys constituting the FFVP evaluation fall into two groups: those targeting the impact of the program on the participating schools and their students (impact study), and those focusing on the implementation of the program (implementation study). The main feature of the impact study is a survey based on a regression discontinuity design covering elementary schools in 16 states (with selection of thirteen states by region--two from the Northeast, three from the Midwest, six from the South, and two from the West-- based on PPS sampling where the measure of size is the number of elementary school students attending schools where at least 50 percent of the students participate in the National Free or Reduced Lunch Program (FRLP), and including California, Florida, and Texas as certainty states). Within the selected states, sample elementary schools are selected by a PPS scheme, some slightly above their state's cutoff for the Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program, hence eligible and participating in the program, and some slightly below their state's cut-off, hence not participating in the program. FFVP eligibility criteria vary by state—only elementary schools may participate, and those schools with the highest percentages of low income students for their states have the highest priority for inclusion. The total school sample for all 16 states was designed to contain 128 responding FFVP-participating schools, scoring above the state-specific FFVP cut-offs, and 128 responding schools falling slightly below them (and not participating). Within the selected schools, one classroom will be randomly selected from the fourth, one from the fifth, and one from the sixth grades represented in the school, and within each of the three classrooms selected, ten students will be drawn into a stratified cluster sample, along with their teacher. An 80% response rate is posited, yielding a 24-student sample per school. These students will be trained to complete a 24-hour food diary for one specific day, with the assistance of a caregiver; they will also be interviewed by a survey specialist on their food consumption and nutritional attitudes, on the diary due-date, the day after the diary date. Because FFVP-eligibility scores of the surveyed schools, whether they are program participants or not, are similar within their state, all falling close to the state cut-off, program participation can be considered random within this population, regression equations can be run, and outcomes for the FFVP-participating students may be attributed to their program participation, once allowance is made for demographic and "school-environmental" covariates in the regression equation. SAS Proc SurveyReg will be used to account for the sample design in the development of regression equations, but the possible regression models have not been specifically described (possibilities are

sketched out in Exhibit A-2) Carrying out the impact survey plan and obtaining valid data requires official input on state FFVP cutoffs, on schools applying for the program, with school demographics, school environmental characteristics, and precise school scores obtained on the poverty characteristic used to test for eligibility within the sample state. Abt plans to obtain these data from the state Child Nutrition Agencies (CNAs) for each state included in the surveys. Through an additional web survey, Abt plans to obtain further data on the FFVP from School Food Authorities (those entities legally responsible for administering the FFVP and other federal school programs, at school district level) to have their assessment of the FFVP, details of the program administration, foods offered, any FFVP-related changes in the School Breakfast Program or the National School Lunch Program. Additional validating data on the FFVP food items served, their scheduling and venues, will be obtained through a short interview with Food Service Managers at the selected schools. There are also visits by trained observers checking the physical environment of the FFVP schools, the set-up and conditions for distribution of the FFVP fruits and vegetables, and the presentation of nutritional information in the schools, completing the School Food Environment Assessment cited in Attachment F. The teachers of the students in sample contribute their own data through a short, self-administered survey, distributed with the student diary forms, and the principals of the sampled schools are asked to complete a web survey on their school's FFVP.

Note that the three main subsidiary surveys--of CNAs, School Food Authorities, and Principals-contributing to the impact study also supply data for the implementation study, intended to provide national estimates of program implementation procedures by FFVP-participating schools. The regression study, with its small sample of schools all selected close to the state cut-off scores for program participation, cannot be generalized to the whole set of FFVP schools. For the implementation study, in addition to the 128 FFVP-participating schools included in the regression sample, an additional 560 participating schools will be included, with the goal of providing at least 448 additional FFVPparticipating (and responding) schools (yielding a total of 576 FFVP schools), assuming an 80% response rate at school level. Sampling details for the additional 560 schools are not given (the documentation does state that, for generalizability, all FFVP schools in the continental U.S. will have a positive selection probability). It is clear from the description and Appendix A that sampling stops at school level for this survey. Analysis to be performed for the implementation study is not described in detail; estimates are to be descriptive in nature, "consisting primarily of proportions." According to Appendix A, the school-level data on FFVP implementation will be obtained from the three subsidiary surveys feeding the regression study, which will be extended to include the additional 560 FFVP schools selected and all 54 state Child Nutrition Agencies. The surveys involved are all internet surveys, and web-based surveys are known to have particular unit nonresponse issues; whole unit response rates for these three (including the state CNA survey) may easily sink below 80%, according to Don Dillman. Item nonresponse is also highly probable, and will have to be dealt with.

From B.3 in the packet, it is clear that considerable thought has been given to maximizing response and gaining student and school support for the impact study. The importance of gaining the support of the state agencies is acknowledged. Certain measures have been taken toward these goals: schools and students will receive modest incentives for their participation; a study liaison will be designated to visit the classrooms and deliver study packets, and reminder letters will be provided to be sent home to caregivers whose child's food diary isn't turned in on the due date. It should also be noted that some preliminary testing has been carried out: student/parent/teacher/food service manager parts of the impact

survey were pretested by an Abt associate in two California elementary schools, in a small test involving nine students, their parents, two teachers and a food service manager (the method of selection is not stated). In a follow-up session, some difficulties were noted, suggestions were made for improving these instruments, and certain questions were revised. However, the California pretest may not be conclusive: it is no easy task for ten to twelve-year-olds in schools with high poverty rates to provide reliable, informative survey data, even with the assistance of caregivers—and it is not clear that they will be able to do so. In any case, more information is needed. The questionnaires should be included in the packet, because question sequence and skip patterns for the surveys influence response patterns. Obtaining complete, valid data from CNAs and School Food Authorities is particularly crucial to the success of the project.

Evaluation of the Food and Nutrition Service's Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program: Additional Materials

Additional documentation for the Evaluation of the Food and Nutrition Service's Fresh Fruit and Vegetables Program (FFVP) by Abt Associates includes seven questionnaires from the surveys associated with the program, and the text of the food environment assessment carried out separately by trained observers, as well as revised versions of Parts A and B of the docket, with further details on the pretesting phase of the program. The children's survey and food diary, the survey of parents, and the teachers' survey seem unproblematic. The Children's Food Diary, illustrated and provided with measuring tools, appears to be easy for the preteens to interpret and complete, mitigating some concerns about nonresponse from elementary school students participating in the survey. The teachers' and parents' surveys also seem readable and easy to follow. One suggestion: since the evaluation includes schools with fairly high proportions of low income students in Pacific coast and Southwestern states, it could be very helpful--and beneficial for the Fresh Fruit and Vegetables Program--to provide a Spanish translation of the parents' survey (costs may be prohibitive). The School Principal's Survey, web-based, with different branches for principals of FFVP-participating schools and those whose schools are not in the program, appears well-designed and should be an effective instrument for data gathering.

The following are our suggestions for the State Child Nutrition Agency survey, the School Food Authorities' (SFA) survey, and the survey of food service managers. The web-based survey of State Child Nutrition Agencies requires an intensive data-gathering effort from respondents, which could result in considerable item nonresponse. In Section D, collecting and reporting of various expense items from FFVP Schools, a URL needs to be provided for the agency's claim form and instructions. In Section F, the detailed listing of FFVP expenses for the period from July 1, 2009 through June 30, 2010, should not be visible to respondents before the fall of 2010. Could it be cited in the State Child Nutrition Agency survey and transmitted separately at a later date? In Section C, "Non-Federal Partnerships," question 17.b needs a link, "name" probably, as in the school principals' questionnaire, to tie the partnerships from 17.a to one of the columns of functions listed for them in 17.b. Since in the tabular presentation of 17.b, there are only four partner columns, the last sentence of the text of 17.b should read, "An additional sheet is provided...if you have more than 4 major partners" [Not "5", a carry-over from the school principals' questionnaire where the corresponding item had five columns]. For clarity, the 17.b partnership types could be qualified as "major type of partner" in the opening sentence as well. It might be simpler for respondents to have the "four additional partners" item follow 17.b directly, instead of placing it at the

end of the questionnaire (this may be an automated skip pattern already built into the web survey). Respondents of the School Food Authorities' survey (also web-based) have an intensive data-gathering task as well—requiring SFA director co-operation, school district-level data, and finally, school-level data for one FFVP-participating school, and one non-FFVP school in the SFA's district. To cite an example from the FFVP school-related section, it may not be realistic to ask these respondents to attempt to gauge the popularity of each fruit or vegetable item served during the elementary school reference week (a task better suited to the food service managers, who are surveyed separately by personal interview, or to teachers, who are in direct contact with their students on a daily basis). Finally, in surveying the food service managers, interviewers should avoid survey terminology and use common English for effective communication with their target respondents. Thus for question 1, I would suggest, "For what day did the students list their school lunch in their food diaries?" (with no mention of "survey reference" days).

DRAFT OMB PACKAGE					REVISION
Issue	Item	Reviewer	Comments	FNS	Abt
			Outside Reviewer Comments		
Goals of the Project		Sonia Kim (CDC)	There are currently 2 stated goals of the project: assess impact and implementation. <u>Suggestion</u> : add a 3rd goal: determine the reach or coverage of the FFVP. <u>Rationale</u> : 1) The Background section implies that lower-income students are a priority for the program; therefore it is important to know how well this target population is actually being reached. 2) This information is especially relevant considering the large increases in funding that will occur by 2012 and that the number of students served by the FFVP will most likely increase as well. 3) Additionally, states will most likely be interested in this information.	Yes - May require an additional data collection survey	Basic data will be collected to address this objective. See below. See also Memo 10/21/09
		Sonia Kim (CDC)	Method for adding a 3rd goal: Using the State Child Nutrition Agency Survey, collect the following information: (some or all of this information is already asked)  • Total number of eligible schools  • Of the eligible schools how many apply  • Of the eligible schools that apply, how many receive funding  -Since the Implementation sample will be nationally representative, would these numbers be nationally representative as well?  -The states will be interested in their own data and would find it useful to do state-by-state comparisons also. Thus, could this data also be collected from all 54 state agencies?	FFVP schools to find out frp%, number of days per week operating, intensity, and	Data on eligible schools, how many apply, and how many are funded will be collected from all 54 states to provide national totals without sampling error. Number of days per week will be collected from the nationally representative sample of SFAs. Cost data will permit calculation of cost per child per serving day. Number of servings is not tracked and would not be feasible to collect.
Samples		Sonia Kim (CDC)	Will the implementation and impact data be representative at the state level for the 16 State Agencies and/or 54 State Agencies?		State survey data will be collected from all 54 State agencies. Implementation data will be collected from a nationally representative sample of X SFAs
		Sonia Kim (CDC)	It would be useful to be able to link this FFVP data collected to CDC's youth behavior data, such as YRBSS and School Health Profiles that are collected by the Division of Adolescent and School Health (DASH) (http://www.cdc.gov/healthyYouth/profiles/); (http://www.cdc.gov/HealthyYouth/yrbs/index.htm)		The food frequency questions on the self-administered student questionnaire are adapted from the frequency instrument from the YRBS, and may be used to compare descriptive information about reported frequency of fruit and vegetable consumption in our sampled students to YRBS summary statistics. Similarly, questions about foods for sale in schools in the SFA and school principal surveys used food categories adapted from the categories used in the School Health Profiles, and may be compared similarly in some cases.
		Sonia Kim (CDC)	For the Impact study: I understood that <u>eligible schools that participated in FFVP</u> will be compared will other <u>eligible schools that did participate</u> . Is this correct?		Clarified in OMB package
		Joe Thompson (RWJF)	Recompeting of schools will pose a major problem.		The study will be representative of schools selected for and participating in SY 2009-2010. Prior participation will be identified.

DRAFT OMB PACKAGE					REVISION		
Issue	Item	Reviewer	Comments	FNS	Abt		
	"State Cutoff" pg. 17	Sonia Kim (CDC)	The definition of this phrase is not clear. On pg. 17 "The FFVP legislation and FNS regulations require States to give FFVP funding to the poorest schools, as measured by the percent of students eligible for free and reduced price school lunches. RD estimates the causal impact of the FFVP by comparing schools directly above and below the cut-off for funding."		Clarified in OMB package		
	"State Cutoff"	Sonia Kim (CDC)	This implies that the "State Cutoff" is a demarcation for eligibility. Thus comparing schools on either side of the cutoff implies that one group is eligible, while the other is not.		Clarified in OMB package		
	"State Cutoff"	Sonia Kim (CDC)	Does FNS have an eligibility cut-off? Does the State Cutoff refer to a specific criteria set by the state? I.e. by FNS standards the schools could be considered eligible, but by the state's standards they are not eligible? OR because there is a narrow free/reduced price window, comparing above and below the cutoff results in the comparison of very similar schools (even though technically one group is eligible and one is not)? This distinction should be made more clear.		Clarified in OMB package		
	"State Cutoff" pg. 19	Sonia Kim (CDC)	The definition of "State Cutoff" should be made clearer in the diagram on pg. 19.		Clarified in OMB package		
Impact Data	Nutritional Status pg. 4	Sonia Kim (CDC)	On pg. 4, it states that the impact study will look at children's nutritional status. Is this information being collected?	No	No. wording changed.		
	U U	Sonia Kim (CDC)	This is an important part of attitudes. There is one question about it (pg. 8, q 16 of the self-administered survey). Other questions could be added for more depth on this issue. (Alice Ammerman of UNC has done work on this topic.)	Yes (agree w/importance)	Additional questions have been added to the student questionnaire on willingness to try, and preferences for particular fruits and vegetables		
	Increased fruit and vegetable consumption pg. 8		Pg. 8 states that the information will be used to determine whether "the FFVP increased fruit and vegetable consumption"		Text changed to suggested text.		
Comparability of the Proposed Instruments		Punam Ohri-	The need to assure comparability of measures with existing high quality surveys, specifically SNDA III and the Bridging the Gap surveys of school policies and implementation. Both these groups have studied food access, availability and consumption issues affecting school children. Also consult the NCI Measures of Food Environment website (https://riskfactor.cancer.gov/mfe) - a compilation of studies investigating community-level measures of the food environment, including school food environment.		SNDA III and earlier FNS studies were reviewed in instrument development. School environment instrument has been used in previous CWH studies.		
		Laura Leviton and Punam Ohri- Vachaspati (RWJF)	We urge them (Abt) to employ questions that are comparable to the Bridging the Gap survey. This is an annual survey of a representative sample of 500 to 700 school districts and schools (elementary, middle and secondary).		FFVP schools are by definition atypical; comparisons to the general population of schools are not a stated priority for the evaluation. Relevant comparisons are between FFVP schools and eligible non-participating schools. We did not have time to review the BtG instruments and still meet our schedule.		
Problems of response rate, missing data, and age inappropriate questions			The instruments for administrators are unnecessarily awkward to use, impose a large response burden where it is not necessary, and will therefore impair both response rate and accuracy. It is a fundamental principle of survey research that increased response burden will increase error and missing data.	Yes (agree)	Instruments have been simplified to reduce burden. This issue will be revisited after the pretest.		

DRAFT OMB PACKAGE			REVISION		
Issue	Item	Reviewer	Comments	FNS	Abt
		Vachaspati (RWJF) Laura	Response rate for administrators will be a serious problem even if the surveys are made more user friendly. The incentives described will not be sufficient to guarantee the response rate that Abt is targeting, based on our recent experience using the web to collect data from school personnel. There was no description in the OMB package of how Abt proposes to ensure the response rate they need. In any revision, it will be important for USDA to know in detail, how Abt plans to follow up with administrators and food service personnel to get them to respond.  The instruments for children are not age appropriate and there are better instruments available for both the	FNS will obtain	Incentives will be reassessed after the pretest.  Memo prepared addressing this concern.
			family surveys and 24 hour recalls. Fourth and fifth graders will not be able to respond to some of these issues in the formats provided.	instruments	Methods used successfully for many years. Pilot showed reasonable quality data from children grades 4-6 and high response rate 10/11.
Greater analytic attention, and data collection where possible, to consumption of less nutritious foods	Background Section		There is no direct evidence that increasing F&V consumption in children will cause decreased consumption of calorie dense foods of limited nutritional value. Yet increasingly there is evidence (e.g. Gortmaker and Wang, Sturm) that we will only prevent childhood obesity by decreasing the consumption of calorie dense food of limited nutritional value. Yet the data collection and analytic plan do not pay sufficient attention to this issue. The 24 recalls will yield some of the information, but the self-administered student instrument should address this in depth—see Exhibit A-2, 7th page. Regardless of decisions about the self-administered instrument, analytic questions about this issue, as seen on 7th page of Exhibit A-2, should take higher priority! Unlike data collection, adding another analysis costs very little, and could tell us so much.		Memo addressed this issue. No valid questionnaire for this age group but selected questions on FQ snack foods and beverages from BSQ- 24 hr data will give better estimates for the group on intake of these foods than any FFQ
General Comments	pg. 1 & 2	Punam Ohri-	The statement of aims for the program is very clear on page 2 of the OMB Clearance Package. However, the introductory statement under Background, page 1, is not. The program is about so much more than teaching healthier eating habits, and in fact this statement is misleading. We would urge you to take another look at the expanded statement on page 2 to restate the first sentence under Background, page 1.		Overall goals and objectives clarified
	pg. 3	Laura Leviton and Punam Ohri- Vachaspati (RWJF)	On page 3, first full paragraph, it is important to estimate the number of children served by the program, and if possible, the numbers at each stage of program expansion (reach/coverage issue- within schools).	Yes	See above (row 8)
	pg. 6	Laura Leviton and Punam Ohri- Vachaspati (RWJF)	On page 6, in smaller districts the school food authorities may be the same individuals as the school food managers. How will this be addressed? Remember response burden!		Instruments are designed with minimal overlap between SFA and school food manager questions. School food manager instrument will only be used in impact sample schools; data collectors will be instructed to skip overlapping questions that have been answered as part of the SFA questionnaire.
	pg. 7	Laura Leviton and Punam Ohri- Vachaspati (RWJF)	On page 7, if there is enough variation in nutrition education then analysis examining the dose of nutrition education on outcome variables. This could be addressed on Exhibit A-2, second to last page.		See memorandum on dose response analysis.

DRAFT OMB PACKAGE					REVISION
Issue	Item	Reviewer	Comments	FNS	Abt
		Lorelei	Dose response is critical; how are you going to deal with schools who offer fruits and vegetables	Yes	See memorandum on dose response analysis.
		DiSogra	infrequently? Also, want to capture doses given in the past and current.		
		(UFPA)			
1.1		Laura	In Exhibit A-2 Topic area 2, another question worth considering would be how are the FV presented – whole	Yes	Form in which FFV are served captured by
Data Collection and	Topic Area 2		vs cut-up vs other creative ways? Does acceptability vary by what is offered, how, and where for different		Environment Assessment observations; analysis
Analysis			age, gender, and ethnic groups?		will relate this to participation and satisfaction
		Vachaspati			measures as appropriate. However, note that
		(RWJF)			inference from this analysis will necessarily be
					correlational, not causal; schools that spend
					significant time and effort on FV presentation
					may differ in unobservable ways from schools
					that do not.
	Exhibit A-2	Laura	On the last page of Exhibit A-2, what about examining changes in NSLP based on consumption of F&V after	Yes- need to make	Will have information on student receipt of
	(last page)	Leviton and	participation in FFVP? From dietary recalls, can be easily analyzed.	sure that student	NSLP lunch. Will examine differences in counts
		Punam Ohri-		participation in	of NSLP lunches between FFVP and non-FFVP
		Vachaspati		NSLP and SBP on	schools. Can consider further exploration if
		(RWJF)		the same day as	large differences in counts.
DDAFT OLD DAGUAGE				EE/\D	
DRAFT OMB PACKAGE					
DATA COLLECTION INSTR	UNIENTS		Outside Reviewer Comments		
General Comments	<u> </u>	Laura	Given the length of the school administrator surveys, all instruments should be reviewed with regard to their	Yes	Instruments have been simplified to reduce
			utility in addressing specific research questions. Some suggestions on simplifying and cutting back the		burden. This issue will be revisited after the
			survey are included under specific surveys.		pretest.
		Vachaspati			p. c.cs.
		(RWJF)			
		(**************************************			
		Laura	The self administered survey and the food diary for $4^{th} - 6^{th}$ graders included in the package seem quite		See memo addressing this issue. Methods have
		Leviton and	advanced and beyond the reading and comprehension levels of many 4 <sup>th</sup> and 5 <sup>th</sup> grade students. This will		been successfully used in long term large scale
		Punam Ohri-	make data erroneous for large portions of the respondents. Suggestions for alternate measures are provided		NIH studies.
		Vachaspati	under specific surveys.		
		(RWJF)			
		Laura	Given the current debate over the role of healthy fruit and vegetable consumption vs the role of energy dense		Analysis of 24-hour recall will provide
			food consumption to maintain energy balance, and the fact that the legislation in place specifically requires		information on consumption of energy dense
			that the program be evaluated with regard to its effect on consumption of other foods, consider adding		foods. Questions on frequency of consumption
		Vachaspati	questions on energy dense foods in children's survey.		of energy dense foods added to student
		(RWJF)			questionnaire to improve estimates of usual
		<u> </u>			lintako

RAFT OMB PACKAGE					REVISION
Issue	Item	Reviewer	Comments	FNS	Abt
		Laura Leviton and Punam Ohri- Vachaspati (RWJF)	Respondents for SFA's and School Food Managers may be the same individual in many small to medium school districts.	Yes	Instruments are designed with minimal overlation between SFA and school food manager questions. School food manager instrument will only be used in impact sample schools; discollectors will be instructed to skip overlappi questions that have been answered as part of the SFA questionnaire.
					·
		Lorelei DiSogra (UFPA)	Important to obtain monthly FFVP menusthis will provide information on variety of fruits and vegetables being offered. Important to know if they are having problems with offering variety since some may have a negative perspective on vegetables.	Yes	Cycle of menus will vary. We will obtain 3 months of detail on food purchases from FFV school in the impact sample. All sample SFA: will be asked both what they offered in the reference week and what other F/V they offered during the year.
		Lorelei DiSogra (UFPA)	Want to capture who the "champion" is for the program, who is driving it? This is important because it varies from school to school and can impact implementation.	Yes	Question added to State survey.
			Is there a "champion", and why was that person chosen? As an open-ended question.	Yes (Can list in report as opposed to analyzing)	Re: "why" - Not feasible to collect consistent and usable responses with a self-administer web survey. Interviews would be needed; n in scope
		Lorelei	Principals and Superintendents know all about the benefits the FFVP has on the school environment and		III SCOPE
		DiSogra (UFPA)	students. There is no survey for the Superintendent which is usually one of the champions or driving forces for the program.		
		Guenther, Patricia (CNPP)	recommendations for fruit and vegetable intake are no longer expressed in terms of "servings", but rather in "cups."	Yes	Will be reported appropriately in analysis.
		Sonia Kim (CDC/DNPA O)	Not enough information on <b>Waste</b> : How much of the purchased food is being thrown away by the food service staff? This question should be asked at least of the School Foodservice Manager and School Food Authority Director. If possible, perhaps some observations of students could be added. A question could be added to the Self Administered Student Questionnaire (see detailed comments).		Questions added to SFA, principal, and scho FS manager surveys
			Not enough information on <b>Staff burden</b> : In a lot of schools, foodservice staff is being cut and have more than their share and cannot handle the load they currently have. Information should be collected from the School Foodservice Manager, the School Food Authority Director, and the School Principal. Related, what unmet needs are being communicated by the foodservice workers, the principal, or the district (e.g. training,		Abt has added several questions to the SFA Survey, the Principal Survey, and the school manager to assess the degree to which the FFVP represents a burden on school or distr
			supplies, better source of fruits and vegetables?  Not enough information on <u>Distribution process</u> : This information is asked in the "SFA Survey" and thus I		staff SFA survey instructions clarified so R's know
		(CDC/DNPA O)	think at the district level. It will be answered separately for each school, but the Principal and School Foodservice Manager may have more accurate information. In addition, each of them should be asked about their satisfaction with the distribution method.		consult with school-level personnel if neede Principal survey includes Q on adequacy of kitchen facilities.
ARENT SURVEY				l	
			Outside Reviewer Comments		

DRAFT OMB PACKAGE					REVISION	
Issue	Item	Reviewer	Comments	FNS	Abt	
	Q6	Laura	Q 6 add option "did not apply"		Left as is, but added question about	
		Leviton and			whether child eats FFVP snacks	
		Punam				
		Ohri-				
		Vachaspati				
		(RWJF)				
		, ,				
	Q8	Laura	Q8 – will not allow making a distinction between USDA lunches and a-la-carte or other competitive		Comment does not seem applicable to	
			source lunches.		questions	
		Punam				
		Ohri-				
		Vachaspati				
		(RWJF)				
		(1,0031)				
CHOOL ENVIRONMEN	IT ASSESSMEN	JT				
	TT 7100E00TVIET	••	Outside Reviewer Comments			
	Section A, Q1	Laura	Section A, Q 1 – Instructions need to include the possibility that the students may already in the	Yes	DONE	
			classroom and FV may arrive there.			
		Punam				
		Ohri-				
		Vachaspati				
		(RWJF)				
		(**************************************				
	Q13	Laura	Q 13 need to define the three options for staff attire – is it cleanliness or creativity or both	Yes	DONE	
		Leviton and				
		Punam				
		Ohri-				
		Vachaspati				
		(RWJF)				
		j ,				
	Q15, 16, 18			Yes	DONE	
		Leviton and	some, little?			
		Punam				
		Ohri-				
		Vachaspati				
		(RWJF)				
		,				
	l.	1	1	1	1	

DRAFT OMB PACKAGE					REVISION		
Issue	Item	Reviewer	Comments	FNS	Abt		
	Section B		Section B – similar comments as in Section A.	Yes	DONE		
		Leviton and					
		Punam					
		Ohri-					
		Vachaspati					
		(RWJF)					
			For vegetables served at school lunch will fresh include – salad, cut up, steamed, stir fried? etc.		FRESH will be defined in trainings to		
		Leviton and			include no processing except for cutting,		
		Punam			slicing (e.g., yes to green salad, no to		
		Ohri-			steamed)		
		Vachaspati					
		(RWJF)					
	pg. 9, Q17		Page 9 – Q 17 typo – replace fruit with vegetable.		DONE		
		Leviton and					
		Punam					
		Ohri-					
		Vachaspati					
		(RWJF)					
	pg. 10, QD1		Page 10 – Q D1. For ease of data entry draw a line from column location to column number		DONE		
		Leviton and					
		Punam					
		Ohri-					
		Vachaspati (RWJF)					
		(IVVVJF)					
	pg. 10 Q2		Page 10 Q2 Juice (50%) should be listed as Juice Drink . Not sure of the Water or sparkling water		50% juice may be available in schools so		
			with juice category – isn't that same as 50% juice drink or is that something schools make and sell?		left as separate item		
		Punam					
		Ohri-					
		Vachaspati					
		(RWJF)					
		ļ					

FT OMB PACKAGE					REVISION
Issue	Item	Reviewer	Comments	FNS	Abt
		Laura	Consolidate low fat and regular cakes etc.		We decided not to consolidate low fat and
		Leviton and			regular cakes, etc because of nutritional
		Punam			differences between them; we will
		Ohri-			however train data collectors to ask about
		Vachaspati			this if they are unable to tell based on
		(RWJF)			looking at the product
		Lorelei	Expand to collect other data- need to go broader, it is too brief and has potential to get more	Yes	Unclear what additional information is
		DiSogra	information since a live person is there.		required; Did add some questions but mus
		(UFPA)			also be mindful of time needed to collect
					additional data
	Q1	Lorelei	This can vary, need to clarifybe more specific on what to expect and what photographs you want.	Yes	Will include in instructions to data collector
		DiSogra			
		(UFPA)			
	Q6	Lorelei	Staff needs to be specified i.e teacher, principals, etc	Yes	DONE
		DiSogra			
		(UFPA)			
	Q19	Lorelei	Get monthly FFVP menus	Yes	DONE
		DiSogra			
		(UFPA)			
	Title of	CDC/DASH	Are you interested in type of payment system used?	No	NO, not relevant to aims to know about fo
	Survey				other school foods & FFVP is free
	pg. 11, D1	CDC/DASH	Number of what? A la care locations? Students served? Individual vending machines? Vending		DONE
			machine locations?		
	pg. 12, D2	CDC/DASH	"Energy and sports drinks" It would be more meaningful to have these items listed separately.		Left as is because distinction is not relevan
					in elementary school settings.
OOL FOODSERVICE	MANAGER II	NTERVIEW			
	I	I.	Outside Reviewer Comments		
			In some schools the person filling out the SFA form may be the same as the one filling this one. This	•	Instruments are designed with minimal
			is of concern for response burden.		overlap between SFA and school food
		Punam			manager questions. School food manager
		Ohri-			instrument will only be used in impact
		Vachaspati			sample schools; data collectors will be
		(RWJF)			instructed to skip overlapping questions
					that have been answered as part of the SF
	1	1			questionnaire.

T OMB PACKAGE		REVISION			
Issue	Item	Reviewer	Comments	FNS	Abt
	pg. 9	Laura	Page 9 – may want to replace the word serving with portion – to avoid confusion with USDA		DONE
		Leviton and	servings.		
		Punam			
		Ohri-			
		Vachaspati			
		(RWJF)			
		,			
	pg. 11	Laura	P 11 – consider adding "I think students eat less (or more) vegetables at lunch time since FVPP		DONE
	1.0		started" and "I think students eat less (or more) fruit since the FVPP started".		
		Punam			
		Ohri-			
		Vachaspati			
		(RWJF)			
		(KAA1L)			
		Lorelei	Ask fo rmonthly FFVP menu- menus for all months		DONE
		DiSogra			
		(UFPA)			
	pg. 9		Add to instructions "as part of the FFVP" after "separate from school meals"		DONE
		DiSogra	' '		
		(UFPA)			
	pg. 9, Q2		Most often delivered to classroom for students to pick up on way out to recess.		DONE
	10 / 1	DiSogra	' ' '		
		(UFPA)			
	pg. 9, Q3 and		"servings" - clarify in packages, in paper bowls, etc		DONE
	4	DiSogra	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
		(UFPA)			
	pg. 10		I think the students benefit from the FFVP. The Fresh F&V students receive in the FFVP may be the	ENS noted	DONE
	PB. 20		only fresh f&v they eat.	comment	30.112
		(UFPA)	only nestrice they eat.	Comment	
		Lorelei	Other questions to consider: Has the FFVP influenced what frut and/or vegetable you serve in	Yes	DONE
		DiSogra	school lunch? Has the FFVP resulted in studenst taking/eating more fruits and/or vegetables in	163	DONE
		(UFPA)	school lunch?		
	022				DONE
	Q23		Same as Q21		DONE
		DiSogra			
		(UFPA)			1,2,4,5
	Q24		Same as Q22		DONE
		DiSogra			
		(UFPA)			
	Q24	CDC/DASH	Same as Q22		DONE

FNS Evaluation of the Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program - Reviewer Comments (OVERALL) DRAFT OMB PACKAGE REVISION **FNS** Abt Issue Item Reviewer Comments Q29 Add "fresh" before "vegetables" DONE Lorelei DiSogra (UFPA) Q30 Lorelei "Foodservice staff"--what about other school officials: principals, teachers, nurse...? Left as is, because school foodservice DiSogra manager likely to know best what school foodservice staff are doing; principal best (UFPA) to ask about what all school staff are doing. **TEACHER SURVEY Outside Reviewer Comments** Laura consider adding "I think students eat less (or more) vegetables at lunch time since FVPP started" and Yes 2 questions added Leviton and "I think students eat less (or more) fruit since the FVPP started". Punam Ohri-Vachaspati (RWJF) **FOOD DIARY Outside Reviewer Comments** Laura This record would be helpful in obtaining 24 hour recall from young children, however, the format; Critical The diary is used as a tool to raise Leviton and the description guide; and the volumetric and size assessment visuals seem very advanced for 4h and awareness, and promote memory and Punam accuracy in portion estimation for the 24 hr 5<sup>th</sup> graders level of comprehension and reading abilities. Use of fractions and decimals will also be Ohrirecall conducted on the second day. beyond many 4th and 5th graders. Vachaspati Children are trained in how to record, and (RWJF) do so relatively well. Probes are included for the parents. The researchers may want to look at the methodology used for SNDA III studies snda iii used split 24 hr recall 1/2 with Laura Leviton and http://www.fns.usda.gov/ora/MENU/Published/CNP/FILES/SNDAIII-Instruments.pdf parents at home-requires two contacts Punam with students. Not feasible within the Ohridesign, resources of this study. Vachaspati (RWJF) CDC/DASH | Where to include vitamin water? DONE Food Description Guide-Soda/Sparkli ng Water SELF-ADMINISTERED STUDENT QUESTIONNAIRE

**Outside Reviewer Comments** 

DRAFT OMB PACKAGE	PRAFT OMB PACKAGE				REVISION
Issue	Item	Reviewer	Comments	FNS	Abt
		Laura	Many of the questions in this instrument seem much more complex and advanced than the		See memo for responses to all comments-
		Leviton and	comprehension and reading level of many 4 <sup>th</sup> and 5 <sup>th</sup> graders. These include Hispanic and Race		YRBS questions used for F V, and BSQ
		Punam	questions, NCI Fruit and Vegetable screener; complex format for questions 12, 13d (skip patterns).		quesitons added for snacks and beverages.
		Ohri-			To only be used to cross check the
		Vachaspati			estimates from 24 hr recall data, not as
		(RWJF)			another source of point estimates.
		Laura	Will these questions ever be read to the children – reading comprehension in some schools may be a		No will be self admin, children do well with
		Leviton and	challenge.		it.
		Punam			
		Ohri-			
		Vachaspati			
		(RWJF)			
		Laura	Recommend looking at the SPAN survey validated for 4th graders and also includes questions on		Spans asks about yesterday, not FFQ. 24 hr
		Leviton and	energy dense foods http://www.sph.uth.tmc.edu/catch/catch_em/4th%20SPAN%20Eng%20v8.pdf		data more useful for yesterdays intake of
		Punam			snacks and bevs. Have used SPANS format
		Ohri-			to tailor the questionnaire to younger
		Vachaspati			children.
		(RWJF)			
			Q 13 b – would be interesting to add "I do not like the fruits and vegetables that are offered" and "I		Concepts captured in other questions.
			do not like how the school offers fruits and vegetables, for example, are they cut up, whole, or in a		
			bag, etc"		
		Ohri-			
		Vachaspati			
		(RWJF)			
		Laura	A four point scale may be more than children can discern on – a three point scale may be more		Will reassess after pretest.
			appropriate.		
		Punam			
		Ohri-			
		Vachaspati			
		(RWJF)			

DRAFT OMB PACKAGE					REVISION
Issue	Item	Reviewer	Comments	FNS	Abt
		Laura	This instrument needs questions that will assess dietary changes related to consumption and		Analysis of 24-hour recall data will be used
		Leviton and	preferences for less nutritious, energy dense foods. See questions in SPAN survey above.		to assess changes. Some questions are
		Punam			added to survey to improve usual
		Ohri-			estimates of energy dense food intake.
		Vachaspati			
		(RWJF)			
	pg.5, 13a	CDC/DNPA	This questionnaire assumes the student knows about the FFVP. Is it reasonable to assume that		Will be discussed in motivational
		0	students will be able to distinguish among fruits and vegetables from breakfast, lunch, vending,		instruction session.
			stores, after-school programs?		
	pg. 5, Waste	CDC/DNPA	Add "If you take the free fruit and vegetable snack, do you usually eat the whole snack? (Or do you	Define whole?	DONE
		О	throw some of it away.)		
	pg. 7, Q14	CDC/DASH	Consider open-ended option for students, tooIf you could change the FFVP, what change would		DONE
			you make?		
SCHOOL PRINCIPAL SUF	RVEY				•
			Outside Reviewer Comments		
		Laura	Principals may need to consult with other staff to answer these accurately – may be good to say that		Abt has added specific instructions to
		Leviton and	upfront so they are prepared. OR give don't know as an option.		principals to consult with other staff when
		Punam			necessary to answer questions, rather than
		Ohri-			just say "don't know". Need for "don't
		Vachaspati			know" as an answer option for questions
		(RWJF)			will be reviewed after the pretest.
			Do you want to know if the district / school wellness policy is in place and being implemented?	Yes	SFA and principal surveys include questions
		Leviton and	Either here or in SFA or both?		on most important elements of school
		Punam			wellness policy: foods offered in school
		Ohri-			meals, competitive foods, and nutrition
		Vachaspati			education/promotion.
		(RWJF)			
		Laura	Would they count PSA's and interactive displays as nutrition education activities?		As in prior FNS nutrition ed. studies, these
		Leviton and			are considered indirect education.
		Punam			
		Ohri-			
		Vachaspati			
		(RWJF)			

DRAFT OMB PACKAGE					REVISION		
Issue	Item	Reviewer	Comments	FNS	Abt		
			For N1 – have to say nutrition education or promotion activities occurred at SCHOOL during the		We considered this comment and left the		
		Leviton and	week for at least some classes		question as worded.		
		Punam					
		Ohri-					
		Vachaspati					
		(RWJF)					
			Move the statement "If you do not have access to this information check here to before the table.		We considered this comment and left the		
		Leviton and			question as worded. We want to		
		Punam			encourage the principal to get the answer.		
		Ohri-					
		Vachaspati					
		(RWJF)					
			Ref period in N1a different from reference period in N4.		N1a combined with N1 covers 4 weeks; N4		
		Leviton and			covers all 4 weeks together.		
		Punam					
		Ohri-					
		Vachaspati					
		(RWJF)					
		Laura	Questions like N2c, may need a "don't know" option. Other options of interest may be Choose		List of messages expanded. We want to		
			healthy beverages, choose healthy snacks		encourage the principal to get the answer.		
		Punam					
		Ohri-					
		Vachaspati					
		(RWJF)					
			Q N2e – Add Students, Volunteers as options		We considered this comment and left the		
		Leviton and			question as worded.		
		Punam					
		Ohri-					
		Vachaspati					
		(RWJF)					

DRAFT OMB PACKAGE					REVISION
Issue	Item	Reviewer	Comments	FNS	Abt
		Laura Leviton and Punam Ohri- Vachaspati (RWJF)	Q N3a, N4a – add options as for N2c		We considered this comment and left the question as worded. We want to encourage the principal to get the answer.
			Q N6 Change first column heading to Type of occasion / venue. Add another column in the table for "No food offered at this venue/occasion"		Rows are defined by time, not venue, so header left as is. Column for "not applicable" covers "not applicable"
		Leviton and Punam	Q C2 – clarify the difference between school food service run and school run operations. Suggest following wording: Compared to the 2007-2008 school year, would you say your school now serves more, less, or about the same amount of the following types of foods inschool-operated venues – those that are not run by school food service?		We considered this comment and left the question as worded. If there is confusion in the pretest, we will clarify.
			Q C2 – separate soda pop and fruit drink categories; for skim and 1% milk address if it includes flavored milk		Existing categories were based on a previously-validated instrument, so we did not make this change.
		Laura Leviton and Punam Ohri- Vachaspati (RWJF)	QC2 – the distinction between the first and last column headings is not clear		Wording for colum headings has been changed to clarify intent.

DRAFT OMB PACKAGE		REVISION			
Issue	Item	Reviewer	Comments	FNS	Abt
			QC2 – recommend consolidating all types of cookies, chips, and ice-creams – low fat versions can still be high in calories and sugar		Existing categories were based on a previously-validated instrument, so we did not make this change.
		Laura Leviton and Punam Ohri- Vachaspati (RWJF)	QF2 – may consider adding Farm to school as a partnership?		Messages (N2a etc.) include "Where FFV come from". Partners include farmer's markets, produce associations/commodity groups. "Farmer's market" category intended to include local farmers; to be clarified in final version.
			O – consider adding "I think students eat less (or more) vegetables at lunch time since FVPP started" and "I think students eat less (or more) fruit since the FVPP started".	Yes	We ask the most knowledgeable people this question: the school food service managers and the SFA director.
	pg. 3, N2c &	CDC/DNPA	Education messages. The ones detailed in the "State Child Nutrition Agency Survey" on pg. 5, ques		Education messages now match longer list
	pg. 4, N3	0	13a were better and more comprehensive ("role of fresh fv in a complete diet, where fresh fv come from, trying new foods, variety, etc)		of answer options from State Child Nutrition Agency Survey.
	pg. 5, N7		"Advisory/Policy group of parents or community members" What if the group is comprised mainly of teachers and staff? Shouldn't this count?		Teachers/staff was added to the list of individuals who could make up the advisory group for this question.
	pg. 1		How long after the reference week will this survey be given? There is concern about accurate recollection of the data.		The reference week will be the last full school week before the week when the survey is completed. This will be specified in the instructions.
	N1	CDC/DASH	Is there a reason there is no row for afterschool?		Intent of the question is nutrition education conducted during school hours only, which could potentially be tied to the FFVP in FFVP schools.

			REVISION
Reviewer	Comments	FNS	Abt
CDC/DASH	Will principals actually know this information being asked?		Abt has added specific instructions to principals to consult with other staff when necessary to answer questions, rather thar just say "don't know". Need for "don't know" as an answer option for questions will be reviewed after the pretest.
CDC/DASH	"Stopped offering this food after 2007-2008"Would something in this column also qualify in the "This food not offered in 2007-2008 or now column"? Should the "or now" be deleted from the first column?		Wording for colum headings has been changed to clarify intent.
CDC/DASH	"Food category"Add energy drinks as a separate item (e.g., Red Bull)		Abt felt it was unlikely that these drinks would be offered to elementary school students, and furthermore that respondents would not react well to being asked. Also, the existing categories were based on a previously-validated instrument, so we did not make this change.
CDC/DASH	Consider adding this item to the other surveys (parent, foodservice manager, etc)		See above.
Lorelei DiSogra (UFPA)	Don't think survey should start w/ tedious questions on nutrition education. Start with overarching questions about success.	Yes	First section of survey is questions to be answered by all principals. Objective, descriptive data are the primary objective of the survey. Satisfaction questions have been left at the end of the survey, since it is Abt's feeling that questions about the program's success should best be asked after the principal has been prompted in the rest of the survey to think through various aspects of the FFVP and related programs at the school. Opinion questions are easier to answer and therefore good to place at the end after respondent has answered harder questions. Introduction and recruiting materials will motivate respondents; placing opion questions at the end will encourage respondents to "stick with it".
	CDC/DASH  CDC/DASH  CDC/DASH  CDC/DASH  Lorelei DiSogra	CDC/DASH "Stopped offering this food after 2007-2008"Would something in this column also qualify in the "This food not offered in 2007-2008 or now column"? Should the "or now" be deleted from the first column?  CDC/DASH "Food category"Add energy drinks as a separate item (e.g., Red Bull)  CDC/DASH Consider adding this item to the other surveys (parent, foodservice manager, etc)  Lorelei Disogra Don't think survey should start w/ tedious questions on nutrition education. Start with overarching questions about success.	CDC/DASH  "Stopped offering this food after 2007-2008"Would something in this column also qualify in the "This food not offered in 2007-2008 or now column"? Should the "or now" be deleted from the first column?  CDC/DASH  "Food category"Add energy drinks as a separate item (e.g., Red Bull)  CDC/DASH  CDC/DASH  Consider adding this item to the other surveys (parent, foodservice manager, etc)  Lorelei  DiSogra  Don't think survey should start w/ tedious questions on nutrition education. Start with overarching Yes questions about success.

PRAFT OMB PACKAGE					REVISION		
Issue	Item	Reviewer	Comments	FNS	Abt		
		Lorelei DiSogra (UFPA)	Start survey with QO, so that it begins with a focus on FFVP, the other questions seem tedious.		See response above		
	pg. 1	Lorelei DiSogra (UFPA)	I think principals will have trouble answering this level of detail about nutrition education.	Yes	Abt has added specific instructions to principals to consult with other staff when necessary to answer questions, rather than just say "don't know". Need for "don't know" as an answer option for questions will be reviewed after the pretest.		
	Q. N6	Lorelei DiSogra (UFPA)	Too limiting. What about whole grains, more f&v	Yes	List of messages expanded.		
	Q. N7	Lorelei DiSogra (UFPA)	Nothing about wellness policieschanges in teacher's lounge, birthday parties, holiday partiesimpact of FFVP on wellness policies (nutrition/physical activity)	Yes	SFA and principal surveys include questions on most important elements of school wellness policy: foods offered in school meals, competitive foods, and nutrition education/promotion.		
	Q. C1	Lorelei DiSogra (UFPA)	Will they know this? Level of detail requires asking someone else.		Abt has added specific instructions to principals to consult with other staff when necessary to answer questions, rather than just say "don't know". Need for "don't know" as an answer option for questions will be reviewed after the pretest.		
	Q. C2	Lorelei DiSogra (UFPA)	What about a-la-carte lines? 2007-2008 is too many years back.		A la carte lines are part of cafeteria and are run by food service. 2008-09 is too late for baseline because some schools will have had FFVP. We need a consistent baseline. We will see in the pretest if recall is a problem.		
	Q. F2	Lorelei DiSogra (UFPA)	Needs to be broader to capture all partnershipsother State AgenciesAg, Health & other Fed programs for collaborations.	Yes	We avoid duplication by asking about state- level partnerships in State survey, district- level partnerships in SFA survey, and school level partnerships here.		
	Q. 05	Lorelei DiSogra (UFPA)	We should offer daily	FNS noted comment	No response required.		

DRAFT OMB PACKAGE					REVISION
Issue	Item	Reviewer	Comments	FNS	Abt
	Q. 05	Lorelei	Add question (not legible)	FNS will ask	
		DiSogra		Lorelai	
		(UFPA)			
		Lorelei	Need to understand the role of principalthey know about impact on kids, family, parents, schools,		Principal questions are within scope of
		DiSogra	teachers.		what we expect to be usual knowledge.
		(UFPA)			
SFA SURVEY		1(- )			
			Outside Reviewer Comments		
		Laura	In large school districts, SFA would have to consult with individual schools to answer some of these		Abt has added specific instructions to SFA
			questions – it might be helpful to acknowledge that upfront. It also makes the time for administration		survey to consult with other staff when
		Punam	longer than what is specified in the OMB package.		necessary to answer questions, rather than
		Ohri-	longer than what is specified in the OND package.		
		_			just say "don't know". Need for "don't
		Vachaspati			know" as an answer option for questions
		(RWJF)			will be reviewed after the pretest.
		1	This is a large module and the response burden would be high. Also, for a number of questions, it is		Alia le a consulta de abora continua a cont
		Laura			Abt has worked to streamline and
			unlikely that the SFA would have the level of detail for individual schools that is being asked (see		reorganize the instrument overall in order
		Punam	comments below). Might consider adding a don't know option.		to address burden concerns. Need for
		Ohri-			"don't know" as an answer option for
		Vachaspati			questions will be reviewed after the
		(RWJF)			pretest.
	F2		F2 – as it reads now, you will not know if any changes took place in the prior years especially for		This is true. Survey is focused on current
			schools that have had the program for a few years?		year. Asking about prior years would add
		Punam			burden.
		Ohri-			
		Vachaspati			
		(RWJF)			
	M1	Laura	For Q M1 – please check if the schools are used to reporting average number of meals served per day	1	Our experience is based on reporting
			or total number of meals per month – it would make it easier for them to report along the same lines		requirements. School meal counts are
			for this survey. <u>It is our experience that these individuals report average meals per day</u> If so, then		rolled up to monthly basis for claims.
		Ohri-	the burden of calculating the total meals falls to the respondent—which is contrary to the principles		Question is asked of SFA where claims are
		Vachaspati	behind paperwork reduction, and also will greatly increase the probability of an erroneous answer!		prepared. This is consistent with prior
		(RWJF)	For an on-line survey it should be exceedingly easy to ask the respondent how they usually report thi	:	studies for FNS such as School Lunch and
		(	information - then present a skip out to the format that they generally employ—daily average or		Breakfast Cost Study.
			monthly total. Knowing the number of school days in the month, let the computer calculate the total	,	J. Camase Cost Study.
			for those individuals that report a daily average. These and similar issues are so important to the		
		1	accuracy and completeness of survey responses – it is very surprising that Abt did not address this		
			given the size of the firm and their assumed experience. We realize they cannot pilot test the		
			instruments, but really, given the experience to date in surveying school administrators, this is worris		
			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		

DRAFT OMB PACKAGE					REVISION
Issue	Item	Reviewer	Comments	FNS	Abt
	M2	Punam Ohri-	Questions M2, M2b, M2d, M2e – If the SFA is reporting for all schools in the FFVP, the changes are likely to vary from school to school - for example changes in 3-8grade schools may be quite different from changes in k-3 schools etc. Asking for each school may be quite cumbersome - but you will not get useful information by lumping all the schools together. Again, a skip out pattern could be used—specify each of the schools in the sample, then query the SFA as to whether changes are similar for next school in the list. If so, they can skip out to the next named school—if not, they can fill in the necessary information. This reduces response burden in a way that is consistent with web survey, but minimizes useless error.		Questions moved to school-specific module.
	M2e	Laura Leviton and Punam Ohri- Vachaspati (RWJF)	It would be good to cut down on the number of items asked in q M2e – not sure how useful is the bread stick category, I would also consider consolidating all types of cookies and frozen desserts – the low-fat options are still loaded with sugar.		Existing categories were based on a previously-validated instrument, so we did not make this change.
	M2e	Laura Leviton and Punam Ohri- Vachaspati (RWJF)	Q M2e – separate soda pop and fruit drinks as categories		Existing categories were based on a previously-validated instrument, so we did not make this change.
	M3		M3 – SFA may not know of all the USDA programs the school has participated in – for example, Extension staff often make contact with the school principals to set up classes for SNAP ed or EFNEP and the SFA is often not aware of it. It may be better to limit to types of USDA activities that the SFA is promoting in these schools.		Programs specified are the ones of most interest. Principal survey will pick up school level partnerships with EFNEP/CES.
	M5	Punam Ohri-	M5 – if the school is getting the snack from the parents, food bank or a local store donation, the SFA may not be aware of it. Given the limited staffing in most SFA's, I would be surprised if they can accurately give you details on freq and timing for snacks that are not coming through them. It would be helpful if you split this question and ask the details only for those snacks that are provided through SFA and just ask about the SFA's awareness of other types of snacks that may be offered to children.		Question moved to school-specific module. SFA encouraged to contact school if needed to complete this module. Will revisit whether principal response needed after pretest.

DRAFT OMB PACKAGE		REVISION			
Issue	Item	Reviewer	Comments	FNS	Abt
	Punam Ohri- Vachaspat (RWJF)		S2 – this is a time consuming question and I am not sure about the value of asking this question, is it addressing a specific research question? Asking for so much information might jeopardize response rate or provide unreliable data. Instead for implementation why not just ask – i. distribution method (by grade level), ii. times of day when offered, and iii. common FV offered.		We are trying to reconstruct the menu for the week and link foods to grades served. We have simplified so that we ask for the list of FFV offered by all distribution methods in the two time periods. We will revisit this after we get information from the pretest on the burden.
			S4 – not sure if Very Poor quality should be worded differently otherwise you may not get many people checking that category for the SFA survey.		We will revise if needed based on pretest feedback.
	pg. 2	CDC/DNPA O		producei.e. locally grown?	This question is primarily intended to give us a sense of quantifiable changes in FFVP implementation over time (e.g., more or less distribution methods, more or less nutrition education activities.) Changes in source could be along several dimensions; a separate question would be needed to sort this out. The RFP did not contain any research questions relating to sources of fruits and vegetables. For these reasons, and because of burden considerations, we did not add this.
	pg. 3		Separate "Local grocers and stores" from "Farmers' markets" and "other food distributors." [This question was asked on other surveys as well, e.g. "School principals survey."]		Abt has made this change.
	pg. 18	CDC/DASH	Please clarify what "per class" means		Intent is to capture the average time that an individual student has access to FFV. Will clarify if needed after pretest.

DRAFT OMB PACKAGE					REVISION	
Issue	Item	Reviewer	Comments	FNS	Abt	
	pg. 1	Lorelei DiSogra (UFPA)	To assess varietyask for FFVP monthly/yearly menus. Many of these SFA staff are very involved in implementation of FFVP, select all FF&V, teaching nutrition ed, marketing the FFVP to other schools, etc	Yes	Cycle of menus will vary. We will obtain 3 months of detail on food purchases from FFVP school in the impact sample. All sample SFAs will be asked both what they offered in the reference week and what other F/V they offered during the year.	
	pg. 1, F	Lorelei DiSogra (UFPA)	Opening paragraph doesn't make sense		Questionnaire has been revised with clearer instructions.	
	F2	Lorelei DiSogra (UFPA)	"Fruit and Vegetable distribution methods"what does this mean? Not clear to me.		Added examples of distribution methods (e.g. kiosks, classroom) to clarify this point	
	F3	Lorelei DiSogra (UFPA)	This question needs to also include State government partners and other federal programs. Not capturing full picture.	Yes	We avoid duplication by asking about state level partnerships in State survey, district-level partnerships in SFA survey, and school level partnerships here. We expect partnerships with federal agencies to occu at the state level.	
	F4a & F4b	Lorelei DiSogra (UFPA)	change "promoted" to provided/served and add another question "within the next few weeks."		Language has been changed to respond to this request.	
	F5	Lorelei DiSogra (UFPA)	Include "f. Our SFA has other eligible schools that would like to participate in FFVP."	No- this raises a different issue		
	M2a & M2b	Lorelei DiSogra (UFPA)	Remove "dried" under vegetables category		Will do after pretest.	
	M2d	Lorelei DiSogra (UFPA)	Suggest this question be clearer		Wording for colum headings has been changed to clarify intent.	
	M5	Lorelei DiSogra (UFPA)	Place "FF/V" before the word "snacks" in the opening paragraph and under "a".		We will revise if needed based on pretest feedback.	
	S1	Lorelei DiSogra (UFPA)	Need to define kiosk		Definition has been added.	
	S4 & S5	Lorelei DiSogra (UFPA)	Make sure to put "Fresh" before "fruits or vegetables" in opening paragraph. Replace "distributed" with "offered/provided/served"		We will revise if needed based on pretest feedback.	

DRAFT OMB PACKAGE	AFT OMB PACKAGE REVISION				REVISION
Issue	Item	Reviewer	Comments	FNS	Abt
	S4	Lorelei	What ? best describes? This ? changes in all surveys? (not legible)		
		DiSogra			
		(UFPA)			
		Lorelei	What about series of questions about/capturing was this FF/V new to students. First time		Not identified as a research priority; not
		DiSogra	trying/their response.		advisable to lengthen instrument.
		(UFPA)			
	S6	Lorelei	Do we need this questions?		Useful to understand reasons for choices of
		DiSogra			foods offered; could drop to cut burden.
		(UFPA)			
	S6	Lorelei	Change "distribution" to "Program"		"Distribution" used to link to preceding
		DiSogra			questions; "program" is broader and could
		(UFPA)			be interepreted to refer to promotion
					activities etc.
	S6a	Lorelei	add an option: Some new FF&V offered this week. (One issue not identified is that many don't	Yes	Not identified as a research priorty; not
		DiSogra	know about wide variety availableeven applesvalue added, etc		advisable to lengthen instrument.
		(UFPA)	, and the state of		
	0	Lorelei	I don't think this question should be last.		Question is no longer placed last in
		DiSogra			instrument.
		(UFPA)			
	O Q2	Lorelei	What is the point? Ate or participated?		Focus is what they eat.
	J 42	DiSogra	That is the point. The or participated.		l ocas is imat tile; cati
		(UFPA)			
	O Q8	Lorelei	Need to clarify question		We will revise if needed based on pretest
	O QO	DiSogra	Theca to during question		feedback.
		(UFPA)			recusació
	0	Lorelei	This list needs to be expanded to capture other positive/negative opinions		Questions have been expanded. Questions
	Ö	DiSogra	This list needs to be expanded to capture other positive/negative opinions		on application process and challenges
		(UFPA)			added.
		Lorelei	Other possible questions: How involved are you in the implementation of the FFVP in schools in		Not identified as a research priorty; not
		DiSogra	your district? What role do they play?		advisable to lengthen instrument.
		(UFPA)	lyour district: what role do they play:		advisable to lengthen instrument.
STATE CHILD NUTRITIC	N AGENCY SI	, ,			
THE CHILD HOTRITIC			Outside Reviewer Comments		
		Laura	Given that the elementary schools can be different combinations of grades (k-4, k-6, k-8 etc), it	Yes	Grade levels of schools in sample will be
			would be good to know the grade levels in schools selected in the different states. Schools may		identified from CCD.
		Punam	choose different implementation strategies based on the age of children. This info can be obtained		action cos.
			here, from the principal or SFA.		
		····			
		Vachaspati			
		(RWJF)			
	<u> </u>	1			

T OMB PACKAGE				REVISION		
Issue	Item	Reviewer	Comments	FNS	Abt	
	Q5-7	Laura	Question 5, 7 – need to define what does satisfactory school wellness policy mean – is it the presence		State defines what is satisfactory. Aski	
		Leviton and	of a policy? Level of implementation? Or some type of scoring?		for this definition would increase burd	
		Punam				
		Ohri-				
		Vachaspati				
		(RWJF)				
	Q9	Laura	Check options for Question 9 – one date and month option for 09-10 but open date and month for 10-		Corrected.	
		Leviton and	11?			
		Punam				
		Ohri-				
		Vachaspati				
		(RWJF)				
	Q12	Laura	In question 12 give examples for options like Implementation plans, nutrition education (may want to		We will revise if needed based on pre	
	Q12		include things like number of hours and frequency, partnerships)		feedback.	
			include timigs like number of nours and frequency, partnerships)		reedback.	
		Punam				
		Ohri-				
		Vachaspati				
		(RWJF)				
	0.10					
	Q16		Question 16 might consider adding promotional materials and education materials as options		We will revise if needed based on pre	
		Leviton and			feedback.	
		Punam				
		Ohri-				
		Vachaspati				
		(RWJF)				
	pg. 9	CDC/DNPA	Also ask if the following is being collected from FFVP schools: requests/problems/needs from the	Yes	Added (now Q18)	
		0	school related to FFVP (e.g. for training, supplies, change in State policies).			
	10	CD C /DN 5 :			D : 1 516	
	pg. 10		The salary for a full-time FFVP coordinator in the State was asked, but not if there is a full-time		Revised per FNS comment	
			FFVP coordinator in the State. If not, who is in charge of administering the program, and what % of			
			time does this represent?			
	pg. 11	CDC/DNPA	Add training and education expenses here.		Do not expect states to report this	
		0			separately; if applicable will be identif	
					as component of admin expense	
	B. 12	CDC/DASH	Any difference between farm-to-café and farm-to-school?		Both terms used (now q14)	
	D. 12	CDC/DASH	Any unference between farm-to-care and farm-to-schools		both terms used (110W Q14)	

DRAFT OMB PACKAGI			REVISION		
Issue	Item	Reviewer	Comments	FNS	Abt
	F4	CDC/DASH	Are you referring to the F3 period?		Yes - reference clarified
	Q11 Lorelei DiSogra (UFPA)		Add questions: g) Less schools applied for the FFVP than the SA expected; h) Do all eligible schools know about the availability of FFVP; i) Is the SA satisfied w/the # of school application s for FFVP received	Yes, to the concept, not the wording	Questions included (Q10, q11)
	Q12	Lorelei DiSogra (UFPA)	"Serving fruits and vegetables"frequency-times per week of offering FFVP (times/wk).		"Distribution methods, time of day, portion sizes"
	Q13a Lorelei DiSogra (UFPA)  Q14 Lorelei DiSogra (UFPA)  C Q15 Lorelei DiSogra (UFPA)  C Q15a Lorelei DiSogra (UFPA)  Q18 Lorelei DiSogra (UFPA)  Q18 Lorelei DiSogra (UFPA)		Goal setting to reach # of F/V servings recommended by DG's	Yes	Covered by "role of ffv in complete diet"; also a topic more suited to adults or teens than elementary students.
			feedback to schools to improve implementation	Yes	Feedback would be part of listed activities.
			Expand question to include other State agencies (Ag/Health) that may be federally in-State funded to capture full picture.	Yes	Covered by" City, County, State, or Tribal government agency (e.g. health departments, agriculture departments, etc.)"
			Include produce companies/produce growers/farmers		We will revise if needed based on pretest feedback.
			Include types of F/V served/offered each month.	Yes	Captured by "Food purchase cost detail by item or category" but could add this as separate category for states that get menus but don't get/save detail of food costs by food item.
	F4	Lorelei DiSogra (UFPA)	Does this apply to applications for school year 10-11?		Yes - reference clarified
	F5	Lorelei DiSogra (UFPA)	Add same questions suggested in Q11		Same Q asked for both years.

Dr. Robert C. and Veronica Atkins
Center for Weight and Health
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
College of Natural Resources & School of Public Health

BERKELEY • DAVIS • IRVINE • LOS ANGELES • RIVERSIDE • SAN DIEGO • SAN FRANCISCO



TO: Tracy Palmer, FNS, USDA

FROM: Karen Webb, Lorrene Ritchie and Pat Crawford

DATE: October 16, 2009

RE: FNS re age appropriateness of student questionnaire and diary

assisted 24 hr recall

The purpose of this memorandum is to respond to reviewers' comments about the age appropriateness of the student diary and the student questionnaire. The following information may be useful in understanding and placing confidence in the diary assisted recall method and the student questionnaire. Small modifications have been made to the student questionnaire to address one of the reviewer's comments as described below.

We understand that a food diary and a prompt list may appear to be difficult for 4th-6th graders. However, the method in this context is used as a tool to assist a full 24 hr recall interview on the following day. The basis for the diary assist was a diary protocol developed, piloted, and validated in the multisite NHLBI Growth and Health Study. This study is the largest longitudinal study with low income African American and White children's diet with 2,379 children measured at baseline and annually for the next ten years. The superiority of this method was demonstrated in a validation study comparing food diaries, 24 hr recall and food frequency in 9 and 10 year old low income children (Crawford et al., 1994). There are many publications based on these data (see reference list). A script for student training and practice session, and a detailed protocol and coding manual for administration of the diary and the modified multiple pass 24 hr recall will be used to train and oversee data collectors and coders. The investigators have extensive experience in using these forms of dietary assessment in large scale studies.

It appears that the comments about the age inappropriateness of the self administered student questionnaire related mostly to the use of YRBS questions on frequency of consumption of fruit and vegetables. We included food frequency questions as a cross check on the usual intakes of fruits and vegetables, in comparison to that reported on the 24 hr data. The reason for selecting YRBS questions is their widespread use, and previous validity testing, albeit in adolescents and not among elementary school children. However, we could find no questionnaire with validated questions on fruit and vegetable intakes, so we retained these questions in the student questionnaire and will specifically assess children's understanding of the questions in the pilot.

Reviewers suggested we include questions about frequency of snacks and beverages, which we can do as a check on how they compare with estimates we obtain from the 1-day diary assisted

recalls. Again, we could find no questions about these which had been validity tested in the age group of interest. However we did find such a questionnaire for older children, the BSQ, and we have selected questions from that questionnaire and included them in our revised student questionnaire. We improved the layout and the look of our questionnaire and included pictures along the lines of the SPANS questionnaire recommended by Laura Leviton, and we will pilot our revised questionnaire to assess understanding of content with low income 4th-6th graders. It is notable that all tools identified, including the SPANS questionnaire ask about food intake "yesterday." The diary assisted 24 hr recall will capture food intakes more accurately than a short questionnaire. While it may be possible to develop a simple food frequency questionnaire for elementary aged children for use in this study, it would have unknown validity, so we have chosen to supplement our dietary data with selected YRBS and BSQ questions, both of which have been validity tested with diverse, albeit older youth.

## Selected references using food diary method in elementary aged children from the NHLBI Growth and Health Study:

- 1. Crawford PB, Obarzanek E, Morrison J, Sabry ZI. Comparative advantage of 3-day food records over 24-hour recall and 5-day food frequency validated by observation of 9- and 10-year-old girls. J. Am. Diet. Assoc., 94:626-630, 1994.
- 2. Crawford PB, Obarzanek E, Schreiber GB, Barrier P, Goldman S, Frederick MM, Sabry ZI. The effects of race, household income and parental education on nutrient intakes of 9- and 10-year-old girls: NHLBI Growth and Health Study. Annals of Epidemiology 5(5):360-368, 1995.
- 3. McNutt SW, Hu Y, Schreiber GB, Crawford PB, Obarzanek E, and Mellin L. A longitudinal study of dietary practices of black and white girls 9 and 10 years old at enrollment: The NHLBI Growth and Health Study. J. Adol. Health, 20:27-37, 1997.
- 4. Striegel-Moore R, Morrison JA, Schreiber G, Schumann BC, Crawford PB, Obarzanek E. Emotion induced eating and sucrose intake in children: The NHLBI Growth and Health Study. Intl. J. of Eating Disorders. 25:389-398, 1999.
- 5. Wang MC, Crawford PB, Moore EC, Hudes M, Sabry ZI, Marcus R, Bachrach LR. Influence of adolescent diet on quantitative ultrasound measurements of the calcaneus in young women. Osteoporosis International. 9:532-535, 1999.
- 6. Ritchie LD, Spector P, Stevens MJ, Schmidt MM, Schreiber GB, Striegel-Moore RH Wang, Crawford PB. Dietary patterns in adolescence are related to adiposity in young adulthood: An analysis of data from the longitudinal NHLBI Growth and Health Study of Black and White females. J Nutr 2007;137:399-406.
- 7. Striegel-Moore RH, Thompson D, Affenito SG, Franko DL, Obarzanek E, Barton BA, Schreiber GB, Daniels SR, Schmidt M, Crawford PB. <u>Correlates of beverage intake in adolescent girls: the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Growth and Health Study.</u> J Pediatr. 2006 Feb;148(2):183-7.

### Validation of the BSQ, the Beverage and Snack Questionnaire:

Development and Validation of a Beverage and Snack Questionnaire for Use in Evaluation of School Nutrition Policies Marian L. Neuhouser, Sonya Lilley, Anne Lund, Donna B. Johnson JADA, September, 2009 pages 1587-1592



# memorandum

### **Social and Economic Policy**

Abt Associates Inc.

**Date** October 20, 2009

To Tracy Palmer, Ted Macaluso

From Susan Bartlett, Jacob Klerman

**Subject** Fresh Fruits and Vegetables Program Study: Number of Days of Operation per Week

The purpose of this memorandum is to respond to the first section of a memorandum from Tracy Palmer dated October 8, on variations in impacts with number of days per week that fresh fruits and vegetables are made available. Our response has three elements:

- We suggest that examining variation in number of operating days will not contribute to understanding the impacts of the FFVP.
- We suggest a slight change in the central research question and a corresponding change in the data collection.
- We describe how variations in impacts with numbers of days per week (and timing of
  environment changes) could be measured—with the conclusion that this would delay the
  study and would have substantial effects on the budget if statistical power was to be
  maintained at or near current levels.

### Implications of Variation in Numbers of Days of Operation for Quality of Offerings

The section of the FNS memorandum was entitled "Dose-Response or Full vs. Partial Implementation of FFVP". While we understand the interest of FNS and child nutrition advocates in variations in impacts, this nomenclature is seriously misleading. We wish to emphasize that *variation in number of days per week does not correspond to either dose-response or full vs. partial implementation*. With a *fixed per-student budget*, a school that offers fresh fruits and vegetables five times per week is offering less expensive selections than a school that offers them three times a week. The portions must be smaller, or the items must be of lower quality, or they must be cheaper types of produce. The choice made by the school regarding number of days per week presumably reflects their judgment of how best to spend the limited budget so as to have the maximum impact on children, balancing considerations of the size and appeal of the individual offerings and the frequency of reinforcement of the healthy eating message.

We think that advocates may be discounting the budget constraint, and may be mentally comparing offerings of the *same* qualities three vs. five times per week. If, however, the claim is that FFVP is more effective if the fixed budget is spread over five days per week, despite the contrary choices made by schools, we would like clarification of this hypothesis.

### Implications of Variations in Number of Days of Operation for Specification of the Research Questions

The research questions in the RFP were written to address the impacts of the program *on days on which it was offered.* A central impact question in the Statement of Work was:

To what extent does children's consumption on school days of fresh fruits and vegetables change on days in which the FFVP provides fresh fruits and/or vegetables to children?

Our study is currently designed to answer this question; we collect dietary recall data for students for days on which fresh fruits and vegetables were offered.

However, having carefully considered issues about days per week of distribution, we urge FNS to re-consider and modify this stated goal of the study. Measured outcomes in participating schools on a day in which FFVP was offered are likely to be larger in schools that implement *fewer* rather than more days per week. This is true because schools offering fewer days per week will be able to offer larger or better portions on those days.

We therefore suggest that FNS modify the research questions to focus on the overall effects of FFVP on children *throughout the school week*. If FNS makes that modification, we would visit schools on a random day of the week rather than on a day that the program was necessarily in operation. Note that this change would decrease the reported impact of the program relative to the current design. To understand this, suppose that all schools operate the program either 3 or 5 days per week. If, as currently planned, we visit schools only on days of operation, our treatment group will include both children who are getting the benefits of 1/5 of their school's weekly FFVP budget and children who are getting the (presumably greater) benefits of 1/3 of the school's weekly budget. If instead we visit schools on a random day, the benefits received by children in the treatment group will be independent of the frequency choice made by their schools, because 40 percent of the children from 3-day-a-week schools will be sampled on days of non-operation. In our judgment this is the correct way to measure the impact of FFVP. We are happy to discuss this issue further.

## Implications of Variations in Days of Operation and Timing of Environmental Changes for Subgroup Analyses

In its memorandum, FNS stated that the two subgroups of greatest interest were:

- 1. Participating schools that implement the FFVP more frequently (4 or 5 days a week) versus those that implement less frequently (1 to 3 days a week).
- 2. Schools that implemented policies for a healthier school food environment prior to application vs. schools that implemented policies for a healthier school food environment after their entry into the program (or after a comparable time point for non-accepted applicants) vs. schools that did not implement policies for a healthier school food environment either before or after.

These process-based subgroups are substantially more challenging for estimation of variations in impacts than subgroups that are based on fixed characteristics of schools or students (e.g. racial/ethnic composition). The challenge arises from the consideration that the same factors that dictate schools' decisions in these arenas (such as the quality of the pre-existing nutrition program, or the attitudes of the principal, the teachers, and the parents) could also affect student outcomes in the presence or absence of FFVP.

With regard to *number of days of operation*, we cannot know how many days the comparison schools would actually have operated the program if they had been selected. By studying their applications, we can however learn the number of days they *planned* to operate. We could therefore define subgroups of both treatment and comparison schools based on "more frequent planned operation" (4 or 5 days per week) and "less frequent planned operation" (1, 2, or 3 days per week). Potential drawbacks and limitations of this procedure are as follows:

- We would want to select approximately equal numbers of schools above and below the
  cutoff for each subgroup. States do not however attempt to balance selected schools on
  this consideration. We might find that schools around the cutoff are disproportionately in
  one group or the other, requiring us to go a considerable distance from the cutoff to make
  up our sample.
- The statistical power of our overall estimates would be reduced because of the need for disproportionate sampling and greater distance from the cutoff.
- We would need to review many hundreds of applications in the 16 States, both accepted and rejected, to perform the classifications. This will take both substantial calendar time and project resources.
- The result will be a subgroup comparison based on planned days of operation, not actual days of operation. We are uncertain as to the strength of the relationship between "planned" and "actual". This relationship could be measured ex post for the treatment group schools.
- The implications for sample size are considerable. A recent presentation by Klerman (and earlier papers in the biomedical literature by Rothwell and Wang) imply that detecting even moderate sized-differential impacts requires very large samples (typically four times as large as for detecting main impacts; slightly smaller if the sample is highly clustered; larger if the sample is highly imbalanced in the dimension of interest). If subgroup analysis is now a primary interest of FNS, we would advise considering quadrupling the sample size, probably by adding States.
- Schools that choose less frequent operation probably differ in important ways from
  schools that choose more frequent operation. Hence even though we will have valid
  impact estimates for the two subgroups, it requires a leap of faith to attribute the
  differential impacts to days of operation. Our conclusion would be *descriptive* of the
  impacts for the two groups of schools. It would not be prescriptive, in the sense that
  imposing a requirement of a particular frequency on schools would change impacts.

Similar considerations arise regarding timing of changes in the healthier school food environment. Again, our comparisons would be based on schools' *planned* changes, as reported in their applications. We would need to review substantial numbers of applications to assign the groups. The need for three balanced subgroups would have greater deleterious effects on the

statistical power for measuring main effects than two subgroups. If effects were needed for both types of subgroups, we would need to balance over six categories  $(2 \times 3)$ . Also, the results would be strictly descriptive.

It is not that subgroup analyses per se are difficult or impossible. The difficulty is with process-based subgroups, which (a) require time-consuming analysis of the applications, (b) can only be analyzed with respect to planned rather than actual values, and (c) can only yield descriptive results. These issues do not arise with regard to fixed school characteristics. Sample size considerations are however relevant for all subgroup analyses. Our original proposal proceeded on the assumption that USDA was interested in sub-group analyses, but that they were not the primary focus of the study (i.e., USDA did not have funds sufficient to power the study to detect all but the largest sub-group impacts).

Since our last conference call, we have given careful consideration to several other strategies which would not require considerable additional data collection. We have concluded that those other strategies would face severe threats to their internal validity and would not yield believable causal inferences. The underlying differences between schools that offer fruits and vegetables more versus fewer days per week would comprise an intractable source of selection bias. Given FNS's need for a study that will stand up to the scrutiny of the research community, we concluded that those methods were not worthy of further investigation.



# memorandum

### **Social and Economic Policy**

Abt Associates Inc.

**Date** October 20, 2009

To Tracy Palmer, Ted Macaluso

From Susan Bartlett, Jacob Klerman

**Subject** Fresh Fruits and Vegetables Program Study: Participating and Nonparticipating

Schools

The purpose of this memorandum is to respond to the third section of the October 8<sup>th</sup> memorandum from Tracy Palmer. This section was entitled "Representativeness of the Sample" and deals with comparisons of school characteristics of various groups of schools. We would like to clarify the analyses we have planned to answer the research question posed. We believe FNS has a slightly different understanding about the analyses we are intending to perform.

#### **Planned Analyses**

One of the research questions posed by FNS in the RFP was:

"For the School Years 2009-10 and 2010-11, what are the characteristics of the schools that were selected in each State to participate and how do they compare to those that were not selected? To other schools in the State or district?"

Our approach to answering this question is summarized in the Exhibit 1 on the following page. This analysis would be performed for each of the 16 study States and combined across all 16 States. The six columns in the exhibit refer to:

- (1) all elementary schools in the State, according to the Common Core of Data (CCD);
- (2) all elementary schools that are eligible according to the CCD, i.e. in which at least 50 percent of students are eligible for free/reduced price meals<sup>1</sup>;
- (3) all elementary schools that are eligible according to the CCD, in districts that had at least one eligible applicant for FFVP;
- (4) all elementary schools that applied for FFVP (and were eligible);
- (5) all elementary schools that are eligible according to the CCD, in districts in which at least one school participates in FFVP;
- (6) elementary schools participating in FFVP.

<sup>1</sup> The CCD does not indicate whether a school participates in the NSLP. However, since over 90 percent of public school districts do participate, this should not substantially affect the comparisons.

Exhibit 1: Planned Comparisons of Participant and Nonparticipant Schools								
	All elementary schools that are							
	In	Eligible	In districts	Eligible	In districts	FFVP		
	State	(2)	which have	applicants	which have	participants		
	(1)		eligible	(4)	FFVP	(6)		
			applicants		participants			
			(3)		(5)			
Percent	NA	NA	NA		NA			
free/reduced								
price, on								
applications								
Percent								
free/reduced								
price, in CCD								
Demographic								
characteristics,								
from CCD								
School								
characteristics,								
from CCD								

Comparison of the first two rows for eligible applicants and for schools selected to receive FFVP (columns 4 and 6) will provide some guidance on how well the CCD measures current school characteristics.

For rows (2) through (4), this tabulation will tell us:

- 1. How eligible schools compare with all schools statewide with regard to poverty and demographics (columns 1 and 2): What does the eligibility screen accomplish? Are *eligible* schools notably poorer and otherwise different from other schools in the state?
- 2. How eligible applicants compare with all eligible schools in their districts (columns 3 and 4): Who chooses to apply? Are the schools that *apply* in each district drawn from among the poorer eligible schools, or are they otherwise different?
- 3. How FFVP schools compare with all eligible schools in their districts (columns 3 and 6): How do participants compare with eligible nonparticipants? Are the schools that *participate* in each district poorer or otherwise different from other eligible schools?
- 4. How FFVP schools compare with eligible applicants (columns 4 and 6): What does the selection process from among applicants accomplish? Are the schools that *participate* in general poorer or otherwise different from those that *apply*?
- 5. How FFVP schools compare with all other schools in their districts (columns 5 and 6): What is the final result of the selection process? Are the schools that *participate* in each district notably poorer and otherwise different from other schools in those districts?

In addition, for all 54 "states", we will collect some numerical information on the application process: number of eligible schools, number of schools applying, number of schools selected, and

limited information about the characteristics of the schools selected (% with FSL 60-75 and above 75).

#### Merging with the CCD

Our planned analysis requires that we merge the data we have received from States covering all schools that *applied* for FFVP in the 16 States (and were eligible). This match will support tabulations both for each State and for all 16 States combined. Furthermore, we will include a narrative discussion of how the state's approach to selecting schools affected the characteristics of the schools actually selected (assuming we resolve confidentiality issues).

Merging State lists with the Common Core Data (CCD) allows us to characterize schools by student demographics such as race and ethnicity, and by school characteristics such as highest and lowest grade served. FFVP eligibility (based on percent of students eligible for free and reduced price meals) is however time-dependent, and the information in the CCD will not be as current as the data on FFVP applications.

We also note that it is quite time intensive to match schools from State lists to the CCD. State lists include only school name and district. We will need to sort the CCD by State and district and then proceed to do the matches manually.

#### **FNS Memorandum**

The language in the FNS memorandum that differs from our plan is as follows:

FNS believes this data provides the FRP numbers for the schools in the State and that under the contract Abt will inform us (for the 16 States) on how many schools in the State meet the FFVP FRP cutoff, of those how many applied, and of those how many are funded.

To get consistent counts for these would require that the States provide us with current information on percent free/reduced price for all schools meeting the 50 percent free/reduced price cutoff, regardless of whether they applied. With this information we could fill in column (2) in the first row of Exhibit 1. This data request would increase State burden. Our plan, in contrast, compares the State counts of applicants and participants (columns 4 and 6 in Exhibit 1) with CCD estimates of number of eligible schools.

Our current plan includes only simple counts beyond the 16 selected states. If FNS wants, Abt would be willing to cost out an implementation analysis for all 54 "states". An analysis like that would include requesting lists of schools from every states (eligible, applying, selected) as well as process information (i.e., how was the selection done). This would support 54 "case studies".

We look forward to guidance from FNS on this issue.