To: Shelly Martinez, OMB From: Daniel McGrath, NCES

Date: July 14, 2009

Subject: Change request for PISA 2009 incentive plan (1850-0755 v.7)

This memorandum describes issues encountered with securing school participation in the PISA 2009 assessment and requests changes to the approved PISA 2009 incentive plan (1850-0755 v.7) to encourage higher response rates so that the United States can meet international sampling requirements and be included in the reported PISA results, and to improve comparability of incentives and stipends across schools. The proposed changes are consistent with the final incentive plan used for PISA 2006. NCES is requesting two changes: (1) increase the incentive for refusing schools unable to attend the recent PISA summer conference for participating schools to the average amount spent on schools that attended the conference (\$1500); and (2) increase the stipends for school coordinators (to \$300) and students (to \$75) in schools conducting the assessment out of school hours to better reflect the opportunity cost of their participation.

Background

The minimum allowable response rates for schools and students in PISA are as follows:

- 65 percent of originally sampled schools (if replacement schools are also used to supplement the sample; if replacement schools are not used, the minimum response rate is 85 percent of originally sampled schools—the United States includes replacement schools and so our minimum allowable rate is 65 percent of originally sampled schools).
- 80% student response rate (additionally, with respect to student response rates, schools with student response rates below 50% are not regarded as participating schools).

The data of countries that do not attain the minimum response rates are not included in international PISA results or in the international PISA database. In each of the past PISA administrations, the United States has barely achieved the minimum school response rate. Other countries have not been so fortunate, including the United Kingdom in 2003 and the Netherlands in 2000, which failed to reach minimum school response rates and were not included in the

1

¹ In PISA 2006, the original school response rate in the United States was 69 percent – the lowest original school response rate of all the OECD countries, followed by the Czech Republic at 73 percent, the Netherlands at 75 percent, and the United Kingdom at 76 percent. Of the remaining OECD countries, 14 had an original school response rate of between 80 and 95 percent, 9 of above 95 percent but below 100 percent, and 3 of a 100 percent. Including replacement schools, the final school response rate for the United States in PISA 2006 was 79 percent - again the lowest among the OECD countries, which all achieved a final school response rate of above 86 percent (including the United Kingdom at 88 percent). Among the OECD countries, 7 achieved a final school response rate of between 86 and 95 percent, 16 of above 95 percent but below 100 percent, and 6 of 100 percent. See the attached table from the OECD's PISA 2006 report for all response rates.

international reports or databases. The United States will spend approximately \$10 million² on PISA 2009, and so the potential loss if we fail to attain the minimum response rates is large.

Historically, meeting the minimum original school response rate has been difficult for the United States. In 2000 and 2003, the United States barely met the international requirements for school response rates. As a result, in 2006, we introduced extra measures not implemented in PISA 2000 or 2003 that were intended to increase school response rates: holding a conference in Washington, DC for participating schools in the summer before PISA's administration to provide them the opportunity to learn more about how PISA data are used to inform education policy and how the PISA assessment is administered in schools, and offering the option of administering the PISA assessment outside of school hours. Although we believe these measures were very useful in securing school participation, when it became clear that the United States was in danger of not reaching the minimum school response rate even with these inducements, the incentive plan was modified. It was modified in two ways: (1) to allow NCES to offer refusing schools that did not attend the summer conference an additional incentive equal to the average amount spent on schools that participated in the conference and (2) to allow NCES to offer larger stipends to school coordinators and students in schools participating outside of school hours to reflect the higher opportunity costs associated with outside school hours administration and facilitate high student participation. These measures were intended to increase response rates and to enhance fairness in the incentive plan.

In 2009, we used a similar incentive plan as the original plan used in 2006. The modifications to the 2006 incentive plan were not included in the original 2009 incentive plan in the hopes that the school response rate at the time of the summer conference would be sufficiently high that additional recruitment of original schools would not be required after the summer conference and that a high percentage of original schools would opt for administration within school hours (the overall burden to the school is thought to be lower for administration within school hours than outside school hours and it can be difficult to secure sufficiently high student participation outside school hours). Indeed, recruitment began a full year before data collection and included extraordinary measures such as the direct involvement of state officials in district and school recruitment.

However, NCES has just held the summer conference and school response rates are low and in danger of falling below the minimum allowable rate. To date, 66 percent (137 of 209) of the original sample schools have agreed to participate, which means that the United States is just barely meeting the required international minimum response rate for original schools. In the past month, 10 schools that had previously agreed to participate have notified the U.S. PISA contractor that they will now *not* be able to participate. NCES is concerned that more schools will back out in the coming months. Losing even 2 additional schools will bring the U.S. school response rate below the required international standard.

As in the past years, schools are reluctant to participate because PISA is not mandatory, schools do not want to lose instructional time, already feel overburdened by assessments, and see relatively little immediate benefit for themselves. This year, difficulties in gaining school participation have been especially acute. We have heard from field staff and from participants at

² As of July 14, 2009, the United States has obligated \$7.3 million and spent \$5 million. By the end of the data collection effort, at least \$8.1 million of the \$10 million will be spent. By the end of the project in 2011, all \$10 million will be spent regardless of the final school response rate.

the summer conference that the economic downturn is creating heightened concern with the burden and the uncertainty of having staff in place to coordinate the assessment.

As described in the PISA 2009 OMB clearance package, NCES and its contractors have already taken a number of steps to encourage school participation in PISA 2009, including the following.

- Burden on schools in terms of the information and actions requested prior to the assessment are kept to a minimum.
- The assessment is being administered in the fall, rather than the spring.
- After school or Saturday administration of PISA is allowed to provide greater flexibility to schools.
- Schools receive \$200 as compensation for participating.
- The PISA school coordinators are provided a \$100 reimbursement for carrying out administrative tasks in preparation for the test administration that will be carried out by the PISA contractor (coordinate logistics with the data collection contractor; supply a list of eligible students for sampling to the data collection contractor; communicate with teachers, students, and parents about the study to encourage participation; assist the test administrator in ensuring the sampled students attend the testing session; and assist the test administrator in arranging for make-up sessions as needed).
- Each student is offered \$20 if they complete PISA assessment during school hours and \$35 if they complete it during after school hours or on Saturday.
- NCES hosted a conference—PISA Comes to Your School—in Washington, DC, June 25-26, 2009, for participating schools. The purpose of the conference was to inform school representatives about PISA, to explain how PISA results are used, and to motivate schools' participation in the fall 2009 data collection. The PISA 2006 summer conference was a big success in terms of keeping the schools' promises of their participation in the fall. We believe that PISA 2009 summer conference will serve the same purpose. Representative from 87 schools attended the conference.
- At NCES's request, Arne Duncan, the U.S. Secretary of Education, sent a letter in June 2009 to superintendents of districts that oversee schools in the original PISA 2009 sample to encourage their participation. This extraordinary measure was taken because the United States is in jeopardy of not meeting the international standards. Secretary Duncan also addressed the school representatives at the PISA Comes to Your School conference, another effort to encourage schools to stay engaged in PISA assessment.

Unfortunately, these measures designed to encourage school participation in PISA are proving insufficient in 2009, and the United States is only two schools away from falling below the minimum original school response rate. During the 2009 summer conference, one school representative in the public question and answer session stated that schools consider monetary incentives when faced with budgeting uncertainty. This statement was echoed in informal discussions with other school representatives during the conference and during recruitment when field staff talked with school representatives about participating. Revising the incentive plan provides the best chance for increasing the participation rate of the original schools from the PISA sample, in particular among schools considered to be final refusals. Not taking strong

steps to increase the participation of these schools will very likely result in the United States being excluded from the international reporting, which would result in a loss of \$10 million invested by the United States in PISA 2009, a loss in the time invested by participating schools and students, as well as the loss of the comparative data the United States is seeking through the project.

Changes to Incentive Plan

The specific changes proposed by NCES are listed below. The goal in proposing these changes is to be flexible with regards to the needs of the refusing schools in order to encourage them to reconsider participating in PISA 2009 and to enhance comparability in incentives. The proposed changes would enhance comparability in incentives by offering late accepting schools the cash equivalent of the summer conference which was offered to schools that accepted in time for the conference, and by offering school coordinators and students that participate outside school hours stipends that reflect the added opportunity cost of participating outside the school day.

- 1. Offer refusing original sample schools up to \$1,500. This amount is equivalent to the amount that refusing schools would have received if they had participated in the June 2009 PISA Comes to Your School conference, held for the precise purpose of encouraging schools' participation in the study. In 2006, we also offered refusing schools \$1,500 (the amount spent on each school that attended the conference) and were able to get 11 additional original schools to agree to participate, bringing our original schools response rate (and, in turn, final school response rate) above the minimum required. Schools could choose to use these funds in the manner they consider appropriate for supporting their school program and/or the implementation of PISA.
- 2. Increase school coordinator and student incentives. As the PISA data collection proceeds, there may also be a great difficulty in obtaining high enough student response rates in individual schools (and, in turn, a sufficient school response rate given that the student response rate must be 50 percent or higher for a school to be considered a participating school). This was the case in 2006 when the incentives for PISA school coordinators and students had to be revised in the midst of data collection. In 2006, in the final days of the administration period, the incentive for school coordinators in schools conducting Saturday assessments was increased to \$300 to compensate for additional time spent getting students to attend the testing session, and the incentive for students was increased to \$75 to encourage their participation. NCES proposes to offer similar incentives should the student response rate jeopardize our school response rate, as we approach the end of data collection. Requesting a change to incentives in the middle of data collection creates an emergency that is risky with regards to the final outcomes. It would be more prudent to have approval to increase the PISA school coordinators and students incentives in the event that the appropriate student response rate is not being achieved.

In sum, NCES is extremely concerned that the United States will not meet the international standards for response rates. If these are not met, PISA results for the United States will be considered invalid and will not be published. For this reason, as well as interest in improving fairness in the incentive plan by providing all participating schools the same compensation (under the existing plan, currently refusing schools that ultimately agree to participate will not

have participated in the conference), NCES is proposing to revise the approved incentive plan to encourage greater school and student participation, as outlined above.

At the conclusion of the data collection period NCES will prepare a report to OMB that explicates which incentives were offered to schools, school coordinators and students (including how many entities received which incentives) and the outcomes in terms of participation in PISA.

Table A2.3 - Response rates

CECD Weighted school panicipation rate before regionding schools sampled (responding and non-responding) Number of responding and non-responding (reporting) Number of responding (reportin	Table A2.3 - Resp	Initial sample - before school replacement					
Weighted school participation rate before replacement Verginted number of responding and non-responding of responding and non-responding non-responding and non-r		(2) (3) (4) (5)					
Weighted school participation rate before Weighted number of responding schools Company Section Company		(1)					
Australia 99.40 247.212 251.222 349 368 368 369 341		participation rate before replacement	responding schools (weighted also by	schools sampled (responding and non-responding) (weighted also by		and non-responding	
Austhia Belgium 11-54 100 765 125-567 236 238 288 2014 11-52 299 198 204 204 204 204 204 204 204 204 204 204		98.40	247 212	251 222	3/10	356	
Belglum 18.154 100 7855 12.2 597 256 228 Czech Republic 7.2 87 91 281 12.5 259 198 264 Denmark 87 24 49 865 57 156 189 2218 Finland 100.00 65 086 65 086 155 1155 155 Finland 100.00 65 086 65 086 155 129 188 Finland 100.00 65 086 65 086 155 115 155 126 118 122 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 181 191							
Czech Fepublic 72.87 91.281 125.259 138 20.9 Finland 100.00 65.086 65.086 155 155 Finland 100.00 65.086 65.086 155 155 France 0.688 73.23.266 75.7512 179 187 Germany 98.15 932.2815 950.350 223 227 Greece 2.511 90.972 10.0827 10.0827 10.0827 Hungary 94.70 108.354 11.14.25 150 189 Iceland 10.00 57.245 157.245 164 104 Iceland 10.00 57.245 57.245 164 104 Iceland 10.00 57.245 57.245 164 104 Iceland 90.24 10.022 11.82.688 171 10 Iceland 90.24 10.022 12.02 10 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13							
Demmark 87.24 49.665 57.156 189 218 Finland 100.00 65.086 65.086 155 155 155 155 156 167 157 187	•		348 248		850	941	
Finland 100.00 65.086 65.086 155 155 157 179 187	Czech Republic	72.87	91 281	125 259	198	264	
France							
Germany 98.15 992.51 996.973 100 150 227 176 192 Hungary 94.70 108.954 114.425 180 189 Iceland 100.00 57.245 57.245 164 164 Italy 90.53 564.533 26.3570 75.3 87.4 Japan 87.27 1.032.152 1.182.686 1.71 1.96 Korea 99.24 572.256 576.687 153 1.55 Luxembourg 100.00 4.955 4.955 3.1 3.1 Mexico 95.66 1.281.867 1.342.888 1.115 1.184 New Zealand 91.69 54.182 59.090 1.62 1.79 Norway 90.47 54.613 60.396 1.93 2.23 Portugal 94.87 94.835 99.961 1.65 1.74 Stowak Republic 92.42 70.860 76.71 1.70 1.90 Showler and 95.54 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>							
Greece 92.51 96.973 104 827 176 132 Hungary 94.70 108 354 114 425 180 189 Iceland 99.35 4 819 4 900 135 151 Italy 90.53 564 533 623 570 753 874 Japan 87.27 1032 152 1126 888 171 198 Korea 99.24 572 256 576 637 153 155 Lusembourg 100.00 4 955 4 955 131 31 151 Mexico 95.46 1281 867 1 342 898 11 15 1184 New Zealand 91.69 54 182 59 909 162 179 Noway 90.47 54 613 60 369 193 123 213 Poland 95.41 507 651 532 061 209 122 179 Portugal 94.87 94 835 99 961 165 174 190 160 173 170 <							
Hungary 94.70 108.554 114.425 180 189 190 185 151 Ireland 99.55 4.819 4.900 135 151 Ireland 100.00 57.245 57.245 164	,						
Iceland 99.35							
Ireland	~ ,						
Italy 90.53 564 533 62.25 70 753 874 Japan 87.27 1 032 152 1 182 688 171 196 Korea 99.24 572 256 576 637 153 155 Luxembourg 100.00 4 955 4 955 31 31 Mexico 95.46 1.28 1867 1 342 898 1 115 1 184 New Zealard 91.69 54 182 50 900 162 179 Norway 90.47 54 1812 50 900 162 179 Norway 90.47 54 1812 50 900 162 179 Portugal 94.57 94 635 99 961 155 174 Norway 90.47 54 612 50 399 193 213 Spain 95.41 50 76 651 532 061 150 209 222 Spain 98.2626 416 839 423 904 662 368 312 Spain 98.5226 116 85 39							
Korea 99.24 572.256 576.637 153 155 158 159 159 100.00 4.955 4.955 31 31 31 18600 95.46 1.281.867 1.342.898 1.115 1.184 1.	Italy		564 533	623 570	753	874	
Luxemburg 100.00 4 855 4 955 31 31 31 Mexico 9 5.46 1 281667 1 242 898 1 115 1 184 New Zealand 9 1.59 1 51 039 1 99 533 1 46 1 94 New Zealand 9 1.69 5 4 182 50 000 1 62 1 79 Norway 9 9.47 5 4 613 50 089 1 93 2 13 Poland 95.41 507 681 522 061 209 222 Portugal 94.67 9 4 835 99 961 1 685 1 74 Slovak Republic 9.2.42 70 860 76 671 1 70 1 90 Spain 98.2626 416 539 423 904 682 682 686 Sweden 99.59 126 611 127 133 197 199 190 Witzerland 95.44 77 940 81 660 496 512 146 101 106 101 105 160 101 105 160 104	Japan	87.27	1 032 152	1 182 688	171	196	
Mexico 95.46 1.281.867 1.342.898 1.115 1.184 New Zealand 91.69 54.182 50.090 1.62 1.79 Norway 90.47 54.613 60.0369 1.93 2.13 Poland 95.41 597.651 552.061 2.09 2.22 Portugal 94.87 94.835 99.961 1.65 1.74 Slowak Republic 92.42 70.806 76.671 1.70 1.90 Spain 98.2626 416.539 423.904 682 686 Sweden 99.59 126.611 127.133 1.97 1.99 Switzerland 95.44 77.940 81.660 496 51.2 Turkey 97.16 73.777 796.371 1.55 160 United Kingdom 76.05 569.438 748.796 439 587 Argentia 95.08 288.747 390.113 1.45 202 Partners 1 1.00 2.00							
Netherlands 75.70 151 039 19 533 146 194 New Zealand 91.69 54 182 59 090 162 179 Norway 90.47 54 613 60 369 133 213 Poland 95.41 507 651 532 061 209 222 Portugal 94.87 94.835 99 961 165 174 Slovak Republic 92.42 70.860 76 671 170 190 Spain 98.2626 416 539 423 904 682 686 Sweden 99.59 126 611 127 133 197 199 Switzerland 95.44 779 40 81 660 496 512 100 United Kingdom 76.05 568 438 78 796 41 155 160 United Kingdom 76.05 568 438 78 796 43 79 155 160 United Kingdom 76.05 568 7438 78 796 131 155 160 Vari	=						
New Zealand 91.69 54 182 59 090 162 179 Norway 90.47 54 613 60 359 193 213 Poland 95.41 507 651 532 061 209 222 Portugal 94.87 94 835 99 961 105 174 Slowak Republic 92.42 70 860 76 671 170 190 Spain 98.2626 416 539 423 904 682 686 Sweden 99.59 126 6611 127 133 197 199 Switzerland 95.44 77 940 81 660 496 512 Turkey 97.16 773 777 796 371 155 160 United Kingdom 76.05 569 438 748 796 439 587 United States 68.95 2 689 741 3 90 131 145 209 Paramer 1 1 30 132 145 209 200 Paramer 2 4 30 132 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>							
Norway 90.47 54.613 60.369 193 213 1213 1213 1214 1507 651 532 061 209 222 225 2011 34.87 94.835 99.961 165 174 170 190 1808							
Poland 95.41 507.651 532.061 209 222 Portugal 94.87 94.835 99.961 1.65 1.74 Slovak Republic 92.42 70.860 76.671 1.70 1.90 Spain 98.2626 416.539 423.904 682 686 Sweden 99.599 1.26 611 1.27 133 197 1.99 Switzerland 95.44 77.940 81.660 496 51.2 Turkey 97.16 77.3777 796.371 1.55 1.60 United Kingdom 76.05 569.438 748.796 439 587 Varieta 80.81 2.7377 775 576.125 168 179 Agentina 95.08 547							
Portugal 94.87 94.835 99.961 1.65 1.74	-						
Slovak Republic 92.42 70.860 76.671 170 190 5pain 98.2626 416.539 423.904 682 686							
Sweden 99.59 126 611 127 133 197 199 Switzerland 95.44 77 940 81 660 496 512 Turkey 97.16 77 3777 796 371 155 160 United Kingdom 76.05 569 438 748 796 439 587 United States 68.95 2 689 741 3 901 131 145 200 Particle 8.95 2 689 741 3 901 131 145 200 Particle 95.08 547 775 576 125 168 179 Azerbaijan 94.86 123 718 130 423 163 172 Brazil 98.01 2 300 530 2 347 346 606 629 Bulgaria 98.01 2 300 530 2 347 346 606 629 Bulgaria 98.76 82.28 83 281 178 180 Chilee 33.08 207 183 249 370 161 196 Chile 36.6 152	•	92.42	70 860	76 671	170	190	
Switzerland 95.44 77 940 81 660 496 512 Turkey 97.16 773 777 796 371 155 160 United Kingdom 76.05 569 438 748 796 439 587 United States 68.95 2 689 741 3 901 131 145 209 Partners Argentina 95.08 547 775 576 125 168 179 Azerbaijan 94.86 123 718 130 423 163 172 Brazil 98.01 2 300 530 2 347 346 606 629 Bulgaria 98.76 82 248 83 281 178 180 Chile 83.08 207 183 249 370 161 196 Chinese Taipei 98.03 420 165 428 630 235 240 Colombia 93.53 500 567 535 166 154 167 Foraita 98.98 19 071 19 267 167 168 Hong Kong-China	Spain	98.2626	416 539	423 904	682	686	
Turkey 97.16 773 777 796 371 155 160 United Kingdom 76.05 569 438 748 796 439 587 Vonited States 68.95 2 689 741 3 901 131 145 209 Partners Voltage 5767 125 168 179 Azerbaijan 94.86 123 718 130 423 163 172 Brazil 98.01 2 300 530 2 347 346 606 629 Bulgaria 98.76 82 248 83 281 178 180 Chile 83.08 207 183 249 370 161 196 Chinese Taipei 98.03 420 165 428 630 235 240 Colombia 93.53 505 67 535 166 154 167 Croatia 98.59 48 081 48 768 159 163 Stonia 98.98 19 071 19 267 167 168 Indonesia 99.72 2 249 728 2 256 019 349							
United Kingdom 76.05 569 438 748 796 439 587 United States 68.95 2 689 741 3 901 131 145 209 Partners 2 3 901 131 145 209 Argentina 95.08 547 775 576 125 168 179 Azerbaijan 94.86 123 718 130 423 163 172 Brazil 98.01 2 300 530 2 347 346 606 629 Bulgaria 98.76 82 248 83 281 178 180 Chile 83.08 207 183 249 370 161 196 Chile 83.08 207 183 249 370 161 196 Chile 83.03 420 165 428 630 235 240 Colmbia 93.53 500 567 535 166 154 167 Croatia 98.89 9.89 9.89 19 071 19 267 167 169 Hong Kong-China 68.57 52 768 7							
United States 68.95 2 689 741 3 901 131 145 209 Partners 2 2 2 390 131 145 209 Argentina 95.08 547 775 576 125 168 179 Azerbaijan 94.86 123 718 130 423 163 172 Brazil 98.01 23 00 530 2 347 346 606 629 Bulgaria 98.76 82 248 83 281 178 180 Chile 83.08 207 183 249 370 161 196 Chile 83.08 207 183 249 370 161 196 Chile 83.03 420 165 428 630 235 240 Chile 98.03 420 165 428 630 235 240 Chile 98.03 48 081 48 768 159 163 Estonia 98.98 19 071 19 267 167 169 Hong Kong-China 68.57 52 768 67 956 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>							
Partners Argentina 95.08 5.47 775 576 125 168 179 Azerbajian 94.86 123 718 130 423 163 172 Brazii 98.01 2 300 530 2 347 346 606 629 Bulgaria 98.76 82 248 83 281 178 180 Chile 38.38 207 183 249 370 161 196 Chile 38.08 207 183 249 370 161 196 Chile 38.08 207 183 249 370 161 196 Chile 38.08 207 183 249 370 161 196 Chile 38.03 420 165 428 630 235 240 Colombia 93.53 500 567 535 166 154 167 Croatia 98.59 48 081 48 768 159 163 Estonia 98.98 19 071 19 267 167 169 Hong Kong-China 18.99 9.5231 105	ū						
Argentina 95.08 547 775 576 125 168 179 Azerbaijan 94.86 123 718 130 423 163 172 Brazil 98.01 2 300 530 2 347 346 606 629 Bulgaria 98.76 82 248 83 281 178 180 Chile 83.08 207 183 249 370 161 196 Chinese Taipei 98.03 420 165 428 630 235 240 Colombia 93.53 500 567 535 166 154 167 Croatia 98.59 48 081 49 768 159 163 Estonia 98.98 19 071 19 267 167 167 169 Hong Kong-China 68.57 52 768 76 956 106 156 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 167 166 163 163		06.95	2 009 741	3 901 131	145	209	
Azerbaijan 94.86 123 718 130 423 163 172 Brazil 98.01 2 300 530 2 347 346 606 629 Bulgaria 98.76 82 248 83 281 178 180 Chile 83.08 207 183 249 370 161 196 Chinese Taipei 98.03 420 165 428 630 235 240 Colombia 93.53 500 567 535 166 154 167 Croatia 98.59 48 081 48 768 159 163 Estonia 98.98 19 071 19 267 167 169 Hong Kong-China 68.57 52 768 76 956 106 156 Indonesia 99.72 2 249 728 2 256 019 349 352 Israel 89.89 95 231 105 941 139 167 Jordan 100.00 99 088 99 088 210 200 201 Latvia 97.57 31 740 32 532 </td <td></td> <td>95.08</td> <td>547 775</td> <td>576 125</td> <td>168</td> <td>179</td>		95.08	547 775	576 125	168	179	
Brazil 98.01 2 300 530 2 347 346 606 629 Bulgaria 98.76 82 248 83 281 178 180 Chile 83.08 207 183 249 370 161 196 Chinese Taipei 98.03 420 165 428 630 235 240 Colombia 93.53 500 567 535 166 154 167 Croatia 98.59 48 081 48 768 159 163 Estonia 98.98 19 071 19 267 167 166 Hong Kong-China 68.57 52 768 76 956 106 156 Indonesia 99.72 2 249 728 2 256 019 349 352 Israel 89.89 95 231 105 941 139 167 Jordan 100.00 99 088 99 088 210 210 Kyrgyzstan 99.58 89 863 90 240 200 201 Latvia 97.57 31 740 32 532 171 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>							
Chile 83.08 207 183 249 370 161 196 Chinese Taipei 98.03 420 165 428 630 235 240 Colombia 93.53 500 567 535 166 154 167 Croatia 98.59 48 081 48 768 159 163 Estonia 98.98 19 071 19 267 167 169 Hong Kong-China 68.57 52 768 76 956 106 156 Israel 89.89 95 231 105 941 139 167 Jordan 100.00 99 088 99 088 210 210 Kyrgyzstan 99.58 89 863 90 240 200 201 Latvia 97.57 31 740 32 532 171 175 Lichtenstein 100.00 362 362 12 12 Lithuania 96.85 48 989 50 584 190 197 Macao-China 100.00 6 608 6 608 43 <t< td=""><td>= -</td><td>98.01</td><td>2 300 530</td><td>2 347 346</td><td>606</td><td>629</td></t<>	= -	98.01	2 300 530	2 347 346	606	629	
Chinese Taipei 98.03 420 165 428 630 235 240 Colombia 93.53 500 567 535 166 154 167 Croatia 98.59 48 081 48 768 159 163 Estonia 98.98 19 071 19 267 167 169 Hong Kong-China 68.57 52 768 76 956 106 156 Indonesia 99.72 2 249 728 2 256 019 349 352 Israel 89.89 95 231 105 941 139 167 Jordan 100.00 99 088 99 088 210 210 Kyrgyzstan 99.58 89 863 90 240 200 201 Latvia 97.57 31 740 32 532 171 175 Liechtenstein 100.00 362 362 12 12 Lithuania 96.85 48 989 50 584 190 197 Macao-China 100.00 6 608 6 608 43	Bulgaria		82 248		178		
Colombia 93.53 500 567 535 166 154 167 Croatia 98.59 48 081 48 768 159 163 Estonia 98.98 19 071 19 267 167 169 Hong Kong-China 68.57 52 768 76 956 106 156 Indonesia 99.72 2 249 728 2 256 019 349 352 Israel 89.89 95 231 105 941 139 167 Jordan 100.00 99 088 90 088 210 210 Kyrgyzstan 99.58 89 863 90 240 200 201 Latvia 97.57 31 740 32 532 171 175 Liechtenstein 100.00 362 362 12 12 Lithuania 96.85 48 989 50 584 190 197 Macao-China 100.00 6 608 6 608 43 43 Montenegro 94.64 7 363 7 780 49 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>							
Croatia 98.59 48 081 48 768 159 163 Estonia 98.98 19 071 19 267 167 169 Hong Kong-China 68.57 52 768 76 956 106 156 Indonesia 99.72 2 249 728 2 256 019 349 352 Israel 89.89 95 231 105 941 139 167 Jordan 100.00 99 088 99 088 210 210 Kyrgyzstan 99.58 89 863 90 240 200 201 Latvia 97.57 31 740 32 532 171 175 Lichtenstein 100.00 362 362 12 12 Lithuania 96.85 48 989 50 584 190 197 Macao-China 100.00 6 608 6 608 43 43 Montenegro 94.64 7 363 7 780 49 51 Romania 100.00 231 533 231 533 174 1	*						
Estonia 98.98 19 071 19 267 167 169 Hong Kong-China 68.57 52 768 76 956 106 156 Indonesia 99.72 2 249 728 2 256 019 349 352 Israel 89.89 95 231 105 941 139 167 Jordan 100.00 99 088 99 088 210 210 Kyrgyzstan 99.58 89 863 90 240 200 201 Latvia 97.57 31 740 32 532 171 175 Liechtenstein 100.00 362 362 12 12 12 Lithuania 96.85 48 989 50 584 190 197 Macao-China 100.00 6 608 6 608 43 43 Montenegro 94.64 7 363 7 780 49 51 Qatar 98.02 7 260 7 407 128 137 Romania 100.00 231 533 231 533 174 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>							
Hong Kong-China 68.57 52 768 76 956 106 156 Indonesia 99.72 2 249 728 2 256 019 349 352 Israel 89.89 95 231 105 941 139 167 Jordan 100.00 99 088 99 088 210 210 Kyrgyzstan 99.58 89 863 90 240 200 201 Latvia 97.57 31 740 32 532 171 175 Liechtenstein 100.00 362 362 12 12 Lithuania 96.85 48 989 50 584 190 197 Macao-China 100.00 6 608 6 608 43 43 Montenegro 94.64 7 363 7 780 49 51 Qatar 98.02 7 260 7 407 128 137 Romania 100.00 231 533 231 533 174 174 Russian Federation 100.00 1848 221 1 848 221 209							
Indonesia 99.72 2 249 728 2 256 019 349 352 Israel 89.89 95 231 105 941 139 167 Jordan 100.00 99 088 99 088 210 210 Kyrgyzstan 99.58 89 863 90 240 200 201 Latvia 97.57 31 740 32 532 171 175 Liechtenstein 100.00 362 362 12 12 Lithuania 96.85 48 989 50 584 190 197 Macao-China 100.00 6 608 6 608 43 43 Montenegro 94.64 7 363 7 780 49 51 Qatar 98.02 7 260 7 407 128 137 Romania 100.00 231 533 231 533 174 174 Russian Federation 100.00 1 848 221 1 848 221 209 209 Serbia 97.42 21 983 22 565 355							
Israel 89.89 95 231 105 941 139 167 Jordan 100.00 99 088 99 088 210 210 Kyrgyzstan 99.58 89 863 90 240 200 201 Latvia 97.57 31 740 32 532 171 175 Liechtenstein 100.00 362 362 12 12 Lithuania 96.85 48 989 50 584 190 197 Macao-China 100.00 6 608 6 608 43 43 Montenegro 94.64 7 363 7 780 49 51 Qatar 98.02 7 260 7 407 128 137 Romania 100.00 231 533 231 533 174 174 Russian Federation 100.00 1848 221 1 848 221 209 209 Serbia 98.67 76 534 77 568 160 163 Slovenia 97.42 21 983 22 565 355 365	• •						
Kyrgyzstan 99.58 89.863 90.240 200 201 Latvia 97.57 31.740 32.532 171 175 Liechtenstein 100.00 362 362 12 12 Lithuania 96.85 48.989 50.584 190 197 Macao-China 100.00 6.608 6.608 43 43 Montenegro 94.64 7.363 7.780 49 51 Qatar 98.02 7.260 7.407 128 137 Romania 100.00 231.533 231.533 174 174 Russian Federation 100.00 1.848.221 1.848.221 209 209 Serbia 98.67 76.534 77.568 160 163 Slovenia 97.42 21.983 22.565 355 365 Thailand 97.70 705.353 721.963 208 212 Tunisia 100.00 153.009 153.009 152	Israel				139		
Latvia 97.57 31 740 32 532 171 175 Liechtenstein 100.00 362 362 12 12 Lithuania 96.85 48 989 50 584 190 197 Macao-China 100.00 6 608 6 608 43 43 Montenegro 94.64 7 363 7 780 49 51 Qatar 98.02 7 260 7 407 128 137 Romania 100.00 231 533 231 533 174 174 Russian Federation 100.00 1 848 221 1 848 221 209 209 Serbia 98.67 76 534 77 568 160 163 Slovenia 97.42 21 983 22 565 355 365 Thailand 97.70 705 353 721 963 208 212 Tunisia 100.00 153 009 153 009 152 152							
Liechtenstein 100.00 362 362 12 12 Lithuania 96.85 48 989 50 584 190 197 Macao-China 100.00 6 608 6 608 43 43 Montenegro 94.64 7 363 7 780 49 51 Qatar 98.02 7 260 7 407 128 137 Romania 100.00 231 533 231 533 174 174 Russian Federation 100.00 1 848 221 1 848 221 209 209 Serbia 98.67 76 534 77 568 160 163 Slovenia 97.42 21 983 22 565 355 365 Thailand 97.70 705 353 721 963 208 212 Tunisia 100.00 153 009 153 009 152 152	, .,						
Lithuania 96.85 48 989 50 584 190 197 Macao-China 100.00 6 608 6 608 43 43 Montenegro 94.64 7 363 7 780 49 51 Qatar 98.02 7 260 7 407 128 137 Romania 100.00 231 533 231 533 174 174 Russian Federation 100.00 1 848 221 1 848 221 209 209 Serbia 98.67 76 534 77 568 160 163 Slovenia 97.42 21 983 22 565 355 365 Thailand 97.70 705 353 721 963 208 212 Tunisia 100.00 153 009 153 009 152 152							
Macao-China 100.00 6 608 6 608 43 43 Montenegro 94.64 7 363 7 780 49 51 Qatar 98.02 7 260 7 407 128 137 Romania 100.00 231 533 231 533 174 174 Russian Federation 100.00 1 848 221 1 848 221 209 209 Serbia 98.67 76 534 77 568 160 163 Slovenia 97.42 21 983 22 565 355 365 Thailand 97.70 705 353 721 963 208 212 Tunisia 100.00 153 009 153 009 152 152							
Montenegro 94.64 7 363 7 780 49 51 Qatar 98.02 7 260 7 407 128 137 Romania 100.00 231 533 231 533 174 174 Russian Federation 100.00 1 848 221 1 848 221 209 209 Serbia 98.67 76 534 77 568 160 163 Slovenia 97.42 21 983 22 565 355 365 Thailand 97.70 705 353 721 963 208 212 Tunisia 100.00 153 009 153 009 152 152							
Qatar 98.02 7 260 7 407 128 137 Romania 100.00 231 533 231 533 174 174 Russian Federation 100.00 1 848 221 1 848 221 209 209 Serbia 98.67 76 534 77 568 160 163 Slovenia 97.42 21 983 22 565 355 365 Thailand 97.70 705 353 721 963 208 212 Tunisia 100.00 153 009 153 009 152 152							
Romania 100.00 231 533 231 533 174 174 Russian Federation 100.00 1 848 221 1 848 221 209 209 Serbia 98.67 76 534 77 568 160 163 Slovenia 97.42 21 983 22 565 355 365 Thailand 97.70 705 353 721 963 208 212 Tunisia 100.00 153 009 153 009 152 152	-						
Serbia 98.67 76 534 77 568 160 163 Slovenia 97.42 21 983 22 565 355 365 Thailand 97.70 705 353 721 963 208 212 Tunisia 100.00 153 009 153 009 152 152	-						
Slovenia 97.42 21 983 22 565 355 365 Thailand 97.70 705 353 721 963 208 212 Tunisia 100.00 153 009 153 009 152 152							
Thailand 97.70 705 353 721 963 208 212 Tunisia 100.00 153 009 153 009 152 152							
Tunisia 100.00 153 009 153 009 152 152							
	Uruguay	96.30	38 378	39 854	270	280	

Source: OECD (2007). PISA 2006 Science Competencies for Tomorrow's World, Volume I. Paris: Author.

Table A2.3 - Respo	onse rates (cont.)					
	Final sample - after school replacement					
	(6) (7) (8) (9) (10)					
			Weighted number of			
	Weighted school participation rate after replacement (%)	Weighted number of responding schools (weighted also by enrolment)	schools sampled (responding and non- responding) (weighted also by enrolment)	Number of responding schools (unweighted)	Number of responding and non-responding schools (unweighted)	
OECD						
Australia	98.85	248 321	251 222	350	356	
Austria Belgium	98.77 93.59	91 471 115 646	92 606 123 563	197 269	203 288	
Canada	86.23	360 867	418 514	861	941	
Czech Republic	93.87	117 526	125 202	244	264	
Denmark	96.47	55 068	57 085	209	218	
Finland	100.00	65 086	65 086	155	155	
France	96.68	732 366	757 512	179	187	
Germany Greece	99.05 99.35	941 356 104 124	950 350 104 810	225 189	227 192	
Hungary	100.00	114 266	114 266	189	189	
Iceland	98.35	4 819	4 900	135	151	
Ireland	100.00	57 245	57 245	164	164	
Italy	97.47	607 860	623 619	796	874	
Japan	92.38	1 092 616	1 182 688	181	196	
Korea	99.89 100.00	575 984 4 955	576 637 4 955	154 31	155 31	
Luxembourg Mexico	96.20	1 291 872	1 342 898	1 128	1 184	
Netherlands	94.25	187 953	199 423	183	194	
New Zealand	96.06	56 762	59 090	170	179	
Norway	95.40	57 582	60 359	203	213	
Poland	99.99	532 150	532 197	221	222	
Portugal	98.73	98 593	99 863	172	174	
Slovak Republic Spain	99.93	76 865 424 621	76 920 424 621	188 686	190 686	
Sweden	99.59	126 611	127 133	197	199	
Switzerland	99.09	81 345	82 095	509	512	
Turkey	100.00	794 826	794 826	160	160	
United Kingdom	88.15	660 503	749 270	494	587	
United States	79.09	3 085 548	3 901 521	166	209	
Partners	96.19	EE / 106	576 125	171	179	
Argentina Azerbaijan	99.37	554 186 129 952	130 775	171	179	
Brazil	99.24	2 329 154	2 346 988	617	629	
Bulgaria	99.35	82 548	83 092	179	180	
Chile	87.89	219 082	249 283	173	196	
Chinese Taipei	98.10	420 394	428 529	236	240	
Croatia	99.22	530 585	534 764	165	167	
Croatia Estonia	99.80 100.00	48 727 19 261	48 823 19 261	161 169	163 169	
Hong Kong-China	93.76	72 564	77 392	146	156	
Indonesia	100.00	2 256 019	2 256 019	352	352	
Israel	93.45	99 541	106 520	149	167	
Jordan	100.00	99 088	99 088	210	210	
Kyrgyzstan	100.00	90 240	90 240	201	201	
Latvia	100.00	32 532	32 532	175	175	
Liechtenstein Lithuania	100.00 100.00	362 50 584	362 50 584	12 197	12 197	
Macao-China	100.00	6 608	6 608	43	43	
Montenegro	94.64	7 363	7 780	49	51	
Qatar	98.02	7 260	7 407	128	137	
Romania	100.00	231 533	231 533	174	174	
Russian Federation	100.00	1 848 221	1 848 221	209	209	
Serbia	99.96	77 539	77 568	162	163	
Slovenia Thailand	97.71 100.00	22 049 721 552	22 565 721 552	356 212	365 212	
Tunisia	100.00	153 009	153 009	152	152	
Uruguay	96.30	38 378	39 854	270	280	
<u> </u>	A 2006 Science Competencie			=: 0		

Source: OECD (2007). PISA 2006 Science Competencies for Tomorrow's World, Volume I. Paris: Author.

Table A2.3 - Response rates (cont.)

	Final sample - students within schools after school replacement					
	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	
	Weighted student participation rate after replacement (%)	Number of students assessed (weighted)	Number of students sampled (assessed and absent) (weighted)	Number of students assessed (unweighted)	Number of students sampled (assessed and absent) (unweighted)	
OECD Australia	06.20	200 410	232 221	14 071	16 500	
Australia Austria	86.30 90.81	200 410 80 765	88 942	4 925	16 590 5 542	
Belgium	92.98	107 247	115 343	8 857	9 492	
Canada	81.43	258 789	317 822	22 201	26 329	
Czech Republic	90.62	110 435	121 869	5 927	6 560	
Denmark .	89.51	49 249	55 018	4 510	5 035	
Finland	92.78	56 954	61 387	4 714	5 082	
France	89.78	641 681	714 695	4 684	5 218	
Germany	92.26	825 350	894 612	4 884	5 294	
Greece	95.24	91 494	96 070	4 871	5 116	
Hungary	93.12	98 716	106 010	4 490	4 823	
Iceland	83.32	3 781	4 538	3 781	4 538	
Ireland	83.75	46 160	55 114 506 270	4 585	5 469	
Italy	92.30 99.55	467 291 1 028 039	1 032 727	21 753 5 952	23 465 5 971	
Japan Korea	99.04	570 786	576 314	5 176	5 229	
Luxembourg	96.49	4 567	4 733	4 567	4 733	
Mexico	96.40	1 101 670	1 142 760	30 885	32 119	
Netherlands	90.15	161 900	179 592	4 848	5 375	
New Zealand	87.03	44 638	51 291	4 823	5 535	
Norway	87.81	50 232	57 205	4 692	5 345	
Poland	91.70	473 144	515 945	5 547	6 074	
Portugal	86.74	77 053	88 828	5 092	5 862	
Slovak Republic	93.19	70 837	76 011	4 729	5 095	
Spain	88.48	337 710	381 686	19 604	21 328	
Sweden	91.37	115 210	126 095	4 443	4 851	
Switzerland	94.94	84 366	88 861	12 191	12 778	
Turkey	97.59	649 451	665 477	4 942	5 057	
United Kingdom United States Partners	87.65 91.00	565 955 2 589 680	645 688 2 845 841	13 050 5 611	15 182 6 179	
Argentina	89.31	447 966	501 589	4 297	4 854	
Azerbaijan	98.02	119 024	121 433	5 184	5 284	
Brazil	90.83	1 692 354	1 863 114	9 246	10 408	
Bulgaria	94.47	69 821	73 907	4 498	4 768	
Chile	93.72	192 205	205 089	5 233	5 585	
Chinese Taipei	97.75	283 168	289 675	8 815	8 988	
Colombia	93.89	500 459	533 020	4 478	4 787	
Croatia	95.63	44 400	46 431	5 213	5 455	
Estonia	94.89	17 708	18 662	4 865	5 119	
Hong Kong-China	91.51	64 124	70 071	4 645	5 073	
Indonesia	97.81	2 199 184	2 248 313	10 647	10 918	
Israel	90.57 96.26	79 246	87 498 90 267	4 584 6 509	5 058 6 791	
Jordan Kyrgyzstan	96.26	86 890 78 319	90 267 80 674	5 904	6 074	
Latvia	96.66	28 255	29 232	4 719	4 885	
Liechtenstein	96.03	339	353	339	353	
Lithuania	93.76	47 189	50 329	4 744	5 061	
Macao-China	97.57	6 261	6 417	4 760	4 882	
Montenegro	93.23	6 821	7 317	4 367	4 681	
Qatar	87.34	6 224	7 126	6 224	7 126	
Romania	99.83	223 503	223 887	5 118	5 129	
Russian Federation	96.02	1 738 842	1 810 856	5 799	6 036	
Serbia	93.91	69 375	73 877	4 798	5 112	
Slovenia	91.50	18 489	20 206	6 576	7 194	
Thailand	98.74	636 028	644 125	6 192	6 266	
Tunisia	94.53	130 922	138 491	4 640	4 905	
Uruguay	88.24	30 693	34 784 olume I. Paris: Author.	4 779	5 380	

Source: OECD (2007). PISA 2006 Science Competencies for Tomorrow's World, Volume I. Paris: Author.