

**Supporting Statement**  
**Application for Asylum and Withholding of Removal**  
**Form I-589**  
**(OMB No. 1615-0067)**

**A. Justification.**

- (1) The information provided on this form is used by the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS), and the Executive Office for Immigration Review (EOIR), a component of the Department of Justice (DOJ), to determine whether an alien applying for asylum and/or withholding of removal or deportation in the United States is classifiable as a refugee, and is eligible to remain in the United States. Section 208(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA), charges DHS and DOJ with establishing procedures whereby aliens may apply for asylum. Under 8 CFR 208.3 and 208.4 the Form I-589 is used to standardized the collection of information relevant to the asylum determination.
- (2) USCIS and EOIR use the data collected on the Form I-589 to determine eligibility of persons applying for asylum and for withholding of removal. Under section 208(a)(1) of the INA, any alien who is physically present in the United States, or at a land border or port of entry, may apply for asylum regardless of such alien's status. In the first instance, a corps of professional asylum officers adjudicate the applications from aliens who are not subject to removal proceedings, or who have not yet been placed in removal proceedings. Immigration judges adjudicate asylum applications of individuals in removal proceedings. The form serves the purpose of standardizing the application and ensuring that applicants provide the required information necessary for assessing eligibility.

USCIS also uses the Form I-589 to serve as an alternate application for evidence of employment authorization for individuals granted asylum, eliminating their need to file a separate Form I-765, Application for Employment Authorization

(OMB No. 1615-0040) with USCIS if, after being granted asylum, they wish to receive an Employment Authorization Document (EAD) containing both evidence of employment authorization and identity. The Form I-589 collects the same biographic information as that collected by the Form I-765. In cases where asylum is granted, the biographic information contained on the Form I-589 could also be used to generate the Employment Authorization Document (EAD). USCIS must issue an EAD with a photo and fingerprint to asylees “immediately” upon the grant of asylum.

Dual use of the form also benefits asylees and persons granted withholding of removal. They receive USCIS-issued evidence of identity and work authorization immediately after they obtain notice of decision to grant asylum, thus enabling them to promptly work and access any public benefits to which they may be entitled.

- (3) The use of Form I-589 provides the most efficient means for collecting and processing the required data. USCIS is in the process of further enhancing customer service by developing a means of accepting electronic submission of the Form I-589 as part of the Business Transformation Project.
- (4) A review of the USCIS automated forms tracking system was accomplished and revealed no duplication of effort for this information collection.
- (5) The collection of information does not have an impact on small businesses or other small entities.
- (6) If the information were not collected, USCIS and DOJ would not be in compliance with section 208(b) of the INA that charges USCIS and DOJ to establish procedures whereby aliens are able to apply for asylum. This instrument facilitates the ability of aliens to apply for asylum as well as for withholding of removal under section 241(b)(3) of the INA. The use of a form, rather than permitting a free narrative, focuses the applicant on the specific details that are legally relevant, and ensures that all necessary elements are addressed. If this information were not collected, the adjudicator would be unable to prepare for the interview or hearing by reviewing relevant law and country conditions, and there

would be no sworn, written record of the applicant's claim. Because the applicant is required to come forward with his or her claim in a systematic and organized fashion, this form allows USCIS and DOJ to address a greater volume of applications and to concentrate efforts on approving meritorious claims.

- (7) There are no special circumstances associated with this information collection.
- (8) On October 1, 2009, USCIS published a 60-day notice in the Federal Register at 74 FR 50812. USCIS did not receive any comments on this information collection. On December 31, 2009, USCIS published a 30-day notice in the Federal Register at 74 FR 69353.
- (9) USCIS do not provide payments or gifts to applicants in exchange for a benefit sought.
- (10) Confidentiality of an application for asylum or withholding of removal is governed by 8 CFR 208.6 and 1208.6.
- (11) Certain questions on Form I-589 relate to topics of a sensitive nature such as race, religion, nationality, membership in a particular social group, or a person's political opinion. However, these questions are necessary to help determine whether an applicant for asylum qualifies as a refugee, as that term is defined in section 101(a)(42) of the INA: a refugee is a person who is unable or unwilling to return to his or her country of nationality or last habitual residence, because of persecution on account of race, religion, nationality, membership in a particular social group, or political opinion. Aside from some general questions, these issues are explored only to the degree that they are raised by the applicant's claim for protection as an asylee under section 208(a) of the INA, or for withholding of removal under section 241(b)(3) of the INA.

(12) **Annual Reporting Burden:**

a.	Number of Respondents	63,138*
b.	Number of Responses per each Respondent	1
c.	Total Annual Responses	63,138
d.	Hours per Response	12
e.	Total Annual Reporting Burden	757,656

\*(This figure is based on approximately 45,000 affirmative applications filed with USCIS, on average, over the last 5 fiscal years (of the 45,000 applications filed with USCIS, 31,500 (affirmative filings not granted by USCIS) are referred to EOIR. In addition, it is estimated that 18,138 defensive applications are filed directly with EOIR on average, over the same 5 year period.)

**Annual Public Burden**

**The total annual reporting burden hours are 757,656.** This figure was derived by multiplying the number of respondents (63,138) x frequency of response (1) x 12 hours per response.

- (13) There are no capital or start-up costs associated with this information collection. Any cost burdens to respondents as a result of this collection are identified in item 14. There are no fees associated with this information collection.

(14) **Annualized Cost Analysis:**

a.	Printing Cost	\$ 53,036
b.	Collection and Processing	\$80,840,016
c.	Total Cost to Program	\$80,893,052
d.	Fee Charge	0
e.	Total Cost to Government	\$80,893,052

**Government Cost**

**The estimated cost of the program to the Government is \$80,893,052.**

**The cost for USCIS is \$29,753,036.** This figure is calculated by using the estimated average number of respondents (45,000) multiplied by 16.5 hours (This figure includes the total time required to collect, process, and adjudicate information by the Asylum Division, Service Centers, and Trial Attorneys, multiplied by \$40.00 (the suggested average hourly rate for clerical, Asylum Officer, Trial Attorney, and managerial time with benefits), plus the estimated annual overhead cost for printing, stocking, and distributing this form (\$53,036).

**The cost for EOIR is \$51,140,016 .** This figure is calculated by:

- Multiplying EOIR’s affirmative and defensive filings (49,638) x \$582 (this cost figure represents the average cost for all affirmative (31,500) and defensive (18,138) filings completed by EOIR’s, Immigration Judges); and
- Multiplying the number of cases transmitted for appeal with EOIR’s Board of Immigration Appeals (20,100\*\*) x \$1,107 (this cost figure represents the average cost for all appeals completed by EOIR’s, Board of Immigration Appeals).

\*\*Of the affirmative (31,500) and defensive (18,138) filings adjudicated by EOIR’s Immigration Judges, approximately 20,100 cases are appealed to EOIR’s, Board of Immigration Appeals.

### **Public Cost**

**The estimated annual public cost is \$7,576,560.** This is based on the number of respondents 63,138 x 12 hours per response x \$10 (average hourly rate).

- (15) There is no increase or decrease in the annual burden hours for this information collection.
- (16) DHS does not intend to employ the use of statistics or the publication thereof for this collection of information.
- (17) DHS will not display the expiration date for this information collection on the form.
  - a. Displaying the expiration date serves no useful purpose for USCIS information collections, confuses the public, and requires USCIS to expend scarce fee revenue to re-program automated systems in order to change the expiration date.
  - b. At any given time there are numerous forms on the USCIS Web site that have dates on them that indicate that the form has “expired.”
  - c. The public, which is mostly unfamiliar with the Paperwork Reduction Act, does not know what that date means. Our experience indicates that much of the public interprets that date as meaning that the form has actually expired and thus there must be a current version available elsewhere.
  - d. USCIS call centers receive numerous unnecessary inquires about the “new” form when the version on the Web site has “expired” while USCIS awaits

OMB approval of a revision or extension of the currently approved information collection.

- e. USCIS has recently posted a notice on its Web site informing the public that a form on that site that indicates that it has expired is still valid and accepted, which renders the expiration date meaningless.
- f. In the case of a request for an extension of an approved information collection, many of which USCIS must provide annually, the only change on the form may be the expiration date. In that case, the USCIS centralized Lockbox intake facility still must re-program its software to update it for the current version of the form at a cost of \$1,000. More extensive changes are more costly.
- g. Not displaying the expiration date on the form would allow USCIS to forego reprogramming costs in the case of a simple extension.

Accordingly, USCIS requests permission to not display the expiration date of this information collection because displaying the expiration date confuses the public, serves no purpose, and may require inefficient expenditure of fee revenue collected from those who have requested immigration benefits.

- (18) DHS does not request an exception to the certification of this information collection.

**B. Collection of Information Employing Statistical Methods.**

Not Applicable.

**Certification and Signature.**

**PAPERWORK CERTIFICATION**

In submitting this request for OMB approval, I certify that the requirements of the Privacy Act and OMB directives have been complied with including paperwork regulations, statistical standards of directives, and any other information policy directives promulgated under 5 CFR 1320.

\_\_\_\_\_

**Sunday Aigbe,**

Chief,

Regulatory Management Division,

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services,

Department of Homeland Security.

\_\_\_\_\_

**Date**