# **SUPPORTING STATEMENT**

### 1. CIRCUMSTANCES NECESSITATING COLLECTION OF INFORMATION

The regulations provide that when property is transferred in connection with the performance of services, the recipient of service may claim a deduction for the amount included in the service provider's income. However, the service recipient may deem the amount included if they provide the service provider with a Form W-2 or 1099 as appropriate. The requirement to provide Form W-2 or 1099 already exists under section 6041, except that section 1.6041-3(c) of the regulations excludes corporations from the requirement to be furnished Form 1099. However, the regulation provides that, in order for a recipient of service from a corporate service provider to take advantage of the deemed inclusion rule, the service recipient must provide the corporation with a Form 1099.

### 2. USE OF DATA

The information will be collected by copies of Form 1099 filed with the Internal

Revenue Service centers. Data will be matched against tax returns filed by the corporate service providers to insure the inclusion of income.

# 3. <u>USE OF IMPROVED INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY TO REDUCE BURDEN</u>

IRS Publications, Regulations, Notices and Letters are to be electronically enabled on an as practicable basis in accordance with the IRS Reform and Restructuring Act of 1998.

### 4. EFFORTS TO IDENTIFY DUPLICATION

We have attempted to eliminate duplication within the agency wherever possible.

# 5. METHODS TO MINIMIZE BURDEN ON SMALL BUSINESSES OR OTHER SMALL ENTITIES

We have been unable to reduce the burden for small businesses.

# 6. CONSEQUENCES OF LESS FREQUENT COLLECTION ON FEDERAL PROGRAMS OR POLICY ACTIVITIES

Not applicable.

# 7. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES REQUIRING DATA COLLECTION TO BE INCONSISTENT WITH GUIDELINES IN 5 CFR 1320.5(d)(2)

Not applicable.

# 8. CONSULTATION WITH INDIVIDUALS OUTSIDE OF THE AGENCY ON AVAILABILITY OF DATA, FREQUENCY OF COLLECTION, CLARITY OF INSTRUCTIONS AND FORMS, AND DATA ELEMENTS

The notice of proposed rulemaking was published in the Federal Register on December 5, 1994. No public hearing was held. The final regulations were published in the Federal Register on July 19, 1995.

In response to the Federal Register Noticed dated November 5, 2009, (74 F.R. 57395), we received no comments during the comment period regarding EE-81-88.

# 9. EXPLANATION OF DECISION TO PROVIDE ANY PAYMENT OR GIFT TO RESPONDENTS

Not applicable.

#### 10. ASSURANCE OF CONFIDENTIALITY OF RESPONSES

Generally, tax returns and tax return information are confidential as required by 26 USC 6103.

# 11. JUSTIFICATION OF SENSITIVE QUESTIONS

Not applicable.

# 12. ESTIMATED BURDEN OF INFORMATION COLLECTION

Section 1.83-6(a) of the regulation provides that when property is transferred in connection with the performance of services, the recipient of service may claim a deduction for the amount included as compensation in the gross income of the service provider. However, the service recipient may

deem the amount included if they provide the service provider including a corporate service provider) with a Form W-2 or 1099 as appropriate. The burden for this reporting requirement is reflected in the burden for Forms W-2 and 1099.

Estates of the annualized cost to respondents for the hour burdens shown are not available at this time.

# 13. ESTIMATED TOTAL ANNUAL COST BURDEN TO RESPONDENTS

As suggested by OMB, our **Federal Register Notice** dated **November 5, 2009**, requested public comments on estimates of cost burden that are not captured in the estimates of burden hours, i.e., estimates of capital or start-up costs and costs of operation, maintenance, and purchase of services to provide information. However, we did not receive any responses from taxpayers on this subject. As a result, estimates of these cost burdens are not available at this time.

### 14. ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED COST TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

Not applicable

# 15. REASONS FOR CHANGE IN BURDEN

There is no change in the paperwork burden previously approved by OMB. We are making this submission to renew the OMB approval.

# 16. PLANS FOR TABULATION, STATISTICAL ANALYSIS AND PUBLICATION

Not applicable.

# 17. REASONS WHY DISPLAYING THE OMB EXPIRATION DATE IS INAPPROPRIATE

We believe that displaying the OMB expiration date is inappropriate because it could cause confusion by leading taxpayers to believe that the regulation sunsets as of the expiration date. Taxpayers are not likely to be aware that the Service intends to request renewal of the OMB approval and obtain a new expiration date before the old one expires.

# 18. EXCEPTIONS TO THE CERTIFICATION STATEMENT ON OMB FORM 83-I

Not applicable.

**Note:** The following paragraph applies to all of the collections of information in this submission:

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a valid OMB control number. Books or records relating to a collection of information must be retained as long as their contents may become material in the administration of any internal revenue law. Generally, tax returns and tax return information are confidential, as required by 26 U.S.C.6103.