Attachment B: ECCS State Team Member Survey

SURVEY OF ECCS STATE TEAM MEMBERS

The Maternal and Child Health Bureau (MCHB) in the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services would like to learn about the progress that the Early Childhood Comprehensive Systems Initiative (ECCS) has made in improving State early childhood systems. Your responses to this questionnaire will be part of a national evaluation of the implementation and effectiveness of the ECCS Grant Program.

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0990-XXXX . The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 20 minutes per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. If you have comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, please write to: U.S. Department of Health & Human Services, OS/OCIO/PRA, 200 Independence Ave., S.W., Suite 537-H, Washington D.C. 20201, Attention: PRA Reports Clearance Officer

Your agency's name and location, and your general job title (e.g. State Home Visiting Coordinator) will be identified in reports prepared for this study and in data files provided to HRSA. None of your responses will be released in a form that identifies you or any other staff member by name.

Your feedback is important. Please answer each question honestly and thoughtfully. If you have any questions, please contact Ms. Amy Brown, the Altarum Institute Project Manager, at 202–828–5100.

I. STATE TEAM STRUCTURE AND FUNCTION

First, we will cover the structure and functioning of your ECCS State Team. MCHB defines your State Team as all your partners who are working with you to implement the systems-building activities included in your state plan.

Please check the box that corresponds to the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. (Check one in each row)

| | Statement | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neither Agree nor Disagree | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
|----|--|-------------------|-------|----------------------------------|----------|----------------------|
| 1. | State Team member roles and responsibilities are clearly defined. | | | | | |
| 2. | State team members are mostly middle or upper management in early childhood and human service agencies or organizations. | | | | | |
| 3. | The appropriate agencies and organizations are represented on the State Team. | | | | | |
| 4. | There has been minimal turnover of State Team members. | | | | | |
| 5. | State Team members are engaged in the meetings and work of the Team. | | | | | |
| 6. | State Team members value the contributions and ideas of others. | | | | | |
| 7. | State Team members are communicating enough and in the appropriate ways. | | | | | |
| 8. | I am satisfied with how decisions are made within the | | | | | |

| | Statement | | Agree | Neither Agree nor Disagree | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
|-----|---|---------------|--------------|----------------------------------|--------------|----------------------|
| | State Team. | | | | | |
| 9. | State Team members have meaningful input in decisionmaking. | | | | | |
| 10. | State Team members understand the early childhood system. | | | | | |
| 11. | The State Team's goals are clear to me. | | | | | |
| 12. | The strategies to accomplish the State Team's goals are clear to me. | | | | | |
| 13. | State Team goals and strategies are regularly reviewed for alignment with the current data, political environment, etc. | | | | | |
| 14. | I am confident in the State Team's ability to carry out our ECCS Plan. | | | | | |
| 15. | Our ECCS Plan is realistic, given the time frame and available resources. | | | | | |
| 16. | My organization or agency values my representation on the State Team. | | | | | |
| 17. | State Team members engage and build partnerships with other key stakeholders in the State. | | | | | |
| 18. | Overall how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the early childhood system in your state or jurisdiction? Very satisfied Satisfied Neutral Dissatisfied Very dissatisfied | | | Team has mad | e on improvi | ng the |
| | GOVERNANCE w we will ask how ECCS has worked to affect change in | n early child | dhood govern | nance Govern | ance involve | |

Now we will ask how ECCS has worked to affect change in early childhood governance. Governance involves oversight and authority over your early childhood system, including such things as agency structure, the role of private or public/private entities in that structure, and requirements for interagency collaboration.

Please check the box that corresponds to the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. (Check one in each row)

| Statement | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neither Agree nor Disagree | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
|---|-------------------|-------|----------------------------------|----------|----------------------|
| 19. As a result of ECCS, early childhood agencies or institutions work more effectively together. | | | | | |
| 20. The ECCS Initiative is coordinated with other cross- | | | | | |

| | system early childhood initiatives in the State. | | | | | |
|------|--|---------------|----------------|------------------|---------------|-----------|
| | | | | | | |
| 21. | To what extent has the existence of the State ECCS in other early childhood initiatives? (Check one) | nitiative lea | d to greater o | collaboration a | ind coordinat | ion with |
| | Large extent | | | | | |
| | Moderate extent | | | | | |
| | Minimal extent | | | | | |
| | Not at all | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 22. | How has political recognition of the need for coordin years? (Check one) | ation betw | een early chi | ldhood progra | ms changed c | over the |
| | Decreased substantially | | | | | |
| | Decreased somewhat | | | | | |
| | No change | | | | | |
| | Increased somewhat | | | | | |
| | Increased substantially | | | | | |
| | Unsure | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| III. | FAMILY LEADERSHIP ON EARLY CHILDHO | OD ISSU | ES | | | |
| | v we will ask how ECCS has worked to affect change in efforts to promote family leadership in your State's | • | - | • | issues. Here | the focus |
| 23. | How much focus has ECCS put on promoting family l | eadership i | n your state o | or jurisdiction? | (Check one) | |
| | A great deal | | | | | |
| | A fair amount | | | | | |
| | Limited | | | | | |
| | ECCS has not made an effort to promote fam | ily leadersh | ip | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 24. | To what extent are families involved in State early ch | ildhood ad | vocacy effort | s? (Check one |) | |
| | Not at all involved | | | | | |
| | Minimally involved | | | | | |
| | Somewhat involved | | | | | |
| | Somewhat involved | | | | | |
| | Involved | | | | | |

| Decreased substantially | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Decreased somewhat | | | | | | |
| No change | | | | | | |
| Increased somewhat | | | | | | |
| Increased substantially | | | | | | |
| Unsure | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| IV. DATA/DATA DEVELOPMENT | | | | | | |
| Next we will ask about how ECCS has affected change in | the collecti | ion, coordina | tion, and use | of early child | lhood data. | |
| Please check the box that corresponds to the extent to statements. (Check one in each row) | which you a | gree or disag | ree with each | of the follow | wing | |
| | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neither Agree nor Disagree | Disagree | Strongly Disagree | |
| 26. The amount of data sharing across programs and components of the early childhood system has increased over the past five years. | | | | | | |
| 27. ECCS has contributed to the development of procedures that will facilitate the elimination of programs that have failed to demonstrate effectiveness. | | | | | | |
| 28. The State Team uses data on culturally diverse groups to inform early childhood program/policy discussion and development. | | | | | | |
| VI. PROVIDER/PRACTITIONER SUPPORT Next, we will ask about ways in which the ECCS Initiative supports providers and practitioners who serve young children and their families. | | | | | | |
| Please check the box that corresponds to the extent to enhancement of each of the following. (Check one) | which ECCS | has contribut | ed to the dev | /elopment oi | - | |
| Item | | Large Extent | Moderate Extent | Minimal Extent | Did Not Contribute | |
| 29. Information dissemination to early childhood providers a practitioners to support the implementation of best practompetencies | | | | | | |

25. Has parental/family leadership on early childhood issues changed over the past 5 years? (Check one)

Please check the box to the left that indicates the extent to which different items have been used. (Check one in each row to the left) For each item in wide or limited use, check the box to the right that corresponds to how effective it was. (Check one in each row to the right where applicable)

| In Wide Use | In Limited | Does Not | Item | If you checked "In Wide Use" or "In Limited Use" for an item, indicate how effective it was | | | | |
|----------------|---------------|-------------|--|--|-----------|--|-------------|---------------------|
| | Use | Exist | | Very Effective | Effective | Neither Effective nor Ineffective | Ineffective | Very Ineffective |
| | | | 30. Standardized administrative forms across agencies | | | | | |
| | | | 31. Standardized administrative processes and rules across agencies (e.g., developmental screening processes, eligibility rules) | | | | | |

Please check the box that corresponds to the extent to which ECCS has contributed to the development or enhancement of each of the following. (Check one in each row)

| Item | Large Extent | Moderate Extent | Minimal Extent | Did Not Contribute |
|---|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| 32. Development or enhancement of a training system for early childhood providers and practitioners on best practices/core competencies | | | | |
| 33. Development or enhancement of technical assistance for early childhood providers and practitioners on best practices/core competencies. | | | | |
| 34. A technical assistance system for communities engaged in early childhood system building | | | | |

IF YOU CHECKED "DID NOT CONTRIBUTE" FOR 32, 33, AND 34 THEN GO TO 39

Please check the box that corresponds to the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. (Check one in each row)

| | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neither Agree nor Disagree | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
|--|-------------------|-------|----------------------------------|----------|----------------------|
| 35. Training/technical assistance reflects current literature and best practices. | | | | | |
| 36. Training/technical assistance addresses cross-system competencies in areas where the needs of early childhood providers and practitioners overlap. | | | | | |
| 37. Feedback mechanisms are used to improve the content, reach, and effectiveness of early childhood provider training/technical assistance. | | | | | |
| 38. Training/technical assistance developed with the support of the ECCS Initiative is linked to the content and timing of training offered by other partners. | | | | | |

Please check the box that corresponds to the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. (Check one)

| | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neither Agree nor Disagree | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
|---|-------------------|-------|----------------------------------|----------|----------------------|
| 39. ECCS provider/practitioner support activities have made providers and practitioners more effective in providing comprehensive early childhood services. | | | | | |

VII. COMMUNICATION

Next we will ask how ECCS has affected communications. Communications covers efforts to explain the importance of system building and early childhood investment to a variety of audiences as well informing the public, providers/practitioners, or policymakers about early childhood development topics.

Please check the box that corresponds to the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. (Check one in each row)

| Statement | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neither Agree Nor Disagree | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
|---|-------------------|-------|----------------------------------|----------|----------------------|
| 40. ECCS outreach efforts are coordinated with the early childhood outreach efforts of other agencies or organizations. | | | | | |
| 41. Feedback mechanisms are used to improve the content, reach, and effectiveness of ECCS outreach materials. | | | | | |

| 42. How effective have the outreach/awareness-raising efforts been? (Check one) | |
|---|--|
| Very effective and sufficient to accomplish larger goals | |
| Moderately effective but not sufficient to accomplish larger goal | |
| Somewhat effective but not sufficient to accomplish larger goal | |
| Efforts have been made BUT have not been effective or have been minimally effective | |
| No or almost no effort has been made | |
| | |

VIII. FEDERAL EFFORTS

Lastly, we will ask about how Federal efforts have influenced your State's ECCS Initiative. The Federal Partners workgroup includes representatives from a variety of agencies that address early childhood issues. They have sponsored the partnership meetings that took place in 2007 and 2008 and have worked together on a variety of other issues.

| 43. | How supportive or unsupportive has the Federal Partners Workgroup been of State-level comprehensive systems building? (Check one) |
|-----|---|
| | Very supportive |
| | Supportive |
| | Neither supportive nor unsupportive |
| | Unsupportive |
| | Very unsupportive |
| | Not knowledgeable enough about the Workgroup's activities to respond |
| 44. | How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the progress that the Federal Partners Workgroup has made on enhancing coordination among agencies that address early childhood issues? (Check one) |
| | Very satisfied |
| | Satisfied |
| | Neutral Neutral |
| | Dissatisfied |
| | Very dissatisfied |
| | Not knowledgeable enough about the Workgroup's activities to respond |
| 45. | How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the progress that the Federal Partners Workgroup has made in coordinating technical assistance across the multiple agencies that address early childhood issues? (Check one) |
| | Very satisfied |
| | Satisfied |
| | Neutral Neutral |
| | Dissatisfied |
| | Very dissatisfied |
| | Not knowledgeable enough about the Workgroup's activities to respond |
| 46. | Overall how supportive or unsupportive are Federal agencies of State-level comprehensive systems building? (Check one) |
| | Very supportive |
| | Somewhat supportive |
| | Neither supportive nor unsupportive |
| | Somewhat unsupportive |
| | Very unsupportive |
| 47. | What other key outcomes, if any, have you achieved in your State that were not captured in this survey? |