

**SUPPORTING STATEMENT FOR
THE INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUIREMENTS
IN THE CHROMIUM (VI) STANDARDS FOR
GENERAL INDUSTRY (29 CFR 1910.1026), SHIPYARD EMPLOYMENT
(29 CFR 1915.1026), AND CONSTRUCTION (29 CFR 1926.1126)¹
OMB CONTROL NO. 1218-0252 (October 2009)**

JUSTIFICATION

- 1. Explain the circumstances that make the collection of information necessary. Identify any legal or administrative requirements that necessitate the collection. Attach a copy of the appropriate section of each statute and regulation mandating or authorizing the collection of information.**

The main objective of the Occupational Safety and Health Act (“OSH Act” or “Act”) is to “assure so far as possible every working man and woman in the Nation safe and healthful working conditions and to preserve our human resources” (29 U.S.C. 651). To achieve this objective, the OSH Act specifically authorizes “the development and promulgation of occupational safety and health standards” (29 U.S.C. 651). The Act states further that “[t]he Secretary . . . shall prescribe such rules and regulations as [he/she] may deem necessary to carry out [his/her] responsibilities under this Act, including rules and regulations dealing with the inspection of an employer’s establishment” (29 U.S.C. 651).

To protect employee health, the OSH Act authorizes the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (“OSHA” or “the Agency”) to develop standards that provide for “monitoring or measuring employee exposure” to occupational hazards and “prescribe the type and frequency of medical examinations and other tests which shall be made available [by the employer] to employees exposed to such hazards . . . to most effectively determine whether the health of such employees is adversely affected by such exposure” (29 U.S.C. 655). Moreover, the Act directs the Agency to “issue regulations requiring employers to maintain accurate records of employee exposures to potentially toxic materials or other harmful physical agents which are required to be monitored and measured,” and further specifies that such regulations provide “for each employee or former employee to have access to such records as will indicate [their] own exposure to toxic materials or harmful physical agents” (29 U.S.C. 657). In addition, the OSH Act mandates that “[e]ach employer shall make, keep and preserve, and make available to the Secretary [of Labor] . . . such records regarding [his/her] activities relating to this Act as the Secretary . . . may prescribe by regulation as necessary or appropriate for the enforcement of this Act or for developing information regarding the causes and prevention of occupational accidents and illnesses” (29 U.S.C. 657).

Section 6(b)(7) of the Act specifies that “[a]ny standard promulgated under this subsection shall prescribe the use of labels or other appropriate forms of warning as are necessary to insure that employees are apprised of all hazards to which they are exposed, relevant symptoms and appropriate emergency treatment, and proper conditions and precautions of safe use or

¹The purpose of this Supporting Statement is to analyze and describe the burden hours and costs associated with provisions of the chromium (VI) standard that contain collections of information (paperwork) requirements; this Supporting Statement does not provide information or guidance on how to comply with, or how to enforce these provisions.

exposure.” This provision goes on to state that “[t]he Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of Health and Human Services, may by rule promulgated pursuant to section 553 of title 5, United States Code, make appropriate modifications in the foregoing requirements relating to the use of labels or other forms of warning . . . as may be warranted by experience, information, or medical or technological developments acquired subsequent to the promulgation of the relevant standard” (29 U.S.C. 655).

Under the authority granted by the OSH Act, on February 28, 2006, the Agency issued separate standards addressing hexavalent chromium (“Cr(VI)”) exposure in general industry, shipyard employment, and construction; these standards are 29 CFR 1910.1026, 1915.1026, and 1926.1126, respectively. The standard for shipyard employment also applies to marine terminals and longshoring. The standards for construction and shipyard employment are similar to each other, but differ in some respects from the standard for general industry. OSHA believes that certain conditions in these two sectors warrant requirements that are somewhat different than those requirements that apply to general industry.

A number of parties subsequently challenged several provisions of the final Cr(VI) standards in the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit (see *Public Citizen and Edison Electric Institute v. U.S. Department of Labor*, 557 F.3d 165 (3d Cir. 2009)). In its decision, the court found that OSHA failed to explain why the Cr(VI) standards requires employee notification only of chromium exposures exceeding the permissible exposure limit, noting that prior health standards required notification of all exposure monitoring results. The court remanded the standards, and ordered OSHA to provide an explanation for not including notification of all exposure-monitoring results, or to revise the standards appropriately. OSHA currently is considering how to respond to the court’s remand order.

The current Cr(VI) ICR calculates burden hours and costs for employers to notify employees whenever monitoring results indicate that the employee had exposure to Cr(VI) levels in excess of the 5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$. This updated ICR will calculate burden hours and costs for employers to notify employees of all monitoring results. However, OSHA did not include these hours and costs in the total burden hours and costs for this ICR because, as noted in the previous paragraph, the Agency is considering how to respond to the court’s remand order.

The basis for these standards is a determination by OSHA that exposure to Cr(VI) poses significant risk of lung cancer, nasal septum ulcerations and perforations, dermatoses, and asthma to workers. OSHA established a permissible exposure limit (PEL) for occupational exposure to Cr(VI) of 5 microgram per cubic meter of air (5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$), assessed using an 8-hour time-weighted average (referred to hereafter as “TWA”). The Agency also developed an action level (AL) of 2.5 microgram per cubic meter of air (2.5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$), measured as a TWA. Exposures below the action level (AL) exempt employers from some of the regulatory burdens of the standards, such as employee exposure monitoring and medical surveillance. Items 2 and 12 below list and describe the specific information collection requirements of the standards.

- 2. Indicate how, by whom, and for what purpose the information is to be used. Except for a new collection, indicate the actual use the Agency has made of the information received from the current collection.**

A. Exposure Determination (paragraph (d) of §§ 1910.1026, 1915.1026, and 1926.1126)

Paragraph (d) of the standard requires each employer who has a workplace or work operation covered by these standards to determine the 8-hour time-weighted average (TWA) exposures for each employee exposed to Cr(VI). The purpose of requiring an assessment of employee exposures to Cr(VI) includes: determination of the extent and degree of Cr(VI) exposure at the worksite; identification and prevention of employee Cr(VI) overexposure; identification of the sources of exposure to Cr(VI); collection of exposure data so that the employer can select the proper control methods; and evaluation of the effectiveness of these control methods. Assessment enables employers to meet their legal obligation to ensure that their employees are not exposed to Cr(VI) in excess of the PEL, and to notify employees of their exposure levels as required by Section 8(c)(3) of the Act. In addition, the exposure data provides information to the physician or other licensed health care professional (PLHCP)² who is performing the medical examinations to use in making an accurate diagnosis of presenting conditions.

Paragraph (d)(2) of the standards, titled “Scheduled Monitoring Option,” specifies the air-monitoring requirements for Cr(VI) exposures. Employers must perform initial, semi-annual, and quarterly air monitoring, respectively, under paragraphs (d)(2)(i), (d)(2)(iii), and (d)(2)(iv) of the standards, depending on the level of Cr(VI) exposure. The other provisions of paragraph (d) address the requirements for additional monitoring, and performance-oriented options.

Additional monitoring, required under paragraph (d)(2)(vi) of the standards, is necessary to ensure that changes in working conditions have not increased employee exposure to Cr(VI). This information will enable the employer to take appropriate action to protect exposed employees, such as instituting additional engineering controls or providing appropriate respiratory protection. OSHA is not taking burden hours or costs for this provision in this ICR because it believes that employers will not be changing production processes, raw materials, equipment, personnel, work practices, or control methods that may result in new or additional exposures to Cr(VI) during this period, nor will they have any reason to believe that new or additional exposures have occurred.

Paragraph (d)(3) (“Performance-oriented option”) is an exposure monitoring option that employers may use instead of the scheduled-monitoring options specified by paragraph (d)(2). It requires the employer to determine the 8-hour TWA exposure for each employee on the basis of any combination of air-monitoring data, historical monitoring data, or objective data sufficient to accurately characterize employee exposure to Cr(VI). This option allows employers flexibility in assessing the Cr(VI) exposures of their employees. When the employer elects to follow this option, the exposure determination must provide the same degree of assurance that employee exposures have been correctly characterized as the scheduled-monitoring option would, and the employer must also reevaluate employee exposures when any change occurs in the production

²A PLHCP is an individual whose legally permitted scope of practice (i.e., license, registration, or certification) allows him or her to independently provide or be delegated the responsibility to provide some or all of the particular health care services required by the standards.

process, raw materials, equipment, personnel, work practices, or control methods that may result in new or additional exposures to Cr(VI).

“Historical monitoring data” means data from Cr(VI) monitoring conducted prior to the effective date of this rule, and obtained during work operations in which the processes, types of material, control methods, work practices, and environmental conditions closely resemble the employer's current workplace operations. “Objective data” means information, including air-monitoring data from industry-wide surveys or calculations, involving a substance that has the composition, or chemical and physical properties, of the Cr(VI)-related substance to which employees are exposed, and that is associated with a specific product, material, process, operation, or activity that is the basis of their exposure. Therefore, objective data must resemble closely the processes, types of material, control methods, work practices, and environmental conditions in the employer's current workplace operations; objective data also must represent Cr(VI) exposure levels across the range of work operations or products encountered by employees. Employers may use data collected by a trade association from its members to determine employee exposures to Cr(VI), provided the data meet the definition of objective data in the standards.

1. Scheduled Monitoring Option: Initial Exposure Monitoring (paragraph (d)(2)(i) of §§ 1910.1026, 1915.1026, and 1926.1126)

Paragraph (d)(2)(i) of the final standards requires the employer to perform initial monitoring to determine the 8-hour TWA exposure for each employee by collecting a sufficient number of personal breathing-zone air samples to accurately characterize full-shift exposure for each job classification in each work area during each shift. When an employer does representative sampling instead of sampling all employees to meet this requirement, the employer must sample the employee(s) expected to have the highest Cr(VI) exposures.

If initial monitoring indicates that employee Cr(VI) exposures are below the AL, and that result is confirmed by another monitoring taken at least seven days later, the employer may discontinue monitoring for those employees whose exposures are represented by the monitoring data.

However, if the initial monitoring indicates employee exposures are above the AL, the employer must perform periodic monitoring.

2. Scheduled Monitoring Option: Semi-Annual Exposure Monitoring (paragraph (d)(2)(iii) of §§ 1910.1026, 1915.1026, and 1926.1126)

Because of fluctuation in exposures, OSHA believes that when initial monitoring results equal or exceed the AL and are at or below the PEL, employers must continue to monitor employees at least every 6 months to ensure that exposures remain at or below the PEL. If the employer installs or upgrades controls, semi-annual monitoring will demonstrate whether the controls are working properly. Selection of appropriate respiratory protection also depends on adequate knowledge of employee exposures. The 6-month monitoring frequency will provide intervals that protect employees exposed to Cr(VI) between the AL and the PEL, but are practical for employers to implement.

3. Scheduled Monitoring Option: Quarterly Exposure Monitoring (paragraph (d)(2)(iv) of §§ 1910.1026, 1915.1026, and 1926.1126)

When initial monitoring results exceed the PEL, periodic monitoring every three months allows the employer to maintain an accurate profile of employee exposures. If the employer installs or upgrades controls, quarterly monitoring will demonstrate whether the controls are working properly. Selection of appropriate respiratory protection also depends on adequate knowledge of employee exposures. The three-month monitoring frequency provides an interval that protects employees exposed to Cr(VI) above the PEL, but are practical for employers to implement.

4. Employee Notification of Determination Results (paragraphs (d)(4) of §§ 1910.1026, 1915.1026, and 1926.1126)

Paragraph (d)(4) of the general industry standard requires the employer to notify each affected employee³ within 15 working days after the receipt of exposure-determination results when the results indicate exposure above the PEL⁴. In the shipyard and construction industries employers are required to notify employees of exposure-determination results as soon as possible but not more than 5 working days after the receipt of the results. The employer must either notify each affected employee in writing or by posting the monitoring results in an appropriate location accessible to all affected employees. In addition, whenever the PEL has been exceeded, the written notification must contain a description of the corrective action(s) that the employer will take to reduce the employee's exposure to or below the PEL. The purpose of this requirement is to inform employees of the corrective actions the employer is taking to reduce the exposure level to or below the PEL. It is necessary to assure employees that the employer is making efforts to furnish them with a safe and healthful work environment, and is required under section 8(c)(3) of the Act.

B. Regulated Areas (§ 1910.1026(e))

1. Demarcation (§1910.1026(e)(2))

Paragraph (e)(1) of the general industry standard⁵ requires the employer to establish regulated areas wherever an employee's exposure to airborne concentrations of Cr(VI) is, or can reasonably be expected to be, in excess of the PEL. Under paragraph (e)(2), regulated areas must be demarcated from the rest of the workplace in a manner that adequately establishes and alerts employees to the boundaries of these areas. Employers must limit access to regulated areas to

³Affected employees are employees having Cr(VI) exposures above the PEL, including employees whose exposures are estimated on the basis of representative sampling or from historical or objective data.

⁴The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit in *Public Citizen and Edison Electric Institute v. U.S. Department of Labor*, (557 F.3d 165 (3d Cir. 2009)) remanded the Cr(VI) standards, and ordered OSHA to provide an explanation for not including notification of all exposure-monitoring results, or to revise the standards appropriately. OSHA is preparing a response to this remand order.

⁵OSHA does not require regulated areas in the construction and shipyard standards because worksite conditions, such as workplace variability, differ substantially between general industry employment and construction and shipyard employment.

individuals: authorized by the employer and required by work duties to be present in the regulated area; entering the regulated area to observe monitoring procedures; or authorized by the OSH Act or OSHA regulations to be in a regulated area.

The purpose of a regulated area is to ensure that the employer makes employees aware of the presence of Cr(VI) at levels above the PEL, and to limit Cr(VI) exposure to as few employees as possible. The establishment of a regulated area is an effective means of limiting the risk of exposure to substances known to have serious physical effects.

OSHA is not taking burden hours or costs for this provision under Items 12 and 13 of this Supporting Statement because it is performance oriented and does not require employers to post warning signs. OSHA does not specify how employers are to demarcate regulated areas. Means of demarcation can include barricades, lines and textured flooring, or signs that notify employees of Cr(VI) exposure hazards, the need to restrict access to Cr(VI)-contaminated areas, and protective measures they must implement. Permitting employers to choose how best to identify and limit access to regulated areas is consistent with OSHA's belief that employers are in the best position to make such determinations, based on their knowledge of the specific conditions of their workplaces.

C. Respiratory Protection (§§1910.1026(g), 1915.1026(f), and 1926.1126(f))

Paragraph (g)(1) of the general industry standard, and paragraph (f)(1) of the shipyard-employment and construction standards, establish the final rule's requirements for use of respiratory protection. Employers are required to provide employees with respiratory protection when engineering controls and work practices cannot reduce employee exposure to Cr(VI) to or below the PEL. Specifically, respirators are required during the installation and implementation of feasible engineering and work-practice controls; during work operations for which engineering and work practice controls are not feasible; when all feasible engineering and work practice controls have been implemented, but are not sufficient to reduce exposure to or below the PEL; during work operations when employees are exposed above the PEL for fewer than 30 days per year, and the employer has elected not to implement engineering and work-practice controls to achieve the PEL; and during emergencies.

Whenever respirators are used to comply with the requirements of the standards, paragraph (g)(2) of the general industry standard, and paragraph (f)(2) of the shipyard-employment and construction standards, require the employer to implement a comprehensive, written respiratory-protection program in accordance with the Agency's Respiratory Protection Standard (29 CFR 1910.134). The respiratory-protection program is designed to ensure that respirators are properly used in the workplace, and are effective in protecting workers. The program must include procedures for selecting respirators for use in the workplace; medical evaluation of employees required to use respirators; fit-testing employees for respirator use; procedures for proper use of respirators in routine and reasonably foreseeable emergency situations; procedures and schedules for maintaining respirators; procedures to ensure adequate quality, quantity, and flow of breathing air for atmosphere-supplying respirators; training of employees in the proper use of respirators; and procedures for evaluating the effectiveness of the program. The purpose of these requirements is to ensure that employers establish a standardized procedure for selecting, using,

and maintaining respirators for each workplace that requires respirator use. Developing written procedures ensures that employers implement the required respirator program in an effective and reliable manner that addresses the unique characteristics (including chemical hazards) of the workplace. This provision also serves as a reminder to employers covered by the Cr(VI) rule that they must comply with the Respiratory Protection Standard when respirators are provided to employees.

The four principle paperwork requirements specified in the respiratory-protection program are qualitative and quantitative fit testing to ensure that respirators adequately protect employees who must use them, administration of the medical questionnaire to determine the physical and psychological ability of employees to use the respirator selected for them, and the follow-up medical examination to further evaluate responses to the medical questionnaire that may indicate conditions that would prohibit an employee from using the selected respirator. The following paragraphs discuss in detail the paperwork requirements associated with these respiratory-protection program requirements.

1. Respiratory Protection Program: Qualitative Fit Testing for Respirator Use (§§ 1910.1026(g)(2), 1915.1026(f)(2), and 1926.1126(f)(2))

Employees who use respirators for protection against airborne Cr(VI) must receive either a qualitative fit test (QLFT) or a quantitative fit test (QNFT) prior to initial respirator use, and at least annually thereafter. The QLFT involves the introduction of a gas, vapor, or aerosol test agent into an area around the head of the respirator user. If the respirator user can detect the presence of the test agent through subjective means, such as odor, taste, or irritation, the respirator fit is inadequate. The QLFT record must include the date and type of fit test performed (e.g., irritant smoke, saccharin), employee information, and type of respirator. Employers must maintain the fit-testing records until the next fit test is administered. Both employers and OSHA need these records to determine that: each employee received a fit test, both prior to starting respirator use and at least annually thereafter; each employee passed the qualitative fit test; and the model and size of the respirator used during fit testing are the same as the model and size of the respirator used by the employee in the workplace.

2. Respiratory Protection Program: Quantitative Fit Testing for Respirator Use (§§ 1910.1026(g)(2), 1915.1026(f)(2), and 1926.1126(f)(2))

In a quantitative respirator fit test (QNFT), the adequacy of respirator fit is assessed by measuring the amount of leakage into the respirator, either by generating a test aerosol as a test atmosphere, using ambient aerosol as the test agent, or using controlled negative pressure to measure the volumetric leak rate. Appropriate instrumentation is required to quantify respirator fit in QNFT. The QNFT record must include the date and type of fit test performed, employee information, type of respirator, and a record of the test (e.g., strip charts, computer integration). Employers must maintain the fit-testing records until the next fit test is administered. These records allow employers and OSHA to ensure that: each employee received a fit test, both prior to starting respirator use and at least annually thereafter; each employee achieved a sufficiently high fit factor to pass the QNFT for the required assigned protection factor; the QNFT was performed correctly, and the fit factor was calculated properly; and the model and size of the

respirator used during fit testing are the same as the model and size of the respirator used by the employee in the workplace.

3. Respiratory-Protection Program: Medical Questionnaires for Respirator Use (§§ 1910.1026(g)(2), 1915.1026(f)(2), and 1926.1126(f)(2))

Employees using a respirator for the first time for protection against airborne Cr(VI) must receive a medical evaluation prior to their initial respirator fit test. The medical evaluation must consist of either a medical questionnaire (provided in Appendix C of OSHA's Respiratory Protection Standard), or an initial medical examination, that obtains information about a number of medical conditions and physical systems. The medical evaluation ensures that employees who use respirators can tolerate: the physiological burden associated with respirator use, including the burden imposed by the respirator itself (e.g., its weight and breathing resistance during both normal operation and under conditions of filter, canister, or cartridge overload); musculoskeletal stress; limitations on auditory, visual, and odor sensations; and physical and psychological isolation. For this ICR, the Agency is assuming that employers will administer only the medical questionnaire to obtain the required information.

4. Respiratory-Protection Program: Follow-up Medical Examination for Respirator Use (§§ 1910.1026(g)(2), 1915.1026(f)(2), and 1926.1126(f)(2))

If an employee responds positively to specific items on the questionnaire, the employer must provide the employee with a follow-up medical examination. Employers must use a PLHCP to conduct the medical examination, and the PLHCP determines the content of the follow-up medical examination. The follow-up medical examination allows PLHCPs to obtain additional information that may be useful in arriving at a final medical recommendation regarding respirator use, including whether a response to the questionnaire is valid. Also, the follow-up questionnaire provides the PLHCP with an opportunity to investigate through medical examination any medical conditions related to respirator use that the questionnaire or other sources of information did not address.

D. Protective Work Clothing and Equipment (§§ 1910.1026(h), 1915.1026(g), and 1926.1126(g))

1. Removal and Storage (§§ 1910.1026(h)(2)(iv), 1915.1026(g)(2)(iv), and 1926.1126(g)(2)(iv))

Paragraph (h)(2)(iv) of the general industry standard, and paragraph (g)(2)(iv) in shipyard-employment and construction standards, require employers who remove bags or containers of contaminated protective clothing and equipment from change rooms for laundering, cleaning, and maintenance or disposal to label these bags and containers in accordance with the requirements of OSHA's Hazard Communication Standard ("HCS") (29 CFR 1910.1200).

Labels inform employers and employees who handle bags or containers contaminated by Cr(VI) of the identity of the substance, and provide appropriate hazard warnings. This paragraph directs the employer's attention to longstanding labeling requirements of the HCS. The reference to the HCS is included to remind employers of their obligation under that standard to label containers

of hazardous chemicals such as Cr(VI). When employers and employees are aware of the presence of Cr(VI) and its potential hazards, appropriate measures can be implemented to protect employees.

In determining the burden and costs for labels, OSHA assumes that employers will obtain labels that meet the HCS requirements from a contractor. In addition, the Agency is not taking burden for affixing the labels to bags or containers because it believes that a nonsupervisory employee can perform this task in less than 30 seconds (i.e., the task is de minimis).

2. Cleaning and Replacement (§§ 1910.1026(h)(3)(iii), 1915.1026(g)(3)(iii), and 1926.1126(g)(3)(iii))

These paragraphs require employers to inform any person who launders or cleans protective clothing or equipment contaminated with Cr(VI): of the potentially harmful effects of exposure to Cr(VI); and that the clothing and equipment should be laundered or cleaned in a manner that minimizes skin or eye contact with Cr(VI) and effectively prevents the release of airborne Cr(VI) in excess of the PEL.

As with the provision reminding employers of their obligation for labeling under the HCS, this requirement will ensure that persons who clean or launder Cr(VI)-contaminated items are aware of the associated hazards so they can take appropriate protective measures. When laundry or cleaning services are performed by third parties, the information provided about Cr(VI) need not be extensive to accomplish this goal. Appropriate hazard warnings, as required on labels by the HCS, will be sufficient to indicate the potentially harmful effects of exposure to Cr(VI). In addition, employers could place the language used in this provision⁶ on a label, thereby fulfilling the requirements of the provision. The employer is not expected to specify particular work practices that third parties must follow to meet this requirement.

OSHA believes that employers covered by these standards will contract with industrial laundry services to launder or clean Cr(VI)-contaminated protective clothing. The Agency also assumes that these services, which specialize in laundering and cleaning protective clothing contaminated with toxic chemicals, will, as a usual and customary practice, label the bags and other containers used to store this clothing for subsequent removal by the service; therefore, OSHA is not taking a burden to label these bags and containers. However, the Agency is taking burden hours and burden cost for a supervisor to inform a laundry service of the Cr(VI)-contaminated clothing and the need for the required labels.

⁶This language reads as follows: “[T]he clothing and equipment should be laundered or cleaned in a manner that minimizes skin or eye contact with Cr(VI) and effectively prevents the release of airborne Cr(VI) in excess of the PEL.”

E. Housekeeping (§ 1910.1026(j))

1. Disposal (§ 1910.1026(j)(3)(ii))

Paragraph (j)(3)(ii) of the general industry standard⁷ requires employers to label bags or containers of waste, scrap, debris, and any other materials contaminated with Cr(VI) that are consigned for disposal in accordance with the HCS. The purpose of this provision is to inform individuals who handle these items of the potential hazards involved. OSHA believes that it is critically important that employees be made aware of the hazards associated with potential Cr(VI) exposures. By alerting employers and employees who are involved in disposing of Cr(VI)-contaminated material of the potential hazards of Cr(VI) exposure, they will be better able to implement protective measures. The Agency has determined that the information required on labels by the HCS, including the chemical identity and appropriate hazard warnings, is sufficient to make employees aware of potential Cr(VI) exposure hazards. Reference to the HCS has been added to ensure that employers are aware of their obligations under the HCS for labeling of containers containing Cr(VI) contaminated waste. OSHA is not taking burden for this labeling requirement because the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976, as amended (42 U.S.C. 6901 *et seq.*), specifies that employers must provide the required label on hazardous wastes, including Cr(VI), destined for disposal.

F. Medical Surveillance (§§ 1910.1026(k), 1915.1026(i), and 1926.1126(i))

Employers must make medical surveillance available at no cost to the employee, and at a reasonable time and place, for all employees. In addition, employers must provide medical examinations, and they must assure that all medical examinations and procedures required by the standards are performed by or under the supervision of a PLHCP.

The purpose of medical surveillance for Cr(VI) is to determine if an employee can be exposed to the Cr(VI) present in his or her workplace without experiencing adverse health effects, and to identify Cr(VI)-related adverse health effects so that appropriate intervention measures can be taken. With regard to periodic (i.e., annual) medical examinations, documentation and maintenance of the medical-examination results required by the standards provide a continuous record of employee health. PLHCPs use these records to determine the extent to which employees, since their last examination, experience health effects related to Cr(VI) exposure. Additionally, if signs and symptoms of potential Cr(VI) overexposure appear, the PLHCP often needs information about an employee's previous medical condition to make an accurate diagnosis of the presenting condition, ascertain its apparent cause, and identify a course of treatment. Medical records also permit employees to determine whether they need treatment, or to evaluate the effectiveness of their employer's exposure-reduction program.

The medical surveillance requirements of the standards are consistent with Section 6(b)(7) of the OSH Act, which requires that, when appropriate, medical surveillance programs be included in

⁷OSHA does not require housekeeping requirements for construction and shipyards. OSHA has determined that the housekeeping provisions in the general industry standard are not appropriate for these sectors because of the difficulties of complying with such requirements in construction and shipyard environments.

OSHA health standards to aid in determining whether the health of workers is adversely affected by exposure to toxic substances. The following paragraphs describe the specific medical examinations in detail.

1. Initial Medical Examination (§§ 1910.1026(k)(1)(i)(A), (k)(3)(i), and (k)(3)(ii); 1915.1026(i)(1)(i)(A), (i)(3)(i), and (i)(3)(ii); and 1926.1126(i)(1)(i)(A), (i)(3)(i), and (i)(3)(ii))

Under the medical surveillance requirements specified by §§ 1910.1026(k)(1)(i)(A), 1915.1026(i)(1)(i)(A), and 1926.1126(i)(1)(i)(A), employers must provide initial medical examinations to current employees who are or may be occupationally exposed to Cr(VI) at or above the AL for 30 or more days a year. The content of the initial medical examinations is described by §§ 1910.1026(k)(3)(i) and (k)(3)(ii), 1915.1026(i)(3)(i) and (i)(3)(ii), and 1926.1126(i)(3)(i) and (i)(3)(ii), and consists of: a medical and work history, with emphasis on past, present, and anticipated future exposure to Cr(VI); a history of respiratory system dysfunction; a history of asthma, dermatitis, skin ulceration, or nasal septum perforation; smoking status and history; and a physical examination of the skin and respiratory tract. The initial medical examination not only establishes a medical baseline for each employee, but serves to identify employees who have Cr(VI)-related medical disorders or other health problems that additional Cr(VI) exposure may exacerbate. Employers will provide these medical examinations during the first year of this ICR, with subsequent medical examinations for these employees accounted for under the following item.

2. Annual Medical Examination (§§ 1910.1026(k)(2)(ii), (k)(3)(i), and (k)(3)(ii); 1915.1026(i)(2)(ii), (i)(3)(i), and (i)(3)(ii); and 1926.1126(i)(2)(ii), (i)(3)(i), and (i)(3)(ii))

Employers must provide these annual medical examinations after the first year of this ICR to the employees who received the initial medical examinations listed in the previous item. The content of the annual medical examinations is identical to the content of the initial medical examinations. As noted above, periodic (i.e., annual) examinations provide information to employers and PLHCPs regarding the medical effects of extended Cr(VI) exposure, as well as the effectiveness of the employer's control equipment and procedures.

3. Initial Medical Examination with Additional Tests (§§ 1910.1026(k)(1)(i)(B) and (k)(3)(i)-(k)(3)(iii); 1915.1026(i)(1)(i)(B) and (i)(3)(i)-(i)(3)(iii); and 1926.1126(i)(1)(i)(B) and (i)(3)(i)-(i)(3)(iii))

These initial medical examinations apply to employees who are experiencing signs or symptoms of the adverse health effects associated with Cr(VI) exposure. The PLHCP is responsible for ordering these examinations, the content of which includes the medical and work history, and physical examination of the skin and respiratory tract, described above in item 1 of this section. However, these employees, because of the signs or symptoms they are experiencing, also receive additional testing ordered by the PLHCP under §§ 1910.1026(k)(3)(iii), 1915.1026(i)(3)(iii), and 1926.1126(i)(3)(iii).

4. Annual Medical Examination with Additional Tests (§§ 1910.1026(k)(2)(ii) and (k)(3)(i)-(k)(3)(iii); 1915.1026(i)(2)(ii) and (i)(3)(i)-(i)(3)(iii); and 1926.1126(i)(2)(ii) and (i)(3)(i)-(i)(3)(ii))

Under these provisions, employers must provide these annual medical examinations, which include additional testing, to the employees described in the previous item. Employers must conduct these annual medical examinations after the first year of this ICR. The content of these annual medical examinations is identical to the content of the initial medical examinations with additional testing described in the previous item.

5. Medical Examination After Initial Assignment (§§ 1910.1026(k)(2)(i), (k)(3)(i), and (k)(3)(ii); 1915.1026(i)(2)(i), (i)(3)(i), and (i)(3)(ii); and 1926.1126(i)(2)(i), (i)(3)(i), and (i)(3)(ii))

These provisions require employers to provide an initial medical examination to employees newly assigned to operations that involve exposure to Cr(VI) above the AL. These employees must receive the initial medical examination within 30 days of assuming this new assignment. The content of this initial medical examination consists of the same medical and work history, and physical examination of the skin and respiratory tract, described for the initial medical examination provided to current employees under item 1 of this section. These initial medical examinations establish a medical baseline for each employee, and identify employees who have medical conditions that may become worse under Cr(VI) exposure.

6. Medical Examination at the Termination of Employment (§§ 1910.1026(k)(2)(vi) and (k)(3)(i)-(k)(3)(iii); 1915.1026(i)(2)(vi) and (i)(3)(i)-(i)(3)(iii); and 1926.1126(i)(2)(vi) and (i)(3)(i)-(i)(3)(iii))

The requirements specified by §§ 1910.1026(k)(2)(vi), 1915.1026(i)(2)(vi), and 1926.1126(i)(2)(vi) address the medical examination that employers must provide to employees exposed to Cr(VI) above the AL when these employees terminate their employment; employers do not have to provide this medical examination when an employee's last medical examination satisfied the requirements of these standards and was administered to the employee less than 6 months prior to the date of termination. The content of these medical examinations is identical to the content of the medical examinations with additional testing described under §§ 1910.1026(k)(3)(i)-(k)(3)(iii), 1915.1026(i)(3)(i)-(i)(3)(iii), and 1926.1126(i)(3)(i)-(i)(3)(iii). These medical examinations assure that no employee terminates employment with an active, but undiagnosed, medical condition resulting from exposure to Cr(VI). In addition, these medical examinations provide employees and their PLHCPs with information that may be useful in diagnosing and treating latent effects of Cr(VI) exposure that may arise after termination of employment.

7. Information Provided to the PLHCP (§§ 1910.1026(k)(4), 1915.1026(i)(4), and 1926.1126(i)(4))

Paragraph (k)(4) of the general industry standard, and paragraph (i)(4) of the shipyard-employment and construction standards, require the employer to provide the PLHCP with the

following information: a copy of the appropriate standard; a description of the affected employee's former, current, and anticipated duties as they relate to Cr(VI) exposure; the employee's former, current, and anticipated exposure level; a description of any personal protective equipment used or to be used by the employee, including when and for how long the employee has used that equipment; and information from records of employment-related medical examinations previously provided to the affected employee that are within the control of the employer. OSHA believes that making the required information available to the PLHCP will aid the PLHCP in evaluating the signs and symptoms of potential Cr(VI)-related health effects. Information on the employee's exposures to Cr(VI), the employee's use of personal-protective equipment, and the results of previous examinations, when possible, will provide important information that the PLHCP can use, in conjunction with information gained from the required medical and work histories, in determining whether the observed symptoms are a result of Cr(VI) exposure. Making this information available to PLHCPs also assists them in evaluating an employee's health and fitness for specific job assignments involving Cr(VI) exposure. OSHA is assuming, in determining the burden hours and burden-hour cost associated with the requirement, that a clerical employee will collect the required information from employee records and provide it to the PLHCP prior the medical examination.

8. PLHCP's Written Medical Opinion (§§ 1910.1026(k)(5), 1915.1026(h)(5), and 1926.1126(i)(5))

These paragraphs require the employer to obtain a written medical opinion from the PLHCP within 30 days for each medical examination performed on an employee. This written opinion must contain the following information: the PLHCP's opinion as to whether the employee would be placed at increased risk of material health impairment as a result of exposure to Cr(VI); any recommended limitations on the employee's exposure or use of personal protective equipment; and a statement that the PLHCP has explained to the employee the results of the medical examination, including any medical conditions related to Cr(VI) exposure that require further evaluation or treatment, and any special provisions for use of protective clothing or equipment. In the opinion, the PLHCP must not reveal to the employer specific findings or diagnoses unrelated to occupational exposure to Cr(VI). Employers must provide a copy of the PLHCP's written medical opinion to the examined employee within 2 weeks after receiving the opinion.

Under the standards, the PLHCP is not be allowed to include in the written opinion provided to the employer any findings or diagnoses that are unrelated to Cr(VI) exposure. This provision reassures employees participating in medical surveillance that they will not be penalized or embarrassed by the employer obtaining information about them not directly pertinent to their Cr(VI) exposure. The employee would be informed directly by the PLHCP of all results of his or her medical examination, including conditions of a non-occupational origin, but the employer would only receive information necessary to make decisions regarding employee placement and protective-equipment selection relative to Cr(VI) exposures.

The purpose in requiring the employer to obtain a PLHCP's written opinion is to provide the employer with medical information to use in determining the employee's initial job assignments, and to assess the employee's ability to use protective clothing and equipment other than

respirators. The PLHCP's written opinion also informs the employer about whether the employee has a condition indicating Cr(VI) overexposure. The prohibition against providing the employer with information regarding conditions unrelated to Cr(VI) exposure ensures that discussions between the PLHCP and patient are open and candid, thereby enhancing diagnosis and treatment. The requirement that the PLHCP's opinion be in writing ensures, among other things, that the information is available for future reference. Providing employees with a copy of the PLHCP's written opinion informs them of the medical-examination results so that they can determine the need for, and evaluate the effectiveness of, treatments and other interventions. In determining the burden hours and burden cost resulting from this requirement, the Agency assumes that a clerical employee will provide the PLHCP's medical opinion to an employee within the required 2-week period.

G. Communication of Chromium (VI) Hazards to Employees (§§ 1910.1026(l), 1915.1026(j), and 1926.1126(j))

1. Employee Information and Training (§§ 1910.1026(l)(2), 1915.1026(j)(2), and 1926.1126(j)(2))

Paragraph (l)(2) of the general industry standard, and paragraphs (j)(2) in the shipyard employment and construction standards, require the employer to ensure that each employee can demonstrate knowledge of the contents of these standards, and the purpose and a description of the medical-surveillance program required by these standards. The employer also must make a copy of the appropriate standard readily available without cost to all affected employees.

OSHA believes these communication provisions are performance-oriented. The standards list the subjects that employers must ensure their employees understand, but not the specific ways that the communication is to be accomplished. Hands-on training, videotapes, slide presentations, classroom instruction, informal discussions during safety meetings, written materials, or any combination of these methods may be appropriate. Performance-oriented requirements will encourage employers to tailor training to the needs of their workplaces, thereby ensuring that employees receive effective training regarding the hazards of Cr(VI) exposure and how to prevent and control such exposure. Since these provisions are performance-oriented and no training records are required, OSHA is not taking burden for them under Items 12 or 13 of this Supporting Statement.

H. Recordkeeping (§§ 1910.1026(m), 1915.1026(k), and 1926.1126(k))

The recordkeeping requirements in these standards conform to Section 8(c) of the OSH Act, which authorizes OSHA to require employers to keep and make available records as necessary or appropriate for the enforcement of the Act or for developing information regarding the causes and prevention of occupational injuries and illnesses. The recordkeeping provisions also are consistent with OSHA's standard on access to employee exposure and medical records (29 CFR 1910.1020).

1. Air Monitoring Data (§§ 1910.1026(m)(1), 1915.1026(k)(1), and 1926.1126(k)(1)) and Medical Surveillance (§§ 1910.1026(m)(4), 1915.1026(k)(4), and 1926.1126(k)(4))

Air monitoring data. Employers who perform air monitoring to determine employee Cr(VI) exposures must keep records that identify the monitored employee and all other employees whose exposures are represented by the monitoring samples. The employer must keep accurate records for each exposure measurement taken. These records must include the following information: the date of measurement for each sample taken; the operation involving exposure to Cr(VI) that was monitored; sampling and analytical methods used and evidence of their accuracy; the number, duration, and results of samples taken; the type of personal-protective equipment used by the employee; and the name, social security number, and job classification of all employees represented by the monitoring, indicating which employees were actually monitored. Also, employers must ensure that exposure records are maintained and made available in accordance with 29 CFR 1910.1020.⁸

Establishing and maintaining records of air monitoring data permit employers, employees, OSHA, and other interested parties (i.e., industry trade associations and employee unions, or comparable organizations) to identify the levels, durations, and extent of Cr(VI) exposure, determine if existing controls are protecting employees or whether additional controls are necessary to provide the required protection, and assess the relationship between Cr(VI) exposure and the subsequent development of medical diseases. These records also allow OSHA to ascertain whether employers are complying with the standards, thereby ensuring that employees are receiving adequate protection from Cr(VI) exposure.

Medical surveillance. The employer must establish and maintain an accurate medical-surveillance record for each employee subject to the medical surveillance-requirements of the standards. Medical-surveillance records must include the following information: the name, social security number, and job classification of the employee; a copy of the PLHCP's written opinions; and a copy of the information provided to the PLHCP. This information includes the employee's duties as they relate to Cr(VI) exposure, Cr(VI) exposure levels, and descriptions of personal-protective equipment used by the employee. Also, the employer must ensure that employee medical records are maintained in accordance with 29 CFR 1910.1020.

Medical records are necessary and appropriate for the protection of employee health, the enforcement of the standards, and the development of information regarding the causes and prevention of Cr(VI)-related illnesses. Complete medical records, including the record of the examination administered upon termination of employment, are important to the employee because this information is necessary for the proper evaluation of the employee's health, and will assist the employee and the employee's PHLCP in making valid health-care decisions. Complete medical records also will alert employers to employee health problems that are related to Cr(VI) exposure, thereby permitting the employer to modify workplace conditions causing the harmful Cr(VI) exposures. Finally the records will be useful to the Agency and others in enumerating illnesses and deaths attributable to Cr(VI), evaluating compliance programs, and assessing the efficacy of the standards.

⁸The Agency assumes that employers will not use either performance-oriented option (i.e., historical monitoring data or objective data) during the period covered by this ICR because sufficient exposure data will not be available to do so during this period. Therefore, OSHA also is not taking any recordkeeping burden for these options in this ICR as specified in the final standards at §§ 1910.1026(m)(2) and (m)(3), 1915.1026(k)(2) and (k)(3), and 1926.1126(k)(2) and (k)(3).

3. **Describe whether, and to what extent, the collection of information involves the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses, and the basis for the decision for adopting this means of collection. Also describe any consideration of using information technology to reduce burden.**

Employers may use improved information technology when establishing and maintaining the required records. The Agency wrote the paperwork requirements of the Standard in performance-oriented language, i.e., in terms of what data to collect, not how to record the data.

4. **Describe efforts to identify duplication. Show specifically why any similar information already available cannot be used or modified for use for the purposes described in Item 2 above.**

The information collection requirements of the standards are specific to each employer and employee involved, and no other source or agency duplicates these requirements or can make the required information available to the Agency (i.e., the required information is available only from employers).

5. **If the collection of information impacts small businesses or other small entities (Item 5 of OMB Form 83-I), describe any methods used to minimize burden.**

The information collection requirements of the Standard do not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities.

6. **Describe the consequence to Federal program or policy activities if the collection is not conducted or is conducted less frequently, as well as any technical or legal obstacles to reducing burden.**

The information collection frequencies specified by the standards are the minimum frequencies that the Agency believes are necessary to ensure that employers and OSHA can effectively monitor the exposure and health status of employees, thereby preventing serious illness or death resulting from hazardous Cr(VI) exposure.

7. **Explain any special circumstances that would cause an information collection to be conducted in a manner:**
 - **Requiring respondents to report information to the agency more often than quarterly.**
 - **Requiring respondents to prepare a written response to a collection of information in fewer than 30 days after receipt of it.**
 - **Requiring respondents to submit more than an original and two copies of any document.**
 - **Requiring respondents to retain records, other than health, medical, government contract, grant-in-aid, or tax records for more than three years.**
 - **In connection with a statistical survey, that is not designed to produce valid and reliable results that can be generalized to the universe of study.**
 - **Requiring the use of a statistical data classification that has not been reviewed and approved by OMB.**

- **That includes a pledge of confidentiality that is not supported by authority established in statute or regulation, that is not supported by disclosure and data security policies that are consistent with the pledge, or which unnecessarily impedes sharing of data with other agencies for compatible confidential use.**
- **Requiring respondents to submit proprietary trade secret, or other confidential information unless the agency can demonstrate that it has instituted procedures to protect the information's confidentiality to the extent permitted by law.**

Under paragraph (d)(4) of the standards, employers must inform employees, in writing or by posting, of the exposure-assessment results no later than 15 working days after obtaining the results. If these results indicate that an employee's exposures are above the PEL, the notification must state what corrective actions the employer is taking to reduce the employee's exposure to or below the PEL. Additionally, paragraph (k)(5) of the general industry standard, and paragraphs (h)(5) and (i)(5) of the shipyard-employment and construction standards, respectively, require employers to provide employees with a copy of the PLHCP's written opinion regarding their medical examination within two weeks after receipt. The reasons for these requirements are explained above in Item 2 of this ICR.

- 8. If applicable, provide a copy and identify the date and page number of publication in the Federal Register of the Agency's notice, required by 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on the information collection prior to submission to OMB. Summarize public comments received in response to that notice and describe actions taken by the Agency in response to these comments. Specifically address comments received on cost and hour burden.**

Describe efforts to consult with persons outside the Agency to obtain their views on the availability of data, frequency of collection, the clarity of instructions and recordkeeping, disclosure, or reporting format (if any), and on the data elements to be recorded, disclosed, or reported.

Consultation with representatives of those from whom information is to be obtained or those who must compile records should occur at least once every 3 years—even if the collection of information activity is the same as in prior periods. There may be circumstances that may preclude consultation in a specific situation. These circumstances should be explained.

As required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(AA)), OSHA published a **Federal Register** on June 22, 2009 (74 FR 29517, Docket No. OSHA-2009-0015) soliciting comments from the public and other interested parties on the information collection requirements contained in the Cr(VI) standards for general industry (29 CFR 1910.1026), shipyard employment (29 CFR 1915.1026), and construction (29 CFR 1926.1126). The notice was part of a preclearance consultation program that provides interested parties with an opportunity to comment on OSHA's request for an extension by OMB of a previous approval of the information collection requirements found in the Cr(VI) standards. The Agency did not receive any comments regarding the proposed information collection request.

- 9. Explain any decision to provide any payment or gift to respondents, other than reenumeration of contractors or grantees.**

The Agency will not provide payments or gifts to the respondents.

- 10. Describe any assurance of confidentiality provided to respondents and the basis for the assurance in statute, regulation, or agency policy.**

To ensure that the personal information contained in medical records required by the standards remains confidential, the Agency developed and implemented 29 CFR 1913.10 (“Rules of Agency Practice and Procedure Concerning OSHA Access to Employee Medical Records”) to regulate access to these records.

- 11. Provide additional justification for any questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private. This justification should include the reasons why the agency considers the questions necessary, the specific uses to be made of the information, the explanation to be given to persons from whom the information is requested, and any steps to be taken to obtain their consent.**

The paperwork requirements specified by the Cr(VI) standards do not require the collection of sensitive information.

- 12. Provide estimates of the hour burden of the collection of information. The statement should:**

- **Indicate the number of respondents, frequency of response, annual hour burden, and an explanation of how the burden was estimated. Unless directed to do so, agencies should not conduct special surveys to obtain information on which to base hour burden estimates. Consultation with a sample (fewer than 10) of potential respondents is desirable. If the hour burden on respondents is expected to vary widely because of differences in activity, size, or complexity, show the range of estimated hour burden, and explain the reasons for the variance. Generally, estimates should not include burden hours for customary and usual business practices.**
- **If this request for approval covers more than one form, provide separate hour burden estimates for each form and aggregate the hour burdens in Item 13 of OMB Form 83-I.**
- **Provide estimates of annualized cost to respondents for the hour burdens for collections of information, identifying and using appropriate wage-rate categories.**

Determinations for Burden Hours, Burden-Hour Cost, and Capital Cost

OSHA based these determinations on its Final Economic Analysis (“FEA”) and the “Cost and Economic Impact Analysis of a Revised OSHA Standard for Hexavalent Chromium, Volumes I and II,” prepared by Shaw Environmental Inc. (“the Shaw Report”) (Exs. 49 and 50 in the rulemaking docket, H-054A). Tables 1-33 referenced in this Supporting Statement may be downloaded from the *Supplementary Documents* section in ROCIS titled: Chromium Tables 1 - 7; Chromium Tables 8-15, Chromium Tables 16-24 and Chromium Tables 24-33. These tables list the detailed data from the FEA and Shaw Report used to make these determinations; these data include, as appropriate, affected industrial sectors, number of affected plants, number of affected employees, time to perform the activity, and wage rates for in-house employees performing the specified activities. Table A also attached to this Supporting Statement, provides a summary of the determinations made by the Agency for the burden hour, burden-hour cost, and capital cost under Items 12 and 13 of this Supporting Statement.

The Agency obtained the wage rates for the private-sector and public-sector occupational categories used in making these determinations from “Employer Cost for Employee

Compensation,” National Compensation Survey—Compensation Cost Trends, U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2003. These wage rates are for nonsupervisory, supervisory, and clerical employees,⁹ and vary by industrial sector; see the relevant tables attached to this Summary Statement for the wage rates used for these occupational categories across the different industrial sectors. The wage rates for these occupational categories include an adjustment for the average level of fringe benefits for these occupational categories. The cost of labor used in these wage-rate determinations are, therefore, estimates of total hourly compensation.

- 13. Provide an estimate for the total annual cost burden to respondents or recordkeepers resulting from the collection of information. (Do not include the cost of any hour burden shown in Items 12 and 14).**
- **The cost estimate should be split into two components: (a) a total capital and start-up cost component (annualized over its expected useful life) and (b) a total operation and maintenance and purchase of service component. The estimates should take into account costs associated with generating, maintaining, and disclosing or providing the information. Include descriptions of methods used to estimate major cost factors including system and technology acquisition, expected useful life of capital equipment, the discount rate(s), and the time period over which costs will be incurred. Capital and start-up costs include, among other items, preparations for collecting information such as purchasing computers and software; monitoring, sampling, drilling and testing equipment; and record storage facilities.**
 - **If cost estimates are expected to vary widely, agencies should present ranges of cost burdens and explain the reasons for the variance. The cost of purchasing or contracting out information collection services should be a part of this cost burden estimate. In developing cost burden estimates, agencies may consult with a sample of respondent (fewer than 10), utilize the 60-day pre-OMB submission public comment process and use existing economic or regulatory impact analysis associated with the rulemaking containing the information collection, as appropriate.**
 - **Generally, estimates should not include purchases of equipment or services, or portions thereof, made: (1) prior to October 1, 1995, (2) to achieve regulatory compliance with requirements not associated with the information collection, (3) for reasons other than to provide information or keep records for the government, or (4) as part of customary and usual business or private practices.**

Specific and total capital cost for the paperwork requirements contained in this standard are identified and provided in the fourth column (“Capital Cost (Item 13)”) of Table A (“Summary of Burden Hours, Burden-Hour Cost, and Capital Cost Under Items 12 and 13 of this Supporting Statement”).

- 14. Provide estimates of annualized cost to the Federal government. Also, provide a description of the method used to estimate cost, which should include quantification of hours, operational expenses (such as equipment, overhead, printing, and support staff), and any other expense that would not have been incurred without this collection of information. Agencies also may also aggregate cost estimates from Items 12, 13, and 14 in a single table.**

OSHA estimates that a compliance officer (GS-12, step 5), with an hourly wage rate of \$39.70, spends about 15 minutes (.25 hours) during an inspection reviewing the documents required by

⁹In Table A below, OSHA used the non-supervisory wage rate for categories designated as “employee” and “industrial hygiene technician.”

the Cr(VI) standards. The Agency determined that its compliance officers will conduct approximately 1,094 inspections during each year covered by this ICR.¹⁰ OSHA considers other expenses, such as equipment, overhead, and support staff salaries to be normal operating expenses that would occur without the paperwork requirements specified by the standards. Therefore, the total annual cost of these paperwork requirements to the Federal government is:

$$\text{Cost: } 1,094 \text{ inspections} \times .25 \text{ hour} \times \$39.70 = \$10,858$$

15. Explain the reasons for any program changes or adjustments.

OSHA is requesting a total burden hour reduction of 488,866 hours. Below are the tables being modified.

Burden-hour adjustments:

Supervisor time and cost to inform laundry contractor about Cr(VI)-contaminated protective clothing or equipment – Table 19

The Agency assumes that only 25% of the total number of plants will need to notify or re-inform the laundering company of the presence of Cr(VI), resulting in an overall decrease of 4,297 hours.

Employee time and cost to complete the initial medical examination – Table 20

The Agency estimates that 5% of the potentially exposed employees will be required to implement engineering controls as required by the initial medical examination requirements in the standards. Assuming that only new plants will be affected by this requirement results in a total decrease of 383,825 hours.

Employee time and cost to complete the initial medical examination with additional tests – Table 24

The Agency assumes that 5% of the potentially exposed employees will receive initial comprehensive medical examinations and additional testing. This decrease in medical examinations and additional testing resulted in a total reduction of 2,474 hours.

Clerical time and costs to establish and maintain a record for air-monitoring and medical surveillance – Table 33

OSHA is requesting an adjustment decrease of 98,270 hours as a result of employers establishing and preparing initial exposure and medical surveillance records. OSHA reduced the time for this requirement from 15 minutes to 5 minutes because, in subsequent years, employers will not need to establish files.

¹⁰This table, and other tables referenced in Table A, refer to the tables attached to this Supporting Statement that contain the detailed data used by OSHA in making these determinations.

Costs adjustments:

Initial exposure determination (contractor costs) – Table 1

OSHA is requesting an adjustment decrease of \$52,754,407 because employers will no longer have to perform initial exposure monitoring. To determine the number of new employers that may need to conduct initial exposure monitoring, OSHA multiplied the number of existing plants by 5%.

Initial cost for exposure monitoring samples – Table 2

OSHA is requesting a \$17,253,072 decrease as a result of employers no longer needing to perform initial exposure monitoring. To determine the number of employers that may need to conduct initial exposure monitoring, OSHA multiplied the number of existing plants represented by the model input by 5%.

Contractor costs to purchase appropriate labels for glove disposal containers – Table 18

An adjustment decrease of \$32,047 is requested because employers no longer need to make initial purchases of warning labels, bags, and containers. OSHA assumed that 5% of the total number of existing glove-disposal containers will need to have their labels replaced.

Initial medical costs for employee who are potentially exposed to airborne hexavalent chromium or who show signs or symptoms of exposure to hexavalent chromium – Table 21

An adjustment decrease of \$15,295,361 is requested because OSHA estimates that 5% (instead of 10%) of the potentially exposed employees are at or above the action level, and workers with signs and symptoms before the implementation of engineering controls will receive a medical exam.

Contact costs for a PLHCP to conduct the initial medical examination w/additional tests - Table 25

The Agency requests an adjustment decrease of \$131,907 as a result of fewer employees requiring initial comprehensive medical exams because of abnormal medical exam results. In this ICR, OSHA assumed that 5% of the total number of potentially exposed employees will need initial comprehensive medical exams.

16. **For collections of information whose results will be published, outline plans for tabulation, and publication. Address any complex analytical techniques that will be used. Provide the time schedule for the entire project, including beginning and ending dates of the collection of information, completion of report, publication dates, and other actions.**

OSHA will not publish the information collected under the Cr(VI) standards.

- 17. If seeking approval to not display the expiration date for OMB approval of the information collection, explain the reasons that display would be appropriate.**

No forms are available for the Agency to display the expiration date.

- 18. Explain each exception to the certification statement.**

OSHA is not requesting an exception to the certification statement.

Table A
Summary of Burden Hours, Burden Hour Cost (Item 12),
Capital Cost (Item 13), and Total Responses

Collection of Information Requirements (Item 2)	Existing Burden Hours (Item 12)	Proposed Burden Hours (Item 12)	Change	Burden hour Cost (Item 12)	Existing Capital Cost (Item 13)	Proposed Capital Cost (Item 13)	Change	Number of Responses
A. Exposure Determination (paragraph (d) of §§ 1910.1026, 1915.1026, and 1926.1126)								
1. Scheduled Monitoring Option: Initial Exposure Monitoring (paragraph (d)(2)(i) of §§ 1910.1026, 1915.1026, and 1926.1126)								
a. Contract Cost for an Industrial Hygiene Technician to Perform Initial Exposure Monitoring (Table 1) ¹¹	-	-	-	-	\$55,555,424	\$2,801,017	-52,754,407	-
b. Contract Cost for a Laboratory to Conduct Analysis of Initial Exposure-Monitoring Air Samples (Table 2)	-	-	-	-	\$18,161,280	\$908,208	-17,253,072	-
2. Scheduled Monitoring Option: Semi-Annual Exposure Monitoring (paragraph (d)(2)(iii) of §§ 1910.1026, 1915.1026, and 1926.1126)								
a. Employee Time and Cost to Conduct Semi-Annual Exposure Monitoring (Table 3)	5,866	5,866	0	\$147,686	-	-	-	9,370
b. Contract Cost for an Industrial Hygiene Technician to Perform Semi-Annual Exposure Monitoring (Table 4)	-	-	-	-	\$12,994,829	\$12,994,829	0	-
c. Contract Cost for a Laboratory to Conduct Analysis of Semi-Annual Exposure-Monitoring Air Samples (Table 5)	-	-	-	-	\$4,868,764	\$4,868,764	0	-
3. Scheduled Monitoring Option: Quarterly Exposure Monitoring (paragraph (d)(2)(iv) of §§ 1910.1026, 1915.1026, and 1926.1126)								
a. Employee Time and Cost to Conduct Quarterly Exposure Monitoring (Table 6)	166,399	166,399	0	\$4,267,045	-	-	-	9,836
b. Contract Cost for an Industrial Hygiene Technician to Perform Quarterly Exposure Monitoring (Table 7)	-	-	-	-	\$6,194,850	\$6,194,850	0	-
c. Contract Cost for a Laboratory to Conduct	-	-	-	-	\$1,487,691	\$1,487,691	0	-

¹¹This table, and other tables referenced in Table A refer to the tables attached to this Supporting Statement that contain the detailed data used by OSHA in making these determinations.

Collection of Information Requirements (Item 2)	Existing Burden Hours (Item 12)	Proposed Burden Hours (Item 12)	Change	Burden hour Cost (Item 12)	Existing Capital Cost (Item 13)	Proposed Capital Cost (Item 13)	Change	Number of Responses
Analysis of Quarterly Exposure-Monitoring Air Samples (Table 8)								
4. Employee Notification of Determination Results (paragraph (d)(4) of §§ 1910.1026, 1915.1026, and 1926.1126)								
a. Supervisor Time and Cost to Notify Employees of quarterly monitoring results (Table 9)	14,171	14,171	0	\$528,025	-	-	-	56,685
b. Supervisor Time and Cost to Notify Employees of Initial Monitoring Results (Table 9a - Remand) ¹²	0	43,783	43,783	\$1,086,433				175,135
c. Supervisor Time and Cost to Notify Employees of semi-annual monitoring results (Table 9b - Remand) ¹²	0	18,792	18,792	\$440,298				75,166
B. Regulated Areas 1910.1026(e)								
1. Demarcation (§ 1910.1026(e)(2))	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	-
C. Respiratory Protection (§§ 1910.1026(g), 1915.1026(f), and 1926.1126(f))								
1. Respiratory-Protection Program: Qualitative Fit Testing for Respirator Use (§§ 1910.1026(g)(2), 1915.1026(f)(2), and 1926.1126(f)(2))								
a. Employee and Industrial Hygiene Technician Time and Cost to Conduct Qualitative Fit Testing (Table 10)	15,471	15,471	0	\$351,542	-	-	-	30,943
b. Cost of Materials for Qualitative Fit Testing (Table 11)	-	-	-	-	\$2,108	\$2,108	0	-
2. Respiratory-Protection Program: Quantitative Fit Testing for Respirator Use (§§ 1910.1026(g)(2), 1915.1026(f)(2), and 1926.1126(f)(2))								
a. Employee Time and Cost to Conduct Quantitative Fit Testing (Table 12)	41	41	0	\$1,074	-	-	-	82
b. Contract Cost for an Industrial Hygienist to Conduct Quantitative Fit Testing for Respirators (Table 13)	-	-	-	-	\$3,540	\$3,540	0	-
3. Respiratory-Protection Program: Medical Questionnaire for Respirator Use (§§ 1910.1026(g)(2), 1915.1026(f)(2), and 1926.1126(f)(2))								
a. Employee Time and Cost to Complete the Medical Questionnaire for Respirator Use (Table 14)	4,049	4,049	0	\$94,429	-	-	-	23,825

¹²These totals are not included in the total burden hours and cost. OSHA is currently addressing the court order remand.

Collection of Information Requirements (Item 2)	Existing Burden Hours (Item 12)	Proposed Burden Hours (Item 12)	Change	Burden hour Cost (Item 12)	Existing Capital Cost (Item 13)	Proposed Capital Cost (Item 13)	Change	Number of Responses
b. Contract Cost for a PLHCP to Review the Medical Questionnaire for Respirator Use (Table 15)	-	-	-	-	\$773,376	\$773,376	0	-
4. Respiratory-Protection Program: Follow-up Medical Examination for Respirator Use (§§ 1910.1026(g)(2), 1915.1026(f)(2), and 1926.1126(f)(2))								
a. Employee Time and Cost to Complete the Medical Examination for Respirator Use (Table 16)	14,655	14,655	0	\$335,938	-	-	-	4,885
b. Contract Cost for a PLHCP to Conduct the Medical Examination for Respirator Use (Table 17)	-	-	-	-	\$536,738	\$536,738	0	-
D. Protective Work Clothing and Equipment (§§1910.1026(h), 1915.1026(g), and 1926.1126(g))								
1. Removal and Storage (§§ 1910.1026(h)(2)(iv), 1915.1026(g)(2)(iv), and 1926.1126(g)(2)(iv))								
a. Contract Cost to Obtain Cr(VI) Hazard-Warning Labels for Bags or Containers Used to Store Cr(VI)-Contaminated Protective Clothing or Equipment (Table 18)	-	-	-	-	\$33,734	\$1,687	-\$32,047	-
2. Cleaning and Replacement (§§ 1910.1026(h)(3)(iii), 1915.1026(g)(3)(iii), and 1926.1126(g)(3)(iii))								
a. Supervisor Time and Cost to Inform Laundry Contractor About Cr(VI)-Contaminated Protective Clothing or Equipment (Table 19)	5,729	1,432	-4,297	\$45,237	-	-	-	71,623
E. Housekeeping (§ 1910.1026(j))								
1. Disposal (§ 1910.1026(j)(3)(ii))	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F. Medical Surveillance (§§ 1910.1026(k), 1915.1026(i), and 1926.1126(i))								
1. Initial Medical Examination (§§ 1910.1026(k)(1)(i)(A), (k)(3)(i), and (k)(3)(ii); 1915.1026(i)(1)(i)(A), (i)(3)(i), and (i)(3)(ii); and 1926.1126(i)(1)(i)(A), (i)(3)(i), and (i)(3)(ii))								
a. Employee Time and Cost to Complete the Initial Medical Examination (Table 20)	402,966	19,141	-383,825	\$440,130	-	-	-	134,112
b. Contract Cost for a PLHCP to Conduct the Initial Medical Examination (Table 21)	-	-	-	-	\$16,100,380	\$805,019	\$15,295,361	-

Collection of Information Requirements (Item 2)	Existing Burden Hours (Item 12)	Proposed Burden Hours (Item 12)	Change	Burden hour Cost (Item 12)	Existing Capital Cost (Item 13)	Proposed Capital Cost (Item 13)	Change	Number of Responses
2. Annual Medical Examination (§§ 1910.1026(k)(2)(ii), (k)(3)(i), and (k)(3)(ii); 1915.1026(i)(2)(ii), (i)(3)(i), and (i)(3)(ii); and 1926.1126(i)(2)(ii), (i)(3)(i), and (i)(3)(ii))								
a. Employee Time and Cost to Complete the Annual Medical Examination (Table 22)	225,986	225,986	0	\$5,448,872	-	-	-	75,971
b. Contract Cost for a PLHCP to Conduct the Medical Examination (Table 23)	-	-	-	-	\$8,700,843	\$8,700,843	0	-
3. Initial Medical Examination with Additional Tests (§§1910.1026(k)(1)(i)(B) and (k)(3)(i)-(k)(3)(iii); 1915.1026(i)(1)(i)(B) and (i)(3)(i)-(i)(3)(iii); and 1926.1126(i)(1)(i)(B) and (i)(3)(i)-(i)(3)(iii))								
a. Employee Time and Cost to Complete the Initial Medical Examination with Additional Tests (Table 24)	2,604	130	-2,474	\$2,982	-	-	-	33
b. Contract Cost for a PLHCP to Conduct the Initial Medical Examination with Additional Tests (Table 25)	-	-	-	-	\$138,850	\$6,943	-\$131,907	-
4. Annual Medical Examination with Additional Tests (§§ 1910.1026(k)(2)(ii) and (k)(3)(i)-(k)(3)(iii); 1915.1026(i)(2)(ii) and (i)(3)(i)-(i)(3)(iii); and 1926.1126(i)(2)(ii) and (i)(3)(i)-(i)(3)(ii))								
a. Employee Time and Cost to Complete the Annual Medical Examination with Additional Tests (Table 26)	1,140	1,140	0	\$27,513	-	-	-	380
b. Contract Cost for a PLHCP to Conduct the Annual Medical Examination with Additional Tests (Table 27)	-	-	-	-	\$80,385	\$80,385	0	-
5. Medical Examination After Initial Assignment (§§1910.1026(k)(2)(i), (k)(3)(i), and (k)(3)(ii);1915.1026(i)(2)(i), (i)(3)(i), and (i)(3)(ii); and 1926.1126(i)(2)(i), (i)(3)(i), and (i)(3)(ii))								
a. Employee Time and Cost to Complete the Medical Examination After Initial Assignment (Table 28)	35,617	35,617	0	\$794,474	-	-	-	8,961
b. Contract Cost for a PLHCP to Conduct the Medical Examination After Initial Assignment (Table 29)	-	-	-	-	\$3,568,450	\$3,568,450	0	-
6. Medical Examination at the Termination of Employment (§§ 1910.1026(k)(2)(vi) and (k)(3)(i)-(k)(3)(iii); 1915.1026(i)(2)(vi) and (i)(3)(i)-(i)(3)(iii); and 1926.1126(i)(2)(vi) and (i)(3)(i)-(i)(3)(ii))								
a. Employee Time and Cost to Complete the Medical Examination at the Termination of Employment (Table 30)	40,995	40,995	0	\$902,770	-	-	-	21,119

Collection of Information Requirements (Item 2)	Existing Burden Hours (Item 12)	Proposed Burden Hours (Item 12)	Change	Burden hour Cost (Item 12)	Existing Capital Cost (Item 13)	Proposed Capital Cost (Item 13)	Change	Number of Responses
b. Contract Cost for a PLHCP to Conduct the Medical Examination at the Termination of Employment (Table 31)	-	-	-	-	\$4,017,159	\$4,017,159	0	-
7. Information Provided to the PLHCP (§§ 1910.1026(k)(4), 1915.1026(i)(4), and 1926.1126(i)(4))								
a. Clerical Time and Cost to Provide Information to the PLHCP (Table 32)	134,028	134,028	0	\$2,185,878	-	-	-	134,028
8. PLHCP's Written Medical Opinion								
a. Employee and Clerical Time and Cost to Provide the PLHCP's Written Medical Opinion to Employee (burden taken in Table 33)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
G. Communication of Cr(VI) Hazards to Employees (§§ 1910.1026(l), 1915.1026(j), and 1926.1126(j))								
1. Employee Information and Training (§§ 1910.1026(l)(2), 1915.1026(j)(2), and 1926.1126(j)(2))	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
H. Recordkeeping (§§ 1910.1026(m), 1915.1026(k), and 1926.1126(k))								
a. Clerical Time and Cost to Establish and Maintain Record for Air Monitoring Data and Medical Surveillance (Table 33)	144,468	46,198	-98,270	\$859,365	-	-	-	577,471
TOTAL	1,214,185¹³	725,319	-488,866	\$17,865,262	\$133,218,401¹⁴	\$47,751,607	\$85,466,794	1,159,324

¹³ An administrative error of +100 hours has been identified which has increased the currently approved burden hours from 1,214,085 to 1,214,185.

¹⁴ The OMB Inventory rounded the cost to \$133,218,000. Therefore the ROCIS estimates a difference of -\$85,466,393 in cost.