SAMHSA'S Multiplier Surveys Supporting Statement

Attachment A:

Project Director Introductory Email

Project Director Survey

SAMHSA's Multiplier Surveys Project Director Survey Introductory Email

Dear SAMHSA Project Director:

Policy Research Associates, Inc. is conducting a study on behalf of the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) to learn what broader impacts programs may have and what happens to programs after Federal funding ends. SAMHSA wants to identify factors and characteristics associated with sustainability and grant impacts on local or state service delivery, systems change or infrastructure development. The findings of this study will inform the structure and implementation of future SAMHSA funding.

To do this, we are studying a number of SAMHSA-funded grants that have ended in the last several years. Please note that we are asking about programs where Federal funding ended by September 30, 2008, including any no-cost extensions. You have been identified by SAMHSA as a project director or other contact for the following program, which is included in our study:

[NAME OF GRANT] program, Announcement Number Award number Dates of award

If you believe you have been selected in error or if you feel that you are not familiar enough with this program, please contact me so we may identify a more appropriate respondent.

We would like to set up a convenient time to conduct an in-depth interview about this project. We anticipate the interview will last about an hour. Please let me know, by responding to this email, that you are willing to participate in this important study.

If you have questions about this study, please contact me.

Sincerely yours, Susan Becker

OMB Number: 0930-XXXX Expiration Date: MM/DD/YYYY

SAMHSA Multiplier Surveys

Project Director Interview

Public Burden Statement

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control number for this project is 0930-xxxx. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 45 minutes per respondent per year, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to SAMHSA Reports Clearance Officer, 1 Choke Cherry Road, Room 7-1044, Rockville, Maryland, 20857.

SAMHSA Multiplier Surveys Project Director Interview

INTRODUCTION

Thank you for agreeing to complete this survey. Your responses will assist SAMHSA in understanding the broader impacts of their funded programs and what happens to programs after Federal funding ends. In particular, we are interested in post-grant impacts on local or state service delivery, systems change or infrastructure development.

We will be talking about **[Fill in specific grant name and RFA#]** that was funded by the Center for **[fill in SAMHSA center]** from **[date]** to **[date]** including any no-cost extensions. Responses will be reported in the aggregate only. Responding to this survey will not affect you, your agency or applications for any current or future SAMHSA grants. We expect that the interview will last about an hour. We appreciate your time.

PROJECT INTENT AND DESIGN

- 1. What was the impetus for applying for this grant? What were you hoping to achieve? [RECORD VERBATIM. PROBE.]
- 2. What was the target population for your grant?
- 3. Did it change over the life of the grant? IF YES, please explain. [RECORD VERBATIM. PROBE.]

4.		ar organization received a SAMHSA grant before this grant? Yes
	_	1 65
		No (go to Q. 6)
		DON'T KNOW (go to Q. 6)
5.		rom which Center(s)? [CHECK ALL THAT APPLY.] CMHS CSAP CSAT DON'T KNOW

PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION

- 6. Describe, in brief, the project that was funded. [RECORD VERBATIM. PROBE.]
- 7. What, if any, Evidence-Based Practices (EBPs) were implemented as part of this grant?
- 8. Who were the stakeholders in the project? Describe any State or community participation or buy-in. [RECORD VERBATIM. PROBE.]

10. [IF PRO	ir leadership key to project implementation? Who in particular? Why? JECT WAS A COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT, ASK:] escribe the role of the GPO in your project. [RECORD VERBATIM. PROBE.]
(b)H	ow often did you have contact with him/her? [RECORD VERBATIM. PROBE.]
(c)]	Did s/he play a major role? [RECORD VERBATIM. PROBE.]
[RECO	ere the three (3) greatest challenges encountered in implementing the grant? RD VERBATIM. PROBE.]
a. b.	
С.	
12. How wo	re these challenges addressed? [RECORD VERBATIM. PROBE.]
b.	
с.	
	extent was each of these challenges overcome? Would you say [ASK ABOU SEPARATELY]
a. [Inse □ DK	rt Challenge A] □ Almost completely □ Somewhat □ Very little or not at all
b. [Inse	rt Challenge B] □ Almost completely □ Somewhat □ Very little or not at all
c. [Inse □ DK	rt Challenge C] □ Almost completely □ Somewhat □ Very little or not at all
_	ONLY IF A MATCH WAS REQUIRED. IF NOT, GO TO Q. 16.] How did you me t's match requirement?
15. Was the	re any difficulty meeting the match?
	Yes – IF YES, can you tell me what was difficult about meeting the match? No DON'T KNOW

16. Some SAMHSA grants do not fund direct services to individuals. Instead, their focus is or infrastructure development, meaning service delivery change or systems change. Did this grant fund? [CHECK ONE]
☐ Service delivery to individuals only [SKIP TO Q. 19] ☐ Services or systems change only ☐ Both
17. What were the main goals of systems change component?
18. To what extent was this component implemented? Would you say [CHECK ONE]
☐ Almost completely ☐ Somewhat
SomewhatVery little or not at allDON'T KNOW
19. If direct services were included in this grant, were they provided by your organization (GRANTEE) or by a subcontractor (SUBGRANTEE) or both?
□ Grantee□ Subcontractor or subgrantee□ DON'T KNOW
20. Did the grant require or provide for a planning period before services could begin?
☐ Yes – For how long? ☐ No [GO TO Q. 22] ☐ DON'T KNOW [GO TO Q. 22]
21. [IF YES TO Q. 19, ASK] To what extent did the planning component contribute to successful program implementation?
[IF NO TO Q. 19, ASK] To what extent do you think a planning component would have contributed to successful program implementation? [READ CHOICES.] □ A lot □ Somewhat □ A little or not at all □ DON'T KNOW
22. What types of technical assistance were available to you from SAMHSA? [READ CHOICES. CHECK ALL THAT APPLY.] Which of these did you actually use or receive [READ CHOICES. CHECK ALL THAT APPLY] Available Received/Used

			Program-specific SAMHSA technical assistance center Evaluation technical assistance
			Formal evidence-based practice (EBP) training or materials
			On-site visit(s) with GPO or expert consultants
			Phone consultation
			Contact (phone or in-person) with other grantees
			Program-specific SAMHSA website
			Program-specific SAMHSA-sponsored list serv
			Any other?
			NONE
		MPACT	
		portant was the te CHOICES.]	echnical assistance you received to the impact of your project?
		Very important	
		Somewhat impor	
		Not at all import DON'T KNOW	ant
24. V	Vas an	evaluation of the	project conducted during the grant period? [IF YES] Was it a
		Formative or pro	
		Outcome evaluat	ion?
		Both	ON 100 TO 0 201
			ON [GO TO Q. 26]
		DON'T KNOW	[GO TO Q. 26]
		extent did the ev ONE.]	aluation contribute to the impact of the project? [READ CHOICES.
		A lot	
		Somewhat	
		Very little or not	at all
		NO EVALUATI	ON
		DON'T KNOW	
			measures contribute to the impact of the project? [READ
C	CHOIC	ES.]	
		A lot [ASK HOV	
		Somewhat [ASK	_
		Very little or not	at all
		DON'T KNOW	

ystemic or other impacts resulted from this project? [READ CHOICES. CHECK HAT APPLY.]
State policy changes Local policy changes Other programs started statewide Expanded geographic service area Changes in other agency programs that serve same population Increased use of evidence-based practices Improved collaboration among community agencies Planning groups established Other. Please describe.
Other. Please describe.

■ Co	nsumer level (for example service delivery, outcomes, number of clients)?
■ Ag	ency level (for example, use of staff, program improvement, streamlining)?
	mmunity level (for example, awareness of the issue, local government funding, laboration)
■ Sta	te level (e.g. funding, policy or programmatic changes)?
	SUSTAINABILITY ximately when did Federal funding end for this grant?
30. Was th	e project continued after Federal funding ended? [IF YES,] please tell me how?
_	Yes, pretty much as originally funded
	Yes, in part
_	1
_ 	Yes, in part No (go to Q. 35)
31. What, 32. What f	Yes, in part No (go to Q. 35) DON'T KNOW (go to end of survey) if anything, about the project changed after Federal funding ended? unding source(s) made it possible for the project to continue in whole or in part? CHOICES. CHECK ALL THAT APPLY.]
31. What, 32. What f	Yes, in part No (go to Q. 35) DON'T KNOW (go to end of survey) if anything, about the project changed after Federal funding ended? funding source(s) made it possible for the project to continue in whole or in part? O CHOICES. CHECK ALL THAT APPLY.] State agency
31. What, 32. What f	Yes, in part No (go to Q. 35) DON'T KNOW (go to end of survey) if anything, about the project changed after Federal funding ended? unding source(s) made it possible for the project to continue in whole or in part? CHOICES. CHECK ALL THAT APPLY.]
31. What, 32. What f	Yes, in part No (go to Q. 35) DON'T KNOW (go to end of survey) if anything, about the project changed after Federal funding ended? unding source(s) made it possible for the project to continue in whole or in part? CHOICES. CHECK ALL THAT APPLY.] State agency Local agency

34. How important were the following factors to the project's continuation? [READ EACH FACTOR. INDICATE WHETHER EACH IS VERY, SOMEWHAT OR NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT. THEN RANK THE TOP 3 FOR IMPORTANCE TO PROJECT CONTINUATION.]

Importance (Check one)				
Ver y	Some- what	Not at All	Rank	
				Planning process
				Availability of on-going EBP training or materials
				Administrative or fiscal technical assistance
				Evaluation technical assistance
				Evaluation findings or outcomes
				Fit into mission of organization
				Served intended population more effectively
				Having widespread community support
				Having a champion
				Other. Please describe.

[RECORD COMMENTS:]

35. If the project did not continue, how important were the following factors to the project's discontinuation? Please indicate which was the most important. [READ EACH FACTOR. INDICATE WHETHER EACH IS VERY, SOMEWHAT OR NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT. THEN RANK THE TOP 3 TO THE PROJECT'S DISCONTINUATION.]

Importance (Check one)				
Very	Some-	Not	Rank	
v er y	what	at All	Kalik	
				Lack of leadership
				Problem was not significant in the community
				Staff turnover
				People busy with other tasks
				Not a priority
				Additional funding wasn't found
				Another agency is providing services to the intended
				population
				The program is being continued in a reduced/more targeted
				form
				Other. Please describe.

[RECORD COMMENTS:]

Conclusion

Thank you for participating in this survey. Your responses have been very helpful. If you have any questions about this project, please contact Sue Becker at sue.becker@samhsa.hhs.gov.