

## THE SUPPORTING STATEMENT

### Specific Instructions

#### A. Justification

##### 1. Circumstances Making the Collection of Information Necessary

The proposed regulation Child Support Enforcement Program; Intergovernmental Child Support was written to update the present regulation regarding provision of services in interstate IV-D cases that became effective in February 1988, more than 20 years ago. The present regulation does not reflect passage of major legislation e.g. the Uniform Interstate Family Support Act (UIFSA), the Personal Responsibility and Opportunity Reconciliation Act (PRWORA) and the Federal Full Faith and Credit for Child Support Orders Act of 1994 (FFCCSOA). The present regulation does not reflect technological advances such as the expanded Federal Parent Locator Service, and the establishment of the National Directory of New Hires, or the use of the Child Support Enforcement Network (CSENet).

There is a new requirement imposed by these regulations. Proposed §303.7(d)(5) adds a notice requirement where the initiating agency has requested a controlling order determination. In this case, the responding agency must:

“(i) File the controlling order determination request with the appropriate tribunal in its State within 30 calendar days of receipt of the request or location of the noncustodial parent, whichever occurs later;”

For this new regulatory requirement statewide Child Support Enforcement systems are already required to have the functionality to generate the documents necessary to establish an order of support. This new regulatory requirement would be considered a minor change or enhancement to a statewide CSE system.

##### 2. Purpose and Use of the Information Collection

The purpose of this transaction is to request for determination of a controlling order. This automated transaction is to happen within 30 calendar days of receipt of the request or location of the noncustodial parent.

##### 3. Use of Improved Information Technology and Burden Reduction

IV-D programs are required to have statewide automated Child Support Enforcement (CSE) systems that are in compliance with Federal requirements. These systems are already required to have the functionality to generate the documents necessary to establish an order of support.

##### 4. Efforts to Identify Duplication and Use of Similar Information

The collection of information requirement does not duplicate any other reporting or recordkeeping requirement.

##### 5. Impact on Small Businesses or Other Small Entities

The collection of information requirements does not involve small businesses or entities.

6. Consequences of Collecting the Information Less Frequently

This information collection will happen automatically once the automated system is programmed. It will be based on a request for determining the controlling order.

7. Special Circumstances Relating to the Guidelines of 5 CFR 1320.5

The collection of information does not involve any special circumstances.

8. Comments in Response to the Federal Register Notice and Efforts to Consult Outside the Agency

A 60-day Notice of Proposed Rulemaking was published in the Federal Register on December 8, 2008 (73 FR 74408). There were no public comments regarding the Impact Analysis of this requirement as published in the NPRM.

9. Explanation of Any Payment or Gift to Respondents

No payment or gift is provided to respondents.

10. Assurance of Confidentiality Provided to Respondents

No assurance of confidentiality is given to the respondents.

11. Justification for Sensitive Questions

There are no questions that are sensitive in nature.

12. Estimates of Annualized Burden Hours and Costs

INSTRUMENT	NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	AVERAGE BURDEN HOURS PER RESPONSE	TOTAL BURDEN HOURS
	54		
Systems modification	One time system enhancement	60 labor hours per State to modify statewide CSE system	3,240 hours

Total estimated annual hours estimate is 3,240 hours

The monetary value of these hours is estimated to be \$40 and 3,320 hours or \$132,800.

13. Estimates of Other Total Annual Cost Burden to Respondents or Record Keepers / Capital Costs

There are no cost burdens to respondents or record keepers / capital costs.

14. Annualized Cost to the Federal Government

There is no cost to the Federal Government.

15. Explanation for Program Changes or Adjustments

Not applicable.

16. Plans for Tabulation and Publication and Project Time Schedule

Not applicable.

17. Reason(s) Display of OMB Expiration Date is Inappropriate

Not applicable.

18. Exceptions to Certification for Paperwork Reduction Act Submissions

There are no exceptions to this certification statement.