PARTICIPANT QUESTIONNAIRE TO ASSESS THE BENEFITS OF COLLABORATIVE MODELING

(Personal Interview)

OMB 0710-0001

Expires: 30 September 2012

The public report burden for this information collection is estimated to average 60 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this data collection, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Executive Services Directorate, Information Management Division, and the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, D.C. 20503: Attn.: Desk Officer for U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Please DO NOT RETURN your completed form to either of these offices.

Participant Questionnaire to Assess the Benefits of Collaborative Modeling

1.		ease identify the name of the collaborative process for which you are completing this rvey.
2.	Wh	nich category best describes the interest or organization you represented in this process?
Сн	ECK	THE MOST APPROPRIATE ANSWER ONLY
	b.c.d.e.f.g.	Federal Government State Government Local/Regional Government Tribal Government Environmental/Conservation Recreational Industrial/Resource Extraction Business/Commercial Community or Private Citizen (e.g., neighborhood association, local resident) Special Advocacy Interests (PLEASE DESCRIBE): Other (PLEASE SPECIFY):

3. Please identify the concerns that were considered during the collaborative process, and use the scale below to rank these concerns based on what *you believe* were the highest priorities. More than one concern may be identified in the same category (e.g., "highest priority"). Please identify and rate any additional categories that are not listed.

	Highest priority	Relatively high priority	Relatively low priority	Not a consideration
Agriculture				
Air Quality				
Archeology or Historic Preservation				
Coastal Zone or Marine Management				
Ecosystem Management				
Endangered Species and/or Critical				
Habitat				
Energy				
Facility (dam) Reoperation				
Forest and Timber Management				
Flood Damage Reduction (Flood Control)				
Stream Flow Regime				
Land Use and Urban Development				
Mining				
Native American, Alaska Native, Native				
Hawaiian Issues				
Navigation	<u> </u>	Ц	Ш	Ц
Parks and Refuges	<u> </u>	Ц	Ш	
Recreational Use and Access	<u> </u>	Ц	Ш	Ц
Solid or Hazardous Waste	<u> </u>	Ц	Ш	Ц
Transportation	<u> </u>	Ц	Ш	Ц
Vegetation/Riparian Management				
Watershed/River Basin Management				
Water Demand Management				
Water Quality				
Water Supply				
Wildlife Management				

				_		
	Other Category #1 (PLEASE DESCRIBE					
	Other Category #2 (PLEASE DESCRIBE			Ц		
	Other Category #3 (PLEASE DESCRIBE) 🗆				
4.	Using the scale below, please identification is being made between the latter describes the subset of activities evaluate alternatives. Note that the tof identifying important variables, related to the task of actually programmer.	olvement. (No e "planning pro es focused on erm "model de ationships amo	ote that for to ocess," and development evelopment ong variable	he purposes of the "modeling nt and use of a ' as used herei	f this quest process," v quantitativ n refers to	ionnaire, a where the e model to the process
		Not	Involved	Somewhat	Very	Not
		involved	very little	involved	involved	Applicable
	a. Planning process (overall)			□*	□*	
	b. Modeling process (overall)			□**	□**	
	c. Planning process design					
	d. Modeling process design					
	e. Problem formulation					
	f. Fact finding					
	g. Discussions/negotiations with					
	other parties regarding alternatives					
	h. Coordination of other stakeholder input	Ц				
	i. Model development					
	j. Evaluation of alternatives					
	k. Development of recommendations					
	I. Monitoring the implementation of the agreement					
	m. Other (PLEASE DESCRIBE)					
	*4A. If you answered that you were process overall, please proving process: a. What was your role in the plant b. What was the duration of the c. How many meetings/calls with the process overall, please proving process: a. What was your role in the plant b. What was the duration of the plant in the pl	process?ne process?were convenere "somewhatide the follow process?ne process?ne process?	d and at wh	at frequency?_ or "very invo	lved" in th	the planning e modeling the modeling
	c. How many meetings/calls	were convene	d and at wh	at frequency?_		

4C. If you answered either Question 4A or 4B, statements about the interaction of the pla							e follo	wing
	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Somewhat	Somewhat	Agree	Strongly	Don't	Not necessary
The planning and modeling processes were closely integrated								
b. Some individuals were involved in both the planning and modeling processes								
c. The scope of issues addressed by the model were defined by the planning process								
d. Information used in the model was gathered through the planning process								
The alternatives to be evaluated in the model were defined by the planning process								
f. The model was modified as necessary to address feedback from the planning process								
CHECK ALL THAT APPLY □ a. I was responsible for conveying information among places. I was responsible for conveying information among places. I was responsible for conveying information among places. I had authority to make some decisions on behalf of the conveying information among places. I had authority to make some decisions on behalf of the conveying information among places. I had authority to make some decisions on behalf of the conveying information among places. I had authority to make all decisions on behalf of the conveying information among places. I had authority to make all decisions on behalf of the conveying information among places. I had authority to make some decisions on behalf of the conveying information among places. I had authority to make all decisions on behalf of the conveying information among places. I had authority to make all decisions on behalf of the conveying information among places. I had authority to make all decisions on behalf of the conveying information among places. I had authority to make all decisions on behalf of the conveying information among places. I had authority to make all decisions on behalf of the conveying information among places. I had authority to make all decisions on behalf of the conveying information among places. I had authority to make all decisions on behalf of the conveying information among places. I had authority to make all decisions on behalf of the conveying information among places. I had authority to make all decisions on behalf of the conveying information among places. I had authority to make all decisions on behalf of the conveying information among places. I had authority to make all decisions on behalf of the conveying information among places. I had authority to make all decisions on behalf of the conveying information among places. I had authority to make all decisions on behalf of the conveying information among places. I had authority to make all decisions on behalf of the conveying information among places. I had a the conveyin	ong stal	ehold orgar	lers ou nizatio	ıtside				
6. Please indicate the extent to which agreement was about what it was that the group was charged to comprocess. The term "agreement" as used herein refer the decision maker or decision-making authority as a process.)	ne up w rs to fin	ith at al rec	the en	d of th ndatio	nis coll ns ma	aborat de by	tive the gr	
PLEASE CHOOSE ONLY ONE								
 □ Agreement reached on all key issues □ Agreement on most key issues □ Agreement on some key issues □ No agreement on any key issues, but progress was the conflict (SKIP TO QUESTION 8) □ No agreement, we ended the process without makin □ I was involved in the modeling process only and am QUESTION 8) □ The process is ongoing, and an agreement has yet to 	g much not sur	n prog	ress (s ne agre	SKIP TO eemen	QUES	STION 8 IS (SKII	3)	olving
USE THIS SPACE TO COMMENT:								

7.	Using the scale below, rate the following statements reQuestion 6).	egardi	ng the	agree	ement	(as re	eferred	l to in
		Not at all	To a very little extent	To a little	To some	To a great	To a very	Don't know
	The extent to which you feel the agreement reached takes account of all key interests							
	b. The extent to which you feel that the agreement reached takes account of your key interests							
	c. The extent to which the agreement reached will effectively solve the problem/resolve the conflict							
	d. The extent to which you are confident the agreement can be implemented.							
	7A. If you rated any of the above statements "not at extent," please explain.	all," "t	o a ve	ry littl	e exte	nt," o	r "to a	little
8.	If you had not participated in this collaborative proces process(es) (or mechanism(s)) for the issues to be add					n the	most l	ikely
PLE	EASE CHOOSE ALL THAT APPLY							
	 a. Unassisted negotiation b. Judicial settlement conference c. Litigation d. Lobbying or working to achieve legislative action e. Rulemaking f. Arbitration g. Administrative proceeding (e.g., agency appeals proceorder) h. Unilateral decision by single party i. Wait for a better time to take action j. Maintain the status quo with no plans for future action k. A combination of the above (PLEASE SPECIFY) 		ntested	d proce	ess he	aring,	agend	:y
	I. Don't know (SKIP TO QUESTION 12) m. Other (PLEASE DESCRIBE)					411	.	-
9.	Please consider how the collaborative process you co that you identified in the Question 8, and then check the							
PLE	EASE CHOOSE ONLY ONE							
	I feel the collaborative process was <i>less expensive</i> but additional resources were probably needed. I feel the collaborative process <i>cost more</i> and the extra costs <i>were worth</i> the investment. I feel the collaborative process <i>cost more</i> and the extra costs were <i>not</i> worth the investment.							

10	that you identified in Question 8, and then check the m							live		
PLE	EASE CHOOSE ONLY ONE									
	I feel the collaborative process took <i>less time</i> and this du I feel the collaborative process took <i>less time</i> but additio I feel the collaborative process took <i>more time</i> and the element if the collaborative process took <i>more time</i> and the element is because of the collaborative process took <i>more time</i> and the element is because of the collaborative process took <i>more time</i> and the element is because of the collaborative process took <i>more time</i> and the element is because of the collaborative process took <i>more time</i> and the element is because of the collaborative process took <i>more time</i> and the element is because of the collaborative process took <i>more time</i> and the element is because of the collaborative process took <i>more time</i> and the element is because of the collaborative process took <i>more time</i> and the element is because of the collaborative process took <i>more time</i> and the element is because of the collaborative process took <i>more time</i> and the element is because of the collaborative process took <i>more time</i> and the element is because of the collaborative process took <i>more time</i> and the element is because of the collaborative process took <i>more time</i> and the element is because of the collaborative process took <i>more time</i> and the element is because of the collaborative process took <i>more time</i> and the element is because of the collaborative process took <i>more time</i> and the element is because of the collaborative process took <i>more time</i> and the element is the collaborative process took <i>more time</i> and the element is the collaborative process took <i>more time</i> and the collaborative process to the collaborative pro	nal tim xtra tin	e was ne <i>wa</i> :	proba s <i>wortl</i>	bly ne the in	าvestn		ıt.		
11.	. Using the scale below, how do you think the collaborate compare with the alternative that you selected in Quest what would have happened with the alternative you chose on the following)	tion 8	? (Alth	ough	it may	be ha	rd to k			
		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Somewhat	Somewhat	Agree	Strongly	Don't		
	a. The results of the collaborative process <i>better</i> served the interests of the participants.									
	b. The collaborative process made me <i>more</i> aware of other stakeholders' interests and objectives.									
	c. The results of the collaborative process are <i>less likely</i> to be challenged.									
	d. The participants are <i>more likely</i> to be able to work together in the future on matters related to this case or project.									
	e. The collaborative process we participated in <i>more</i> effectively addressed the issues or resolved the conflict.									
	f. The collaborative process we participated in led or will lead to a <i>more informed</i> public action / decision.									
	* 11A. If you rated any of the above statements "stror "somewhat disagree," please explain.	igly dis	sagre	e," "di	isagre	e," or				
	- 									
12	2. Using the scale below, please rate the extent to which the following conditions were in place (1) when the process began and (2) as a result of the process.									
		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Somewhat	Somewhat	Agree	Strongly	Don't		
	The participants were able to work together cooperatively before the process began.									
	The participants were able to work together cooperatively as a result of the process.									



13.	place (1) when the process began and (2) as a result of the process.									
		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Somewhat	Somewhat	Agree	Strongly	Don't		
	The participants trusted each other before the process began.									
	The participants trusted each other as a result of the process.									
14.	Using the scale below, please rate your level of agree	eement	with	the fo	llowi	ng:				
		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Somewhat	Somewhat	Agree	Strongly	Don't		
	a. I had the resources (e.g., time, money) needed to participate effectively in the process.									
	b. The participants, as a group, felt they were appropriately engaged in designing the process.									
	c. I was involved as needed in selecting the facilitator(s).									
	d. The participants, as a group, represented all affected concerns.									
	e. Participants were involved in appropriate roles in the process									
	f. The absence of participants had a negative effect on the collaborative process.									
	g. The participants had sufficient authority to make commitments on behalf of their organizations.									
	h. Changes in leadership during the process had a negative effect on the collaborative process									
	i. The participants continued to be engaged so long as their involvement was necessary.									
	j. The overall duration of the process had a negative effect on the collaborative process									
	 k. The process helped me gain a better understanding of the all of the issues to be addressed. 									
	I. The process helped me gain a better understanding of the other participants' views and perspectives.									
	m. The process helped me identify and focus on the key issues that had to be addressed.									
	n. The process helped the participants, as a group, effectively engage to work on the key issues.									
	 The participants, as a group, sought options or solutions that met the common needs of all participants. 									

15. Please identify your level of familiarity and/or involvement in the model development, testing, and/or application:

PLE	ASE	E CHOOSE ONLY ONE								
□ □ □	va I w ab I w (St	vas involved in at least one aspect of the modeling priables and relationships, testing the model, etc.) vas not directly involved but am familiar enough with out it vas not involved and am not familiar enough with the KIP TO QUESTION 17) Using the scale below, please rate your level of a participation in the modeling process:	the mo	ing pr	g proce	ess to to ans	answe swer qı	er ques	stions ns abo	out it
		<u> </u>	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Somewhat	Somewhat	Agree	Strongly	Not	Don't know
		Participants in the modeling process were involved in defining the overall purpose and use of the model.								
	b.	Participants in the modeling process were involved in identifying data sources, variables, and assumptions to be used in the model.								
	C.	Participants in the modeling process were involved in defining and/or structuring the causal relationships among variables in the model.								
	d.	Participants in the modeling process understood how their questions would be addressed by the model.								
	e.	Participants in the modeling process were involved in characterizing the status quo (i.e., current approach to the resource management issue) and the assumptions used for the status quo.								
•	f.	Participants in the modeling process were involved in formulating alternatives to the status quo to be tested.								
Ī	g.	<u> </u>								
	h.	Participants were encouraged to directly interact with the model via the interface.								
	i.	Modeling results were available in a short enough timeframe to meet the needs of the collaborative process.								

				Strongly disagree	Disagree	Somewhat	Somewhat	Agree	Strongly	Don't know
	a.	The modeling process improved the extent to which relevant information was integrated into the process (relative to what would have been the case in the absence of the model).								
	b.	The model addressed all key interests.								
	C.	The model addressed your key interests								
	d.	The model balanced participants' key interests in an unbiased way.								
	e.	The model presented a realistic portrayal of the relating impacts of different resource management alternative								
	f.	I trust the technical information used in the model.								
	g.	The model was accessible by all participants, regard of their technical background.								
	h.	Participants had adequate opportunities to evaluate scenarios of interest to them using the model.								
18 .	Us	sing the scale below, please rate your level of a	igreen	nent w		e foll	owing	;:		
			Strongly disagree	Disagree	Somewhat	Somewhat	Agree	Strongly	Don't know	Not applicable
	a.	We worked effectively to identify information needs.								
	b.	All participants had full access to relevant information they needed in order to participate effectively in this collaborative process.								
	c.	The quality of the information used was good enough for the process.								
	d.	Key information was missing which raised important doubt regarding some aspect of the model.								
	e.	Relevant information was effectively integrated into the process (e.g., a project web site was used to share information, spatial analysis and decision support tools were used).								
	f.	As a group, participants gained a better understanding of the nature and magnitude of impacts of different resource management alternatives on their interests other than their own.								
	g.	As a result of my involvement, I was better able to convey relevant information among the stakeholders and/or decision-makers who I represented.								
	h.	The agreement(s) reached was (were) improved as a result of information integrated into the								

17. *Using the scale below, please rate your agreement with the following.* (note that this question should be answered by those who were directly involved in the collaborative modeling as well as those who were less involved in the modeling but, nonetheless, experienced its

impacts on the overall process)

		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Somewhat	Somewhat	Agree	Strongly	Not	Don't know
a.	The evaluation of alternatives was better informed as a result of the collaborative fact finding process.								
b.	The evaluation of alternatives was better informed as a result of the collaborative modeling process.								
c.	The trade-offs among stakeholder interests associated with different alternatives were more clearly articulated as a result of the collaborative modeling process.								
	The comparison of alternatives was more credible as a result of the collaborative modeling process.								
e.	The modeling process took into account implementation factors and helped the process focus on implementable options.								
f.	The modeling process helped to address the problem/resolve the conflict.								
g.	The alternatives evaluated using the collaborative modeling process contributed to the development of the recommended plan.	□*	□*	□*					
h.	Actions taken (or planned) to address the resource management issue are consistent with the recommended plan.	□**	□**	□**					
*19	OA. If you rated Question 19g as "strongly di please identify the reason(s) for the lack and recommended plan. PLEASE CHOOSE ALL THAT APPLY:								
	 □ There was little overlap between the individuals participating in the modelin □ One or more participants in the planning padequately represented in the modeling p 	ng proc process	ess felt th	Ū			٠.		and
	☐ The model rationale and/or results were n recommended plan			derstoc	d by t	hose v	who de	evelop	ed the
	 □ The model did not account for key informations (e.g., legal constraints) □ Model results were not available at the time 				·				

process.

	**19B.		reason(s) for incon		ree," or "somewhat di actions taken (or plan	
	_	process were use One or more parti	d (or will be used) as cipants in the collabo	the basis for action rative planning prod	ess developed alternati	ive
		basis for action ☐ New information a	nd/or key interests w	ere identified but the	re used (or will be used) e process was not recol lanning approach and d	nvened
	[☐ Agreements requi		nclusion of the plan	complex ning process and actior	1
20.		nany "practitioners,' se of this questionnair			supported the process	? For the
	• /	constructively discuss	a number of comple	x, potentially controv	viduals or other parties t versial issues eloping the model throu	
	THAN T	HREE PRINCIPAL PRACT	TITIONERS SUPPORTED	THE PROCESS, CHO	G., LEAD FACILITATOR; IF N DSE THE THREE WITH WH HOSE THREE PRACTITION	OM YOU
	☐ Or ☐ Tw ☐ Th ☐ Mo	<i>1</i> 0				
21.	initia and/	als in the space prov	ided and identifying ss. (note that for sor	g the choice(s) tha me processes, one p	process by entering to t best describe their roperson may serve more ad)	ole
	Facil	litator's / Modeler's	(0	Roles Served CHECK ALL THAT APP	LY)	
		Initials	Facilitator	Modeler	Not Sure	
			_ _ _			
			_	_	_	

22. Using the scale below, please rate the following for each of the facilitators / modelers identified in Question 21.

NOTE THAT SOME OF THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTS REFER TO A SPECIFIC ROLE — FACILITATOR OR MODELER. IF A STATEMENT DOES NOT MENTION ONE OF THE ROLES SERVED BY THE PRACTITIONER THAT YOU ARE RATING, SELECT "NOT APPLICABLE." FOR EXAMPLE, IF YOU WERE RATING A PRACTITIONER WHO SERVED AS A FACILITATOR ONLY, YOU WOULD PROVIDE A RATING FOR STATEMENT "B" AND ANSWER "NOT APPLICABLE" IN RESPONSE TO STATEMENT "C." IF THE PRACTITIONER SERVED BOTH THE FACILITATOR AND MODELER ROLES, YOU WOULD PROVIDE A RATING FOR BOTH STATEMENTS "B" AND "C."

22-1. Initials of first facilitator / modeler identified above ____

		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Somewhat	Somewhat	Agree	Strongly	Not
a.	On reflection, this was the right facilitator / modeler to guide the planning and/or modeling process.							
b.	The facilitator kept us on track and proceeding in a timely manner.							
C.	The modeler was able to explain to participants with varying levels of experience how the modeling process would work							
d.	The facilitator helped us manage technical discussions efficiently.							
e.	The facilitator dealt with all the participants in a fair and unbiased manner.							
f.	When things got tense, the facilitator was able to help us find ways to move forward constructively.							
g.	When necessary, the facilitator / modeler brought in neutral topical experts to help address technical questions and/or resolve differences in interpretation of factual information.							
h.	The facilitator made sure that the views and perspectives of all participants were considered in the process.							
i.	The modeler made sure that all participants had adequate opportunity to participate in decisions about model structure and data inputs							
j.	The facilitator made sure that no one dominated the process or other participants.							
k.	The modeler was able to explain in an intuitive way how the model input was reflected the model output (e.g., how different input assumptions affected the output)							
I.	The modeler was able to work effectively with the group to create an interface and/or to display results in a manner that was useful to participants.							
m.	The facilitator helped the participants test the practicality of the options under discussion.							
n.								
0.	The group could not have progressed as far without the help of the facilitator / modeler .							

If you answered "one" for Question 20, skip to Question 23 $\,$

22-2. Initials of second facilitator / modeler identified above ____

		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Somewhat	Somewhat	Agree	Strongly	Not
a.	to guide the planning and/or modeling process.							
b.	The facilitator kept us on track and proceeding in a timely manner.							
C.	The modeler was able to explain to participants with varying levels of experience how the modeling process would work							
d.	The facilitator helped us manage technical discussions efficiently.							
e.	The facilitator dealt with all the participants in a fair and unbiased manner.							
f.	When things got tense, the facilitator was able to help us find ways to move forward constructively.							
g.								
h.	The facilitator made sure that the views and perspectives of all participants were considered in the process.							
i.	The modeler made sure that all participants had adequate opportunity to participate in decisions about model structure and data inputs							
j.	The facilitator made sure that no one dominated the process or other participants.							
k.	The modeler was able to explain in an intuitive way how the model input was reflected the model output (e.g., how different input assumptions affected the output)							
I.	The modeler was able to work effectively with the group to create an interface and/or display results in a manner that was useful to participants.							
m.	The facilitator helped the participants test the practicality of the options under discussion.							
n.	The facilitator was helpful in documenting our agreement.							
0.	The group could not have progressed as far without the help of the facilitator / modeler .							

IF YOU ANSWERED "TWO" FOR QUESTION 20, SKIP TO QUESTION 23

22-3. Initials of third facilitator / modeler identified above ____

_								
		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Somewhat	Somewhat	Agree	Strongly	Not
a.	On reflection, this was the right facilitator / modeler to guide the planning and/or modeling process.							
b.	The facilitator kept us on track and proceeding in a timely manner.							
C.	varying levels of experience how the modeling process would work							
	The facilitator helped us manage technical discussions efficiently.							
e.	The facilitator dealt with all the participants in a fair and unbiased manner.							
f.	When things got tense, the facilitator was able to help us find ways to move forward constructively.							
g.	When necessary, the facilitator / modeler brought in neutral topical experts to help address technical questions and/or resolve differences in interpretation of factual information.							
h.	The facilitator made sure that the views and perspectives of all participants were considered in the process.							
i.	The modeler made sure that all participants had adequate opportunity to participate in decisions about model structure and data inputs							
j.	The facilitator made sure that no one dominated the process or other participants.							
k.	how the model input was reflected the model output (e.g., how different input assumptions affected the output)							
I.	The modeler was able to work effectively with the group to create an interface and/or to display results in a manner that was useful to participants.							
m.	The facilitator helped the participants test the practicality of the options under discussion.							
n.	The facilitator was helpful in documenting our agreement.							
0.	The group could not have progressed as far without the help of the facilitator / modeler .							

23.	3. Using the scale below, please rate the following statements for processes that involved a modeler/modeling team who worked with a separate facilitator:									
		Not Applicable, roles were not separate (SKIP TO QUEST	TION 24	1)						
				Strongly disagree	Disagree	Somewhat	Somewhat	Agree	Strongly	
	a.	There was a clear distribution of roles and responsibilition between the modeler and facilitator								
	b. The modeler and facilitator understood and respected each others' roles.									
	C.	c. The modeler and facilitator supported each other and worked effectively together.								
24.	Th	ink back to the start of the process and please rate t	he foll	owing	j usin	g the	scale	below	' :	
			Strongly disagree	Disagree	Somewhat	Somewhat	Agree	Strongly	Not	
	a.	At the start of the process, I was willing to work cooperatively with other participants in this process.								
25. Using the scale below , please rate your agreement with the following statements:							ents:			
			Strongly disagree	Disagree	Somewhat	Somewhat	Agree	Strongly	Don't	
	a.	I would recommend this type of process to my colleagues in a similar situation without hesitation.								
	b.	We could not have progressed as far using any other process of which I am aware.								
	C.	The process would not have achieved as much without the use of collaborative modeling.								

26.	At 1	tnis point in time, in ver	y general terms what did this collaborative process ac	complish?
Сне	CK /	ALL THAT APPLY		
	b.c.d.e.f.g.h.i.	An impasse (stalemate) A crisis was averted. Conflict didn't escalate. Costly or protracted litigate Participants gained a greathe issue Participants gained a greathe issue Relationships among pathe process resulted in the stale of the sta		
		Nothing was accomplish The process made the is		
		·	sales of dispute worse.	
27.	Wh	at is your top suggestic	on on how this collaborative process could have been	improved?
PLE.	ASE	WRITE "NONE" IF YOU FEEL	L THIS PROCESS COULD NOT HAVE BEEN IMPROVED	
		m your perspective, wh he collaborative proces	eat will be the long-term effect(s) (e.g., positive or negases?	itive impacts)
COL ADD	LAB(RES	ORATIVE PROCESS AND/OR	CH OF THE CATEGORIES LISTED BELOW THAT WERE ADDRESSE ADD YOUR OWN CATEGORIES AS APPROPRIATE. IF A CATEGO EXPECTED, PLEASE WRITE "NONE." IF A CATEGORY WAS NOT	RY WAS
			Effect(s) of the process	
		resources and mental conditions		
Hist resc		and cultural es		
Con		inity and social ons		
Eco	non	nic conditions		
Rec	rea	tional Uses		
Oth	er, p	please specify	·	

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Your assistance in providing this information is *very* much appreciated.